



AUDITOR GENERAL
DAVID W. MARTIN, CPA



STATE OF FLORIDA
COMPLIANCE AND INTERNAL CONTROLS OVER
FINANCIAL REPORTING AND FEDERAL AWARDS

In Accordance With OMB Circular A-133

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

**STATE OF FLORIDA
COMPLIANCE AND INTERNAL CONTROLS OVER
FEDERAL AWARDS**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE NO.
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	i
REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS.....	1
REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133.....	3
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	
Summary of Auditor's Results	7
Listing of Major Programs	8
Financial Statements Findings	9
Federal Findings and Questioned Costs	37
SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS (SEFA)	249
APPENDIX AND INDEXES	
Appendix of Other Reports	311
Index of Federal Findings by Federal Agency	312
Index of Findings by State Agency.....	314
Index of Findings by State University and Community College.....	316
Index of Federal Findings by Compliance Requirement.....	318

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Summary of Report on Financial Statements

The State of Florida's basic financial statements, as of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007, were fairly presented in all material respects, in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. Our report is included in the Florida Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for fiscal year ended June 30, 2007, issued by the Chief Financial Officer.

*Summary of Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance
and Other Matters Based on an Audit of the Financial Statements Performed
in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards*

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

We noted the following matters involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be significant deficiencies:

- For one or more financial statement accounts, the **Departments of Financial Services, Management Services, Environmental Protection, and Transportation** did not accurately report or classify assets, net assets, or liabilities in accordance with general accepted accounting principles. (Finding Nos. FS 07-001, FS 07-002, FS 07-003, FS 07-004, FS 07-006, FS 07-007, and FS 07-008)
- The **State Board of Administration** did not always timely and properly administer procedures designed to measure compliance with Rule 2a-7 requirements and related Investment Policy Guidelines and did not always document decisions related to management of the Local Government Surplus Funds Trust Fund Investment Pool. (Finding No. FS 07-005)
- **Board of Governors** and **Department of Education** procedures for reviewing and compiling State universities' and community colleges' financial information submitted to the Department of Financial Services did not always ensure that such information was timely, accurate, and complete. Such information is included in the State's basic financial statements and the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards. (Finding No. FS 07-009)
- The **Agency for Health Care Administration** and the **Departments of Children and Family Services, Community Affairs, Education, and Environmental Protection** procedures for preparing the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards did not include a reconciliation to the financial statements or the underlying financial system (FLAIR) from which the financial statements were prepared. Additionally, errors were noted in the amount of expenditures reported for some programs. (Finding Nos. FS 07-010 and FS 07-011)

We believe that none of the significant deficiencies described above is a material weakness.

As an additional matter, we also noted that the **Department of Management Services** used a long-term investment rate assumption in determining the actuarial accrued liability for the Health Insurance Subsidy Pension plan that was not commensurate with the nature and mix of current and expected plan investments. The actuarial accrued liability is reported as required supplementary information to the basic financial statements. (Finding No. FS 07-012)

Compliance

The results of our audit of the State's basic financial statements disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported by *Government Auditing Standards*.

*Summary of Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program
and on Internal Control over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133*

During the 2006-07 fiscal year, State agencies, universities, and community colleges administered over 550 Federal awards programs or program clusters. Expenditures for the 41 major programs totaled \$21.4 billion, or approximately 94 percent of the total expenditures of \$22.8 billion, as reported on the Supplementary Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.

Compliance

Except as described in the following paragraphs, the State of Florida complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements applicable to each of its major Federal awards programs.

- The **Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services** did not always obtain required semiannual certifications for employees who worked solely on the **Plant and Animal Disease, Pest Control, and Animal Care Program**. (Finding No. FA 07-001)
- The **Department of Education** used moneys set aside for Immigrant Children and Youth under the **English Language Acquisition Grants Program** for other Program purposes. (Finding No. FA 07-040)
- The **Department of Children and Family Services** did not document, in a substantial number of instances, the eligibility of clients receiving benefits under the **Adoption Assistance Program**. (Finding No. FA 07-056)
- The **Division of Emergency Management** had not implemented procedures to ensure the timely completion of final inspections of large projects for the **Disaster Grants – Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disasters) Program**. (Finding No. FA 07-074)
- The **Department of Community Affairs** and the **Division of Emergency Management** did not adequately document the appropriateness of subgrantee reimbursements under the **Hazard Mitigation Grant Program**. (Finding No. FA 07-076)

The results of our audit also disclosed other instances of noncompliance pertaining to various programs administered by **various State agencies, universities, and community colleges**. Some of the instances of noncompliance, primarily those pertaining to the **Activities Allowed or Unallowed, Allowable Costs/Cost Principles, and Eligibility** compliance requirements, resulted in questioned costs subject to disallowance by the grantor agency. Other instances pertained to various compliance requirements including, but not limited to, **Matching, Level of Effort, and Earmarking; Subrecipient Monitoring; and Special Tests and Provisions**. Instances of noncompliance are described in the Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.

Internal Controls Over Compliance

We noted numerous matters at **various State agencies, universities, and community colleges** involving internal control over compliance and its operation that we consider to be significant deficiencies. Significant deficiencies are described in the Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs and pertained to various compliance requirements including, but not limited to, **Activities Allowed or Unallowed, Allowable Costs/Cost Principles, Eligibility, Reporting, Subrecipient Monitoring, and Special Tests and Provisions**. The findings described in the previous paragraphs on compliance for the **Plant and Animal Disease, Pest Control, and Animal Care Program** (Finding No. FA 07-001), the **English Language Acquisition Grants Program** (Finding No. FA 07-040); **Adoption Assistance Program** (Finding No. FA 07-056); **Disaster Grants – Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disasters) Program** (Finding No. FA 07-074); and **Hazard Mitigation Grant Program** (Finding No. FA 07-076) involved material weaknesses in internal control. The following significant deficiencies were also considered material weaknesses:

- The **Department of Community Affairs** did not have appropriate controls in place over systems used to process payments and administer the **Community Development Block Grant Program**. (Finding No. FA 07-006)
- Procedures implemented by the **Department of Children and Family Services** for the **Medicaid Cluster** were not adequate to document that data exchanges had been performed or that the results were timely processed. (Finding No. FA 07-061)
- The **Department of Community Affairs** did not have adequate procedures in place to ensure that access to the State's accounting system was appropriate. This weakness affected all five of the Department's major programs. (Finding No. FA 07-066)

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

The State's Supplementary Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA) is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and is not a required part of the State's basic financial

statements. The State's SEFA does not include the State's blended component units, Workforce Florida, Inc., and Scripps Florida Funding Corporation; discretely presented component units of the State's universities and community colleges; or discretely presented component units other than the State's universities and community colleges. Information on the schedule is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

Scope

As a condition of receiving Federal funds, the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) requires, as described in OMB Circular A-133, an audit of the State's financial statements and Federal awards programs. Pursuant to Section 11.45, Florida Statutes, we conducted an audit of the basic financial statements of the State of Florida as of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007. We also subjected supplementary information contained in the State's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report and the State's Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards to auditing procedures applied in our audit of the basic financial statements. Additionally, we audited the State's compliance with governing requirements for 41 Federal awards programs or program clusters that we identified as major programs for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007.

Objectives

The objectives of our audit were:

- The expression of opinions concerning whether the State's basic financial statements were presented fairly, in all material respects, in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.
- The expression of an opinion concerning whether the State's Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is presented fairly, in all material respects, in relation to the State's basic financial statements taken as a whole.
- To obtain an understanding of the internal control over compliance for each major Federal program, assess the control risk, and perform tests of controls, unless the controls were deemed to be ineffective.
- The expression of opinions concerning whether the State complied, in all material respects, with laws, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements pertaining to Federal awards that may have a direct and material effect applicable to each of the major Federal programs.

Methodology

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States; applicable standards contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; the Single Audit Act Amendments of 1996; and related guidance provided by OMB Circular A-133.

Please address inquiries regarding this report to Brenda Pelham, CPA, Audit Manager, via e-mail (brendapelham@aud.state.fl.us) or by telephone (850 487-9060) or the Audit Manager identified in the contact information section of each finding.

This report and other audit reports prepared by the Auditor General can be obtained on our Web site (<http://www.myflorida.com/audgen>); by telephone (850 487-9024); or by mail (G74 Claude Pepper Building, 111 West Madison Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1450).

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.



DAVID W. MARTIN, CPA
AUDITOR GENERAL

AUDITOR GENERAL STATE OF FLORIDA



850/488-5534/SC 278-5534
Fax: 488-6975/SC 278-6975

The President of the Senate, the Speaker of the
House of Representatives, and the
Legislative Auditing Committee

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the State of Florida as of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007, which collectively comprise the State of Florida's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated February 25, 2008. Our report was modified to include a reference to other auditors, the State's implementation of Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 43, *Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefit Plans Other Than Pension Plans*, the reclassification of the plan used to report the Retiree Health Insurance Subsidy (HIS) Program, a subsequent event concerning the 2a-7-like fund classification of the State's Local Government Surplus Funds Trust Fund Investment Pool, and an issue with respect to the measurement of required supplementary information relating to the HIS Program's actuarial accrued liability and unfunded actuarial accrued liability. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Other auditors audited the financial statements of the Prepaid College Program Fund, Florida Turnpike Fund, Hurricane Catastrophe Fund, College Savings Plan, certain discretely presented component units, and the Legislature, as described in our report on the State of Florida's financial statements. This report does not include the results of the other auditors' testing of internal control over financial reporting or compliance and other matters that are reported on separately by those auditors.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the State of Florida's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the State of Florida's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the State of Florida's internal control over financial reporting.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the entity's

ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report financial data reliably in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the entity's financial statements that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control. We consider the deficiencies described in finding Nos. FS 07-01 through FS 07-11 in the Financial Statements Findings section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs to be significant deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the financial statements will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control.

Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in the internal control that might be significant deficiencies and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all significant deficiencies that are also considered to be material weaknesses. However, we believe that none of the significant deficiencies described above is a material weakness.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the State of Florida's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, administrative rules, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

We also noted an additional matter involving the State's internal control over financial reporting, that we reported to management and that is described in finding No. FS 07-12 in the Financial Statement Findings section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.

State agency responses to each of the findings identified in our audit are included in the Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. We did not audit these responses and, accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

This report is intended for the information and use of the Legislative Auditing Committee, members of the Florida Senate and the Florida House of Representatives, applicable management, the Executive Office of the Governor, and Federal and other awarding agencies. Copies of this report are available pursuant to Section 11.45(4), Florida Statutes, and its distribution is not limited.

Respectfully submitted,



David W. Martin, CPA

February 25, 2008



DAVID W. MARTIN, CPA
AUDITOR GENERAL

AUDITOR GENERAL STATE OF FLORIDA

G74 Claude Pepper Building
111 West Madison Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1450



850/488-5534/SC 278-5534
Fax: 488-6975/SC 278-6975

REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133

The President of the Senate, the Speaker of the
House of Representatives, and the
Legislative Auditing Committee

Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the State of Florida with the types of compliance requirements described in the United States Office of Management and Budget (OMB) *Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that are applicable to each of its major Federal programs for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007. The State of Florida's major Federal programs are identified in the Summary of Auditor's Results section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to each of its major Federal programs is the responsibility of the management of the State of Florida. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the State of Florida's compliance based on our audit.

The State of Florida's basic financial statements include the operations of component units that received Federal awards during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007, that are not included in the State's supplementary Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards. Our audit of Federal awards, as described below, did not include the operations of the blended component units, Workforce, Florida, Inc., and Scripps Florida Funding Corporation; or discretely presented component units of the State agencies, universities, and community colleges. As applicable, Federal awards administered by these component units are the subjects of audits completed by other auditors. Our audit, as described below, also did not include the operations of the Legislature.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major Federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the State of Florida's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the State's compliance with those requirements.

As described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, the State of Florida did not comply with requirements applicable to the Federal Programs listed below. Compliance with such requirements is necessary, in our opinion, for the State of Florida to comply with the requirements applicable to the respective Program.

Finding No. FA 07-	Major Program	Types of Compliance Requirements Not Complied With
001	Plant and Animal Disease, Pest Control, and Animal Care (CFDA No. 10.025)	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles
040	English Language Acquisition Grants (CFDA No. 84.365)	Matching, Level of Effort, Earmarking
056	Adoption Assistance (CFDA No. 93.659)	Eligibility
074	Disaster Grants - Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disasters) (CFDA No. 97.036)	Special Tests and Provisions
076	Hazard Mitigation Grant (CFDA No. 97.039)	Activities Allowed or Unallowed and Allowable Costs/Cost Principles

In our opinion, except for the noncompliance described in the preceding paragraph, the State of Florida complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to each of its major Federal programs for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007. The results of our auditing procedures also disclosed other instances of noncompliance with those requirements, which are required to be reported in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, and which are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as finding Nos. FA 07-:

004	007	016 and 017	024
026	031 through 035	041	044 and 045
047	049 through 051	054	056
059	061	063 and 064	069
075	078 through 082	085 through 103	

Internal Control Over Compliance

The management of the State of Florida is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to Federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the State of Florida's internal control over compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major Federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the State of Florida's internal control over compliance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in the entity's internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses as defined below. However, as discussed below, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be significant deficiencies and others that we consider to be material weaknesses.

A control deficiency in an entity's internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or

detect noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a Federal program on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the entity's ability to administer a Federal program such that there is more than a remote likelihood that noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a Federal program that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control. We consider the deficiencies in internal control over compliance described in the following finding Nos. of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs to be significant deficiencies:

001 through 009	011	013 and 014	016 through 031
033 through 040	043	047 through 054	056 through 064
066 through 071	074	076 through 082	085 through 103

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a Federal program will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control. Of the significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, we consider the following items to be material weaknesses.

Finding No. FA 07-	Major Program	Compliance Requirement
001	Plant and Animal Disease, Pest Control, and Animal Care (CFDA No. 10.025)	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles
006	Community Development Block Grants/State's Program (CFDA No. 14.228)	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles
040	English Language Acquisition Grants (CFDA No. 84.365)	Matching, Level of Effort, Earmarking
056	Adoption Assistance (CFDA No. 93.659)	Eligibility
061	Medicaid Cluster (CFDA No. 93.778)	Eligibility
066	Community Development Block Grants/State's Program (CFDA No. 14.228)	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles
	Low-Income Home Energy Assistance (CFDA No. 93.568)	
	Homeland Security Cluster (CFDA Nos. 97.004 and 97.067)	
	Disaster Grants - Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disasters) (CFDA No. 97.036)	
	Hazard Mitigation Grant (CFDA No. 97.039)	
074	Disaster Grants - Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disasters) (CFDA No. 97.036)	Special Tests and Provisions
076	Hazard Mitigation Grant (CFDA No. 97.039)	Activities Allowed or Unallowed and Allowable Costs/Cost Principles

The State agencies, universities, and community colleges' responses to the findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. We did not audit these responses and, accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

We have audited the basic financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the State of Florida as of and for the year ended June 30, 2007, and have issued our Independent Auditor's Report

thereon dated February 25, 2008. Our Independent Auditor's Report was modified to include a reference to other auditors, the State's implementation of Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 43, *Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefit Plans Other Than Pension Plans*, the reclassification of the Retiree Health Insurance Subsidy (HIS) Program from an other postemployment benefit plan to a pension plan, a subsequent event concerning the 2a-7-like fund classification of the State's Local Government Surplus Funds Trust Fund Investment Pool, and an issue with respect to required supplementary information relating to the measurement of the HIS Program's actuarial accrued liability and unfunded actuarial accrued liability. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the State of Florida's basic financial statements. The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

This report is intended for the information and use of the Legislative Auditing Committee, members of the Florida Senate and the Florida House of Representatives, Federal and other granting agencies, applicable management, Workforce Florida, Inc., and the Executive Office of the Governor. Copies of this report are available pursuant to Section 11.45(4), Florida Statutes, and its distribution is not limited.

Respectfully submitted,



David W. Martin, CPA
Auditor General

March 14, 2008

(except as related to field work in regard to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards that was performed concurrently with the Independent Auditor's Report on Financial Statements dated February 25, 2008)

SUMMARY OF AUDITOR'S RESULTS

Financial Statements

Type of auditor's report issued:

Unqualified on all opinion units

Internal control over financial reporting:

Material weakness identified? No

Significant deficiencies identified that
are not considered to be material weaknesses? Yes

Noncompliance material to financial statements noted? No

Federal Awards

Internal control over major programs:

Material weaknesses identified? Yes

Significant deficiencies identified that
are not considered to be material weaknesses? Yes

Type of report the auditor issued on compliance for major programs:

Unqualified for all major programs, except for the following programs
which were qualified:

Plant and Animal Disease, Pest Control, and Animal Care (10.025)

English Language Acquisition Grants (84.365)

Adoption Assistance (93.659)

Disaster Grants - Public Assistance (Presidentially

Declared Disasters (97.036)

Hazard Mitigation Grant (97.039)

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported
in accordance with Section .510(a) of OMB Circular A-133? Yes

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between

Type A and Type B programs: \$34,252,248

Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? No

LISTING OF MAJOR PROGRAMS
FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2007

Name of Federal Program or Cluster (1)	CFDA Number(s)	Total Expenditures
Plant and Animal Disease, Pest Control, and Animal Care	10.025	\$ 16,984,765
Food Stamp Cluster	10.551 & 10.561	1,431,901,375
Child Nutrition Cluster	10.553, 10.555, 10.556, 10.559	551,522,680
Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children	10.557	266,602,033
Child and Adult Care Food Program	10.558	122,519,136
Community Development Block Grants - State's Program	14.228	60,073,278
Unemployment Insurance	17.225	1,024,385,607
WIA (Workforce Investment Act) Cluster	17.258, 17.259 & 17.260	114,791,175
Highway Planning and Construction Cluster	20.205	2,102,320,791
Capitalization Grants for Clean Water State Revolving Funds	66.458	66,243,633
Capitalization Grants for Drinking Water State Revolving Funds	66.468	21,901,831
Adult Education - State Grant Program	84.002	34,449,391
Title I Grants to Local Educational Agencies	84.010	650,771,741
Special Education Cluster	84.027 & 84.173	591,742,984
Federal Family Education Loans (FFEL - Guaranty Agencies)	84.032	684,682,261 (3)
Vocational Education - Basic Grants to States	84.048	72,221,469
Rehabilitation Services - Vocational Rehabilitation Grants to States	84.126	165,590,921
Special Education - Grants for Infants and Families with Disabilities	84.181	21,689,009
Twenty-First Century Community Learning Centers	84.287	42,732,336
Reading First State Grants	84.357	58,351,206
English Language Acquisition Grants	84.365	47,048,055
Improving Teacher Quality State Grants	84.367	137,819,554
Help America Vote Act Requirements Payments	90.401	9,282,583
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Investigations and Technical Assistance	93.283	59,329,529
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	93.558	419,837,620
Child Support Enforcement	93.563	197,425,196
Refugee and Entrant Assistance - State Administered Programs	93.566	77,073,674
Low-Income Home Energy Assistance	93.568	47,537,993
CCDF (Child Care Development Fund) Cluster	93.575 & 93.596	360,143,012
Foster Care - Title IV-E	93.658	144,702,454
Adoption Assistance	93.659	62,862,857
Social Services Block Grant	93.667	175,144,831
State Children's Insurance Program	93.767	269,723,135
Medicaid Cluster	93.775, 93.776, 93.777, 93.778	8,382,401,180
HIV Care Formula Grants	93.917	115,248,693
Disability Insurance - SSI (Supplemental Security Income) Cluster	96.001	93,358,621
Homeland Security Cluster	97.004 & 97.067	50,565,061
Disaster Grants - Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disasters)	97.036	554,675,035
Hazard Mitigation Grant	97.039	22,410,934
Student Financial Assistance Cluster (Including CFDA 84.032 FFEL - Lenders)	(2)	1,574,937,800
Research and Development Cluster	(2)	488,239,560
Total		\$ 21,391,244,999

Notes: (1) The Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards identifies the programs included within the respective clusters.

(2) The Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards identifies the various CFDA numbers included within the respective clusters.

(3) The amount shown includes the value of loans guaranteed during the 2006-07 fiscal year totaling \$544,986,119. See the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards, Note 2, for a description of the determination of the value of loans guaranteed.

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FINDINGS

Our audit of the State of Florida's basic financial statements for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007, disclosed certain matters that we communicated in the *Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Basic Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards*. These matters are included in this section of the report as finding Nos. FS 07-01 through FS 07-12 and, as applicable, are categorized as follows in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*:

- **Significant Deficiency.** A *significant deficiency* is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the entity's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report financial data reliably in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the entity's financial statements that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected. A *control deficiency* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis.
- **Material Weakness.** A *material weakness* is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the financial statements will not be prevented or detected.

SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCY

POOLED INVESTMENTS AND INVESTMENT INCOME

Finding Number	FS 07-001
Opinion Unit	Various
Financial Statements	Pooled Investments with State Treasury
Account Title	Investment Earnings/Interest Income
SW Fund Number	Various
State Agency	Florida Department of Financial Services (FDFS)
OLO-GF-SF-FID	Various
GL Code(s)	148, 615, 686, 311
Adjustment Amount	\$84,287,973
Finding	FDFS procedures did not ensure that all investment income was reported in the period in which it was earned.
Criteria	GASB Codification of Governmental Accounting and Financial Reporting Standards Section 150.111, provides that all investment income, including changes in the fair value of investments, should be recognized as revenue in the operating statement.
Condition	FDFS procedures appropriately excluded accrued interest in calculating the fair value of its investment portfolio at fiscal year-end. However, our review disclosed that FDFS did not subsequently report this accrued interest as income, and thus, not all investment income was reported in the period in which it was earned, as required by generally accepted accounting principles. Accordingly, amounts reported as Pooled Investments with State Treasury and Investment Earnings/Interest Income were each understated by \$84,287,973.
Cause	FDFS appropriately excluded accrued interest in calculating the fair value of its investment portfolio at fiscal year-end in accordance with GASB 31 Implementation Guide, Question 35, which advises that industry practice is to not consider accrued interest in quotations of market prices. However, the interpretation of this Question by FDFS also resulted in its not reporting all accrued interest as investment income.
Effect	Prior to adjustment, Pooled Investments with State Treasury and Investment Earnings/Interest Income were each understated by a total of \$84,287,973.
Recommendation	We recommend that FDFS enhance its fiscal year-end reporting procedures to ensure all appropriate investment income is reported for the Pooled Investments portfolio.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Nancy C. Tucker, CPA, Audit Manager nancytucker@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4370
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	We concur. The Division of Treasury will change the treatment of accrued interest in the fair value calculation to comply with the finding. However, it is important to point out that all interest income was properly distributed to pool participants.
Estimated Corrective Action Date	Completed
Agency Contact and Telephone Number	Bruce Gillander, Director, Division of Treasury Bruce.Gillander@fldfs.com, (850) 413-3301 Bob Clift, Inspector General Bob.Clift@fldfs.com, (850) 413-4960

SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCY

CLAIMS LIABILITIES

Finding Number	FS 07-002
Opinion Unit	Governmental Activities
SW Fund Number	900000 (General Long-Term Debt Account)
State Agency	Florida Department of Financial Services (FDFS)
OLO-GF-SF-FID	430000-90-9-400001
GL Code(s)	315, 498, 711
Adjustment Amount	\$137,485,939; \$843,118,170; and \$980,604,109
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FS 06-07
Finding	In the Governmental Activities financial statements, the FDFS, Bureau of Financial and Support Services (Bureau), did not accurately record the current and long-term portions of the actuarially determined claim liability.
Criteria	Generally accepted accounting principles require that, for governmental funds, claims liabilities, to the extent that they are payable with expendable available financial resources, should be reported as governmental fund liabilities, while unmatured long-term indebtedness, including claim liabilities not yet due for payment, should be reported as general long-term liabilities. The State of Florida's policy is to report such claims at the discounted present value of estimated future cash payments.
Condition	An actuarial valuation is obtained annually through a contracted actuary to provide a total liability estimate for the Special Disability Trust Fund. The actuary's report provides both the present value and the undiscounted value of the estimated claims payable liability (liability). The Bureau is responsible for identifying and recording the current and long-term portion of the liability, as appropriate. Although the State of Florida's accounting policy is to report this liability at the present value of the estimated claims liability, the Bureau valued the liability at the undiscounted value of the liability, thereby overstating the current and long-term portions of the liability by \$137,485,939 and \$843,118,170, respectively.
Cause	The Bureau did not have in place supervisory review procedures sufficient to reasonably ensure that the fiscal year close-out entries relating to claim liabilities were properly recorded and in the correct amounts.
Effect	Prior to audit adjustment, claims liabilities and expenses reported in the Government-wide financial statements were overstated by approximately \$980 million.
Recommendation	We recommend that the Bureau ensure that appropriate supervisory review procedures are performed over the fiscal year close-out process relating to claim liabilities.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Kathryn Walker, CPA, Audit Manager kathrynwalker@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-9085
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	We concur. The Bureau has established a procedure to ensure appropriate supervisory review of the fiscal year close-out procedures.
Estimated Corrective Action Date	Completed
Agency Contact and Telephone Number	Diana Flagg, Director, Division of Administration Diana.Flagg@fldfs.com, (850) 413-2172 Bob Clift, Inspector General Bob.Clift@fldfs.com, (850) 413-4960

SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCY**DUE TO COMPONENT UNITS/PRIMARY**

Finding Number	FS 07-003
Opinion Unit	Governmental: Environment, Recreation and Conservation
Financial Statements	Due to component units/primary and expenditures
Account Titles	
SW Fund Number	202600
State Agency	Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP)
OLO-GF-SF-FID	37-20-2-603001 (\$6,784,176), 37-20-2-776001 (\$6,039,473), 37-30-2-221201 (\$4,000,000)
GL Code(s)	357 and 711
Adjustment Amount	\$16,823,649
Finding	FDEP did not design appropriate controls to identify and record amounts owed to component units at fiscal year-end.
Criteria	Generally accepted accounting principles require that the costs of goods or services received prior to fiscal year-end be accrued and recorded as liabilities and related expenditures at fiscal year-end. In order to accrue liabilities and related expenditures, FDEP should establish controls to identify or estimate the amounts expended by component units and for which FDEP reimbursement was due as of June 30.
Condition	<p>FDEP has statutory and contractual relationships with its component units (i.e., water management districts) such that the component units are to be reimbursed for expenditures made for approved projects.</p> <p>The process established by FDEP to identify and accumulate accrued liabilities and expenditures related to component units at fiscal year-end consisted of identifying component unit invoices received up until the time FDEP fiscal year-end financial records were closed on August 10, 2007. Our review of all twelve July and August 2007 payments to component units in excess of \$1,000,000 disclosed seven instances, totaling \$16,823,649, which should have been, but were not, recorded as liabilities at June 30, 2007. Five of the seven payments, totaling \$11,811,042, were made subsequent to FDEP closing its financial records.</p>
Cause	The FDEP process for recording year-end liabilities did not always identify component unit reimbursement requests received at the time FDEP closed its fiscal year-end financial records. In addition, the process did not include estimating liabilities for component unit expenditures made as of June 30, but for which reimbursement requests had not been received.
Effect	Prior to audit adjustments, the Due to component units/primary account and expenditures were understated by \$16,823,649.
Recommendation	We recommend that FDEP enhance its procedures for recording fiscal year-end component unit liabilities.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	David R. Vick, CPA, Audit Manager davidvick@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4494
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	FDEP is revising procedures to identify or estimate the amounts expended by component units for which FDEP has not received a request for reimbursement from those component units as of the June 30 fiscal year end. These procedures will be implemented by June 30, 2008.

**Estimated Corrective
Action Date**

Enhanced procedures will be implemented for the 2008 fiscal year end process to ensure that amounts expended by component units and for which FDEP reimbursement was due as of June 30 are identified and reported.

**Agency Contact and
Telephone Number**

Lynda Watson
(850) 245-2420

SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCY**INVESTED IN CAPITAL ASSETS, NET OF RELATED DEBT**

Finding Number	FS 07-004
Opinion Unit	Proprietary Fund – Transportation
Financial Statements	Net Assets: Invested in capital assets, net of related debt and Unrestricted
Account Title	
SW Fund Number	505501 (Transportation Business)
State Agency	Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT)
OLO-GF-SF-FID	550000
GL Code(s)	536XX and 539XX
Adjustment Amount	\$309,819,826
Finding	FDOT did not properly classify net assets in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.
Criteria	Codification of Governmental Accounting and Financial Reporting Standards Section 1800.133 provides that a calculation is required to determine the component of net assets consisting of Invested in capital assets, net of related debt. The calculation reduces the capital asset accounts, net of accumulated depreciation, by related outstanding debt obligations, i.e., bonds, mortgages, notes, or other borrowings attributable to the acquisition, construction, or improvement of those assets.
Condition	FDOT's calculation of invested in capital assets, net of related debt improperly included bonds delivered subsequent to June 30, 2007. Also, the calculation was not updated to recognize the effect of other adjustments made subsequent to the initial calculation. After discovering that the liability belonged in the 2007-08 fiscal year, FDOT recorded an adjustment to correct the liability and offsetting accounts.
Cause	FDOT did not consider the impact of the correcting adjustments on the calculation of invested in capital assets, net of related debt. As a result, the account Invested in capital assets, net of related debt, was understated and the Unrestricted account was overstated by \$309,819,826.
Effect	The overstatement of the unrestricted account may indicate that funds were available for spending when they were not.
Recommendation	FDOT should revise its procedures to include considering the impact of adjustments to applicable accounts balances subsequent to the calculation of invested in capital assets, net of related debt.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	David R. Vick, CPA, Audit Manager davidvick@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4494
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	<p>We agree. The accounts Invested in Capital Assets, Net of Related Debt and Unrestricted Net Assets were correctly stated at the FDOT's fiscal year-end closing date. However, the FDOT did not follow through with the final reclassification of net assets after post-closing adjusting journal entries were submitted to the Florida Department of Financial Services. A step has been added to the FDOT fiscal year-end closing process checklist to consider the impact of adjustments to applicable account balances subsequent to the calculation of invested in capital assets, net of related debt.</p> <p>The FDOT has several financial safeguards in place, including a balanced 36-month forecast of cash and expenditures and a 5-year finance plan supporting the tentative work program (per Florida Statutes). The balanced forecast of cash and expenditures ensures we have a sufficient cash balance to meet our short term commitments and future obligations and, as a result, we would not have</p>

**Estimated Corrective
Action Date**

incorrectly committed or spent funds that were not available because of this misclassification.

The checklist has been modified and the verification process will be implemented during the year-end closing process for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008.

**Agency Contact and
Telephone Number**

Joseph S. Kowalski, CPA, Deputy Comptroller
joe.kowalski@dot.state.fl.us
(850) 414-4864

SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCY**LOCAL GOVERNMENT SURPLUS FUNDS INVESTMENT POOL**

Finding Number	FS 07-005
Opinion Unit	Aggregate Remaining Fund Information
State Agency	State Board of Administration (SBA)
Finding	<p>The notes to the financial statements indicate that the Local Government Surplus Funds Trust Fund Investment (LGSF) Pool was operated similar to a 2a-7 fund. Our audit, the scope of which focused on the representations reported in the financial statements for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007, including related subsequent events disclosures, disclosed that the SBA did not always timely and properly administer procedures designed to measure compliance with Rule 2a-7 requirements and related Investment Policy Guidelines and did not always adequately document decisions related to management of the LGSF Pool.</p>
Criteria	<p>The LGSF Pool is described as a "2a-7-like" pool, meaning that it is operated so as to reasonably comply with Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) Rule 2a-7,¹ which establishes requirements relating to the operation of money market funds. Related SBA Investment Policy Guidelines (Guidelines) include, among other requirements, that securities must be, in terms of credit quality, first tier securities at the time of purchase; the Investment Oversight Committee (Committee) must be notified within 24 hours of any noncompliance; justification to retain any downgraded securities must be presented to the Committee within three business days of the downgrading of a purchased security below first tier and, within 48 hours of notification, the Committee must resolve the issue; holdings of second tier securities must be limited to 5 percent of portfolio amortized cost; and the difference between the amortized cost of all pool investments and their market value may not exceed one-half of one percent. The Guidelines also established monitoring and reporting procedures, including daily and monthly completion of compliance checklists with supporting compliance reports.</p>
Condition	<p>We obtained an understanding of the Guidelines and reviewed a selection of daily and monthly compliance reports completed during the 2006-07 fiscal year and during the period July 2007 through November 2007. Our audit disclosed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Five of the 13 monthly compliance checklists tested were not completed timely. The untimely compliance checklists were completed from 4 to 14 working days late. The untimely compliance checklists were for the months August 2006, March 2007, May 2007, June 2007, and August 2007.• Minutes of Committee meetings were not recorded. Instead, Committee meetings and decisions were documented via emails among the Committee members. We also noted the absence of documentation evidencing that Committee decisions were made within 48 hours of the notification of the downgrading of a security.• The SBA's Investment Policy Guidelines did not require Committee notification upon the withdrawal of ratings by a rating agency. It would seem prudent for the Committee to evaluate the significance of the withdrawal of ratings for a security.• The automated compliance reports used by SBA in determining whether security ratings complied with Rule 2a-7 and the Guidelines allowed the rating of a single rating agency to be counted more than once for the same security, which resulted in the failure to always identify securities that did not meet the

¹ Title 17, Section 270, Code of Federal Regulations.

criteria for first tier securities, as intended; did not provide for a calculation of the percentage of second tier securities held in relation to portfolio amortized cost; and did not provide for the use of only short-term ratings to evaluate short-term securities and only long-term ratings to evaluate long-term securities.

- Duties relating to the pricing of some securities and the preparation of daily and monthly compliance reports were assigned to employees of the organizational unit responsible for buying and selling securities for the LGSF Pool. To better ensure the objective preparation of these reports, the pricing and compliance measurement and reporting functions should be assigned to staff not involved in trading and portfolio management.

Cause

In some instances, SBA compliance monitoring procedures were not appropriately designed, and in other instances, procedures had not been timely and effectively executed.

Effect

Noncompliance with Rule 2a-7-like fund requirements and related Investment Policy Guidelines may not be timely and effectively detected and resolved. The failure to timely detect and resolve such noncompliance may result in misstatements in financial statement disclosures.

Recommendation

Note 18C. to the financial statements describes various recent actions taken by SBA with respect to the LGSF Pool, including the outsourcing of its management and the establishment of a Participant Advisory Committee. The SBA has also advised us of other changes in Pool management and administration, including the engagement of a custodian, which is to provide fair value pricing of securities, and the weekly monitoring of the LGSF Pool by a rating agency. However, to the extent that SBA or its systems remain involved in the management of the Pool, we recommend that SBA:

- Closely monitor the execution of compliance checklists to ensure their timely completion.
- Record the minutes of Committee meetings, including documentation of all decisions made.
- Revise the Investment Policy Guidelines to require notification of the Investment Oversight Committee in all instances in which credit ratings have been reduced or withdrawn by a credit rating organization.
- Update the programming of the automated compliance reports.
- Relocate the responsibility for the pricing of securities and the compliance review function to an organizational unit independent of the investment function.

**Auditor General Contact
and Telephone Number**

Kathryn Walker, CPA, Audit Manager
kathrynwalker@aud.state.fl.us
(850) 487-9085

**State Agency Response and
Corrective Action Plan**

The State Board of Administration (SBA) has already undertaken numerous material changes during and after the audit period to implement the recommendations and agrees to timely implement the remaining recommendations.

Recommendation: Closely monitor the execution of compliance checklists to ensure their timely completion.

On November 1, 2007 the Manager of Enterprise Risk Management and Compliance was added to the Investment Oversight Group to create a further degree of independence in the compliance process. That month the reconstituted Investment Oversight Group met with Standard and Poor's to commence the

ratings process.

On December 5, 2007 SBA transferred investment management and compliance responsibilities to BlackRock, Inc. as the interim portfolio manager.

On December 21, 2007 SBA obtained a AAAm rating from Standard and Poor's for LGSF Pool A. The rating required more stringent investment guidelines, revised compliance procedures and weekly monitoring of the LGSF Pool A's compliance by Standard and Poor's.

In January 2008, a competitive solicitation led to the selection of Federated Investors as the successor to BlackRock for portfolio management and compliance. The process included a comprehensive review of the portfolio management process to ensure that Federated has adequate procedures and resources to maintain minimal credit risk in the LGSF Pool and assume compliance responsibilities. Federated Investors became the manager of record on March 3, 2008.

Effective March 3, 2008, a new full-time fixed income compliance officer was created. This position is a senior level staff that reports to the Deputy Executive Director and is no longer contained in the reporting line to portfolio management or trading.

Recommendation: Record the minutes of Committee meetings, including documentation of all decisions made.

On December 13, 2007, the Investment Oversight Group began regular monthly meetings to review compliance reports from the investment manager, portfolio holdings and performance. Minutes and documentation of decisions made have been prepared since.

Recommendation: Revise the Investment Policy Guidelines to require notification of the Investment Oversight Committee in all instances in which credit ratings have been reduced or withdrawn by a credit rating organization.

The Investment Policy Guidelines for "LGIP A" effective December 21, 2007 require that "A minimum of 50% of the portfolio should be composed of "A-1+" rated instruments (or those deemed equivalent in credit quality) with the balance in "A-1" rated instruments (or those deemed equivalent in credit quality)." If there is lowering of credit ratings (e.g. downgrade from "A-1" to "A-2") or there are no credit ratings as a result of rating withdrawal, they should be compliance exceptions that need to be notified to the Investment Oversight Group. In early March, we will review the investment manager's compliance rule set-up and ongoing credit monitoring process to ensure this guideline is correctly interpreted so that any rating downgrade or withdrawal is reflected as a compliance exception in their system and the Investment Oversight Group can be timely notified. Final implementation will occur by March 31, 2008.

Recommendation: Update the programming of the automated compliance reports.

In February 2008, the programming of the automated compliance reporting was updated and revised.

Recommendation: Relocate the responsibility for the pricing of securities and the compliance review function to an organizational unit independent of the investment function.

On December 5, 2007 SBA transferred custody of LGSF pool assets to BNY Mellon Financial Corporation. This action provided for independent fair value pricing of securities, independent reconciliation of par and market values, and the potential to utilize Mellon's compliance monitoring software. With the change in custody, pricing of LGIP securities is expected to be independent of the investment function, although the investment manager will participate in the

regular reconciliation and price challenge process.

On November 1, 2007 the Manager of Enterprise Risk Management and Compliance was added to the Investment Oversight Group to create a further degree of independence in the compliance process. Effective March 3, 2008, a new full-time fixed income compliance officer was created. This position is a senior level staff that reports to the Deputy Executive Director and is no longer contained in the reporting line to portfolio management or trading.

**Estimated Corrective
Action Date**

March 31, 2008

**Agency Contact and
Telephone Number**

Robert (Bob) Milligan, Interim Executive Director
bob.milligan@sbafla.com
(850) 413-1250

SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCY

CLASSIFICATION OF FIDUCIARY FUND INVESTMENTS

Finding Number	FS 07-006
Opinion Unit	Aggregate Remaining Fund Information
Financial Statements	Investments
Account Title	
SW Fund Number	739999 (Pension and Other Employee Benefits Trust Funds)
State Agency	Florida Department of Management Services (FDMS)
OLO-GF-SF-FID	73-2-309001
GL Code(s)	14235 (Bonds and Notes) and 14215 (Federal Agencies)
Adjustment Amount	\$10,713,595,411
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FS 06-006
Finding	FDMS procedures over financial reporting did not ensure that investment balances, by type, were properly reported in the financial statements of the Pension and Other Employee Benefits Trust Funds.
Criteria	Codification of Governmental Accounting and Financial Reporting Standards Section Pe5.113, <i>Pension Plans - Defined Benefit</i> , requires the reporting of investments by investment type. To comply with this requirement, the Florida Department of Financial Services, Statewide Financial Reporting Section, has established a matrix for agencies to use in classifying reported investments by type. The reporting of investments by type enables financial statement users to see, among other things, investment diversification.
Condition	FDMS procedures for reporting Defined Benefit Pension Plan investments consist, in part, of manually transferring summary investment balances, by type, from schedules received from the Florida State Board of Administration to internal FDMS schedules prior to input into the Florida Accounting and Information Resource System, the State's general ledger system.
Cause	As similarly noted in the 2006 audit, during the FDMS manual transfer process, investment data was incorrectly transferred causing certain investment balances by type to be significantly misclassified. Although management has increased efforts to reduce the number of audit adjustments required in previous fiscal years, management has not established procedures to ensure that the transfer of the investment data is appropriately reconciled and independently reviewed for accuracy at fiscal year-end.
Effect	Prior to audit adjustment, investments classified by type as Federal agencies, were inappropriately classified as Bonds and Notes, thus overstating Bonds and Notes and understating Federal Agencies by \$10,713,595,411. Without reclassification to appropriately reflect the correct investment type, investment balances, by type, would not have been fairly presented.
Recommendation	We recommend that FDMS implement procedures to ensure that investment type classifications are independently reviewed for accuracy.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Nancy C. Tucker, CPA, Audit Manager nancytucker@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4370

State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	FDMS will revise its current procedures to ensure that investment type classifications are independently reviewed for accuracy. The procedures are being revised to require that (1) the Chief of FMS input all entries related to Fiduciary Investments and (2) the Professional Accountant Supervisor review all entries against the Fiduciary Fund General Ledger Matrix to ensure proper classification.
Estimated Corrective Action Date	June 30, 2008
Agency Contact and Telephone Number	Mitchell Clark, (850) 487-9888 Steve Rumph, (850) 488-5285

SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCY**DEFERRED COMPENSATION PLAN ASSETS**

Finding Number	FS 07-007
Opinion Unit	Aggregate Remaining Fund Information
Financial Statements	Deferred Compensation annuities and Administrative expense
Account Titles	
SW Fund Number	734601 (Deferred Compensation Plan)
State Agency	Florida Department of Financial Services (FDFS)
OLO-GF-SF-FID	430000-73-2-155001
GL Code(s)	14799 and 77100
Adjustment Amount	\$68,242,443
Finding	In the Pension and Other Employee Benefits Trust Funds financial statements, the FDFS, Bureau of Deferred Compensation, did not report in the account Deferred compensation annuities Deferred Compensation Plan (Plan) assets held in annuity status.
Criteria	The State's 457 Deferred Compensation Plan, managed by the Bureau of Deferred Compensation, is reported in the Pension and Other Employee Benefits Trust Funds within the fiduciary fund financial statements. All Plan assets should be reported within the Plan financial statements, and pursuant to Section 112.215 (10), Florida Statutes, the terms of the annuity contracts, and Internal Revenue Code provisions, assets held in annuity status are Deferred Compensation Plan assets.
Condition	The Bureau of Deferred Compensation removed from recorded Plan assets annuity balances held on behalf of deferred compensation participants. The basis for the entry was an evaluation by the Bureau of Deferred Compensation that such assets held in annuity status should not be regarded as Plan assets. However, as the State is a party to the annuity contracts and as Section 112.215(10), Florida Statutes, specifically identifies annuities as Plan assets, such Plan assets should be reported as assets of the Plan financial statements.
Cause	The Bureau of Deferred Compensation concluded that annuities held for deferred compensation participants in annuity status were not assets for which the State of Florida was accountable.
Effect	Prior to audit adjustment, the account, Deferred compensation annuities was understated and the Administrative expense account was overstated by \$68,242,443.
Recommendation	We recommend that all Plan assets be reported in the Plan's financial statements.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Kathryn Walker, CPA, Audit Manager kathrynwalker@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-9085
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	We concur. This finding states that Plan Assets should include annuities purchased through the Deferred Compensation Program. The Division of Treasury will accept the definition of Plan Assets in the finding and will comply with the recommendation of this finding.
Estimated Corrective Action Date	Completed
Agency Contact and Telephone Number	Bruce Gillander, Director, Division of Treasury Bruce.Gillander@fldfs.com, (850) 413-3301 Bob Clift, Inspector General Bob.Clift@fldfs.com, (850) 413-4960

SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCY**INVESTED IN CAPITAL ASSETS, NET OF RELATED DEBT**

Finding Number	FS 07-008
Opinion Unit	Aggregate Remaining Fund Information
Financial Statements	Net Assets: Invested in capital assets, net of related debt
Account Title(s)	and Unrestricted
SW Fund Number	609999 (Internal Service Funds)
State Agency	Florida Department of Management Services (FDMS)
OLO-GF-SF-FID	720000
GL Code(s)	536XX and 539XX
Adjustment Amount	\$93,569,028
Finding	FDMS did not properly classify net assets in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP).
Criteria	Codification of Governmental Accounting and Financial Reporting Standards Section 1800.133 provides that a calculation is required to determine the component of net assets consisting of Invested in capital assets, net of related debt. The calculation reduces the capital asset accounts, net of accumulated depreciation, by related outstanding debt obligations, i.e., bonds, mortgages, notes, or other borrowings attributable to the acquisition, construction, or improvement of those assets. Additionally, if there are significant unspent related debt proceeds at year-end, that portion of the debt attributable to the unspent proceeds should not be included in the calculation of Invested in capital assets, net of related debt.
Condition	FDMS did not properly consider the existence of significant unspent related debt proceeds when calculating Invested in capital assets, net of related debt. As a result, the account Invested in capital assets, net of related debt, was understated, and the Unrestricted account was overstated by \$93,569,028.
Cause	The application of FDMS procedures did not reduce the debt attributable to unspent proceeds, contrary to GAAP requirements.
Effect	The overstatement of the Unrestricted account may indicate that funds were available for spending when they were not.
Recommendation	FDMS should revise its procedures to ensure that the calculation of Invested in capital assets, net of related debt, includes the impact of significant unspent related debt proceeds.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Nancy C. Tucker, CPA, Audit Manager nancytucker@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4370
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	FDMS will revise its procedures to ensure that the calculation of "Invested in capital assets, net of related debt" includes the impact of significant unspent related debt proceeds.
Estimated Corrective Action Date	June 30, 2008
Agency Contact and Telephone Number	Mitchell Clark, (850) 487-9888 Steve Rumph, (850) 488-5285

SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCY

REVENUE AND EXPENSES

Finding Number	FS 07-009
Opinion Unit	Component Unit
Financial Statements	Revenue and expenses
Account Titles	
SW Fund Number	Various
State Agency	Florida Department of Education (FDOE) and Florida Board of Governors (FBOG)
OLO-GF-SF-FID	Various
GL Code(s)	Various
Adjustment Amount	Various
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FS 06-004
Finding	FDOE and FBOG should enhance their procedures to ensure information compiled for community colleges and universities for inclusion in the State's basic financial statements and Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA) is accurate and complete, and timely submitted to the Florida Department of Financial Services (FDFS), Statewide Financial Reporting Section (SFRS). Our audit disclosed numerous instances in which community college or university financial information submitted to SFRS by FDOE or FBOG for inclusion in the State's basic financial statements or SEFA was untimely, incorrect, or incomplete.
Criteria	FDOE and FBOG are charged with the responsibility for compiling financial information from community colleges and universities, respectively, and submitting such information to SFRS for inclusion in the State's basic financial statements and SEFA. As such, FDOE and FBOG are responsible for implementing adequate procedures to ensure that such information is accurate and complete, and timely submitted to SFRS.
Condition	<p>Our review of FDOE's and FBOG's procedures for compiling financial statement information from community colleges and universities disclosed that such procedures needed enhancement, as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • FDOE did not timely review the individual community college financial statement information forms to ensure they were accurate and complete prior to submitting to SFRS. For 19 of the 28 community colleges, the forms were submitted from 20 to 29 days late. • FDOE did not review component unit (CU) forms submitted by community colleges to ensure they were complete and in agreement with FDFS Adjustment Forms, prior to submitting the forms to SFRS. Consequently, for 27 of the 28 community colleges, the CU forms submitted to SFRS contained two or more errors, including 18 community colleges that had two to four errors and 9 community colleges that had five to seven errors. • FDOE and FBOG required each community college and university to complete a FDFS-prescribed SEFA data form, and directed the community colleges and universities to follow FDFS instructions on how to prepare the data form. However, FDOE and FBOG did not adequately review the individual community college and university SEFA data forms to ensure they were complete, properly formatted, and otherwise prepared in accordance with FDFS instructions. The lack of adequate review of the SEFA data forms prior to submission to SFRS resulted in FDOE and FBOG failing to detect numerous instances in which 16 community college and 5 university SEFA data forms, for one or more grants or programs, contained errors.

