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Executive Summary

Flooding is the most common and costly disaster in the United States. Over 98% of counties in the entire United States having experienced a flood and just one inch of water causing up to $25,000 in damage (FEMA 2018). Flooding can impact a community’s social, cultural, environmental and economic resources, so making sound, science-based, long-term decisions to improve resiliency are critical to future prosperity and growth. To meet the longer-term goals to protect life and property, in 1990, FEMA created the National Flood Insurance Program’s (NFIP) Community Rating System (CRS) program, a voluntary program for recognizing and encouraging community floodplain management activities. Nearly 3.6 million policyholders in 1,444 communities participate in the CRS program, but this is only 5% of the over 22,000 communities participating in the NFIP.

The Florida Department of Emergency Management (FDEM) contracted with FAU to develop data to enable local communities to reduce flood insurance costs through mitigation and resiliency efforts by developing watershed management plans. There are several steps to address the development of watershed plans including the development of a watershed planning template and development of support documents to establish risk associated with community risk within the watershed.

The effort discussed herein focusses on the development procedures for a screening tool to assess risk in the Suwannee TMDL in Florida, a watershed located in West-Central Florida, that combines readily available data on topography, ground and surface water elevations, tidal data for coastal communities, soils, open space and rainfall to permit an assessment of the risk of inundation of property in the area. Such knowledge permits the development of tools to permit local agencies to develop means to address high risk properties.
1.0 Introduction

The Suwannee TMDL basin is located in North West-central Florida (see Figure 1), and is home to the Cities of Live Oak, Steinhatchee, Wellborn, Mayo, and many others. This watershed covers almost 10,000 square miles in South Georgia, and North Florida. The Suwannee River Water Management District maintains most of the watershed. The watershed is adjacent to the Gulf of Mexico.

Figure 22. Location map of Suwannee basin
2.0 Summary of Watershed

2.1 General Description of Watershed

2.1.1 Climate and Ecology
Suwannee gets roughly 53 inches of rain per year, with 225 sunny days per year, and about 100 days contain some type of rainfall (bestplaces.net). Its ecology is primarily a large estuarine system at its confluence with the Gulf of Mexico. It lies outside the river mouth along an open coast. The main estuarine zone is Suwannee Sound. This is a shallow offshore area encompassed by a semi-continuous arc of oyster reefs. There is a transition from high to low salinity within the river (pubs.usgs.org). There is also a subsystem of tidal creeks and marshes south of the river mouth which acts as another section of the estuary which receives fresh water from different sources and conditions. At the high river stage, an abundance of fresh water over fills the low-elevation marshes to the south and flows into the tributaries of the estuary. This push makes areas south of the river experience sudden transitions from estuarine to freshwater conditions. At the lower stage, estuarine tributaries from freshwater, ground-water inflow. Tides provide freshwater at almost all stages.

2.1.2 Topography and Soils

The Suwannee River Basins has two physiographic regions; Northern Highlands and Gulf coastal Lowlands (mysuwanneeriver.com). The two major physiographic provinces in the District include the Northern Highlands and Gulf Coastal Lowlands (White, 1970; Ceryak et al., 1983). Characteristics of the Northern Highlands include gently rolling topography, generally from 100 - 200 feet above mean sea level. Soils typically range from sand to clayey sand. The presence of relatively low permeability clayey sediments, at or near the surface, limits the infiltration of rainfall.

Local rainfall drainage in the Northern Highlands (i.e., the Upper Suwannee and Santa Fe River basins) is characterized by surface water features. The Gulf Coastal Lowlands are characterized by elevations ranging from sea level to approximately 100 feet above mean sea level. The Gulf Coastal Lowlands feature a low relief, karstic topography, and shallow sandy soils with muck in many wetland areas. Karst landforms are widespread in the lowlands, with abundant sinkholes, sinking streams and springs, and a high degree of interconnection between surface water and groundwater systems. The Gulf Coastal Lowlands therefore have high rates of recharge to the limestone aquifer.
and extensive karst development, resulting in a groundwater-dominated (subsurface) drainage pattern throughout much of this region.