Some of the above reporting errors were corrected by FDFS; however, the majority of the errors were detected by our audit. Although we expanded our audit procedures to determine adjustments necessary for the fair presentation of the State's financial statements and SEFA, our audit cannot substitute for FDOE's and FBOG's responsibility to ensure that community college and university financial information submitted to SFRS is timely, accurate, and complete.

Cause

FDOE and FBOG did not have adequate procedures for reviewing information prior to being submitted to SFRS for inclusion in the State's financial statements and SEFA to ensure such information was timely, accurate, and complete.

Effect

Without adequate procedures, FDOE and FBOG may provide SFRS with information for community colleges and universities that is untimely, inaccurate, or incomplete, which could result in the improper reporting of such information in the State's financial statements and SEFA.

Recommendation

FDOE and FBOG should enhance their procedures to ensure that information compiled for community colleges and universities for inclusion in the State's financial statements and SEFA is accurate and complete, and timely submitted to SFRS. Such procedures should include a thorough review of the information prior to submission to SFRS.

Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number

Ted J. Sauerbeck, CPA, Audit Manager
tedsauerbeck@aud.state.fl.us
(850) 487-4468

Florida Department of Education**State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan**

FDOE will enhance its procedures to ensure that information compiled for community colleges for inclusion in the State's financial statements and SEFA is accurate and complete, and timely submitted to SFRS. The procedures will include a thorough review of the information prior to submission to SFRS.

We will enhance our procedures by developing checklists to be used by community colleges for completing the annual financial report and SEFA forms submitted. The procedures will also include establishing controls within the FDOE review process, to ensure accuracy and completeness of documents. Finally, FDOE will include additional staff to assist in the review of the community college annual financial statements and SEFA forms in order to assure accuracy, completeness, and timeliness. In addition to the enhanced procedures, the FDOE in conjunction with FDFS staff will conduct a financial reports training workshop for community college controllers.

Estimated Corrective Action Date

June 30, 2008

Agency Contact and Telephone Number

Alisa Golden
(850) 245-9994

Florida Board of Governors**State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan**

Thank you for the opportunity to review and respond to the preliminary and tentative findings for the 2007 State of Florida Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) and Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA). We were pleased to see that no findings related to the preparation of the State CAFR were indicated for the Florida Board of Governors (FBOG).

With regards to the sole SEFA recommendation, the FBOG will enhance its SEFA review procedures to ensure that information compiled and submitted to the Department of Financial Services (DFS) for the State University System is accurate, complete and timely. Additionally, the FBOG will inform each university of the specific preparation errors which required adjustments, so that the same errors will not be repeated.

Fiscal year 2007-08 was the first year for the FBOG review of the SEFA and CAFR information. This was also the first year that DFS implemented a customized SEFA data form and associated instructions for submission of community colleges and universities federal award information. While this was a significant step forward, the learning curve associated with the new format and instructions contributed to some of the noted reporting errors.

The adjusted audited 2007 SEFA information for each university will provide consistent baseline data, and enhance the FBOG's ability to conduct a more thorough review of the information provided to DFS.

**Estimated Corrective
Action Date**

June 30, 2008

**Agency Contact and
Telephone Number**

Chris Kinsley
(850) 245-9607

SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCY

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

Finding Number	FS 07-010
State Agency	Florida Department of Financial Services (FDFS) Florida Agency for Health Care Administration (FAHCA) Florida Department of Children and Family Services (FDCFS) Florida Department of Community Affairs (FDCA) Florida Department of Education (FDOE) Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP)
Finding	Reconciliations between the State's Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA) and the State's basic financial statements were not always prepared.
Criteria	<p>OMB Circular A-133 §____.310(b), <i>Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards</i>, requires that a SEFA be prepared for the same period of time covered by the financial statements. To reasonably ensure the accuracy and completeness of the SEFA, agencies preparing a SEFA data form should reconcile the SEFA information to their financial statements or the State accounting system underlying the financial statements.</p> <p>Section 216.102(1)(b), Florida Statutes, provides that the Chief Financial Officer shall publish a statewide policy detailing the requirements for recording receipt and disbursement of Federal funds into the Florida Accounting Information Resource Subsystem and provide technical assistance to the agencies and the judicial branch to implement the policy.</p> <p>FDFS Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Agency Instructions require reconciliations to be performed between the amounts reported on the SEFA data form and related amounts reported for financial statement purposes and made available upon request.</p>
Condition	In order to prepare the State's SEFA, FDFS requires each state agency, university, and community college to prepare a SEFA data form and certify its accuracy. FDFS accumulates the information reported on the agencies' SEFA data forms to prepare the SEFA. Our review of SEFA data forms and related documentation for 11 State agencies disclosed that 5 agencies had not prepared reconciliations between the total expenditures reported on the SEFA and the records supporting the State's basic financial statements at the time the SEFA data forms were submitted to FDFS. These 5 agencies reported expenditures totaling \$14,765,600,614 on the SEFA, approximately 65 percent of total expenditures reported. Subsequent to our audit inquiry, FDOE prepared a reconciliation.
Cause	The five agencies did not follow FDFS instructions, which required the preparation of reconciliations of the SEFA data form to the agencies' financial statements. In addition, the certification required by DFS policy did not require a certification that the SEFA had been reconciled to the agencies' financial statements and that related documentation was available. Rather, the certification provided that documents have been maintained that would allow reconciliation to the entity's records.
Effect	FDFS and Federal grantor agencies that utilize the SEFA lack assurance that the SEFA is accurate and complete. Audit adjustments to the SEFA data forms were required for some of the agencies due to errors in reporting accrual-related expenditure information. For example, an adjustment totaling \$640 million was made to correct an error in reporting the year-end accounts payable balance.

Recommendation	We recommend that State agencies follow FDFS instructions and prepare reconciliations between total expenditures reported on the SEFA data form and the agencies' financial statements. Further, we recommend that FDFS revise the certification to require agencies to certify that a reconciliation between the SEFA data form and the agencies' financial statements has been prepared.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Brenda Pelham, CPA, Audit Manager brendapelham@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-9060
	<u>Florida Department of Financial Services</u>
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	Concur. We will revise the certification to require agencies to certify that a reconciliation between the SEFA data form and the agencies' financial statements has been prepared. In addition, we will be holding an agency training workshop for preparation of the 2008 SEFA, which will include a discussion on the reconciliation requirement.
Estimated Corrective Action Date	Fiscal year ending June 30, 2008.
Agency Contact and Telephone Number	Doug Darling, Director, Division of Accounting and Auditing Doug.Darling@fldfs.com, (850) 413-5510 Bob Clift, Inspector General Bob.Clift@fldfs.com, (850) 413-4960
	<u>Florida Agency for Health Care Administration</u>
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	The Agency for Health Care Administration (AHCA) has formulated procedures to comply with the requirement of reconciling the SEFA to the financial statements. This reconciliation will be completed by the next time the SEFA is due.
Estimated Corrective Action Date	September 15, 2008
Agency Contact and Telephone Number	J. Henry Evans (850) 921-6999
	<u>Florida Department of Children and Family Services</u>
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	The Department of Children and Families concurs with the recommendations of the auditors and will make every effort to comply.
Estimated Corrective Action Date	June 30, 2008
Agency Contact and Telephone Number	Elwood McElhaney (850) 488-4798
	<u>Florida Department of Community Affairs</u>
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	The information contained in the annual SEFA Report is taken directly from the final FLAIR reports that are generated after year end closing. The FLAIR data is reconciled to the final Comptroller Appropriation Ledgers and to internal Finance & Accounting Sub-Recipient Grant ledgers before the year end process is finalized. This reconciliation process is also performed on a monthly basis during the fiscal year. In addition, the amounts that are related to payables are reconciled to the final Certifications Report that is generated after the certified setup process is concluded. All prior year interagency accruals are reconciled to the final reports received from the Statewide Financial Statement section for the prior year's activity. The results of these reconciliations are contained in the final Schedule of Allotment Balances by Grant report that is generated after closing. The data from this report is then recorded on the Annual SEFA. Based on the numerous reconciliations that are performed during the year and at year end, it was our

understanding that we had met the required reconciliation directive for the SEFA. As soon as the Department of Financial Services provides guidelines regarding the required reconciliation process, DCA will adhere to the instructions given to comply with this requirement.

**Estimated Corrective
Action Date**

Based on receipt of guidelines and instructions received from the Department of Financial Services.

**Agency Contact and
Telephone Number**

Karen Peyton
(850) 922-1646

Florida Department of Education

**State Agency Response and
Corrective Action Plan**

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) does not agree that this finding is applicable to this Agency and asserts that we carefully and completely followed the instructions required by the Florida Department of Financial Services (FDFS) with respect to preparation and submission of the State Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA) report. FDOE staff performed a reconciliation of total expenditures reported on the SEFA to expenditures reported for the Statewide Financial Statements prior to submitting the SEFA. This reconciliation consisted of:

- Reviews of the trial balances from the Florida Accounting Information Resource system (FLAIR) for each grant to ensure total expenditures were reported correctly on the SEFA.
- Reconciliation of the distributive aid report for each grant from our Financial Management Information System to FLAIR.

Through reconciliation of all individual grants, a reconciliation of the total amount is accomplished. As noted in the finding, FDFS did not require or request that a standard grant reconciliation format be used to document the performance of the reconciliations. Therefore, the Department used its own format to document for the Auditor General's staff that all the reconciliations were appropriately and accurately completed. Thus, the actual reconciliation was performed prior to the submission of the SEFA and not subsequent to the audit inquiry as stated in the "Condition" portion of the report on this finding.

**Estimated Corrective
Action Date**

N/A

**Agency Contact and
Telephone Number**

Jon Manalo, Comptroller, (850) 245-9996
Norman Holley, Assistant Deputy Commissioner, (850) 245-9218

Auditor's Remarks

The reconciliation procedures described in FDOE's response were applied on a grant-by-grant basis. Such comparisons are helpful in identifying errors in the amounts shown for individual grants. However, a reconciliation of the total expenditures reported on the SEFA to the appropriate financial statement accounts helps to ensure that all grants and other Federal financial assistance have been identified and included in the SEFA.

Florida Department of Environmental Protection

**State Agency Response and
Corrective Action Plan**

FDEP had timely performed reconciliations to explain the differences between amounts reported on the SEFA data form and the related amounts reported for financial statement purposes (FLAIR). This reconciliation was performed on a grant-by-grant basis for each individual grant reported on the SEFA data form.

FDFS SEFA Instructions did not specify the format of the required reconciliation. FDEP performed the type of reconciliation that we believe would provide the most accurate and useful results. Beginning with the preparation of the 2007-08 SEFA data form, FDEP will prepare an overall summary of the individual grant reconciliations that will further demonstrate that total expenditures reported on the SEFA data form have been reconciled in a comprehensive manner to FLAIR.

**Estimated Corrective
Action Date**

The summary reconciliation will be implemented with preparation of the fiscal year 2008 SEFA data form.

**Agency Contact and
Telephone Number**

Lynda Watson
(850) 245-2420

SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCY

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

Finding Number	FS 07-011
State Agency	Florida Department of Education (FDOE)
Finding	FDOE's processes for advancing Federal funds and recording Federal expenditures did not facilitate preparation of the SEFA data form in a manner consistent with the provisions of OMB Circular A-133 and FDFS instructions.
Criteria	OMB Circular A-133 §___.205(a), <i>Basis for determining Federal awards expended</i> , provides that the determination of when an award is expended should be based on when the activity related to the award occurs. Generally, the activity pertains to events that require the non-Federal entity to comply with laws, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements, such as the disbursement of funds passed through to subrecipients.
Condition	<p>During the 2006-07 fiscal year, FDOE advanced approximately \$2.2 billion to subrecipients. FDOE generally advanced Federal pass-through funds to local educational agencies and other subrecipients in amounts requested by the subrecipients. Subrecipients' requests for funds did not identify by Federal program the amounts requested. FDOE used monthly expenditure reports received from the subrecipients to subsequently assign the advances (i.e., record expenditures in the Florida Accounting Information Resource Subsystem [FLAIR]) to applicable Federal programs. The monthly expenditure reports did not provide a direct correlation to specific advances made by FDOE, and in some instances, expenditures reported by a subrecipient may relate to advances made by FDOE in a prior fiscal year.</p> <p>Additionally, to fully utilize Federal program funds provided through USED recurring grants that each have a 27-month period of availability, FDOE routinely made adjustments to FLAIR to reassign subrecipient expenditures from one grant to another. For example, FDOE may move expenditures recorded for the 2006 Vocational Education Program (CFDA No. 84.048) grant to the 2005 Vocational Education Program grant to ensure that all available funds for the 2005 grant were used.</p> <p>Our review of FDOE's SEFA data form disclosed that these processes impacted FDOE's procedures for calculating the current year expenditures to be reported in the SEFA, as described below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Although requests for cash from subrecipients did not identify the program the cash was requested for, FDOE established two categories to record advances, one for vocational education programs and one for all other programs. While FDOE used monthly expenditure reports received from subrecipients to reassign the advanced funds to specific Federal programs, not all advances were reassigned to specific programs by year end. At June 30, 2007, the unassigned balance of advances in the category used for the majority of FDOE's programs totaled \$61,490,491.49. The category used for vocational education programs had a negative \$50,370,737.01 balance at June 30, 2007. Although FDOE attributed the majority of the negative balance to expenditures reported in the current year for which cash advances from the Vocational Education category had been made in the prior fiscal year, some of the expenditures reported for the Vocational Education program may also pertain to advances made from the other category. <p>To allocate the advances for SEFA reporting purposes, FDOE initially made adjustments totaling \$111,861,228.50 to the expenditures of several Federal programs. FDOE allocated the unassigned balance of advances from one category totaling \$61,490,491.49 to increase the amounts reported for four</p>

Federal programs. Additionally, FDOE reduced expenditures for one Federal program, CFDA 84.048 - Vocational Education - Basic Grants to States, in the amount of the unassigned balance of advances from the other category totaling \$50,370,737.01. This adjustment materially and erroneously reduced current year expenditures reported for this Program to \$14,884,216.47. After audit adjustment, the expenditures reported for this Program totaled \$72,221,469. A more reasonable estimate may have been provided by netting the balances of both categories to determine the amount of the adjustment needed and then allocating the net balance among one or more programs.

- Some Federal programs may have expenditures occurring in three separate grants. In making the adjustments to move expenditures from one grant to another, FDOE considered life-to-date expenditures. In some instances, these adjustments resulted in negative expenditure balances for individually reported grants although, in total, expenditures reported for the program as a whole were positive. FDFS excluded grants with negative balances from the SEFA, as negative balances were generally considered to be related to prior year activity. However, in this case, the exclusion of the negative balances caused the total expenditures for FDOE's Federal programs to be overstated, prior to audit adjustment, by a total of \$45,949,660.86.

Cause

FDOE did not combine the advance balances for the two categories when determining adjustments to the SEFA data form. Additionally, errors occurred due to differing methodologies for Federal grant administration at FDOE and State SEFA preparation at FDFS.

Effect

The SEFA is used by Federal grantor agencies and oversight officials. Consequently, inaccurate reporting of expenditures could affect decisions made by grantors, oversight officials, and others.

Recommendation

We recommend that FDOE consult with FDFS regarding the reporting of Federal expenditures.

Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number

Brenda Pelham, CPA, Audit Manager
brendapelham@aud.state.fl.us
(850) 487-9060

State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan

For over 20 years, FDOE has used the current Federal Cash Advance System (D502) to process cash requests from Local Educational Agencies (LEAs) and other authorized subrecipients (e.g., community colleges and state universities). In the submission of the FDOE State Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA), total expenditures by federal program were not overstated. In fact, FDOE recorded the activity of each Federal award in compliance with OMB Circular A-133 §____.205.

For the last seven years, FDOE has allocated the unassigned balance of advances to federal programs on the SEFA report. The SEFA was accepted by FDFS in its original form which included reporting of credit transactions. Subsequent to the submission of the SEFA, it is our understanding that FDFS removed the credit transactions. The removal of these transactions created incorrect balances and overstatements of expenditures in the report. FDOE was not informed that FDFS had removed credit transactions from the original submitted SEFA. Were it not for the removal of these transactions, which was done without consultation with FDOE, the report would have been correct as submitted.

It is correct that the current system does not identify the federal program for which cash is requested. The system has been included in audits for many years and the USED has been aware of the system and the way it operates. FDOE has initiated discussions with the USED with regard to improving this system most recently in conjunction with the USED Management Improvement Team. For some time, FDOE has recognized the advantages of updating this system;

however, significant delays in this activity were experienced during attempts to develop and implement Project Aspire. FDOE had planned to use the Aspire eSettlements module to identify cash requests by federal program. When Project Aspire was terminated, FDOE was forced to find an alternate solution. Currently the Agency is developing a new system to streamline the current process and identify the cash draws by each individual federal grant program. It is expected that some version of this system will be in place by July 1, 2008.

**Estimated Corrective
Action Date**

Revisions to the cash advance system will be implemented by July 1, 2008.

**Agency Contact and
Telephone Number**

Jon Manalo, Comptroller, (850) 245-9996

Norman Holley, Assistant Deputy Commissioner, (850) 245-9218

ADDITIONAL MATTERS
REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
PENSION

Finding Number	FS 07-012
SW Fund Number	737204 (Retiree Health Insurance Subsidy Trust Fund)
State Agency	Florida Department of Management Services (FDMS)
Finding	The investment return assumption (discount rate) of 7.75 percent (long-term rate) used by FDMS in determining the actuarial accrued liability for the Health Insurance Subsidy (HIS) plan, was not commensurate with the nature and mix of current and expected plan investments. Plan investments were invested to yield short-term rates. The use of a short-term, rather than long-term, rate may have resulted in the calculation of a significantly larger estimated actuarial accrued liability.
Criteria	Codification of Governmental Accounting and Financial Reporting Standards, Section Pe5, <i>Pension Plans - Defined Benefit</i> establishes reporting requirements for pension plans. This section provides that the investment return assumption (discount rate) should be based on an estimated long-term investment yield for the plan, with consideration given to the nature and mix of current and expected plan investments and the basis used to determine the actuarial value of assets.
Condition	<p>The HIS provides cash payments to retirees as provided by Section 112.363, Florida Statutes. In general, an eligible retiree is entitled to a benefit of \$5 per month per year of service, with a minimum benefit of \$30 and a maximum benefit of \$150 per month.</p> <p>In applying GASB pension requirements, FDMS elected to use an investment return assumption (discount rate) of 7.75 percent. This long-term rate was used based on the assumption that the plan would become prefunded. However, as the State has not yet established a program to prefund these benefits, a discount rate commensurate with a short-term investment yield may have been more appropriate. Plan assets were being held in short-term investments until benefit payments were disbursed. Net assets reported as of June 30, 2006, and 2007, amounted to \$192.4 and \$238.3 million, respectively. The net investment returns earned on these funds for the period ending June 30, 2006, was 4.17 percent.</p>
Cause	In selecting the discount rate used to estimate the actuarial accrued liability, the FDMS assumed that the plan would become prefunded.
Effect	The use of a discount rate has a significant effect on the calculation of the actuarial accrued liability that was reported as required supplementary information. The actuarial accrued liability reported for HIS benefits using a 7.75 percent discount rate was \$4.474 billion as of July 1, 2006. The use of a discount rate in the 4 to 5 percent range would have produced a liability that may have been significantly larger.
Recommendation	We recommend that FDMS utilize a discount rate consistent with the nature and mix of current and expected plan investments.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Nancy C. Tucker, CPA, Audit Manager nancytucker@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4370

**State Agency Response and
Corrective Action Plan**

Historically, the Retiree Health Insurance Subsidy (HIS) Program has been classified as an other post-employment benefit (OPEB) that did not have specific funding or liability reporting requirements. Statements 43 and 45 from the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) require the state to account for the long-term liabilities of an OPEB. An OPEB Workgroup was established and is headed by the Department of Financial Services with participation by the Department of Management Services, the Board of Governors, the Governor's Office, and the Auditor General. During the implementation of GASB Statements 43 and 45 it was determined the HIS Program is a pension benefit and not an OPEB. This determination has not been formally presented to the Legislature.

Since the Legislature has not been able to address the HIS Program as a pension, the HIS valuation reflects the pension assumptions of the Florida Retirement System Pension Plan. Based upon the 2007 modeling of the HIS Trust Fund, there will be reserve of 10 or more months for the next five fiscal years. This model is based upon the current level of funding, the average of the actual return over the previous five years, and membership growth trends over the last four years. The monthly contributions received by the HIS Trust Fund exceed the monthly HIS benefit payments. It would be possible to retain a trust fund cash reserve of two months' contributions to cover cash flow and fluctuations and allow the balance to be invested for higher returns to improve program funding. Such a policy would ultimately be decided by the Legislature in consultation with the State Board of Administration and could require legislative changes.

As noted on page I-2 of the July 1, 2006 Health Insurance Subsidy Valuation, the return assumption is based upon the premise that if this program becomes prefunded, its assets would be allocated consistent with FRS investment. As a publicly funded pension plan, the Legislature must decide about the funding of this program. A meeting will be scheduled with Legislative staff to get direction on this program and guidance for the assumptions used in the valuation of this pension plan, similar to that done for the FRS Pension Plan. Future valuations will reflect the decisions by this group.

**Estimated Corrective
Action Date**

To be coordinated with the Legislature.

**Agency Contact and
Telephone Number**

Garry Green
(850) 414-6349

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

FEDERAL FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

Our audit findings with regard to compliance and internal controls over compliance with the requirements of major Federal awards programs are disclosed on the following pages. Where applicable and determinable, we have disclosed actual questioned costs where known or likely questioned costs exceeded \$10,000. To identify the nature and significance of each finding, we have identified each finding with one or more of the following designations:

- **Significant Deficiency.** A *significant deficiency* is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the entity's ability to administer a Federal program such that there is more than a remote likelihood that noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a Federal program that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected. A *control deficiency* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect on a timely basis noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a Federal program. A significant deficiency is considered in relation to a type of compliance requirement or applicable audit objective identified in the OMB Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement.
- **Material Weakness.** A *material weakness* is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a Federal program will not be prevented or detected. A material weakness is considered in relation to a type of compliance requirement or applicable audit objective identified in the OMB Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement.
- **Material Noncompliance.** A finding presenting noncompliance with provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, or grants caused by error or fraud, the effects of which are material in relation to a type of compliance requirement or applicable audit objective identified in the OMB Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement.
- **Opinion Qualification.** A finding presenting a condition that affects the auditor's ability to give an unqualified opinion on compliance. This would include findings of (a) noncompliance with provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, or grants, the effects of which are material to the respective major Federal award program; or (b) inadequate records that resulted in restrictions being placed on the scope of the audit. Findings that affect our ability to give an unqualified opinion on compliance with requirements applicable to the major Federal program are also identified in the Report on Compliance With Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance With OMB Circular A-133.
- **Questioned Costs.** Costs that are questioned by the auditor because of an audit finding that reported: (a) a violation or possible violation of a provision of a law, regulation, contract, grant, cooperative agreement, or other agreement or document governing the use of Federal funds, including funds used to match Federal funds; (b) costs, at the time of the audit, which were not supported by adequate documentation; or, (c) costs incurred that appeared unreasonable and did not reflect the actions a prudent person would take in the circumstances.
- **Other.** Matters of significance that, in the auditor's opinion, should be reported but do not clearly fit in any of the above-noted designations.