A significant geologic feature separating the two major physiographic provinces is the Cody Escarpment or Cody Scarp, which generally separates the Northern Highlands Physiographic Province and the Gulf Coastal Lowlands Physiographic Province. The Cody Scarp is an erosional geomorphologic feature which represents the break between the surface-water dominated hydrology of the Northern Highlands, and the groundwater dominated hydrology of the Coastal Lowlands. The Cody Scarp region is characterized by active sinkholes, springs, sinking streams, and river rises (Ceryak et al., 1983). During average and lower flows, with the exception of the Suwannee River, all rivers and streams, including the Santa Fe and Alapaha Rivers are completely captured by sinkholes as they cross the Cody Scarp. Some subsequently re-emerge downgradient as river rises.

The Northern highlands has a gently rolling topography that is roughly 100-200 feet above mean sea level. The soils in the area are mainly sand to clayey sand. The clayey sediments in the subsurface act as the base for the Surficial Aquifer System. They also slowdown infiltration of rainwater into the system while constituting portions of the Intermediate Aquifer Confining System. Thus, there are a surplus of surface-water features throughout the Northern Highlands. The lowlands have elevations above mean sea level at 100 feet. Carbonate rocks is most prevalent throughout this area. The surface is relatively flat, karstic topography and shallow, sandy soils with muck in many wetland areas. Karst landforms are most common, with sinkholes, sinking streams, and springs, with the surface water and ground water systems being highly interconnected.

2.1.3 Boundaries/Surface Waters

The Suwannee river Basin is about 29,500 square km, flowing through much of north Florida and leaving out of the eastern Gulf of Mexico (gulfbase.org). Withlacoochee River joins along the way. The Aucilla, Econfina, Fenolloway, Steinhatchee, Suwannee, Waccasassa and Withlacoochee Rivers drain into the estuarine areas of the Florida coast. Suwannee River provides over 50 percent of all the freshwater discharge. All of the surface waters are affected by groundwater inflow from the regional aquifer composed of limestone, or karst topography. It is relatively undeveloped and
unpolluted compared to other regions in the state. There are mainly coastal marshes (i.e. Cedar Keys, Deadman Bay and Suwannee Sound). Cedar Key is the northernmost limit of distribution of mangroves on the Florida Gulf coast. It also has the second largest seagrass area in the eastern Gulf of Mexico.

2.1.4 Hydrogeological Considerations

There is a layer of clay preventing water from infiltrating down, these are the confined areas in Northern Florida (mysuwanneeriver.com). As a result, water will runoff downhill until it reaches a lake, stream, or an unconfined area where it can penetrate down into the aquifer. When it has infiltrated, the water will flow through pathways in the rock until it is pumped out of a well, taken up by plant roots for growth/photosynthesis, or until it reaches an area with the right geology to form a spring or seep. The springs in the area have dynamic flows which are affected by the river level and groundwater levels within the spring shed. When the river is high, the water pushes back against the ground water; either slowing, stopping or reversing the spring’s flow. When the river is low, there can be a large difference between groundwater and surface levels, which then result in high spring flows.

The aquifer system contains a sequence of limestone rock and dolomite mineral and can be divided into an upper and lower aquifer by the amount of permeability. The District has three primary hydrostratigraphic units which are, in descending order, the unconfined surficial aquifer system, the intermediate aquifer system/intermediate confining unit (located in the northeastern and eastern portions of the District), and the UFA.

The UFA is highly productive and represents the primary source of water supply and provides the baseflow to rivers and springs in the watershed. Most of the UFA system in the watershed is located near or at the surface, with some smaller areas being buried deep underground. Much of the Floridian aquifer in the watershed is unconfined, though there are small pockets of the aquifer that are thinly confined further inland.
In the Northern Highlands region, which includes the Upper Suwannee and Santa Fe River basins, the UFA is overlain by a thick confining layer of clay, which retards recharge into the UFA, whereas, to the south and west in the Gulf Coastal Lowlands, these clay layers are generally absent and the UFA is generally unconfined. The UFA in the Gulf Coastal Lowlands region experiences very high rates of recharge by way of sinking streams, sinkholes, and diffuse recharge through the land surface. Therefore, in this area, maintenance of groundwater levels is critically important to maintaining spring flow and baseflow in rivers (e.g., the Lower Santa Fe and Ichetucknee Rivers).

The presence or absence of the Hawthorn Group determines whether the UFA is confined/semi-confined or unconfined (Scott, 1988, 1992), respectively. Table 1 shows the aquifer layers in the basin.

Table 1. Overview of the aquifers in the Suwannee River Basin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geologic Unit</th>
<th>Hydrostratigraphic Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Undifferentiated Sand</td>
<td>Surficial Aquifer System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawthorn Group</td>
<td>Intermediate Aquifer System and Intermediate Confining Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Marks Formation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suwannee Limestone</td>
<td>Floridan aquifer system (Upper Floridan aquifer where</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocala Limestone</td>
<td>Middle Confining Unit is absent)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avon Park Formation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oldsmar Formation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For planning purposes, fresh groundwater is recognized as the only traditional water supply source, with all other water sources considered to be nontraditional (i.e., alternative water supplies; 373.019(1) F.S.). This WSA was conducted to determine whether fresh groundwater supplies in the District will be adequate to satisfy water supply demands for the 2015-2035 planning period while protecting natural systems. Existing use and future water demand projections were examined as required by Rule 62-40, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.). Total water demand in the District
is projected to grow from 229 million gallons per day (mgd) to 300 mgd, with fresh groundwater from the FAS supplying over 90% of this demand. Agricultural Self-supply remains the largest use category in the District, and represents the largest projected water demand growth through 2035.

2.1.5 Special Features
There is a topographic feature called the Cody Scarp (mysuwanneeriver.com). This is an escarpment which is characterized by active sinkhole formation, large sinkholes and lakes, springs, sinking streams, and river resurgences.

2.2 Socio-economic Conditions of the Watershed
2.2.1 Demographics
As of 2017, Suwannee County had a population of 43,814, a poverty rate of 20.4 percent, a median age of 41.7, a median household income of $40,600, and 80 percent of the population was white (non-Hispanic), and the next closest race, black, falling at less than 20 percent (datausa.io). Dixie County with a population of 16,826, a poverty rate of 23.7 percent, a median age of 47.6, a median household income of $35,910, and over 80 percent of the population was white (non-Hispanic), and the next closest race, black falling at less than 10 percent. Lafayette County with a population of 8,684, a poverty rate of 17.8 percent, a median age of 39.2, a median household income of $41,512, with 80 percent of the population as white, and the next closest race, black, falling above 15 percent. Gilchrist county with a population of 17,191, a poverty rate of 19.8 percent, a median age of 42.8, a median household income of $42,934, with over 80 percent of the population, and the next closest race, black falling at 10 percent. Levy county has a population of 38,713, a poverty rate of 21.9 percent, a median age of 46.4, a median household income of $36,554, with 85 percent of the population being white, and the next closest race, black, falling just below 10 percent. Columbia County has a population of 68,484, a poverty rate of 16.8 percent, a median age of 40.7, a median household income of $43,504, with a little over 70 percent of the population being white, and less than 20 percent of the population being black. Taylor County has a population of 22,350, a poverty rate of 16.4 percent, a median age of 41, a median household income of $37,188, with a little over 70 percent of the population being white, and a little over 20 percent of the population being black.
2.2.2 Property

Majority of the area is residential, with a decent amount of conservation areas/ environmentally sensitive areas along the coast, compared to other areas in Florida (datausa.io). The average property value is around $92,000.

2.2.3 Economic Activity/Industry

A good majority of the areas industries include natural resource/mining and construction. There is less development here, than in other parts of the state, so there is room for other industries (datausa.io).
3.0 Watershed Analysis

3.1 Catchments

Figure 2 shows the distribution of catchments in the Suwannee TMDL basin. This was determined by the longest connecting body of water from one catchment to the next. Another determining factor was how they cascaded into each other.
3.2 Data Sets

3.2.1 Topography

Figure 3 shows the results of the LiDAR 3-meter DEM processed conducted for the watershed. Along the western and southern areas, the elevation is low, ranging from 0 feet (sea level) to 20 feet. Further inland the elevation is higher and more varied, ranging from 40 to over 100 feet, with a maximum elevation of approximately 241 feet. There are higher elevations towards the northeast portion of this basin. This is explained by the terrain heading into Georgia. The elevations also change depending on how close they are to bodies of water, such as rivers where the elevation starts lower closest to the body of water and gets higher as it goes further away.