We have presented our findings, generally, by Federal grantor agency and in the order of the Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number (CFDA No.) assigned to each applicable Federal award program. Findings that pertain to multiple programs are generally presented as the first finding within the Federal grantor agency section. In some instances, a finding may pertain to programs provided by more than one Federal grantor agency. In such instances, the finding is presented within the section for the Federal grantor agency that provided the most funding for the applicable State agency. Findings for the Student Financial Assistance Cluster and the Research and Development Programs Cluster are presented within separately marked sections of the report. These findings can be identified by referring to the *Index of Federal Findings by Federal Agency*.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Finding Number	FA 07-001
CFDA Number	10.025
Program Title	Plant and Animal Disease, Pest Control, and Animal Care (PADPCAC)
Compliance Requirement	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles
State Agency	Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services (FDACS)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	07-8312-0517-CA 2007
Finding Type	Opinion Qualification, Material Noncompliance, Material Weakness, and Significant Deficiency Questioned Costs – \$2,344,329.77
Finding	FDACS did not always comply with the provisions of OMB Circular A-87 related to semiannual certifications for employees expected to work solely on a single Federal award or cost objective.
Criteria	OMB Circular A-87, Attachment B, Section 8.h.(3), <i>Support of Salaries and Wages</i>
Condition	Our audit of the PADPCAC program was limited to the Citrus Health Response Program (CHRP) expenditures, which represented approximately 78 percent of all PADPCAC expenditures. We tested 52 CHRP expenditures, 16 of which were salary payments. Of the 16 salary payments, 12 were expenditures for employees paid from the OPS appropriation category and 4 were expenditures for employees paid from the Salaries and Benefits appropriation category. The required certification for the 12 OPS employees was accomplished with timesheets which were signed by the employees and their supervisor and included a notation that work was performed for the CHRP. However, timesheets for the four employees paid from the Salaries and Benefits appropriation category did not contain the required certification or an indication of the program to which time was charged. In response to our request for FDACS procedures for obtaining timesheet certifications, FDACS personnel provided daily activity reports to show how time is spent; however, daily activity reports for employees paid from the Salaries and Benefits appropriation category were not signed by employees or employees' supervisors and did not contain certifications that employees work solely on the CHRP. FDACS records indicate that, for the audit period, total expenses for the CHRP were \$13,244,516.49 and total salary and benefit payments from the CHRP for all employees paid from the Salaries and Benefits appropriation category were \$2,344,329.77.
Cause	FDACS did not require all employees who worked solely on the PADPCAC Program to provide a certification to this effect.
Effect	Absent the periodic certifications required by OMB Circular A-87, FDACS had not fully substantiated the salary costs charged to the Program.
Recommendation	We recommend that FDACS amend certification procedures to include all employees working solely in the PADPCAC Program.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Kathryn Walker, CPA, Audit Manager kathrynwalker@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-9085
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	FDACS will require certification in accordance with OMB Circular A-87 every six months, in January and July, for career service and select exempt employees funded from Federal Grants Trust Funds.
Estimated Corrective Action Date	March 1, 2008

**Agency Contact and
Telephone Number**

Connie Riherd
(352) 372-3505 Ext. 108

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Finding Number	FA 07-002
CFDA Number	10.025
Program Title	Plant and Animal Disease, Pest Control, and Animal Control Program
Compliance Requirement	Special Tests and Provisions
State Agency	Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services (FDACS)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	07-8312-0517-CA
Finding Type	Significant Deficiency
Finding	FDACS did not always retain manually prepared records to document surveys of commercial citrus groves.
Criteria	Nursery Environs Survey Program Work Plan, developed jointly by the State and the United States Department of Agriculture. The Nursery Environs Survey Program Work Plan required a survey to be conducted of all commercial citrus groves within a one mile radius of a citrus nursery at least biannually.
Condition	The Nursery Environs Survey Program was developed to conduct surveys of commercial citrus groves throughout Florida to determine the extent of citrus canker and citrus greening within the State of Florida and to prevent the spread of these diseases to other citrus-producing areas of the United States. We selected ten Nursery Environs Surveys that, according to FDACS records, were conducted during the 2006-07 fiscal year. For these ten surveys, we requested survey records to demonstrate that the surveys were conducted. FDACS could not provide the original records for seven of the surveys. FDACS recorded survey information in the Pest Incident Control System (PICS); however, FDACS did not have procedures in place to verify the accuracy and completeness of survey data input.
Cause	FDACS staff indicated that the Palmetto field office was closed since the surveys were conducted and the survey records could not be located and may have been destroyed. FDACS staff also indicated that it is the procedure in the Winter Haven office to retain only the hard copy of survey documents for the most recent surveys. The surveys in our sample were not the most recent surveys and the survey records we requested had been destroyed.
Effect	Absent Nursery Environs Surveys or procedures to verify the accuracy and completeness of data recorded in PICS, FDACS had limited assurance that required surveys were conducted and that the PICS data were accurate and complete.
Recommendation	We recommend that FDACS ensure that original Nursery Environs Surveys are retained when necessary and that survey information recorded in PICS is verified.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Kathryn Walker, CPA, Audit Manager kathrynwalker@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-9085
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	An email notice has been sent to all employees reminding them to comply with record retention policies.
Estimated Corrective Action Date	January 30, 2008
Agency Contact and Telephone Number	Connie Riherd (352) 372-3505 Ext. 108

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Finding Number	FA 07-003
CFDA Number	10.558
Program Title	Child and Adult Care Food Program
Compliance Requirement	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles
State Agency	Florida Department of Health (FDOH)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	5FL300350
Finding Type	Significant Deficiency
Finding	FDOH's policies were not sufficient to ensure that user access to the Management Information and Payment System (MIPS) and changes to the MIPS application program files were appropriate. Additionally, user access to MIPS did not automatically expire after a specified period of inactivity.
Criteria	Chapter 3.2, Federal Information System Controls Audit Manual – <i>establish passwords to identify and authenticate users</i> ; Chapter 3.3, Federal Information System Controls Audit Manual – <i>adequate segregation of duties between users and programming staff</i> ; Module 9, <i>Systems Auditability and Control – granting and revoking system access</i> ; Section 282.318, Florida Statutes – <i>Policies and procedures should ensure the security of data, information, and information resources of FDOH</i> .
Condition	<p>FDOH's Bureau of Child Nutrition Programs maintains MIPS, a Web-based system used to gather and store the data needed to generate payments to providers and produce management reports. More than 1,300 providers received payments totaling \$188.7 million in the 2006-07 fiscal year. Our review of computer-related controls over MIPS disclosed the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Bureau did not appropriately restrict programmers from having access to production programs or data. • Access to MIPS was not timely removed for 888 providers who were no longer participating in the Program. <p>During our audit, we identified additional aspects of FDOH's security controls in the area of user authentication that needed improvement. Specific details of these issues are not disclosed in this report to avoid the possibility of compromising FDOH's security. However, appropriate FDOH personnel have been notified of the issues.</p>
Cause	The Bureau of Child Nutrition Programs did not follow established security policies to properly restrict programmer access. Additionally, FDOH information security and privacy policies did not address security identification for users other than staff or contracted employees. Also, a procedure implemented to automatically remove access to MIPS was not applied retroactively.
Effect	Allowing staff with application programming duties to have update access capabilities to the production environment increases the risk that unauthorized changes may be made to the application files and not be detected in a timely manner. Additionally, the untimely removal of providers no longer participating in the Program could allow the submission and payment of invalid claims.
Recommendation	We recommend FDOH enhance its information security and privacy policies to ensure that access granted to the system is compatible with assigned job duties. Additionally, FDOH should ensure that procedures established in September 2006 to timely remove user access are applied to all terminated contractors.

Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Jane Flowers, CPA, Audit Manager janeflowers@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-9136
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	<p>The Division of Information Technology has reviewed revised Security and Privacy policies (DOHP 50-10-07) and has determined these policies are adequate to ensure limited and appropriate user access. The Division will continue to review its policies and practices, strengthen and close any gaps and address individual accountability. When gaps or other problems are identified, the Division will provide necessary training to keep all personnel updated on these changes. Regarding automatic expiration of MIPS users, changes were made to MIPS prior to issuance of the audit; user access is now automatically terminated when a contractor is terminated from the Child Care Food Program. The Bureau of Child Nutrition Programs will continue to work with Division of IT to ensure DOH security and privacy policies are adhered to.</p> <p>On-going: Current Security/Privacy Policies are sufficient with ongoing updated procedures and training as indicated. User access is automatically terminated when a contractor is terminated from the Child Care Food Program.</p>
Estimated Corrective Action Date	On-going.
Agency Contact and Telephone Number	Phil Reeves (850) 245-4360

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Finding Number	FA 07-004
CFDA Number	14.228
Program Title	Community Development Block Grants/State's Program
Compliance Requirement	Activities Allowed or Unallowed, Cash Management
State Agency	Florida Department of Community Affairs (FDCA)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	Various
Finding Type	Material Noncompliance and Significant Deficiency
Finding	FDCA did not ensure that subgrantees timely expended advances or made timely refunds to FDCA. Additionally, FDCA did not properly record CDBG advances in the State's accounting system, the Florida Accounting Information Resource Subsystem (FLAIR).
Criteria	31 CFR Part 205 <i>Rules and Procedures for Efficient Federal-State Funds Transfer</i> Department of Community Affairs Rule 9B-43.0051(9)(c), Florida Administrative Code, <i>CDBG Grant Administration and Project Implementation</i> , local governments may maintain no more than \$5,000 of cash-on-hand to meet daily cash needs. Amounts greater than \$5,000 shall be expended within 14 days or refunded to the Department.
Condition	<p>FDCA drew CDBG funds using the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (USDHUD) Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS). According to FDCA procedures, accounting records were to be reviewed daily, and draws were made as necessary to minimize the time elapsing between the Federal drawdown and the disbursement of funds. During the 2006-07 fiscal year, payments to CDBG subgrantees totaled \$57,928,002.61. Our review of draws totaling \$490,827.24 related to three subgrantees disclosed that FDCA drew Federal funds and, as allowable under the Program, advanced those funds to subgrantees based on budgeted amounts (i.e., approved amounts for the applicable activity in the agreement) prior to the completion of the work. However, these payments were not recorded in the State's accounting records as advance payments. In addition, FDCA procedures did not require the subgrantee to refund amounts received that exceeded actual costs until project closeout. Consequently, FDCA did not receive refunds totaling \$54,749.01 from two of the subgrantees until 90 and 127 days after the advance payments were made.</p> <p>We also noted that FDCA did not have procedures to record refunds in IDIS. For one of the three draws reviewed, FDCA had received refunds totaling \$6,500 before FDCA drew down Federal funds for the advance. However, FDCA did not record the refunds in IDIS and therefore drew down Federal funds for the total amount of the advance which resulted in an overdraw of \$6,500.</p>
Cause	FDCA did not consider the payments to CDBG subgrantees which were based on budgeted amounts as advances, even though funds were requested prior to expenditures being made by the subgrantee.
Effect	Absent adequate controls, FDCA lacked assurance that subgrantees minimized the time elapsing between the drawdown and disbursement of Federal funds and that FDCA's Federal draws were limited to the minimum required to meet the State's actual and immediate cash needs in carrying out the purpose of the Program. Additionally, without proper recording of advances in FLAIR, FDCA had limited ability to identify advances and ensure that they were properly offset with subsequent subgrantee expenditures.

Recommendation	We recommend that FDCA establish procedures to timely obtain refunds from subgrantees. We also recommend that FDCA record CDBG payments that are based on budgeted amounts as advances, rather than as expenditures.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	David R. Vick, CPA, Audit Manager davidvick@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4494
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	Additional monitoring procedures will be put into place to ensure that FDCA will timely obtain refunds from subgrantees. In the future, CDBG payments that are based on budgeted amounts will be recorded as advances, rather than expenditures.
Estimated Corrective Action Date	July 2008
Agency Contact and Telephone Number	Esrone McDaniels (850) 487-3644

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Finding Number	FA 07-005
CFDA Number	14.228
Program Title	Community Development Block Grants/State's Program (CDBG)
Compliance Requirement	Activities Allowed or Unallowed, Allowable Costs/Cost Principles, Period of Availability of Federal Funds
State Agency	Florida Department of Community Affairs (FDCA)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	B-05-DJ-12-0001 2005, B-04-DC-12-0001 2004
Finding Type	Significant Deficiency Questioned Costs – \$42,990.49
Finding	FDCA made payments to subgrantees without the appropriate approvals. Additionally, FDCA lacked documentation at the time of payment authorization showing that a subgrantee payment was made for services performed within the period of availability.
Criteria	OMB Circular A-133, §____.400(d), <i>Pass-through entity responsibilities</i> ; OMB Circular A-110, §____.28, <i>Period of availability of funds</i> ; Federally Funded 2006 Subgrant Agreement <i>Funding/Consideration, Period of Agreement, Funding/Consideration</i> ; FDCA Procedures <i>Request for Funds Procedures and Grant Tracking Procedures</i>
Condition	<p>FDCA required subgrantees to transmit the Request for Funds (RFF) to CDBG staff through the Process Automation and Paperless Electronic Routing System (FloridaPAPERS) and only the authorized signatory for the subgrantee, as set forth on the Signature Authorization Form, could approve the submission of each RFF. Upon receipt of an RFF from a subgrantee, FDCA personnel enter information into the Grants Records Information Tracking System (GRITS) to generate a Request for Payment form (RFP), which requires sequential approvals before the RFP is sent to Finance and Accounting for payment. The sequential approvals for each RFP included electronic signatures of the grant manager, planning manager, and program administrator. FDCA used GRITS and FloridaPAPERS, computerized document management systems, to electronically store, transmit, and approve RFFs and RFPs for the CDBG Program.</p> <p>FDCA communicates the award period to the subgrantee through a FDCA Federally Funded Subgrant Agreement. The RFF included fields for both the contract expiration date and the request period.</p> <p>Our review of FDCA's records for 20 subgrantee payments totaling \$10,044,007.73 disclosed the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For 1 payment totaling \$256,004.37, FDCA approved an RFF that contained the signature of an individual who was not listed on the Signature Authorization Form. • For 4 payments totaling \$3,344,758.97, FDCA processed and paid RFPs without a planning manager's signature. The RFPs were forwarded from the grant manager directly to the Program administrator, resulting in two signatures instead of three. Two of these payments were processed during a vacancy in a Planning Manager position. The remaining two RFPs were signed 5 and 15 working days after a new Planning Manager had been hired. FDCA had two planning manager positions whose responsibilities included supervisory review and approval of all RFF packages prepared by grant managers prior to sending them to the Program administrator.

- For 1 subgrantee, FDCA processed an RFF and paid an RFP in the amount of \$42,990.49, although at the time the payment was authorized, FDCA lacked documentation showing that the payment was for services performed prior to the contract expiration date.

Cause	FDCA did not have adequate procedures to ensure that all required approvals from authorized personnel were obtained on RFFs and RFPs prior to sending them to Finance and Accounting for payment. In the event of a planning manager's absence, FDCA did not have procedures to re-route the RFP to a designated substitute individual. Instead, the RFP was automatically forwarded to the program administrator for signature. Additionally, FDCA did not detect a discrepancy in the funding period for the RFF resulting in the \$42,990.49 payment.
Effect	Without ensuring that appropriate approvals for the RFF and the RFP are obtained and that costs associated with a RFF were incurred during the funding period, FDCA could provide Federal grant money for the payment of costs that were improper or incurred outside the terms of a Federally-funded subgrant agreement.
Recommendation	We recommend that CDBG personnel obtain all required approvals from authorized subgrantee personnel and from appropriate CDBG personnel prior to authorizing subgrantee payments. We also recommend that FDCA personnel verify that costs included on RFFs are incurred within the specified period of availability prior to payment of the corresponding RFP.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	David R. Vick, CPA, Audit Manager davidvick@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-9100
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	<p>The Department understands the importance of having the appropriate protocols in place to approve payments to subgrantees. To that end, the following is the Department's observations and responses relative to the bullets mentioned under the Conditions section to which the finding was based.</p> <p>* The information under bullet one referencing contract #05DB-2Q-10-66-01-H13 (St. Lucie County) is accurate. Staff has corrected this issue and now has the corrected signature authorization form on file.</p> <p>*In response to the issue of the approval process and lack of signature of a planning manager, the Department deems it appropriate for RFP's to automatically default to the program administrator for approval in the absence of a planning manager. Although it is incumbent upon the planning manager to review the requests for accuracy prior to approval by the program administrator, the program administrator has the final signoff from a program perspective. The program administrator is attesting to the fact that the information is accurate and valid in accordance with all contractual and departmental procedures. To suggest that a program administrator should not have the authority to approve requests in the absence of any process review staff is to undermine the hierarchical structure of the Department. The Department disagrees with this approach and will continue to ensure that a justifiable checks and balance system remains in place to maintain the integrity of the CDBG program.</p> <p>*This issue is relative to the City of Bunnell, RFP in the amount of \$42,990.49. It is accurate that the RFP was processed after the expiration of the contract period. However, it is allowable to continue to draw down funding after the contract has expired as long as the work has been completed prior to expiration of the contract. Staff researched this issue and confirmed that the work was completed prior to expiration of the contract. The program used the Engineers Certification, which was submitted on February 12, 2007, as its source of verification. The Engineer's Certification indicated that the work was completed by January 25, 2007, which was prior to the expiration of the contract which was January 27, 2007.</p>

**Estimated Corrective
Action Date****Agency Contact and
Telephone Number**

Esrone McDaniels
(850) 922-1879

Auditor's Remarks

FDCA should ensure that its established approval process for subgrantee payments is followed. In rare instances where the process cannot be followed, FDCA should ensure that the necessity for modifying the established approval process is appropriately documented and that the approver acknowledges his or her expanded responsibility for review.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Finding Number	FA 07-006
CFDA Number	14.228
Program Title	Community Development Block Grants/State's Program (CDBG)
Compliance Requirement	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles
State Agency	Florida Department of Community Affairs (FDCA)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	Various
Finding Type	Material Weakness and Significant Deficiency
Finding	FDCA did not have appropriate controls in FloridaPAPERS (Process Automation and Paperless Electronic Routing System) to ensure that requests for payment were properly approved. Additionally, access, system documentation, and change management controls in the Grants Records Information Tracking System (GRITS) were not sufficient.
Criteria	Chapter 3.2, Federal Information System Controls Audit Manual – <i>establish passwords to identify and authenticate users</i> ; COBIT 3.0, Section AI2.16, <i>User Reference and Support Materials</i> ; COBIT 4.0, Section DS5.3, <i>Identity Management</i>
Condition	<p>FDCA uses FloridaPAPERS, a computerized document management system to electronically store, transmit, and approve Request for Funds (RFFs) for the CDBG Program. During the 2006-07 fiscal year, \$57,928,002.61 was processed for payment to subgrantees using FloridaPAPERS. FDCA procedures require subgrantees to transmit the RFFs to CDBG staff for authorization and subsequent approval by the CDBG Community Program Manager, prior to being sent to Finance and Accounting for payment. Within FloridaPAPERS, a predetermined workflow specifies the approval sequence for a particular RFF. This workflow was originally established by the CDBG Program and implemented by FDCA's Information Services security staff; however, the CDBG Operations Manager and Financial Specialist had the capability to modify the sequence to send the RFF directly to Finance and Accounting for payment, eliminating required approvals. As further described in finding No. FA 07-005, we noted instances in which the RFFs in the payment files did not contain all required or appropriate electronic signatures.</p> <p>In addition to FloridaPAPERS, FDCA also uses the Grants Records Information Tracking System (GRITS) for the CDBG Program. GRITS is used to track CDBG grants and related activities, including payments and subgrantee monitoring. There are no user manual, diagrams, or system documentation available for GRITS. In addition, changes to GRITS programming are made directly to the live database by a contractor based on e-mail and verbal instructions from the CDBG Operations Manager. The changes are not subject to testing prior to being uploaded into GRITS. We also identified additional control deficiencies that are not disclosed in this report to avoid the possibility of compromising FDCA's controls. However, the appropriate Department personnel have been notified of the deficiencies.</p>
Cause	<p>FDCA originally created FloridaPAPERS for the Florida Public Assistance Program and modified the system for use by several programs including CDBG. Because the system was originally created with the intent to provide flexibility in order to expedite disaster payments, controls over the approval process were limited.</p> <p>A consulting firm created GRITS for CDBG and did not provide FDCA with a user manual. FDCA scheduled GRITS for replacement approximately four years ago.</p>

	<p>At that time, a decision was made not to continue to enhance GRITS, but to provide needed maintenance and minor updates or changes.</p>
Effect	<p>Improper payments could be made without detection by appropriate CDBG management.</p> <p>According to FDCA personnel, GRITS is used constantly by all staff members and meets a large number of tracking, reporting, and informational needs. However, given the security, system documentation, and change management deficiencies, the integrity of the data contained within GRITS is compromised. Without system documentation, including documentation and testing of system changes, staff may not be aware of the functions contained within GRITS or the purpose of system fields.</p>
Recommendation	<p>On November 28, 2007, FDCA personnel indicated that manuals and system documentation related to GRITS have now been organized. We recommend FDCA enhance its procedures to ensure that RFFs contain all required approvals. FDCA should consider whether FloridaPAPERS should be modified to prevent changes to the approval process. Additionally, FDCA should ensure that GRITS security is enhanced, and that changes are appropriately approved, documented, and tested prior to being placed in operation.</p>
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	<p>David R. Vick, CPA, Audit Manager davidvick@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4494</p>
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	<p>FloridaPAPERS utilizes industry standard, login/password authentication to allow access to use the system. FloridaPAPERS was designed to automate and expedite the manual workflow of documents throughout the lifecycle of a process. The system was engineered to allow predetermined workflow to be established based on process criteria. The ability to lock this process down has always been available, but not desired. It has been the FDCA's desire to utilize FloridaPAPERS to rapidly duplicate the manual workflow process, to quickly ascertain the status of a workflow item and audit actual workflow events, which is not always easily evident in a manual procedure. FloridaPAPERS allows CDBG the flexibility to route around an absent or vacant position, route back to a previous approver, and even route out of the normal workflow process when a management perspective may be required given a specific circumstance. The use of FloridaPAPERS has greatly reduced the program's processing time and provides CDBG with much more visibility than we have ever experienced previously.</p> <p>The Department will continue to streamline its processes to ensure that appropriate checks and balances are in place to protect the integrity of data and the approval process. Systems periodically must be updated to reflect the most current federal changes and to cure any malfunctions of the systems. The Department as a whole is migrating to a new Grants Management System that will eventually replace many of the systems that the CDBG program currently utilizes. However, until those systems are fully operational, the Department will continue to enhance the current data systems in place to meet its internal needs and comply with its federal mandates.</p>
Estimated Corrective Action Date	<p>March 2008</p>
Agency Contact and Telephone Number	<p>Esrone McDaniels (850) 922-1879</p>

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Finding Number	FA 07-007
CFDA Number	14.228
Program Title	Community Development Block Grants/State's Program (CDBG)
Compliance Requirement	Reporting
State Agency	Florida Department of Community Affairs (FDCA)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	Various
Finding Type	Material Noncompliance and Significant Deficiency
Finding	FDCA did not prepare the Section 3 reports required annually for periods beginning in 2001.
Criteria	24 CFR 135.3(a) <i>Section 3 covered assistance</i> ; 24 CFR 135.90 <i>Section 3 Reporting</i> ; 24 CFR 570.487(d) <i>Other applicable laws and required program requirements</i>
Condition	For each grant over \$200,000 that involves housing rehabilitation, housing construction, or other public construction, FDCA has been required since June 2001 to submit an annual Section 3 Summary Report, <i>Economic Opportunities for Low- and Very Low-Income Persons</i> . The Section 3 Summary Report requires information on employment and training and contracts awarded, such as dollar amounts of award, number of new hires that are Section 3 residents, and total number of Section 3 businesses receiving contracts. FDCA did not submit any Section 3 Summary Reports, although it received Federal funds totaling \$58,123,348 for 10 applicable Federal grants during the 2006-07 fiscal year.
Cause	FDCA personnel indicated that they were not aware of the Section 3 reporting requirement.
Effect	Absent Section 3 reporting, FDCA did not document that in its operations and the operations of its contractors and subcontractors, Section 3 requirements had been followed.
Recommendation	FDCA personnel indicated that FDCA will implement the reporting requirement by requiring all CDBG subgrantees to provide FDCA with a report on Section 3 activities no later than July 31 annually, which will allow FDCA staff to prepare the Section 3 report for submission with its annual performance report to the USDHUD due annually on September 30. We recommend that FDCA ensure that the Section 3 Reports are properly prepared and timely submitted to USDHUD. Additionally, we recommend that FDCA implement procedures to ensure that all governing Federal regulations are timely identified and implemented.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	David R. Vick, CPA, Audit Manager davidvick@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-9100
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	The Department intends to fully comply with the recommendation listed above.
Estimated Corrective Action Date	March 2008
Agency Contact and Telephone Number	Esrone McDaniels (850) 922-1879

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Finding Number	FA 07-008
CFDA Number	14.228
Program Title	Community Development Block Grants/State's Program (CDBG)
Compliance Requirement	Subrecipient Monitoring, Special Test and Provisions – Environmental Reviews
State Agency	Florida Department of Community Affairs (FDCA)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	Various
Finding Type	Significant Deficiency
Finding	FDCA did not prepare an annual monitoring plan or quarterly schedules, which should include the subgrantees to be monitored and the projected date of the monitoring visit. Additionally, FDCA did not always follow its established procedures for providing monitoring reports to subgrantees, receiving quarterly status reports, and approving and tracking environmental reviews.
Criteria	OMB Circular A-133, §____.400(d), <i>Pass-through entity responsibilities</i> ; 24 CFR 58.71 <i>Request for release of funds and certification</i> ; State of Florida Consolidated Plan – Federal Fiscal Years 2005-2010, Appendix 4, <i>Monitoring of the Small Cities CDBG Program</i> ; FDCA Disaster Recovery Program Action Plan; FDCA 2005 Disaster Recovery Initiative Action Plan; FDCA Monitoring Procedure <i>State Monitoring Plan</i>
Condition	<p>FDCA personnel are responsible for monitoring subgrantee compliance with governing Federal and State rules and regulations and contract terms. During the 2006-07 fiscal year, FDCA provided CDBG pass-through funds totaling \$57,928,003 to subgrantees. FDCA's monitoring procedures require CDBG staff to prepare an annual monitoring plan and a more detailed monitoring schedule at the beginning of each quarter, to perform monitoring visits, and to track monitoring visits and findings. FDCA developed and used various checklists to ensure uniform monitoring processes. FDCA is also responsible for approval of subgrantees' requests for release of funds (RROF) and environmental certification. Our review of FDCA's monitoring files for 15 subgrantees disclosed the following.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • FDCA staff did not prepare the required monitoring plan or quarterly schedules. Although FDCA grant managers did prepare 90-day Spending Plans that included descriptions of estimated travel, the plan did not always include subgrantees to be monitored, monitoring areas to be addressed, and dates of monitoring visits. • FDCA procedures required a monitoring report be provided to the subgrantee within 35 working days after the visit. For 1 of 12 applicable subgrantees, FDCA mailed the monitoring report to the subgrantee 53 working days after the visit, 18 working days late. The report contained 3 concerns identified during the monitoring visit. • Subgrantees were required to submit a status report to FDCA quarterly, which provided updated information by program activity. For 1 of the 13 applicable subgrantees, we noted that while comments within GRITS indicated the reports had been received, copies of neither of the 2 required reports could be located. The Quarterly Progress Reports log maintained in GRITS to record the dates reports are received was blank. • For 1 of 15 subgrantees, FDCA approved an RROF and certification that was signed by an unauthorized subgrantee representative. FDCA records indicated that FDCA staff approved the RROF under the assumption that authority had

	been delegated to the subgrantee representative who signed the RROF.
Cause	FDCA did not always follow its established monitoring procedures.
Effect	Absent adequate controls to ensure that FDCA's established monitoring procedures are followed, FDCA lacks assurance that subgrantees are properly monitored to reasonably ensure their compliance with governing Federal and State rules and regulations and contract terms.
Recommendation	We recommend that FDCA follow its established procedures for CDBG subgrantee monitoring.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	David R. Vick, CPA, Audit Manager davidvick@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4494
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	<p>The Department's response, as follows, directly corresponds to the bulleted items in the Conditions portion of this finding.</p> <p>* Although there was no formal written monitoring plan, the Department does have a process by which monitoring visits were scheduled and conducted in addition to issuing reports subsequent to the site visits. Also, it has been policy to conduct at least three (3) monitoring visits per subgrantee: one initial site visit, a monitoring visit upon 50% completion of the project, and the last to occur upon closeout of the grant. Also, depending on the nature of the monitoring visit, desk-top monitoring is an alternative tool used to confirm that provisions of the subgrant agreement are complied with. However, although the policy above is standard, it does not preclude the Department from performing more frequent visits/monitorings to those subgrantees that are experiencing challenges in their local areas. Based on a risk assessment, the Department may choose to take more of an aggressive roll with one subgrantee over another. This is why the "one size fits all" approach does not work in many cases. To that end, the Department is currently working on formalizing an overall monitoring approach to the extent possible to ensure uniformity and consistency. The plan should be completed by March 2008.</p> <p>* As it relates to this issue, the Town of Eatonville experienced a number of administrative and financial issues at the time this monitoring was being conducted. Staff had a difficult time securing information from the subgrantee, but was willing to provide technical assistance in an effort to progress the grant forward. However, in doing that, the report was delayed as outlined by the auditor. Staff concurs with the audit finding and has already instituted a policy that requires that any follow-up documentation needed from the subgrantee following a site visit should be submitted within seven (7) days of the exit conference. Failure to provide such documentation will result in a finding or concern being issued.</p> <p>* Staff concurs with the audit finding and will ensure that appropriate measures are taken to correct this issue.</p> <p>* Staff concurs with the audit finding and will ensure that appropriate measures are taken to correct this issue.</p>
Estimated Corrective Action Date	March 2008
Agency Contact and Telephone Number	Esrone McDaniels (850) 922-1879

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Finding Number	FA 07-009
CFDA Number	17.225
Program Title	Unemployment Insurance (UI)
Compliance Requirement	Eligibility
State Agency	Florida Agency for Workforce Innovation (FAWI)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	N/A
Finding Type	Significant Deficiency
Prior Year Finding	Audit Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-009

Finding Although FAWI implemented some corrective actions, deficiencies reported in the prior audit regarding the implementation of adequate FAWI management security control procedures for the Unemployment Compensation (UC) System continued to exist during the 2006-07 fiscal year. Also, improvements were needed in certain cross-match application processes and the FAWI Benefit Accuracy Measurement Unit was not independent of the areas it evaluated.

Criteria Federal Information System Controls Audit Manual (FISCAM), *Access Controls (AC) 2*; Control Objectives for Information and Related Technology (COBIT), *Delivery and Support (DS) 5*, and *Acquisition and Implementation (AI) 6*; 20 CFR 603.8, *Obtaining information from other agencies and crossmatching with wage information*; 20 CFR 602.20, *Organization*; ET Handbook No. 395; Sections 110.1127(1) and 435.04(1), Florida Statutes, *Employee security checks*, and *Level 2 screening standards*, respectively; and FAWI Procedural Instruction Nos. 05-01 and 06-07

Condition As similarly noted in the prior audit Finding No. FA 06-009 and more recently in audit report No. 2008-037:

- FAWI had not designated positions of special trust and had not performed adequate background checks, including fingerprinting, of employees occupying positions with sensitive information technology responsibilities and access privileges. (Finding No. 1)
- Improvements were needed regarding access controls as well as certain security controls protecting the UC System. (Finding Nos. 2 and 3)
- Improvements were needed in program change controls over the UC System. (Finding No. 8)
- Improvements were needed in the cross-match application processing with the Florida Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles and the Social Security Administration. (Finding Nos. 4 and 5)
- The FAWI Benefit Accuracy Measurement unit's organizational placement was not independent of the areas subject to its evaluation. (Finding No. 6)

Details of these audit findings are included in audit report No. 2008-037.

Cause FAWI management had not fully developed and implemented UC System security control policies and procedures and cross-match application processes. In addition, FAWI placed the Benefit Accuracy Measurement unit within UC Claims and Benefits.

Effect The lack of a well-designed security program could lead to insufficient protection of sensitive or critical UC System resources. Also, without adherence to established procedures, the risk that FAWI may pay ineligible recipients is increased.

Recommendation	We recommend that FAWI continue to improve UC System security control procedures and take necessary actions to address the recommendations in audit report No. 2008-037.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Sherrill Norman, CPA, Audit Manager sherrillnorman@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-9316
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	<p>FAWI management's detailed response to these findings is included in audit report No. 2008-037.</p> <p>Although no Agency response is required, FAWI is providing updated information for each of the bullets listed above.</p> <p>Bullet 1 - On June 26, 2007, the Agency Director adopted and began implementation of AWI Policy No. 1.08, Positions of Special Trust. In accordance with this policy, Agency staff identified Information Technology (IT) positions that met the definition of "special trust" due to their sensitive location and/or ability to access and alter confidential data. On October 9, 2007, the Agency Director designated these identified IT positions as those of special trust, and the Agency subsequently notified the affected personnel. On January 29, 2008, the Agency finalized and executed a criminal history information sharing agreement with the Florida Department of Law Enforcement (FDLE). This agreement will allow FDLE to obtain State and Federal background screenings (Level 2) and share the resulting information with the Agency. All staff occupying these positions have been fingerprinted and requests for Level 2 criminal history checks have been submitted to FDLE. The results of a Level 2 screening request are typically received within approximately two months from the date of submission, depending upon processing by the Federal Bureau of Investigations (FBI).</p> <p>Bullet 2 - FAWI has identified the mainframe reports and settings necessary to eliminate this audit finding. FAWI is currently working collaboratively with the Florida Department of Management Services (DMS) staff to modify current settings and reports to provide necessary monitoring. Testing and implementation will continue through June 2008.</p> <p>Bullet 3 - FAWI has identified and adopted change control procedures for its UC system. Currently, FAWI is testing the change control procedures for full implementation in March 2008.</p> <p>Bullet 4 - FAWI is reviewing its procedures relating to the cross-matched data received from the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles and the Social Security Administration. This will ensure that potential issues arising from the mismatches are promptly resolved and that access to the mismatched data is appropriately restricted to those individuals that have a specific need to access the information in the daily performance of their job duties.</p> <p>Bullet 5 - With respect to the staff of the Benefit Accuracy Measurement unit, FAWI has already adopted a new organizational chart that removes unit staff from the control of the Claims and Benefits Operations Manager. The UC Manager responsible for the Benefit Accuracy Measurement Unit, Federal Reporting, Quality Improvement, Tax Performance System, and the Internal Security Unit now reports directly to the Director for Unemployment Compensation Services.</p>
Estimated Corrective Action Date	<p>Bullet 1 - April 30, 2008.</p> <p>Bullet 2 - June 2008.</p> <p>Bullet 3 - March 2008.</p> <p>Bullet 4 - March 2008.</p> <p>Bullet 5 - FAWI considers this finding corrected.</p>

**Agency Contact and
Telephone Number**

Bullet 1 - Rosa McNaughton, General Counsel
(850) 245-7154

Bullets 2 thru 5 - Cynthia Lorenzo, Deputy Director
(850) 245-7153

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Finding Number	FA 07-010
CFDA Number	17.225
Program Title	Unemployment Insurance (UI)
Compliance Requirement	Period of Availability of Federal Funds
State Agency	Florida Agency for Workforce Innovation (FAWI)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	UI-12632-03-55 2003
Finding Type	Questioned Costs – \$19,500
Finding	FAWI charged a Federal grant award for obligations that did not occur during the award's funding period.
Criteria	29 CFR 97.23, <i>Period of availability of funds</i> ; UI Program Annual Funding Agreement; ET Handbook No. 336
Condition	FAWI charged to the 2003 grant \$19,500 for expenditures incurred after the funding period had expired.
Cause	FAWI moved the amount to the expired 2003 grant in error.
Effect	The expenditures incurred after expiration of the grant's funding period could be subject to disallowance by USDOL.
Recommendation	We recommend that FAWI follow established procedures to ensure that all charges to Federal grant awards are the result of obligations incurred during the applicable funding periods. We also recommend that FAWI make correcting entries to its accounting records to charge the appropriate grant.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Sherrill Norman, CPA, Audit Manager sherrillnorman@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-9316
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	As recommended in the audit finding, correcting entries were made in October 2007. The final correcting entries moved the questioned charges to grant UCB06, for which the expenditures were both allowable and within the period of availability. FAWI will continuously emphasize the importance of following established procedures to ensure that all charges to Federal grant awards are the result of obligations incurred during the applicable funding periods.
Estimated Corrective Action Date	Correcting entries were made in October 2007.
Agency Contact and Telephone Number	Cynthia Lorenzo, Deputy Director (850) 245-7153

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Finding Number	FA 07-011
CFDA Number	17.225
Program Title	Unemployment Insurance (UI)
Compliance Requirement	Procurement and Suspension and Debarment
State Agency	Florida Department of Revenue (FDOR)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	UI-15115-06-55 2006 and UI157920755 2007
Finding Type	Significant Deficiency Questioned Costs – \$126,360
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-010
Finding	Contrary to State law, FDOR processed a contract renewal without justification and adequate review and approval. Additionally, FDOR did not have procedures to determine whether or not contractors were suspended or debarred prior to entering into covered transactions with the contractors.
Criteria	Sections 287.057(14)(a) and (19), Florida Statutes, <i>Procurement of commodities or contractual services</i> ; Florida Department of Management Services Rules 60A-1.016 and 60A-1.048, Florida Administrative Code, <i>Contract and Purchase Order Requirements and Renewal and Extension</i> , respectively; ET Handbook No. 336; 29 CFR 97.35, <i>Subawards to debarred and suspended parties</i> ; 29 CFR 97.36, <i>Procurement</i> ; and 29 CFR 98.300, <i>What must I do before I enter into a covered transaction with another person at the next lower tier?</i>
Condition	<p>FDOR administered Unemployment Tax (UT) collection services for the UI Program pursuant to an interagency agreement with the Florida Agency for Workforce Innovation. For one renewed contract in the amount of \$126,360, for the period August 1, 2006, through July 31, 2007, we noted that, contrary to State law, FDOR's contract file did not contain documentation to justify that the renewal was in the best interest of the State and the UI Program or that the contractor's performance was satisfactory. Further, the contract renewal was not reviewed by program, financial, and legal staff, or approved by an authorized FDOR representative.</p> <p>Additionally, in our test of UI Program disbursements by FDOR, we noted that for four covered transactions exceeding \$25,000, FDOR had not verified that the contractor was not suspended or debarred from receiving Federal funds by obtaining a certification from the contractor, reviewing the General Services Administration <i>List of Parties Excluded From Federal Procurement and Nonprocurement Programs</i>, or adding a clause to the covered transaction contract that the contractor was not suspended or debarred from receiving Federal funds. Contract amounts for the four covered transactions totaled \$3,538,178. On the date of our review, none of the parties to the four covered transactions were listed on the General Services Administration <i>List of Parties Excluded From Federal Procurement and Nonprocurement Programs</i>.</p>
Cause	FDOR procedures did not ensure that justification for contract renewals, evidence of satisfactory performance evaluations, and proper agency approvals were obtained prior to entering into contract renewals. Additionally, FDOR had not implemented procedures to verify that contractors were not suspended or debarred, or otherwise excluded by the Federal Government from participation in the UI Program, prior to entering into covered transactions.
Effect	FDOR cannot demonstrate that UI Program funds are used only for allowable purposes in accordance with Federal guidelines without proper verification of contractor eligibility, justification that contract renewals are in the best interest of

	the State and the UI Program, and documentation of satisfactory contractor performance prior to renewal. Further, Federal funds may be subject to misuse absent proper review and approval prior to obligation.
Recommendation	During the 2006-07 fiscal year, FDOR updated its <i>Purchasing and Contract Management Manual</i> to require that Contract Managers verify that the vendor is not debarred prior to expending Federal funds over the amount of \$25,000, and subsequently designed a contract renewal template to provide criteria that, when followed, would document compliance with applicable laws. FDOR should continue its efforts to implement the updated <i>Purchasing and Contract Management Manual</i> .
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Sherrill Norman, CPA, Audit Manager sherrillnorman@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-9316
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	<p>Debarred Vendors by the Federal Government</p> <p>The Department added the following Section (7.20) to the Department's Purchasing and Contract Management Manual on February 1, 2007.</p> <p>7.20 Use of Federal Funds</p> <p>Prior to expending federal funds in excess of \$25,000, the Department must confirm that the vendor being used is not debarred by the federal government. In accordance with the Code of Federal Regulations (29 CFR 97.35), "Grantees and subgrantees must not make any award or permit any award (subgrant or contract) at any tier to any party which is debarred or suspended or is otherwise excluded from or ineligible for participation in Federal assistance programs under Executive Order 12549, Debarment and Suspension."</p> <p>If federal funds are being used for a purchase, the requester is required to verify the vendor being used is not debarred by visiting the Excluded Parties List System (EPLS) LINK PROVIDED IN MANUAL website hosted by the federal government. At this site, requesters can insert a partial or full name of the entity desired for the purchase in the box on the site entitled "Search – Current Exclusions." The query will return any exact or partial matches in the system, and the requester will need to verify the proposed vendor is not debarred from use.</p> <p>For these purchases utilizing federal funds, the requesting Program must include the EPLS search results confirming that the intended vendor for the purchase has not been debarred by the federal government.</p> <p>For commodity purchases, the EPLS search results document shall be included as an image with the MyFloridaMarketPlace requisition.</p> <p>For service contracts utilizing federal funds, the EPLS results document shall be included with the Contract Routing Form at the contract's initial execution. The debarment status must be readdressed with a new search at any point during the contract's life when:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) there is an amendment adding \$25,000 or more to the contract value, 2) at contract renewal, 3) or contract extension. <p>For procurements involving the Request for Quote, Invitation to Bid, Request for Proposal, or Invitation to Negotiate processes, it is strongly urged that the debarment search be done for all submitting firms immediately after quotes/bids/proposals are received. Performing this search early in the review process may eliminate an unnecessary review of an ineligible response from a debarred vendor.</p>

Renewal of contracts - State's best interest and performance evaluation

Section 12.1 of the Purchasing and Contract Management Manual was updated on January 2, 2008, to include a requirement that a template is to be used to process contract renewals. The template includes a required statement that it is in the best interests of the state to renew. The new renewal template also includes a performance evaluation form that must be completed by the Contract Manager and attached with contract renewal documentation when the contract renewal is executed for signature. The template may be provided, if requested.

**Estimated Corrective
Action Date**

Federal debarment 2/1/2007
Renewal documentation 1/2/2008

**Agency Contact and
Telephone Number**

Bo Searce Purchasing Director
(850) 922-2994

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Finding Number	FA 07-012
CFDA Number	17.225
Program Title	Unemployment Insurance (UI)
Compliance Requirement	Reporting
State Agency	Florida Agency for Workforce Innovation (FAWI)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	Unemployment Compensation (UC), Unemployment Compensation for Federal Civilian Employees (UCFE), and Unemployment Compensation for Ex-Service Members (UCX)
Finding Type	Other
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-011
Finding	FAWI reported on the Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings that the prior audit finding was partially corrected. We noted that, although FAWI took measures to more accurately report certain amounts on the quarterly ETA 227 Overpayment Detection and Recovery Activities reports, other amounts continued to be misstated.
Recommendation	We again recommend that FAWI continue its efforts to ensure the accuracy of the amounts reported on the ETA 227 reports. Such efforts should include appropriate modifications to the computer program used to extract information from the UC System.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Sherrill Norman, CPA, Audit Manager sherrillnorman@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-9316
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	FAWI completed its efforts to ensure the accuracy of the data reported on the ETA-227 during July 2007, which was after the end of the audit period. After data issues were resolved in July, corrected quarterly reports were transmitted to the U.S. Department of Labor. While FAWI remains aware that certain automated processes within the benefits system can result in misstated data, the FAWI has amended its procedures to avoid these situations. In addition, the new procedures allow errors to be detected and corrected prior to reports being submitted.
Estimated Corrective Action Date	FAWI considers this finding corrected.
Agency Contact and Telephone Number	Cynthia Lorenzo, Deputy Director (850) 245-7153

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Finding Number	FA 07-013
CFDA Number	17.225
Program Title	Unemployment Insurance (UI)
Compliance Requirement	Special Tests and Provisions – Employer Experience Rating
State Agency	Florida Agency for Workforce Innovation (FAWI) Florida Department of Revenue (FDOR)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	N/A
Finding Type	Significant Deficiency
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-012
Finding	Our audit of the methodology used in the determination of the annual UI tax rate again disclosed that the FAWI and FDOR UI tax rate calculation process did not promote the assessment of UI taxes in a manner that is accurate and consistent with Florida law.
Criteria	Sections 443.131(3) and 443.151, Florida Statutes, <i>Variation of contribution rates based on benefit experience</i> and <i>Procedure concerning claims</i> , respectively; and Systems Auditability and Control (SAC), Module 7, Chapter 3
Condition	<p>Our audit of the UI tax rate calculation process disclosed the following deficiencies that continued to contribute to the assessment of incorrect UI tax rates:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prior to calculating the 2007 UI tax rates, FAWI did not perform reconciliations between the detail and summary benefit and wage data within the Tax Registration Accounting Information Network (TRAIN) Subsystem. TRAIN is a subsystem of the Unemployment Compensation (UC) System that records wage data for use in processing claims for UI Program benefits and is also used by FDOR to calculate and record collections of employer taxes. • UC System programming continued to incorrectly allow the employers' shares of benefit payments to be undercharged to the employers' accounts when overpayments were established and subsequently recovered through offsets against current benefit payments. • FAWI and FDOR used noncharge benefits amounts in the calculation of the 2007 UI tax rate that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Included benefit overpayments that had not been reduced by amounts recovered from claimants through offsets of UI benefits for one of the three preceding fiscal years. ▪ Were not properly adjusted for all overpayment redeterminations (i.e., redeterminations of previously established overpayments that were subsequently increased, decreased, or canceled). • An Information Technology audit of the UI Program disclosed that deficiencies continued to exist regarding the lack of FAWI-established policy, procedure, or other written guidance to support the methodology used in the tax rate calculation process. The details of these deficiencies and our recommendations are included in audit report No. 2008-037, finding No. 7.
Cause	FAWI and FDOR had not completed necessary system programming changes prior to the 2007 tax rate calculation. FDOR indicated that staff had devoted their efforts to the impending move of unemployment tax collections from the TRAIN Subsystem to the SUNTAX System.