Figure 4 contains the impervious areas, primarily roads and structures. These are areas where water cannot seep into the soil, and as a result may travel on the surface. Figure 5 contains the areas that contain either water (ex. rivers, lakes, canals, etc.) or land in the Waccasassa watershed.

Lower Suwannee river has the largest area in size, while Upper Suwannee river has the highest elevation, seen in Table 1. The smallest catchments fall within HUC_102, where they have been broken up even further.
Figure 24. Topography of the Suwannee watershed based on LiDAR DEM
Figure 4. Impervious areas in the Suwannee River watershed.
Figure 5. Streams and rivers in the Suwannee River watershed
## Table 2 Elevation values through each catchment in Suwannee

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HUC</th>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>AREA</th>
<th>MIN</th>
<th>MAX</th>
<th>RANGE</th>
<th>MEAN</th>
<th>STD</th>
<th>SUM</th>
<th>ACRES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HUC_102</td>
<td>Ecofina River</td>
<td>6504256978.810</td>
<td>-3.536</td>
<td>205.718</td>
<td>209.254</td>
<td>59.614</td>
<td>38.852</td>
<td>3398398400.520</td>
<td>149317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUC_102</td>
<td>Fenholloway River</td>
<td>11907266161.580</td>
<td>6.365</td>
<td>95.178</td>
<td>88.809</td>
<td>52.744</td>
<td>20.287</td>
<td>1759118253.517</td>
<td>273353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUC_102</td>
<td>Stenaltchee River</td>
<td>12957120961.920</td>
<td>2.939</td>
<td>88.840</td>
<td>84.929</td>
<td>45.942</td>
<td>17.685</td>
<td>2848929813.933</td>
<td>340429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUC_102</td>
<td>Spring Warrior Creek</td>
<td>7777506459.850</td>
<td>-3.991</td>
<td>91.375</td>
<td>94.466</td>
<td>29.792</td>
<td>19.115</td>
<td>2000282081.729</td>
<td>178508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUC_102</td>
<td>California Creek</td>
<td>9741328647.790</td>
<td>-1.644</td>
<td>73.856</td>
<td>75.555</td>
<td>16.721</td>
<td>12.835</td>
<td>1427608153.850</td>
<td>235650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUC_103</td>
<td>Apalika River</td>
<td>22280031453.000</td>
<td>-2.051</td>
<td>261.465</td>
<td>263.515</td>
<td>96.066</td>
<td>46.980</td>
<td>18759082406.600</td>
<td>511479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUC_201</td>
<td>Upper Suwannee River</td>
<td>32634552029.500</td>
<td>24.341</td>
<td>317.573</td>
<td>293.230</td>
<td>125.337</td>
<td>22.539</td>
<td>35849402101.500</td>
<td>749386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUC_202</td>
<td>Alapaha River</td>
<td>13057732306.700</td>
<td>30.324</td>
<td>244.671</td>
<td>214.347</td>
<td>147.286</td>
<td>31.506</td>
<td>16855969537.000</td>
<td>239764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUC_203</td>
<td>Withlacoochee River</td>
<td>13815680027.100</td>
<td>26.836</td>
<td>250.225</td>
<td>223.389</td>
<td>130.257</td>
<td>41.611</td>
<td>15772467503.100</td>
<td>317364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUC_205</td>
<td>Lower Suwannee River</td>
<td>43984766241.400</td>
<td>-1.677</td>
<td>209.675</td>
<td>211.351</td>
<td>62.507</td>
<td>33.694</td>
<td>24096586761.400</td>
<td>1009751</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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3.2.2 Groundwater

The groundwater table was determined by using the kriging approach that was used in Zhang et al. (2020) as there were limited wells within this watershed. The locations of the wells, surface water, and tidal gauge stations are displayed in Figure 6. The combination of the known water table readings from groundwater stations, surface water stations, and a tidal gauge were used to create the water table for the watershed as seen in Figure 7. This represents the surface level where the ground soil is permanently saturated with water. The area with the largest groundwater values belongs to Withlacoochee River, seen in Table 2. The area with the lowest groundwater values is Upper Suwannee River.