Effect	Absent reconciliation to the detail data, FAWI cannot demonstrate that the summary data used in the annual UI tax rate calculations is accurate. Also, employer UI tax rates were not established in compliance with applicable statutory provisions. In addition, the above-noted deficiencies hinder the proper cost allocation of UI benefits to appropriate employers resulting in misstated employer tax rates.
Recommendation	To demonstrate the accuracy and completeness of the data used in the UI tax rate calculation, we continue to recommend that FAWI ensure that timely and complete reconciliations be performed between the detail and summary wage and benefit data. We also continue to recommend that FAWI and FDOR enhance and document the methodology used in the tax rate calculation process and make the necessary system programming changes to ensure that UI tax rates are calculated consistent with Florida law. In addition, we recommend that FAWI take necessary corrective actions as recommended in audit report No. 2008-037, finding No. 7.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Sherrill Norman, CPA, Audit Manager sherrillnorman@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-9316
	<u>Florida Agency for Workforce Innovation</u>
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	<p>In 2005, FAWI agreed to work with the Florida Department of Revenue to maximize the FDOR's resources through unification of its tax service delivery processes by converting the Unemployment Tax program to FDOR's SUNTAX system. During 2006 and 2007, key agency staff and computer programmers have devoted extensive time to ensure an accurate deployment of Unemployment Tax in SUNTAX. The only new programming that FAWI could implement to its legacy mainframe tax system were those issues supporting the implementation of SUNTAX. To ensure accuracy in the transition, planned roll out dates have been postponed twice with a current "go-live" date scheduled for March 2008. Because of the level of activity required by this project, sufficient resources were not available to establish procedures for the recommended reconciliations.</p> <p>The second condition cited by the Auditor General and the second bullet under the third bullet are essentially the same. To address this condition, FAWI has designed an automated report from the UC Claims and Benefits mainframe system that identifies the universe of claims that have new or redetermined overpayments on claims. This includes cancelled overpayments. This report, which was not implemented until after the audit period, will ensure that all adjustments to employer noncharges resulting from claims with a benefit overpayment will be adjusted.</p> <p>With respect to the first bullet under the third condition, the report that provides this information was not created until 2005. Consequently, three years of data were not available until 2007 for use in the 2008 rate calculation.</p> <p>Documentation noted as being lacking by the fourth condition will be available for unemployment tax as created in the SUNTAX environment. This will include guidance to support the methodology used in the rate calculation process.</p>
Estimated Corrective Action Date	The 2009 tax rate calculation will mark the first year that unemployment rates are calculated through the Department of Revenue's SUNTAX system. Procedures for reconciliations of summary and detail data will be implemented by that time. In addition, documentation relating to the tax rate calculation methodology will be completed prior to the 2009 rate calculation process. Although a report has been created that identifies claims requiring a benefit charge adjustment resulting from a redetermined overpayment, tax rates are based on three years of data. Therefore, it will take three years before FAWI accumulates the data necessary to satisfy this condition.

Agency Contact and Telephone Number Cynthia Lorenzo, Deputy Director
(850) 245-7153

Florida Department of Revenue

State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan This is a repeat finding and FDOR continues to phase unemployment tax into FDOR's integrated SUNTAX system. The process for performing rate calculations was fully documented during the requirements gathering sessions and should be incorporated in the SUNTAX environment.

Estimated Corrective Action Date March 10, 2008

Agency Contact and Telephone Number Nancy Jarvis
Revenue Program Administrator
General Tax Administration
(850) 488-5019

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Finding Number	FA 07-014
CFDA Number	17.258, 17.259, and 17.260
Program Title	Workforce Investment Act Cluster (WIA)
Compliance Requirement	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles and Reporting
State Agency	Florida Agency for Workforce Innovation (FAWI)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	AA-14699-05-55 2005 and 2006
Finding Type	Significant Deficiency
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-013
Finding	Although FAWI continued to implement corrective actions, deficiencies reported in prior audits regarding the One Stop Management Information System (OSMIS) continued to exist during the 2006-07 State fiscal year.
Criteria	Security and other controls for information technology resources; OMB Circular A-133, §____.300(f), <i>Auditee Responsibilities</i>
Condition	OSMIS was designed to provide a "single point of entry" for data related to the operation and management of workforce programs including WIA. In finding No. FA 06-013, we noted that FAWI had not fully corrected deficiencies reported in Report No. 2006-152, finding No. FA 05-008. Although, during the 2006-07 State fiscal year, FAWI continued to take corrective actions for some deficiencies, access and security administration deficiencies still existed at June 30, 2007.
Cause	According to FAWI staff, enhancements to OSMIS security controls were not fully implemented due to the termination of a pertinent service provider contract and the inadvertent closing of a related work order.
Effect	Absent correction of the noted OSMIS deficiencies, there is an increased risk that inappropriate transactions could have been initiated within OSMIS during the audit period and that data and information technology resources could have been subjected to loss or unauthorized disclosure or modification.
Recommendation	We recommend that FAWI take necessary corrective actions to fully correct the OSMIS deficiencies noted.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Sherrill Norman, CPA, Audit Manager sherrillnorman@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-9316
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	In October 2007, all remaining work orders were completed to eliminate the noted security deficiencies and close this audit finding.
Estimated Corrective Action Date	This finding is considered corrected.
Agency Contact and Telephone Number	Cynthia Lorenzo, Deputy Director (850) 245-7157

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Finding Number	FA 07-015
CFDA Number	17.258, 17.259, and 17.260
Program Title	Workforce Investment Act Cluster (WIA)
Compliance Requirement	Matching, Level of Effort, Earmarking
State Agency	Florida Agency for Workforce Innovation (FAWI)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	AA-12919-03-50 2003 and 2004
Finding Type	Questioned Costs – \$85,039
Finding	FAWI did not ensure Regional Workforce Board (RWB) compliance with WIA local area earmarking requirements.
Criteria	Sections 128(b)(4)(A), <i>Within State Allocations</i> , and 129(c)(4)(A), <i>Use of Funds for Youth Activities</i> , Workforce Investment Act of 1998; 20 CFR 664.320, <i>Out-of-School Youth</i>
Condition	<p>WIA funds are subject to several earmarking requirements, including local area requirements applicable to the State's 24 RWBs. FAWI submitted final closeout financial status reports for WIA grant No. AA-12919-03-50 in September 2006. Our review of the support for that report disclosed the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For one RWB, administrative costs totaling \$138,837 represented 11.3 percent of the RWB's allocation of \$1,229,802 and, thereby, exceeded by \$15,857 the 10 percent allowed by WIA regulations. • Another RWB reported out-of-school youth expenditures totaling \$110,318, or 18.4 percent of the total RWB WIA youth expenditures of \$598,334. The amount of out-of-school youth expenditures reported was \$69,182 less than the 30 percent minimum required by WIA regulations.
Cause	FAWI combined the 24 RWBs' expenditures for reporting purposes and, in aggregate, the earmarking requirements appear to have been met. However, FAWI did not timely seek to resolve instances of individual RWB noncompliance with the WIA earmarking requirements.
Effect	Absent effective oversight and review procedures, FAWI cannot ensure that WIA local area earmarking requirements are met by the RWBs.
Recommendation	We recommend that FAWI enhance procedures for reviewing RWB expenditures to ensure that applicable earmarking requirements are met. Subsequent to audit inquiry, FAWI contacted the two RWBs regarding the instances of noncompliance noted above. FAWI should continue to take appropriate action with the RWBs to resolve the questioned costs.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Sherrill Norman, CPA, Audit Manager sherrillnorman@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-9316
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	Adjustments made by the boards have corrected the non-compliance with no resulting questioned costs. Copies of all correspondence to and from the boards have been provided to the Office of the Auditor General. Additional steps were added to our close-out process to ensure FAWI's review of future compliance with earmarking requirements.
Estimated Corrective Action Date	This finding is considered corrected.
Agency Contact and Telephone Number	Cynthia Lorenzo, Deputy Director (850) 245-7153

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Finding Number	FA 07-016
CFDA Number	20.205
Program Title	Highway Planning and Construction
Compliance Requirement	Subrecipient Monitoring
State Agency	Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	N/A
Finding Type	Material Noncompliance and Significant Deficiency
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-014
Finding	Conditions disclosed in the prior audit regarding obtaining and reviewing subrecipient audit reports continued to exist during the 2006-07 fiscal year.
Criteria	OMB Circular A-133, §.200 <i>Audit requirements</i> , §.320(a) <i>Report submission</i> , §.400 <i>Responsibilities</i> , and §.505 <i>Audit reporting</i> ; and FDOT Procedure Topic No. 450-010-001-g, Federal and Florida Single Audit Procedure
Condition	<p>In prior audit reports, most recently in audit report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-014, we disclosed that FDOT program managers did not always follow established procedures for obtaining and reviewing subrecipient audit reports. In response to the finding, FDOT's Office of Inspector General (OIG) personnel indicated they would continue to stress the use of the Single Audit Automated System (System) to document the receipt and review of subrecipient audit reports. However, we again found that the Checklists were not always timely entered into the System. The System accumulates applicable FLAIR expenditures for subrecipient projects to assist in the identification of instances in which subrecipient audits are required. When audits are required, program managers are to complete and submit a Checklist electronically to document their review of the audit reports. The Office of Comptroller, or applicable District Financial Services Office, is responsible for processing subrecipient payments with the appropriate CFDA number and the program managers are responsible for verifying that the correct CFDA number is used.</p> <p>Our review of 28 subrecipient projects (2004-05 fiscal year) with audit reports due to FDOT by no later than June 30, 2006, disclosed the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Thirteen projects for which no Checklist had been entered into the System as of November 8, 2007. For 12 of the 13 projects, program managers could not provide any evidence that the audit reports had been reviewed. For 6 of the 13 projects, program managers could not provide us with any documentation, such as a date stamped audit report cover, evidencing that the audit report had been timely received. • Five projects for which Checklists had not been timely entered into the System. The time between the date the audit reports were received and the date the Checklists were entered into the System ranged from 324 to 421 days. • Twelve projects for which the System had either a blank or an incorrect CFDA number. Our review of an additional sample of 9 2005-06 fiscal year projects in the System disclosed 5 projects which had either a blank or an incorrect CFDA number.
Cause	During the audit period, personnel were not assigned within the Districts to ensure that Checklists were completed in a timely manner for all transactions in the System. Program managers indicated that the primary reason that Checklists were not timely completed was that they were overlooked. Additionally, FDOT

	personnel indicated that the CFDA numbers were not correctly recorded in the System because the contract, when encumbered in FLAIR, was not properly coded.
Effect	Failure to properly code contracts and use the System as intended diminishes FDOT's ability to monitor the timely submission and review of audit reports.
Recommendation	During the 2007-08 fiscal year, FDOT began appointing Single Audit Liaisons in each District to help ensure that checklists are timely completed. We recommend that FDOT continue its efforts to establish District Liaisons and other monitoring procedures to ensure the System is properly used by program managers and that proper codes are recorded for contracts.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	David R. Vick, CPA, Audit Manager davidvick@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4494
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	<p>Concur. The department's oversight efforts have found similar issues with project managers not completing the monitoring checklists in a timely manner. This issue has persisted despite routine guidance and monitoring from the OIG Single Audit Coordinator.</p> <p>As recommended, the department will continue efforts to establish a Single Audit Liaison in each geographic district as a point of contact to resolve single audit related issues, help ensure that checklists are timely completed, and assist in resolving coding issues related to both contracts and checklists.</p>
Estimated Corrective Action Date	June 30, 2008
Agency Contact and Telephone Number	Carlos Mistry, CIA, Audit Manager carlos.mistry@dot.state.fl.us (850) 410-5832

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Finding Number	FA 07-017
CFDA Number	20.205
Program Title	Highway Planning and Construction
Compliance Requirement	Special Tests and Provisions
State Agency	Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	Federal-Aid Project Numbers: 0951-117-I, S113-002-R, SFTL-175-R, SFTL-186-R, SFTL-291-R, SFTL-313-R, and ITS5-016-A
Finding Type	Material Noncompliance and Significant Deficiency
Finding	FDOT personnel did not always obtain Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) approval for the award of design-build contracts.
Criteria	23 CFR 635.114, <i>Award of contract and concurrence in award</i> ; <i>FHWA Special Experimental Project Number 14 (SEP-14) Approval Letter dated December 19, 2001</i> ; and <i>FDOT Design-Build Guidelines</i>
Condition	Nine Federal oversight project contracts totaling approximately \$695 million, including 3 design-build contracts, were awarded during the audit period. FHWA is responsible for various reviews and approvals of oversight projects. Prior to contract award, FDOT is required to submit to FHWA a concurrence in award package that includes such information as the FDOT Selection Committee's decision for award. We reviewed 6 of the 9 awarded contracts, including all 3 design-build contracts. FHWA approval of the award had not been requested or obtained for the 3 design-build contracts totaling approximately \$471.7 million.
Cause	Established FDOT procedures were not followed by FDOT personnel responsible for submitting the concurrence in award information to FHWA.
Effect	Failure to obtain FHWA approval could affect Federal participation in construction costs related to applicable projects.
Recommendation	Subsequent to our inquiry, FHWA concurrence in award was requested and obtained for the 3 contracts and FDOT revised its <i>Design-Build Guidelines</i> to more clearly address the concurrence in award package. We recommend that FDOT ensure FHWA concurrence is requested prior to award for all applicable projects.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	David R. Vick, CPA, Audit Manager davidvick@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4494
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	The Design-Build (D/B) Guidelines, Procedure No. 625-020-010, and the D/B Chapter of the Project Management Handbook have recently been updated clarifying that a Concurrence-in-Award is required for all Federal-aid Oversight projects. This requirement was also discussed at a recent Alternative Task Team meeting. In addition, a checklist of items required on FHWA Design-Build (D/B) Oversight Projects is on the State Construction Office D/B website and the checklist includes an activity for concurrence in award.
Estimated Corrective Action Date	February 1, 2008
Agency Contact and Telephone Number	Derek Fusco, P.E., Specialty Engineer derek.fusco@dot.state.fl.us (850) 414-4167

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Finding Number	FA 07-018
CFDA Number	84.126
Program Title	Rehabilitation Services – Rehabilitation Grants to States
Compliance Requirement	Cash Management
State Agency	Florida Department of Education (FDOE)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	H126A050086 2005 and H126A060086 2006
Finding Type	Significant Deficiency Questioned Costs – \$97,738.74
Finding	FDOE did not have procedures in place to ensure that amounts were accurately reported in the Cash Management Improvement Agreement (CMIA) Annual Report to the Florida Department of Financial Services (FDFS).
Criteria	The Cash Management Improvement Act of 1990; 31 CFR Part 205 §_.13 and §_.14, <i>Federal interest liability</i>
Condition	FDOE is required to annually report drawdown data related to the receipt of Federal funds for Direct Program Costs, Direct Administrative Costs, Payroll Costs and Indirect Costs Components to FDFS to be used in calculating the State's CMIA interest liability. We reviewed the report submitted by FDOE on December 18, 2006, for the 2005-06 fiscal year, as well a revision of this report. We noted that both reports included mistakes, such as mathematical errors, amounts that were improperly excluded, and amounts placed in the wrong category. One specific error was noted in which FDOE understated the total amount reported for CFDA No. 84.126 – Rehabilitation Services – Rehabilitation Grants to States by \$85,690,420.77. As a result, the State's interest liability was understated by \$97,738.74.
Cause	FDOE staff manually entered the data and formulas into the spreadsheet that supplied the detail for the CMIA Annual Report. Additionally, FDOE did not perform an adequate supervisory review.
Effect	The reporting and repayment of the interest liability totaling \$97,738.74 was delayed by a year.
Recommendation	According to FDFS personnel, the interest liability will be included in FDFS's 2006-07 fiscal year interest calculation as a Prior Year State Liability Adjustment. Further, FDFS staff indicated that the interest liability payment will be made by FDFS to the United States Department of the Treasury, Bureau of Financial Management Service, on March 31, 2008. We recommend FDOE management ensure a supervisory review is made prior to submitting the CMIA Annual Report to FDFS.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Joseph L. Williams, CPA, Audit Manager joewilliams@aud.state.fl.us (850) 414-9941
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	The FDOE has instituted multiple supervisory reviews and automated the collection of the fiscal data for the subsequent submissions of the CMIA.
Estimated Corrective Action Date	Completed
Agency Contact and Telephone Number	Jon Manalo, Comptroller, (850) 245-9996 Norman Holley, Assistant Deputy Commissioner, (850) 245-9218

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Finding Number	FA 07-019
CFDA Number	84.002 and 84.048
Program Title	Adult Education – State Grant Program Vocational Education – Basic Grants to States
Compliance Requirement	Subrecipient Monitoring
State Agency	Florida Department of Education (FDOE)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	N/A
Finding Type	Significant Deficiency
Finding	FDOE did not complete its scheduled monitoring of subgrantees for the 2006-07 fiscal year.
Criteria	34 CFR 80.40(a), <i>Monitoring and reporting program performance</i> ; OMB Circular A-133, §__.400(d), <i>Pass-through entity responsibilities</i> FDOE Adult Education/Perkins Compliance Monitoring Review Fiscal Year 2006-07 Self-Review Instructions provide that approximately 20 percent of all the funded grant recipients (grantees) will be selected for compliance monitoring each year, with all grantees being monitored at least once during each five year cycle.
Condition	Our testing disclosed that FDOE did not adhere to its monitoring schedule that was established to ensure that all subgrantees were monitored at least once during each five year cycle. FDOE completed on-site monitoring in only 4 of the 15 counties that were scheduled for compliance monitoring reviews.
Cause	Subsequent to audit inquiry, FDOE personnel stated that it had become increasingly evident that the compliance activities being undertaken by Workforce Education staff necessitated the hiring of a compliance specialist with more in-depth compliance knowledge and experience than was possessed by the staff charged with those responsibilities. Although permission was sought and received to hire a new supervisor with this knowledge and experience, the hiring process was delayed because of a major organizational change that occurred in the fall of 2006. Workforce Education, previously included as a subdivision of the Division of Community Colleges, became a separate Division and in March 2007, a Chancellor was appointed to head the Division. Additionally, other personnel shifts within the newly created Division led to the curtailment of on-site compliance visits when members of the compliance team were reassigned to other job responsibilities. It was further noted by FDOE personnel that although the schedule of projected on-site visits was impacted, desk audits continued.
Effect	FDOE and USED had limited assurance that the subgrantees had administered the grant supported activities in accordance with Federal and State requirements.
Recommendation	FDOE personnel indicated that a new compliance supervisor has recently been hired and is in the process of establishing the on-site procedures for the compliance team, working to schedule compliance activities, and should, in the near future, be able to reinstate site visits. We recommend that FDOE continue its efforts to ensure that on-site monitoring reviews are conducted for all subgrantees in a timely manner.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Joseph L. Williams, CPA, Audit Manager joewilliams@aud.state.fl.us (850) 414-9941

State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	<p>Due to a major organizational change in the Fall of 2006, Workforce Education, previously included as a subdivision of the Division of Community Colleges, became a separate Division and in March 2007, a Chancellor was appointed to head the Division. Additionally, other personnel shifts within the newly created Division led to the curtailment of on-site compliance visits when members of the compliance team were reassigned to other job responsibilities. Other compliance monitoring activities continued such as in-depth grant application reviews, desk top monitoring, review of single audits, and the provision of technical assistance and training. Program managers continue to communicate with individual agencies regarding the progress of the implementation of subgrant awards. Additional actions have been taken by the Bureau of Grants Administration and Compliance, Division of Workforce Education.</p> <p>The need for a multi-dimensional and comprehensive system necessitated the hiring of a compliance specialist with more in-depth compliance knowledge and experience. A Director of Compliance/Quality Assurance was hired on August 22, 2007 and became full time September 24, 2007, in the assigned position. The Director provides leadership and supervision in the development, design and implementation of a Quality Assurance system to address compliance and monitoring within the Division of Workforce Education.</p> <p>A risk-based system is being implemented. Those agencies that are demonstrating the lowest performance on core measures/indicators and at higher risk based on a risk assessment will be visited on-site to monitor compliance with applicable federal law and regulations, state statutes and rules. Additional monitoring strategies will also be implemented including such activities as the completion of a self assessment, the development of system improvement plans or corrective action plans. The assigned monitoring strategy will be based on the results of a data review of performance and other designated risk factors. The system is in the final stages of development and it is expected that on-site visits will begin in the spring of 2008.</p>
Estimated Corrective Action Date	April 30, 2008
Agency Contact and Telephone Number	Gloria Spradley Brown, Bureau Chief for Workforce Grants and Compliance (850) 245-9043

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Finding Number	FA 07-020
CFDA Number	84.010 and 84.367
Program Title	Title I Grants to Local Educational Agencies (LEAs) Improving Teacher Quality State Grants
Compliance Requirement	Subrecipient Monitoring
State Agency	Florida Department of Education (FDOE)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	S010A060009A 2006 and S267A060009 2006
Finding Type	Significant Deficiency
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-022
Finding	As noted in the prior year audit, results of FDOE's on-site monitoring visits were not timely communicated to the LEAs.
Criteria	34 CFR 80.40(a), <i>Monitoring and reporting program performance</i> ; OMB Circular A-133, §__.400(d), <i>Pass-through entity responsibilities</i> ; FDOE Internal Operating Procedures Monitoring of Local Educational Agency Program (Technical Assistance Paper) requires preliminary monitoring reports to be completed and submitted to the districts within 30 working days from the end of the on-site visit.
Condition	Our review of 7 monitoring reports for CFDA No. 84.010, Title I Grants to LEAs, and CFDA No. 84.367, Improving Teacher Quality State Grants, disclosed that the reports were completed and submitted to the districts from 99 to 108 working days after completion of the on-site monitoring visits.
Cause	Corrective actions planned and communicated by FDOE in response to audit report No. 2007-146, finding No. FA 06-022 had not been fully implemented by June 30, 2007.
Effect	Untimely issuance of monitoring reports diminishes the usefulness of such reports and FDOE's ability to ensure that necessary corrective actions are taken in a timely manner.
Recommendation	We recommend that FDOE adhere more strictly to its established monitoring guidelines.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Joseph L. Williams, Audit Manager joewilliams@aud.state.fl.us (850) 414-9941
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	FDOE has developed a comprehensive monitoring system that includes an on-line reporting tool to ensure that Florida strictly adheres to established monitoring guidelines. This new on-line reporting tool is being implemented for the 2007-08 monitoring cycle. Additionally, the FDOE will review the timelines specified in the monitoring procedures and revise as appropriate to allow adequate time for development of comprehensive and accurate reports.
Estimated Corrective Action Date	On-line reporting tool - completed Review and revision as appropriate of procedures - September 30, 2008
Agency Contact and Telephone Number	Jan Morphew, Director of K-12 Federal Programs (850) 245-0657

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Finding Number	FA 07-021
CFDA Number	84.010
Program Title	Title I Grants to Local Educational Agencies (LEAs)
Compliance Requirement	Special Tests and Provisions – Comparability
State Agency	Florida Department of Education (FDOE)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	Various
Finding Type	Significant Deficiency
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-023
Finding	FDOE had not fully resolved the issues reported in the prior audit regarding comparability reports.
Criteria	OMB Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement – March 2007, Special Tests and Provisions; 20 USC 6321(c), <i>Comparability of Services</i>
Condition	<p>Comparability is one of the fiscal requirements that LEAs must comply with to continue receiving Title I funds from one school year to the next. An LEA is considered to have met this requirement if it has filed with the State Educational Agency (SEA) a written assurance that the LEA has established and implemented procedures to have met the comparability requirement. The SEA should annually monitor compliance with the comparability requirement.</p> <p>The comparability reports for the 2006-07 school year were due to FDOE by December 4, 2006. Based on our review of a listing obtained from FDOE personnel, 28 of the 67 participating county school boards had not submitted the comparability reports by the due date established by FDOE. The reports were received from 1 to 47 working days after the required due date. FDOE personnel confirmed that for the 2006-07 school year, FDOE completed the initial reviews of comparability reports by February 27, 2007.</p>
Cause	FDOE issued a memorandum to District Superintendents, dated August 29, 2006, informing them of the required submission date; however, FDOE had not established procedures to ensure that the LEAs provided the required comparability reports in a timely manner.
Effect	Noncompliance with comparability requirements may result in a loss of funds for the LEAs.
Recommendation	FDOE is currently working with USED to establish and implement appropriate procedures for ensuring that comparability requirements are met. We recommend that FDOE continue to enhance procedures for monitoring comparability requirements.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Joseph L. Williams, CPA, Audit Manager joewilliams@aud.state.fl.us (850) 414-9941
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	The FDOE has taken significant measures to strengthen its procedures for monitoring of comparability. In the fall of 2007, FDOE created an on-line reporting application for districts to submit comparability data (http://www.fldoe.org/bsa/titleicomparability/). Timely comparability reports for 2007-08 were received from all 67 districts. FDOE staff completed their analyses by the end of November 2007, and all districts demonstrated that they were in compliance with all comparability requirements by the end of January 2008. FDOE will continue to annually monitor compliance in this area using the procedures established for 2007-08.