Table 3 Ground water values for the Suwannee Basin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HUC_102</th>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ZONE-CODE</th>
<th>COUNT</th>
<th>AREA</th>
<th>MIN</th>
<th>MAX</th>
<th>RANGE</th>
<th>MEAN</th>
<th>STD</th>
<th>SUM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ecotina River</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>677514</td>
<td>4043809</td>
<td>0.8581</td>
<td>90.40655</td>
<td>91.29343</td>
<td>0.07595</td>
<td>28.26605</td>
<td>14259302.096242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fenholloway River</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1229232</td>
<td>180630880</td>
<td>-0.217694</td>
<td>91.082328</td>
<td>91.300076</td>
<td>44.664208</td>
<td>27.818820</td>
<td>54902741.197475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Steinheinem River</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1637013</td>
<td>1873131700</td>
<td>8.695332</td>
<td>82.417038</td>
<td>73.721766</td>
<td>44.57196</td>
<td>19.429058</td>
<td>72964877.849196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spring Warrior Creek</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>802741</td>
<td>722466900</td>
<td>-0.216402</td>
<td>82.769707</td>
<td>82.988199</td>
<td>24.084822</td>
<td>15.112957</td>
<td>19317819.628229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>California Creek</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1005547</td>
<td>904993200</td>
<td>0.204259</td>
<td>51.710087</td>
<td>51.914348</td>
<td>16.187833</td>
<td>8.811138</td>
<td>16277926.785956</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HUC_103</th>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ZONE-CODE</th>
<th>COUNT</th>
<th>AREA</th>
<th>MIN</th>
<th>MAX</th>
<th>RANGE</th>
<th>MEAN</th>
<th>STD</th>
<th>SUM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Augie River</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2331460</td>
<td>209831900</td>
<td>-0.648191</td>
<td>93.844838</td>
<td>94.493099</td>
<td>58.945773</td>
<td>21.47975</td>
<td>174624700.864022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HUC_201</th>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ZONE-CODE</th>
<th>COUNT</th>
<th>AREA</th>
<th>MIN</th>
<th>MAX</th>
<th>RANGE</th>
<th>MEAN</th>
<th>STD</th>
<th>SUM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Upper Suwannee River</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1368958</td>
<td>302092200</td>
<td>29.244478</td>
<td>70.914581</td>
<td>41.670193</td>
<td>52.725516</td>
<td>7.506892</td>
<td>177216511.077118</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HUC_202</th>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ZONE-CODE</th>
<th>COUNT</th>
<th>AREA</th>
<th>MIN</th>
<th>MAX</th>
<th>RANGE</th>
<th>MEAN</th>
<th>STD</th>
<th>SUM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Atapaha River</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1378978</td>
<td>1341080200</td>
<td>29.324709</td>
<td>100.355956</td>
<td>70.951247</td>
<td>60.236531</td>
<td>12.47536</td>
<td>83064361.785976</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HUC_203</th>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ZONE-CODE</th>
<th>COUNT</th>
<th>AREA</th>
<th>MIN</th>
<th>MAX</th>
<th>RANGE</th>
<th>MEAN</th>
<th>STD</th>
<th>SUM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Withlacoochee River</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1426038</td>
<td>1283424200</td>
<td>29.316168</td>
<td>100.71846</td>
<td>71.402292</td>
<td>67.843331</td>
<td>15.096477</td>
<td>96744180.512123</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HUC_205</th>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ZONE-CODE</th>
<th>COUNT</th>
<th>AREA</th>
<th>MIN</th>
<th>MAX</th>
<th>RANGE</th>
<th>MEAN</th>
<th>STD</th>
<th>SUM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lower Suwannee River</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4543572</td>
<td>4089214800</td>
<td>0.078384</td>
<td>90.771939</td>
<td>90.850753</td>
<td>32.594756</td>
<td>18.421777</td>
<td>148096619.130029</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 6. Locations of groundwater wells, surface water wells, and tidal gauge station in the Suwannee River watershed.
3.2.3. Surface Waters

Figure 5 includes a map of the surface waters in the watershed, along with the locations of the groundwater stations, surface water stations and one tidal gauge for the basin. The common date chosen was 08/04/2018, which contained the highest recorded water levels of the active stations and reduced influence of unusually large storm events on the watershed.
3.2.4 Open Space

The open space map (Figure 7) is from the USGS NLCD 2016 land cover dataset and the open lands are displayed in the map.

![Open Space Map](image)

Figure 7. Open space in the Suwannee River watershed

3.1.5 Soil Capacity

The groundwater primarily occurs in the center of the Suwannee basin, as well as the northern portion which touches Georgia. Some of the eastern portion, touching the Atlantic Ocean, contains some groundwater storage. The edge on the Gulf of Mexico has little to no ground water storage. This translates to the southern central portion of the Suwannee area. There is more cascading in terms of the placement of the groundwater storage.
Figure 8 shows the soil capacity in the Suwannee River watershed. Much of the coastal areas, which includes impervious land and water, have no or very little water holding capacity. Areas found more inland have a higher soil capacity ranging from 0.10 to 1.00.

There is not much ground storage in this area as shown in Table 2. The further north and east, the higher the ground storage. Upper Suwannee experiences the high level of ground storage, where Fen Holloway River, Spring Water Creek, Steinhatchee River, and California Creek have the least amount of ground storage; not fluctuating much. The area with the largest ground storage values
belong to Alapaha River, located furthest north in the watershed, seen in Table 3. The lowest value is for HUC_102, and within that, California Creek is the lowest for ground storage.

Table 4 Ground storage values for Suwannee

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HUC_102</th>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>AREA</th>
<th>MIN</th>
<th>MAX</th>
<th>RANGE</th>
<th>MEAN</th>
<th>STD</th>
<th>SUM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Econina River</td>
<td>598631200</td>
<td>3.841598253</td>
<td>51.61064987</td>
<td>47.96869135</td>
<td>13.69583393</td>
<td>4.67257152</td>
<td>911194.433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ethelwood River</td>
<td>365401800</td>
<td>6.008465682</td>
<td>24.38350506</td>
<td>18.37803729</td>
<td>12.91251504</td>
<td>1.985298404</td>
<td>519790.746</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Steinhatchee River</td>
<td>489723300</td>
<td>6.88390146</td>
<td>22.92488079</td>
<td>16.02287933</td>
<td>12.08277309</td>
<td>1.498511874</td>
<td>661286.927</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spring Warrior Creek</td>
<td>711376000</td>
<td>-4.66595894</td>
<td>28.21931839</td>
<td>28.87991438</td>
<td>12.11068552</td>
<td>2.054637394</td>
<td>957107.759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>California Creek</td>
<td>886247100</td>
<td>6.749375162</td>
<td>18.34370500</td>
<td>11.59591341</td>
<td>11.20098343</td>
<td>1.140493943</td>
<td>110298137</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HUC_103</th>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>AREA</th>
<th>MIN</th>
<th>MAX</th>
<th>RANGE</th>
<th>MEAN</th>
<th>STD</th>
<th>SUM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Apalachee River</td>
<td>2039424300</td>
<td>3.089</td>
<td>82.136</td>
<td>79.047</td>
<td>21.021</td>
<td>11.332</td>
<td>47634187.146</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HUC_201</th>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>AREA</th>
<th>MIN</th>
<th>MAX</th>
<th>RANGE</th>
<th>MEAN</th>
<th>STD</th>
<th>SUM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Upper Suwannee River</td>
<td>3009207600</td>
<td>3.280</td>
<td>81.153</td>
<td>79.903</td>
<td>20.521</td>
<td>10.492</td>
<td>102090510.671</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HUC_202</th>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>AREA</th>
<th>MIN</th>
<th>MAX</th>
<th>RANGE</th>
<th>MEAN</th>
<th>STD</th>
<th>SUM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HUC_203</th>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>AREA</th>
<th>MIN</th>
<th>MAX</th>
<th>RANGE</th>
<th>MEAN</th>
<th>STD</th>
<th>SUM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Withlacoochee River</td>
<td>1257371100</td>
<td>4.704</td>
<td>91.631</td>
<td>86.917</td>
<td>25.002</td>
<td>11.701</td>
<td>34930024.215</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HUC_205</th>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>AREA</th>
<th>MIN</th>
<th>MAX</th>
<th>RANGE</th>
<th>MEAN</th>
<th>STD</th>
<th>SUM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lower Suwannee River</td>
<td>4004127500</td>
<td>4.182</td>
<td>65.178</td>
<td>60.996</td>
<td>16.740</td>
<td>5.732</td>
<td>75166322.575</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.5 Precipitation

Precipitation follows a similar pattern as the previous watershed analysis, with the northeast experiencing less rainfall, and the southwestern portion experiencing higher levels of rainfall. The southwestern portion is adjacent to the Gulf of Mexico. Most of the area is low to medium rainfall. Almost exclusively, rainfall occurs in the southwest. Econina River experiences the largest amount of rainfall, and behind that is Aucilla River, seen in Table 4. Econina is located nearest the Gulf of Mexico. The area with the lowest rainfall, comparatively, is Alapaha River.
Figure 9. Average rainfall in the Suwannee River watershed
3.3 Modeling Protocol

The modelling of the watershed was done using ArcGIS, ArcHydro, and Cascade software. A 5-mile buffer was used instead of the original boundary, as to remove any inconsistencies or abnormalities that could occur near the edges of the watershed. The exception to this was the station data (Figure 6) as some stations could be found outside of the 5-mile buffer. The soil capacity (Figure 8) was created by multiplying the water mask, impervious mask, and a soil ratio dataset. The groundwater layer (Figure 5) was created by using the kriging method in ArcGIS software, which utilized the water levels that were found by the groundwater stations, surface water stations, and tidal gauges.

Figure 10 shows the quantity of the soil storage that was computed in preparation for the final flooding data. This was created by using the expression $DEM - \text{groundwater layer} \times 12 \times \text{soil storage capacity}$. The areas with the lowest storage were found along the coast and in the middle, which correspond low elevation and the presence of water (ex. rivers, swamps). The areas with the highest amount of soil storage over 8 inches were found in drier parts of the inland, along with areas in higher elevation.
ArcHydro was then used to generate the catchments within the watershed, which also included the drainage lines and drainage points for each of the catchments. This was done to determine the direction and the longest drainage path for the catchments to understand where water would flow from areas of higher elevation to areas of lower elevation. The average rainfall, average soil storage, initial drainage elevation, maximum ground elevation, and area in acres was then calculated for each catchment for use in Cascade software in order to calculate the maximum headwater height for each catchment in preparation for the flood inundation. Once the headwater height was obtained from
each catchment, the expression \((\text{Headwater Height} - \text{DEM Elevation}) \pm 0.46\) was used to calculate the Z-score for the entire watershed, which was assigned a probability of flood inundation for the entire watershed.

### 3.4 Modeling Results

#### 3.4.1 Watershed pathways

The catchments and waterway flow paths that were produced from ArcHydro as shown for the Suwannee River watershed can be found in Figure 11.

#### 3.4.2 Cascade Results

The final results from Cascade can be seen in Table 5, which displays the predicted headwater height for each of the catchments, along with the area in acres, mean rain, mean soil storage capacity, initial stage, and the maximum elevation from ArcGIS and ArcHydro.

Cascade 2001 was used to find out the high head water for the Suwannee River Basin. Using the information from the watershed analysis:

1. Area: Basing this information on the DEM values, which were derived from merging the smaller catchments into larger ones, the area was determined and converted to acre-ft.
2. Offsites: These were given to each catchment. Which offsite, was determined by where the water body drained into.
3. The initial stage: This was determined by finding the outlets
4. Ground storage: Data came from soil storage/ground storage tables
5. Time of concentration: determined by dividing the longest river length by 3600
6. Rainfall: Data was used from precipitation tables
7. Stage-Storage relationship:
8. Structure: Initial stage values were used for gravity structures.
Figure 11. Cascade run for Econfina River in Suwannee

Figure 12. Cascade run for Fenholloway River in Suwannee
Figure 13. Cascade run for Steinhatchee River in Suwannee

Figure 14. Cascade run for Spring Warrior Creek in Suwannee

Figure 15. Cascade run for Aucilla River in Suwannee
Figure 16. Cascade run for Upper Suwannee River in Suwannee

Figure 17. Cascade run for Alapaha River in Suwannee

Figure 18. Cascade run for Withlacoochee River in Suwannee
Figure 19. Cascade run for Lower Suwannee River in Suwannee Basin
3.4.3 Vulnerability to Flooding

Figure 20 contains the predicted likelihood of flooding in the Suwannee watershed. The probability of inundation was determined based on the Z-score for each of the pixels within the watershed, which was used to represent the confidence interval. Z-score values that were below 0 were considered having less than of 50% likelihood of flooding, between 0 and 0.675 having 50% - 75% likelihood of flooding, between 0.675 and 1.282 having 75% - 90% likelihood of flooding, and above 1.282 having over 90% of flooding. In addition, known bodies of water (ex. lakes, canals, rivers, etc.) were also displayed so to only show land-based flooding.

3.4.4 FEMA Flood map comparison

Figure 21 contains the risk of flooding for the watershed based on FEMA estimations of flood risk. The 1-percent annual chance flood is also referred to as the base flood or 100-year flood. SFHAs are labeled as Zone A, Zone AO, Zone AH, Zones A1-A30, Zone AE, Zone A99, Zone AR, Zone AR/AE, Zone AR/AO, Zone AR/A1-A30, Zone AR/A, Zone V, Zone VE, and Zones V1-V30. Moderate flood hazard areas, labeled Zone B or Zone X (shaded) are also shown on the FIRM, and are the areas between the limits of the base flood and the 0.2-percent-annual-chance (or 500-year) flood. The areas of minimal flood hazard, which are the areas outside the SFHA and higher than the elevation of the 0.2-percent-annual-chance flood, are labeled Zone C or Zone X (unshaded) (“Definitions of FEMA Flood Zone Designations,” n.d.).
Figure 20. Predicted flooding in the Suwannee River watershed
Figure 21. FEMA flood zones for Suwannee
3.4.5 Repetitive Loss Comparison

Figure 25 shows a comparison of the flood map and repetitive loss property locations for the basin. The loss areas coincide with the areas predicted by the FAU model as being at risk for flooding.

Figure 22. Repetitive loss areas from 2004-2014 superimposed on the flood risk map created by FAU
3.5 Vulnerability of Flooding in Developed Areas

Figure 23 show the areas of the basin that are developed and flooded so further drill down could be conducted. Figures 24 to 26 show the extent of the flood risk along the Upper and Lower Suwannee River basins as well as along the coastal Econfina watershed.

Figure 23. Location of drilldown areas
Figure 24. Flood risk along Lower Suwannee River Basin
Figure 25. Flood risk along Upper Suwannee River Basin
Figure 26. Flood risk in the Econfina Watershed
4.0 Conclusions

FDEM contracted with FAU to develop a screening tool of flood risk areas for 29 watershed basins. The effort discussed herein focusses on the development procedures for a screening tool to assess risk in the Suwannee River Basin (#6) basin, a watershed located in west Florida that combines readily available data on topography, ground, and surface water elevations, tidal information for coastal communities, soils, open space and rainfall to permit an assessment of the risk of inundation of property. The basin shows widespread flooding due to low elevation proximity to the Gulf of Mexico coast and extensive sensitive areas that currently received extensive environmental protection. A drilldown to the local communities indicates that the major developments are flood prone. Solutions to improve flood resiliency in this basin will yield long term benefits. The developed kriging approach produced a reasonable groundwater table pattern for this watershed, which is critical for further Cascade modeling. Application of the developed protocol for inundation mapping works well for this watershed.
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