**Estimated Corrective
Action Date**

Completed

**Agency Contact and
Telephone Number**Mary Jo Butler, Chief, Bureau of Student Assistance
(850) 245-0414

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Finding Number	FA 07-022
CFDA Number	84.027
Program Title	Special Education – Grants to States
Compliance Requirement	Matching, Level of Effort, Earmarking
State Agency	Florida Department of Education (FDOE)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	H027A040024A 2004
Finding Type	Significant Deficiency
Finding	FDOE did not accurately account for capacity building and improvement expenditures.
Criteria	20 USC 1411(f)(4), <i>Subgrants to LEAs</i> ; OMB Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement – May 2005, 4-84.027-5(a)(1)(c) <i>Capacity Building Subgrants to LEAs</i> ; USED Grant Award Notification – Fiscal Year 2004 <i>Allocations Grants to States Individuals with Disabilities Education Act – Part B</i>
Condition	<p>USED Grant Award Notification instructions for Fiscal Year 2004 (Federal Funding Period 07/01/2004 – 09/30/2005), Capacity Building and Improvement Activity, specifies that funds are to be used by Local Educational Agencies (LEAs) to provide direct services and make systematic change to improve results for children with disabilities.</p> <p>Financial reports (Project Disbursement Reports [FA-399's]) submitted by subrecipients showed that FDOE disbursed \$6,813,920.55 to LEAs for capacity building and improvement expenditures under CFDA 84.027 – Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) - <i>Aid to Districts - Special Projects for the 2004 grant</i> (Grant 2625A). However, our review of FLAIR (the State's Accounting System) disclosed that during the 2005-06 fiscal year FDOE transferred expenditures totaling \$670,756.66 from the designated grant (Grant 2625A) to CFDA 84.352 - <i>Grants to Schools Repair and Renovation</i> (Grant 14621).</p>
Cause	FDOE personnel made numerous accounting transfers of grant expenditures from the designated Federal grant award to another Federal program to close out CFDA No. 84.352, which was discontinued in 2003.
Effect	Proper accountability may not be maintained when expenditures are transferred from one program to another.
Recommendation	We recommend that FDOE ensure that subgrants are expended as required by the Federal law and the provisions of the grant agreement.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Joseph L. Williams, CPA, Audit Manager joewilliams@aud.state.fl.us (850) 414-9941
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	<p>The FDOE disagrees with this finding. The attribution of the expenditures from IDEA subgrants to the "School Renovation" grant was appropriate. The full title of the School Renovation Grant was "School Renovation, IDEA, and Technology Grants Program". Throughout the guidance issued by the USED ("Guidance for Fiscal Year 2001") there are numerous references to the appropriateness of expenditures relative to the Individuals with Disabilities Act (IDEA, Part B). For example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> On page 14, the answer to question E4, states in part, "Grant funds [referring to the "Renovation Grant"] that are used to support activities under Part B of the IDEA, must be spent in accordance with that statute and its regulations...."

- On page 15 in answer to question E5, it states, "The requirements that apply to the use of funds granted under section 321 to carry out activities under Part B of the IDEA are the same requirements that apply to use of funds provided under Part B of the IDEA...."

All of the expenditures that were subsequently attributed to the "School Renovation" grant program were allowable and allocable to that program since they were allowable and allocable under the IDEA, Part B, program. The FDOE very carefully made these accounting transfers in accordance with all of the requirements of both programs. Expenditures were not erroneously recorded.

FDOE consulted with legal counsel regarding the appropriateness of these transfers of expenditures. The attorneys stated in part, "...FDOE should be allowed to transfer obligations between programs as long as the obligations are for costs that are allowable under the relevant programs." Since the obligations and expenditures were timely and allowable under both programs, there was no harm to the federal interest in transferring selected expenditures from one to the other.

**Estimated Corrective
Action Date**

N/A

**Agency Contact and
Telephone Number**

Martha K. Asbury, Director, Administrative Services
(850) 245-0735

Auditor's Remarks

The final allowability of the transfer of expenditures and its impact on other compliance matters, including earmarking requirements, will be determined by the grantor agency.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Finding Number	FA 07-023
CFDA Number	84.027 and 84.173
Program Title	Special Education Cluster (IDEA)
Compliance Requirement	Subrecipient Monitoring
State Agency	Florida Department of Education (FDOE)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	N/A
Finding Type	Significant Deficiency
Finding	The results of monitoring reviews were not communicated to subrecipients in a timely manner. In addition, FDOE did not have an effective system in place to track the status of monitoring efforts and subrecipient corrective actions.
Criteria	34 CFR 80.40(a), <i>Monitoring and reporting program performance</i> ; OMB Circular A-133, § 400(d), <i>Pass-through entity responsibilities</i>
Condition	Our review of 13 on-site monitoring reports issued by FDOE disclosed 12 instances in which FDOE issued these reports to the subgrantees 45 to 191 business days after the on-site visits. We considered 40 business days from the monitoring team return from the subgrantee site to be a reasonable time to issue an on-site monitoring report. Also, we noted that FDOE did not have an effective tracking system to help assure the timely submission of the required reports, adequate follow-up on reported deficiencies and that timely corrective actions are taken when deemed necessary.
Cause	<p>FDOE had not established a specific timeframe to issue a final report after conducting an on-site monitoring visit. In response to our audit inquiry, FDOE personnel stated that there was no stated time period for monitoring reports to be compiled and provided to the districts, as the requirement, as stated in the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, is that the districts be provided a written notice of the findings of noncompliance as soon as possible subsequent to the findings of noncompliance.</p> <p>FDOE personnel further indicated that staff generally were responsible for tracking the corrective actions of the districts for which they were assigned as team lead, with periodic updates to be provided through unit meetings or supervisory updates. FDOE personnel further indicated that the high turnover in staff made the tracking process quite difficult.</p>
Effect	The untimely communication of the monitoring reports may delay corrective actions by subgrantees.
Recommendation	FDOE should review its monitoring procedures and take those actions necessary to ensure timely issuance of the monitoring reports. Additionally, FDOE should enhance its monitoring tracking system to ensure that appropriate corrective actions are taken in a timely manner.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Joseph L. Williams, CPA, Audit Manager joewilliams@aud.state.fl.us (850) 414-9941
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	The Bureau of Exceptional Education and Student Services has developed a Web-based monitoring system that focuses on procedural compliance with related federal and state requirements for exceptional student education (ESE). The system aligns with the State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Report (APR) required under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The development of the system establishes a comprehensive monitoring process that

is effective both in timely identification and correction of noncompliance as well as in detecting “patterns” or systemic concerns within districts and across the state. Implemented for the first time in 2007-08, the monitoring system includes:

- Completion of a Web-based self-assessment by all LEAs in the state
- Validation of the process through record sampling and review of district responses by Bureau staff
- Timely correction of noncompliance (correction within 60 days for each student-level incident of noncompliance; correction within one year for findings found to be systemic in nature, i.e., evident in 25% or more of records reviewed)
- Verification of correction of noncompliance

Technical assistance was provided to each district to ensure understanding of the critical components of the review. The self-assessments were due to the Bureau by January 31, 2008; upon submission districts were able to access the results of their review via the Web site. Bureau staff processed the results, and a formal report of findings was provided to each LEA on February 22, 2008 (16 working days after completion). The report includes: a district summary report of findings by standard; a student-level report for use in correcting individual student noncompliance; a corrective action tracking sheet to be submitted to the Bureau upon completion of all individual corrections; and a template for a 10-12 month corrective action plan (CAP), if required, to address systemic findings of noncompliance. Although this report is referred to as the “preliminary report,” it reflects all of the findings of the monitoring process and begins the timeline for correction of noncompliance.

Student-level noncompliance must be corrected no later than April 22, 2008; systemic-level findings must be corrected by December 22, 2008. Documentation of correction of noncompliance and a CAP for systemic findings, if required, are due to the Bureau by April 30, 2008. Within 30 days of receipt by the Bureau, a “final report” that summarizes the findings of noncompliance as well as the district’s status regarding timely correction will be issued. For the 2008-09 school year, FDOE will review the timelines and revise as appropriate.

**Estimated Corrective
Action Date**

June 30, 2008

**Agency Contact and
Telephone Number**

Bambi Lockman, Chief, Bureau of Exceptional Education and Student Services
(850) 245-0941

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Finding Number	FA 07-024
CFDA Number	84.027 and 84.181
Program Title	Special Education Grants to States Special Education Grants for Infants and Families with Disabilities (IDEA)
Compliance Requirement	Subrecipient Monitoring
State Educational Entity	University of South Florida (USF)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	CFDA No. 84.027 COQMP, July 1, 2006 – June 30, 2007 CFDA No. 84.181 COQKE, July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2006 COQMP, July 1, 2006 – June 30, 2007
Finding Type	Material Noncompliance and Significant Deficiency
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-034
Finding	<p>Audit report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-034 indicated that the institution had not effectively implemented policies and procedures to monitor all Federal contracts entered into, on the institution's behalf, by an affiliated organization. The affiliated organization expended Federal funds as a subrecipient of the institution; however, the organization was not subjected to the institution's internal control processes and general oversight.</p> <p>During the 2006-07 fiscal year, the institution certified that monitoring procedures were not implemented and applied to the Florida Department of Health's (FDOH) contracts. Additionally, a written subaward was not executed that identified the Federal grant terms and conditions or enumerated the responsibilities of the institution and subrecipient.</p>
Criteria	<p>OMB Circular A-133, §____.400(d), <i>Pass-through entity responsibilities</i>; USF Policy Number 0-302, <i>Administration of Subcontracts and Subgrants</i></p> <p>When subagreements are executed, the institution's policies and procedures required the Division of Sponsored Research to: (1) produce a subagreement, with institution subgrantees, that ensured the technical requirements of the prime agreement were met; (2) ensure that subrecipients of the institution provided for an audit as required by OMB Circular A-133, and contacted the institution regarding relevant audit findings; (3) process the funds through the institution's normal purchasing channels to ensure that funds are available in the subagreement, and properly encumbered, and dedicated to the purpose of the procurement; (4) maintain records for the required retention period; and (5) monitor subagreement payments through the institution's Controller's Office.</p>
Condition	<p>The institution entered into a subrecipient agreement with FDOH for an Early Steps program of support and services (grant No. COQMP, prior year grant No. COQKE). Pursuant to the agreement, grant moneys were disbursed by FDOH directly to the institution's Faculty Practice Plan administered by the University Medical Services Association, Inc. (UMSA), a component unit of the institution, thereby bypassing the institution's general accounting functions and internal controls. Our review disclosed that the institution did not execute a written subaward with UMSA that identified the Federal grant terms and conditions and that clearly enumerated the responsibilities of the institution and UMSA. Additionally, the institution did not monitor UMSA to ensure that grant moneys were expended and documented in accordance with the institution's subrecipient agreement with FDOH grant terms and Federal, State, and other applicable laws and regulations. During the 2006-07 award year, expenditures totaling \$1,373,913.47 (\$33,081.87 CFDA 84.027 and \$1,340,831.60 CFDA 84.181) were</p>

	charged to the grant by UMSA.
Cause	Due to the institution's lack of adequate oversight procedures, an affiliated organization was able to redirect these Federal funds to their organizations without the institution's Division of Sponsored Research's knowledge and oversight.
Effect	Failure to subject Federal contracts to the institution's oversight and controls increases the risk of questioned costs, for which the institution may be liable. Without adequate review of invoices and supporting documentation through monitoring and audit activities, there is limited assurance that amounts paid from Federal program funds were used for allowable activities and that applicable costs were necessary, reasonable, and documented in compliance with Federal regulations and State grant requirements.
Recommendation	The institution should implement adequate procedures to ensure that Federal contracts, for which the institution has responsibility, are subjected to the institution's oversight and controls. Also, the institution should ensure that procedures for monitoring subrecipients are followed.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Ted Sauerbeck, CPA, Audit Manager tedsauerbeck@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4468
USF Response and Corrective Action Plan	USF has procedures to ensure that Federal contracts, for which the institution has responsibility, are subjected to the institution's oversight and controls. We continually monitor to mitigate instances of noncompliance with USF procedures. As a result of this finding, we have enhanced oversight procedures for affiliated entities.
Estimated Corrective Action Date	July 1, 2007
USF Contact and Telephone Number	Nick Trivunovich, Controller (813) 974-7696

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Finding Number	FA 07-025
CFDA Number	84.048
Program Title	Vocational Education – Basic Grants to States
Compliance Requirement	Activities Allowed or Unallowed
State Agency	Florida Department of Education (FDOE)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	V048A060009 2006
Finding Type	Significant Deficiency
Finding	FDOE did not maintain documentation of the labor force summary data that was used to allocate funding for the Carl D. Perkins, Rural and Sparsely Populated Areas Career and Technical Education Programs.
Criteria	Carl D. Perkins Vocational and Technical Education Act of 1998, Section 112 (c)(1); 20 USC Chapter 44, Subchapter I. Part A, §__2322 (c), <i>Allotment and allocation, within State allocation</i>
Condition	<p>For the 2006-07 fiscal year, FDOE allocated \$2,900,552 to Florida school districts and community colleges serving rural and sparsely populated areas using labor force summary data that was obtained from the Florida Agency for Workforce Innovation. The primary use of this data is to ensure that funds are distributed proportionally based on the number of individuals in the labor force for each of the rural counties.</p> <p>FDOE was unable to provide us with a copy of the labor force summary data that was used by its staff. Consequently, FDOE could not document that the funds were appropriately allocated.</p>
Cause	Management was unable to obtain a replacement copy of the rural data source information due to the fact that the information is overwritten and not saved when it is updated.
Effect	Absent documentation of the required allocation component, the propriety of the \$2,900,552 allocated to serve the rural and sparsely populated areas was not demonstrated.
Recommendation	FDOE should strengthen its compilation and review procedures to ensure that proper documentation is maintained that evidences the data used in the Vocational Education allocation process is complete and accurate.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Joseph L. Williams, CPA, Audit Manager joewilliams@aud.state.fl.us (850) 414-9941
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	FDOE was unable to obtain a replacement copy of the rural data source information from the Agency for Workforce Innovation due to the fact that the information is overwritten and not saved when it is updated. To insure compliance Workforce Education has strengthened its compilation and review procedures to ensure that proper documentation is maintained that evidences the data used in the allocation process is complete and accurate. Additional actions have been taken to insure full compliance with this requirement. Operational procedures have been implemented to save the specific data in electronic format and a hard copy on an annual basis. Business rules for calculating the formula have been developed and included in the new State Plan for the Carl D. Perkins Career and Technical Education Act of 2006 (Perkins IV), approved by the State Board of Education on February 19, 2008.

**Estimated Corrective
Action Date**

June 30, 2008

**Agency Contact and
Telephone Number**Gloria Spradley Brown, Bureau Chief for Workforce Grants and Compliance
(850) 245-9043

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Finding Number	FA 07-026
CFDA Number	84.048
Program Title	Vocational Education – Basic Grants to States
Compliance Requirement	Matching, Level of Effort, Earmarking
State Agency	Florida Department of Education
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	V048A020009 2002
Finding Type	Material Noncompliance and Significant Deficiency Questioned Costs – \$60,000
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-026
Finding	FDOE had not resolved issues in the prior audit relating to allotments and expenditures for Nontraditional Training and Education (NTE).
Criteria	20 USC Chapter 44, Subchapter I, Part A, Section 2322(a)(2)(B), <i>State Leadership Activities</i>
Condition	According to Federal regulations, the State is required to set aside, for the purpose of NTE, an amount greater than \$60,000 but not more than \$150,000. The amount allotted, within the given range, is at the discretion of FDOE. During the prior audit period, FDOE did not record an allotment and related expenditure of State Leadership funds for NTE in the State's accounting system (FLAIR). In the Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings, FDOE management stated that the finding had not been corrected. FDOE disagreed with our prior year audit finding and has been in contact with USED regarding this matter. As of June 30, 2007, a final determination had not yet been made by USED.
Cause	FDOE personnel indicated that the majority of expenditures were coded to account codes for Perkins Leadership or General Revenue and there was not a separate code for NTE funds.
Effect	FDOE cannot evidence compliance with the earmarking requirement for NTE.
Recommendation	FDOE has begun the process of entering into a Cooperative Audit Resolution and Oversight Initiative with USED and has not resolved this issue yet. We recommend that FDOE enhance its policies and procedures by creating accounting codes that allow for the identification of allotments to, and expenditures for, NTE within FLAIR.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Joseph L. Williams, CPA, Audit Manager joewilliams@aud.state.fl.us (850) 414-9941
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	As stated previously, the FDOE does not agree with the finding first issued as FA 05-035. The Agency has had multiple contacts with the USED regarding the finding and its resolution. In June 2007, at the invitation of the USED, the FDOE requested that the USED enter into a Cooperative Audit Resolution and Oversight Initiative (CAROI) process with regard to this and other pending audit issues. In September 2007, FDOE staff met in Washington D.C. with USED staff. As of this date, no response has been received.
Estimated Corrective Action Date	Ongoing
Agency Contact and Telephone Number	Martha K. Asbury, Director, Administrative Services (850) 245-0735

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Finding Number	FA 07-027
CFDA Number	84.048
Program Title	Vocational Education – Basic Grants to States
Compliance Requirement	Matching, Level of Effort, Earmarking
State Agency	Florida Department of Education (FDOE)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	V048A030009
Finding Type	Significant Deficiency
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2006-152, Finding No. FA 05-034
Finding	FDOE had not resolved issues disclosed in a prior audit regarding its ability to demonstrate compliance with the matching and maintenance of effort requirements and the reporting of amounts expended toward those requirements.
Criteria	34 CFR 80.40(b)(1)(4), <i>Annual Performance Report</i> ; 34 CFR 80.41 <i>Financial Status Report (FSR)</i> ; 34 CFR 403.181, <i>Cost sharing requirements</i> ; 34 CFR 403.182, <i>Maintenance of fiscal effort requirement</i>
Condition	In the Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings as of June 30, 2007, FDOE management stated that FDOE, at the invitation of USED, requested that USED enter into a Cooperative Audit Resolution and Oversight Initiative (CAROI) process with regard to matching and maintenance of effort requirements, and other pending audit issues. Consequently, FDOE had not implemented any changes with regard to supporting the accuracy and completeness of the amount reported as administration matching and maintenance of effort.
Cause	FDOE did not agree with audit report No. 2006-152, finding No. FA 05-034.
Effect	FDOE's consideration of procedural enhancement for identifying, documenting, and reporting expenditures made for administrative matching and maintenance of efforts requirements is contingent on the results of the CAROI process.
Recommendation	We recommend that FDOE continue to work with USED on resolving audit issues.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Joseph L. Williams, CPA, Audit Manager joewilliams@aud.state.fl.us (850) 414-9941
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	As stated previously, the FDOE does not agree with the finding issued as FA 05-034. The Agency has had multiple contacts with the USED regarding the finding and its resolution. In June 2007, at the invitation of the USED, the FDOE requested that the USED enter into a Cooperative Audit Resolution and Oversight Initiative (CAROI) process with regard to this and other pending audit issues. In September 2007, FDOE staff went to Washington DC to meet with USED staff. As of this date, no response has been received.
Estimated Corrective Action Date	Ongoing
Agency Contact and Telephone Number	Martha K. Asbury, Director, Administrative Services (850) 245-0735

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Finding Number	FA 07-028
CFDA Number	84.126
Program Title	Rehabilitation Services – Vocational Rehabilitation Grants to States (VR)
Compliance Requirement	Activities Allowed or Unallowed and Procurement and Suspension and Debarment
State Agency	Florida Department of Education (FDOE) Division of Blind Services (DBS)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	H126A060087 2006
Finding Type	Significant Deficiency Questioned Costs – \$16,117.81
Finding	Contrary to Federal and State requirements, DBS did not obtain price or rate quotations prior to procuring contractual services related to an Independent Living Summer Transition Program.
Criteria	34 CFR Part 80.36 (a), <i>Procurement</i> ; Department of Management Services Rule 60A-1.002, Florida Administrative Code, <i>Purchase of Commodities or Contractual Services</i> ; Section 287.017, Florida Statutes, <i>Purchasing categories, threshold amounts; procedures for automatic adjustment by department</i>
Condition	<p>Federal requirements provide that when procuring services under a grant, a State will follow the same policies and procedures it uses for procurements from its non-Federal funds. State regulations provide that purchases greater than \$2,500 but less than \$25,000 are to be made using written quotations, written records of telephone quotations, or informal bids to be opened upon receipt, whenever practical.</p> <p>Our audit disclosed that DBS personnel procured contractual services from a local vendor at an estimated cost of \$22,000, without seeking price or rate quotations from other vendors. Additionally, this vendor began work on January 31, 2006, prior to the date on which DBS personnel signed the letter of intent for services. The letter of intent was signed by DBS personnel on April 28, 2006. DBS disbursed \$16,117.81 for these contractual services during the 2006-07 fiscal year.</p>
Cause	DBS used the above local vendor as the first choice for these services.
Effect	FDOE had limited assurance as to the reasonableness of the rates, terms, and conditions.
Recommendation	We recommend that DBS obtain sufficient quotations prior to procuring contractual services. Additionally, DBS should ensure that a signed agreement is in place prior to the provision of services. We also recommend that DBS provide training and technical services regarding the importance of adhering to Federal and State procurement requirements.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Joseph L. Williams, CPA, Audit Manager joewilliams@aud.state.fl.us (850) 414-9941
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	<p>FDOE does not agree with this finding. Although rate quotations were not obtained prior to procuring contractual services, exemptions relative to services provided to persons with disabilities are applicable. Federal regulations at 34 CFR 80.36 state:</p> <p>"(a) States. When procuring property and services under a grant, a State will follow the same policies and procedures it uses for procurements from its</p>

non-federal funds. The State will ensure that every purchase order or other contract includes any clauses required by Federal statutes and executive orders and their implementing regulations. Other grantees and sub grantees will follow paragraphs (b) through (i) in the section."

Section 287.057(f)(7), Florida Statutes, exempts certain contractual services from competitive solicitation requirements. Specifically this section provides an exemption for "Services provided to persons with mental or physical disabilities by not-for-profit corporations which have obtained exemptions under the provisions of s 501(c)(3) of the United States Internal Revenue Code or when such services are governed by the provisions of Office of Management and Budget Circular A-122...." FDOE will provide additional training to DBS staff working with procurement to ensure that all federal and state procedures are adhered to.

**Estimated Corrective
Action Date**

June 30, 2008

**Agency Contact and
Telephone Number**

Steven G. Ritacco, Acting Division Director (DBS)
(850) 245-0331

Auditor's Remarks

We agree that this purchase was not subject to the competitive-solicitation requirements of Section 287.057, Florida Statutes. However, the purchase remains subject to other purchasing statutory provisions and rules, including Rule 60A-1.002(3), F.A.C, which requires documentation of the method used by the agency to determine the price of the service acquired. We again recommend FDOE comply with purchasing laws and rules when procuring services and obtain signed agreements prior to the provision of services.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Finding Number	FA 07-029
CFDA Number	84.126
Program Title	Rehabilitation Services – Vocational Rehabilitation Grants to States (VR)
Compliance Requirement	Activities Allowed or Unallowed and Allowable Costs/Cost Principles
State Agency	Florida Department of Education (FDOE) Division of Blind Services (DBS)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	H126A060087 2006 and H126A070087 2007
Finding Type	Significant Deficiency Questioned Costs – Undeterminable
Finding	DBS had not established adequate policies and procedures to ensure that client service payments were authorized, processed, and recorded properly within the DBS Accessible Web-based Activity and Reporting Environment (AWARE) System and the State's Accounting System (FLAIR).
Criteria	34 CFR 80.20, <i>Standards for financial management systems</i> ; 34 CFR 361.50(e), <i>Written policies governing the provision of services for individuals with disabilities. Authorization of Services</i>
Condition	<p>During our audit of DBS expenditures, we noted that payments made for independent living services were not always properly authorized, approved, and recorded correctly. Our review of 12 disbursements made from one field office, totaling \$2,834.90, showed that in each instance, the documented (by receipt or vendor listing) number of clients served was always greater than the number of clients authorized and approved for payment by DBS personnel in the AWARE System and FLAIR. In general, after receiving the vendor's invoice and receipts for payment, DBS personnel selected a few of the client names that were listed on the invoice and divided the total dollar amount by the number of clients that were selected. The authorization and approval for payment would then be processed based on the names of these selected clients. Accordingly, since the amounts were only input for the selected clients, DBS's client files did not accurately account for the services that were provided.</p> <p>We also reviewed 10 transportation authorizations, from the same field office, totaling \$5,142.50, and were informed by DBS personnel that these payments were also processed in the same manner as the above-noted vendor invoices.</p>
Cause	DBS personnel indicated that the above procedures had been in place for some time. In addition, DBS personnel indicated that the employee handled the payments in this manner to expedite the process. Further, we noted that the Division's written policies and procedures were lacking in this area and differed from the procedures that were being utilized by the field office.
Effect	DBS is unable to accurately provide an accounting of the services that have been provided to each client. Since DBS may receive subsequent reimbursements from the Social Security Administration for expenditures for specific clients, these procedures could affect the appropriateness of the amounts reimbursed. In addition, the lack of sufficient internal controls presents opportunities for theft, abuse, and the misuse of these Federal funds.
Recommendation	We recommend that DBS take immediate actions to establish procedures which will ensure that the authorizations are properly processed, client records are sufficiently documented and the Federal funding is adequately safeguarded.

Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Joseph L. Williams, CPA, Audit Manager joewilliams@aud.state.fl.us (850) 414-9941
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	<p>The "batch" invoices in question includes services for a number of clients. The invoices identified the clients, provided appropriate supporting documentation and were properly reviewed prior to payment. The system calls for a separate entry to be made for each of the clients referenced on the invoice to record that each client received an allowable service and the cost of that service. In some instances these separate entries were not made for the individual clients. Any error resulting from this practice would be in individual client records rather than the invoice payment records.</p> <p>The design of AWARE/FLAIR accounting interface includes reconciliation reports, Transaction Logs, Error Logs, and Exception Reports. The reconciliation process involves a manual daily review of these reports to determine any discrepancies. The DBS processed 16,194 invoices for payment during SFY 2005/2006 and 22,400 for SFY 2006/2007. Because of the large and increasing number of invoices, the manual process is no longer adequate.</p> <p>Immediately upon identification of the practice of not completing corresponding entries for individual clients, the field office staff were directed to complete a thorough review of all paid invoices to verify that payment authorizations were appropriate and accurate. DBS held a teleconference with the district field office administrators and directed that staff are to enter the corresponding client data accurately for all clients. Additional training is also being provided statewide to all staff with responsibilities for completing this data entry process.</p> <p>Additionally, the DBS will immediately develop and implement written procedures for the processing of authorizations, and the documentation of client records which will be used consistently by the central and field offices.</p> <p>Finally, the DBS is proposing to design an automated reconciliation process and electronic invoicing process. This project will enhance the reconciliation processes.</p>
Estimated Corrective Action Date	December 31, 2008 for the automated processes April 30, 2008 for written procedures
Agency Contact and Telephone Number	Steven G. Ritacco, Acting Division Director (DBS) (850) 245-0331

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Finding Number	FA 07-030
CFDA Number	84.126
Program Title	Rehabilitation Services – Vocational Rehabilitation Grants to States (VR)
Compliance Requirement	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles
State Agency	Florida Department of Education (FDOE) Division of Vocational Rehabilitation (DVR)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	H126A060086 2006 and H126A070086 2007
Finding Type	Significant Deficiency Questioned Costs – \$2,408.08
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2006-152, Finding No. FA 05-037
Finding	FDOE did not always authorize expenditures for client services in a timely manner. In addition, FDOE did not fairly state the status of a similar finding in the Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings (SSPAF).
Criteria	34 CFR 361.50(e), <i>Written policies governing the provision of services for individuals with disabilities. Authorization of Services</i>
Condition	<p>According to DVR written procedures related to the nature and scope of vocational rehabilitation services, the authorization for such services is generally required to be documented prior to or at the same time they are provided or purchased, except in certain situations.</p> <p>We reviewed 22 expenditures totaling \$9,180.17 for client services (\$2,342.89 for 3 DBS-related expenditures and \$6,837.28 for 19 DVR-related expenditures). Our review disclosed that invoices for 7 DVR expenditures totaling \$2,408.08 were authorized or approved by the supervisor 5 to 276 days after the services were provided or completed. Based on our inspection of the documentation describing the circumstances surrounding each of these instances, there did not appear to be adequate justification for the authorization of any of these services subsequent to their provision or completion.</p> <p>FDOE indicated in the SSPAF that the prior audit finding related to timely authorizations was fully corrected; however, as described above, we continued to note instances where authorizations were not timely performed by staff.</p>
Cause	Established procedures with regard to the authorization of client services were not followed by personnel.
Effect	Prior authorization of client services assists FDOE management in maintaining adequate fiscal control of program activities. In addition, it promotes effective internal controls over the allowability of costs incurred.
Recommendation	We recommend that FDOE ensure adherence to prescribed procedures regarding the authorization and approval of client services.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Joseph L. Williams, CPA, Audit Manager joewilliams@aud.state.fl.us (850) 414-9941
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	The DVR continues to address adherence to prescribed procedures in Supervisors' Training and New Counselor Training, through communication with Area staff and counselor performance reviews.

	<p>The DVR has initiated an automated supervisor approval process in the Rehabilitation Management Information System to address the timeliness of the supervisor's signature.</p> <p>FDOE disagrees with the statement that "FDOE did not fairly state the status of a similar finding in the Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings (SSPAF)." The FDOE properly implemented all of the corrective actions indicated for the prior finding and reported the full implementation of such corrective actions in the SSPAF.</p>
Estimated Corrective Action Date	<p>Ongoing to address procedural compliance October 2008 for automated process changes</p>
Agency Contact and Telephone Number	<p>Linda Parnell, Chief of Field Services (DVR) (850) 245-3343</p>
Auditor's Remarks	<p>Pursuant to OMB Circular A-133, §__.315(b), the summary schedule of prior audit findings is to include the status of all audit findings, rather than the status of the implementation of corrective actions. As described above, FDOE reported that the finding was fully corrected; however, we continued to note similar instances in our current audit.</p>

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Finding Number	FA 07-031
CFDA Number	84.126
Program Title	Rehabilitation Services – Vocational Rehabilitation Grants to States (VR)
Compliance Requirement	Eligibility
State Agency	Florida Department of Education (FDOE) Division of Blind Services (DBS) Division of Vocational Rehabilitation (DVR)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	N/A
Finding Type	Material Noncompliance and Significant Deficiency
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-028
Finding	FDOE did not always ensure that VR program regulations pertaining to eligibility determinations were met. In addition, FDOE had not resolved issues regarding the provision of adequate information to clients by referring them to other One-Stop delivery programs that might address the individuals' training or employment related needs. In addition, FDOE did not fairly state the status of a similar finding in the Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings (SSPAF).
Criteria	34 CFR 361.41(b), <i>Processing referrals and applications</i> ; 34 CFR 361.43, <i>Procedures for ineligibility determination</i>
Condition	<p>After an applicant has submitted an application for vocational rehabilitation services, within 60 days, FDOE is required to issue a Certification of Eligibility or a Certification of Ineligibility depending upon whether the applicant has been determined to be eligible or ineligible for vocational rehabilitation services, unless an exceptional and unforeseen circumstance occurs, an exploration to perform in work situations is carried out, or the applicant is placed in an extended evaluation period. FDOE responsibilities also include: (1) informing the individual in writing of the ineligibility determination, including the means by which the individual may seek remedy for any dissatisfaction; (2) providing the individual with a description of services available from a client assistance program; and (3) referring the individual to other programs that are part of the One-Stop service delivery system under the Workforce Investment Act, or to local extended employment providers if the ineligibility determination is based on a finding that the individual is incapable of achieving an employment outcome.</p> <p>We reviewed case records related to 60 individuals (15 applicable to DBS and 45 applicable to DVR), of which 15 were ineligibility determinations (5 DBS and 10 DVR records). As similarly disclosed in the prior audit, we noted that for 2 of the 5 DBS records, the individuals were not referred to other programs that are part of the One-Stop Service Delivery System. Similarly, for 4 of the 10 DVR records, the individuals were not provided the addresses of the One-Stop Service Delivery Centers.</p> <p>FDOE indicated in the SSPAF that the finding was fully corrected through measures taken to reduce and eliminate errors; however, as described above, we continued to note instances where applicable eligibility requirements were not always met.</p> <p>Additionally, our review disclosed 9 instances in which determinations were made from 1 to 245 days, averaging 68 days, after the 60 day allowable period. Eight of the 9 instances consisted of eligibility determinations (3 DBS and 5 DVR records) and the remaining instance was a DBS ineligibility determination.</p>

Cause	FDOE personnel failed to follow procedures and oversights occurred.
Effect	Untimely eligibility and ineligibility determinations delay the start of services for eligible individuals and delay ineligible individuals in seeking other services. Additionally, without proper notification, ineligible individuals may not be aware of the opportunity to possibly obtain services from another State entity.
Recommendation	We recommend that FDOE management again emphasize to its counselors, through training and technical assistance, the importance of following Federal requirements.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Joseph L. Williams, CPA, Audit Manager joewilliams@aud.state.fl.us (850) 414-9941
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	<p>The Division of Blind Services will provide additional technical assistance and training to personnel regarding the Federal requirements.</p> <p>The Division of Vocational Rehabilitation continues to address adherence to prescribed procedures in Supervisors' Training and New Counselor Training, through communication with Area staff and counselor performance reviews.</p> <p>FDOE disagrees with the statement that "FDOE did not fairly state the status of a similar finding in the Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings (SSPAF)." The FDOE properly implemented all of the corrective actions indicated for the prior finding and reported the full implementation of such corrective actions in the SSPAF.</p>
Estimated Corrective Action Date	Ongoing
Agency Contact and Telephone Number	Steven G. Ritacco, Acting Division Director (DBS), (850) 245-0331 Linda Parnell, Chief of Field Services (DVR), (850) 245-3343
Auditor's Remarks	Pursuant to OMB Circular A-133, §____.315(b), the summary schedule of prior audit findings is to include the status of all audit findings, rather than the status of the implementation of corrective actions. As described above, FDOE reported that the finding was fully corrected; however, we continued to note similar instances in our current audit.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Finding Number	FA 07-032
CFDA Number	84.126
Program Title	Rehabilitation Services – Vocational Rehabilitation Grants to States (VR)
Compliance Requirement	Eligibility
State Agency	Florida Department of Education (FDOE) Division of Blind Services (DBS) Division of Vocational Rehabilitation (DVR)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	N/A
Finding Type	Material Noncompliance
Finding	Contrary to Federal regulations, FDOE did not ensure that the Individualized Plan for Employment (IPE), a written document prepared on forms provided by the Divisions, was signed by both the counselor and eligible individual.
Criteria	34 CFR 361.45, <i>Development of the individualized plan for employment</i> ; DBS Vocational Rehabilitation Program Procedure Manual, <i>Development of the Individualized Plan for Employment</i> ; DVR Operational Policies and Procedures for DVR Counselors, <i>Signatories</i>
Condition	<p>FDOE is required to develop and implement an IPE for each individual determined eligible for vocational rehabilitation services and to whom services will be provided in accordance with the provisions of the IPE. The IPE must be designed to achieve a specific employment outcome that is selected by the individual consistent with the individual's unique strengths, resources, priorities, concerns, abilities, capabilities, interests, and informed choices. The IPE is to be agreed to and signed by the eligible individual or, as appropriate, the individual's representative and approved and signed by a FDOE vocational rehabilitation counselor.</p> <p>Our review of 45 eligibility case records (10 DBS records and 35 DVR records) disclosed 4 instances (3 DBS records and 1 DVR record) in which the IPE was not signed by the counselor or the client, as required.</p>
Cause	FDOE personnel had not followed established operating procedures.
Effect	The lack of fully executed documents limits FDOE's assurance that eligible individuals or their representative, along with their assigned counselors, exercised informed choices in developing the IPE and were in agreement with the information listed in the plans.
Recommendation	We recommend that FDOE personnel ensure that once the IPE is developed, counselors make a concerted effort to sign the document and obtain the signature of the applicable individual. In addition, we recommend that FDOE provide training and technical assistance to its employees regarding this matter.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Joseph L. Williams, CPA, Audit Manager joewilliams@aud.state.fl.us (850) 414-9941
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	<p>The DBS will provide additional training during both March and April 2008, to all Supervisors, District Administrators, Counselors, and other VR program staff to address policies and procedures pertaining to the Individualized Plan for Employment (IPE). The DBS conducted a technical assistance conference call with the Administrators on December 6, 2007, regarding the IPE.</p> <p>The DVR continues to address adherence to the Federal eligibility requirements with counselors and supervisors, through training and technical assistance. This</p>

	includes Supervisors' Training, New Counselor Training, communication with Area staff and counselor performance reviews.
Estimated Corrective Action Date	Ongoing
Agency Contact and Telephone Number	Steven G. Ritacco, Acting Division Director (DBS), (850) 245-0331 Linda Parnell, Chief of Field Services (DVR), (850) 245-3343

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Finding Number	FA 07-033
CFDA Number	84.126
Program Title	Rehabilitation Services – Vocational Rehabilitation Grants to States (VR)
Compliance Requirement	Matching, Level of Effort, Earmarking and Reporting
State Agency	Florida Department of Education (FDOE) Division of Blind Services (DBS)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	H126A050087 2005 and H126A060087 2006
Finding Type	Material Noncompliance and Significant Deficiency Questioned Costs - \$3,946,640.07
Finding	Our review of the Financial Status Reports (SF-269) disclosed that the State matching requirements were not met, and FDOE did not always report all non-Federal expenditures incurred in carrying out State activities. In addition, contrary to USED instructions, amounts were not properly reported on the DBS SF-269 reports.
Criteria	USED Technical Assistance Circular; 34 CFR 80.41(b), <i>Financial reporting</i> ; USED Information Memorandum, <i>Definition and Documentation of "Expenditures from Non-Federal Sources Under the State Plan" in Title I VR Services Program</i> ; 34 CFR 361.40, <i>Reports</i> ; 34 CFR 361.60, <i>Matching requirements</i> ; and 34 CFR 361.64, <i>Obligation of Federal funds and program income</i>
Condition	<p>USED required the submission of the SF-269 report to monitor the financial status of the Program and to assess compliance with the Program's fiscal requirements. As part of our audit, we examined four SF-269 reports that included FDOE's Final SF-269 reports for the Federal grant period October 1, 2004, through September 30, 2006, and the Fourth Quarter (September 30, 2006) SF-269 reports for the Federal grant period October 1, 2005, through September 30, 2007.</p> <p>Our review of the DBS Final SF-269 report for Federal grant No. H126A050087 disclosed the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> FDOE reported non-Federal expenditures totaling \$37,706,012, which exceeded the required match by \$399.88. However, FDOE was unable to provide documentation supporting \$7,000 of DBS non-Federal expenditures. Without documentation evidencing the validity of these expenditures, FDOE's reported match did not meet the State's matching requirement by a total of \$6,600.12. <p>USED instructions require that if non-Federal expenditures are: (1) made from a permissible revenue source; (2) meet allowability requirements, and (3) are allocable to the Title I VR Services Program, the expenditures must be included on the SF-269 report. According to FDOE personnel, an additional \$145,726 in non-Federal expenditures could have been, but were not, reported on the SF-269 report. Thus, had FDOE reported the full amount of allowable DBS Fixed Capital Outlay non-Federal expenditures, as directed by the Federal guidelines, the State's matching requirement would have been met.</p> <p>Our review of the DBS Fourth Quarter SF-269 (September 30, 2006) report for Federal grant No. H126A060087 disclosed the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Title 34, CFR 361.64, provides that Federal funds appropriated for a fiscal year remain available for obligation in the succeeding fiscal year only to the extent that FDOE met the matching requirement for those Federal funds by obligating the non-Federal share in the fiscal year for which the funds were appropriated. DBS' required State match totaled \$6,697,697.85 of which \$6,555,697 was

reported to USED, resulting in a shortfall of \$142,000.85. Pursuant to Federal regulations, this shortfall disallowed the availability of unobligated Federal funds totaling \$3,939,640.07 during the Federal fiscal year ended September 30, 2007.

- For both the DBS Final and Fourth Quarter SF-269 reports, FDOE failed to follow instructions and report the amounts for *Refunds, rebates, etc.*, on Line 10.b. of the reports, as required. Instead, FDOE reported the amounts as part of Total Outlays, line 10.a. The amounts totaled \$35,178.24 and \$31,959.18, respectively.

Cause	FDOE procedures did not evidence that an adequate review of the DBS reports, including the supporting documentation, was made prior to submission to USED.
Effect	Carryover funds have not been earned unless matching requirements were met in the period of appropriation. In addition, the usefulness of Federal reports is diminished when inaccurate or incomplete information is used in the report preparation. The failure to accurately report may hinder adequate monitoring of the Program.
Recommendation	We recommend that FDOE immediately seek guidance from USED regarding whether revised reports are required, including the reporting of all non-Federal expenditures. In addition, we recommend that SF-269 reports be completed and reported in accordance with USED instructions.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Joseph L. Williams, CPA, Audit Manager joewilliams@aud.state.fl.us (850) 414-9941
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	The FDOE has sought additional guidance from USED in the proper reporting of matching expenditures and refunds in the Financial Status Reports (SF-269). Subsequent to the submission of SF-269 for H126A050087 and H126A060087, the Division of Blind Services significantly enhanced its procedures to document all reported expenditures, encumbrances, and refunds.
Estimated Corrective Action Date	Completed
Agency Contact and Telephone Number	Jon Manalo, Comptroller, (850) 245-9996 Norman Holley, Assistant Deputy Commissioner, (850) 245-9218

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Finding Number	FA 07-034
CFDA Number	84.126
Program Title	Rehabilitation Services – Vocational Rehabilitation Grants to States (VR)
Compliance Requirement	Reporting
State Agency	Florida Department of Education (FDOE) Division of Blind Services (DBS)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	H126A060087 2006
Finding Type	Material Noncompliance and Significant Deficiency
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-029
Finding	FDOE did not accurately report data listed on the Annual VR Program/Cost Report (RSA-2). In addition, FDOE management did not document that a review was completed prior to submitting the report to USED.
Criteria	USED Instructions for the RSA-2; 34 CFR 80.20(a)(1), <i>Standards for Financial Management Systems</i> , 34 CFR Section 361.40, <i>Reports</i>
Condition	<p>As a State agency responsible for administering this program, FDOE is required to submit the RSA-2 report to USED on an annual basis. The RSA-2 report must include all expenditures made from obligations incurred during the specified period from all Federal, State, and other rehabilitation funds, including Section 110 Federal funds and program income funds that were carried over from the previous fiscal year. Data submitted on the RSA-2 report is used by USED to administer and manage the program, as well as, analyze expenditures, evaluate program performance, and identify problem areas.</p> <p>The 2006 RSA-2 report completed by DBS included erroneous totals that were not detected by FDOE personnel prior to the submission of the report to USED. The following errors were disclosed by our audit:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Line 1.a – <i>Administration Costs Paid with Title VI B Funds</i> (DBS), FDOE reported \$3,265,944; however, the amounts shown by supporting documentation totaled \$3,968,842.34, for a difference of \$702,898.34. • Line 1.b – <i>Administration Indirect Cost</i> (DBS), FDOE reported a total of \$2,258,990 on the 2006 RSA-2 report; however, reported only \$538,490 on the 2005 RSA-2 report, for a difference of \$1,720,500. The significant increase did not appear reasonable. In response to our inquiries, FDOE personnel indicated that the amount would be corrected when a revised RSA-2 is submitted. • Line 2.B.3 – <i>Services Purchased by State VR Agency From Other Public Vendors</i> (DBS), FDOE reported \$1,514,822 and supporting documentation showed \$1,505,904.36, for a difference of \$8,917.64. • Line 2.B.4 – <i>Services Purchased by State VR Agency From Other Private Vendors</i> (DBS), FDOE reported \$7,908,688; but provided documentation showing amounts that totaled \$7,808,847.25, for a difference of \$99,840.75. • Line 3.a – <i>Services for Groups of Individuals with Disabilities Establishment, Development or Improvement of Community Rehabilitation Programs</i> (DBS), FDOE reported \$192,025 on the 2006 RSA-2 report, but reported \$753,923 on the 2005 RSA-2 report, for a difference of \$561,898. The significant decrease between years did not appear reasonable. In response to our inquiries, FDOE personnel indicated that the amount would be corrected when a revised RSA-2 is submitted.

- Line 9 – *Type of Service, All Other* (DBS), FDOE reported \$9,229,422; but provided support for \$9,204,765, for a difference of \$24,657.

In response to our audit inquiry, FDOE management stated that FDOE was attempting to understand the process and methodology in the collection and final submission of the information.

Cause

FDOE had only one employee within DBS to prepare the report and there was no indication that a sufficient review had been performed prior to the report's submission to USED.

Effect

Inaccurate data is being reported to USED that uses this information to administer and manage the program.

Recommendation

FDOE management indicated that they were planning to submit a revised DBS 2006 RSA-2 report. We recommend that FDOE implement a review process for all required Federal reports, as well as, ensure that more than one staff member is knowledgeable in the areas of the methodology, preparation and submission of the applicable reports.

Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number

Joseph L. Williams, CPA, Audit Manager
joewilliams@aud.state.fl.us
(850) 414-9941

State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan

The Department has assigned additional staff to perform the collection and preparation of the RSA-2. The procedures for completion of this report have been enhanced to include multiple management reviews and further reconciliations between Financial Status Reports (SF-269), FDOE trial balances, and RSA-2. Additionally, FDOE has sought technical assistance from USED in clarifying classification of expenditures and encumbrances within the RSA-2 report. Attendance at the 2008 National Fiscal Management & Data Management Conference sponsored by RSA will be required for all preparers and reviewers of the RSA-2.

Estimated Corrective Action Date

December 31, 2008

Agency Contact and Telephone Number

Jon Manalo, Comptroller, (850) 245-9996
Norman Holley, Assistant Deputy Commissioner, (850) 245-9218

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Finding Number	FA 07-035
CFDA Number	84.181
Program Title	Special Education Grants for Infants and Families with Disabilities
Compliance Requirement	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles
State Educational Entity	University of Florida (UF)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	COQHX, July 1, 2004 – June 30, 2007
Finding Type	Material Noncompliance and Significant Deficiency Questioned Costs – \$16,322.16
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-032
Finding	The institution reimbursed employees for mileage at the U.S. General Services Administration (GSA) Domestic Per Diem Rate Schedule instead of rates authorized by Section 112.061, Florida Statutes.
Criteria	OMB Circular A-21, Section C.2. <i>Factors Affecting Allowability of Costs</i> ; Section J.10. <i>Compensation for Personnel Services</i> ; Section J.53. <i>Travel Costs</i> ; Section 112.061, Florida Statutes, <i>Per Diem and Travel Expenses</i> ; and the institution's Directives and Procedures
Condition	The institution reimbursed employees for mileage using rates prescribed by the U.S. General Services Administration (GSA) Domestic Per Diem Rate Schedule instead of rates authorized by Section 112.061, Florida Statutes. OMB Circular A-21, Sections C.2 and J.53, determine reasonableness, in part, by the restraints or requirements imposed by State laws and regulations, and to the extent that such costs do not exceed charges normally allowed by the institution in its regular operations. The use of GSA rates in lieu of rates authorized by State law resulted in overcharging the program for employee mileage by \$16,322.16. Effective July 1, 2007, the institution changed its travel reimbursement rates to comply with Section 112.061, Florida Statutes.
Cause	The institution used the GSA rates as the approved travel reimbursement rates per institution policy and, therefore, believed reimbursements using GSA rates were allowable charges.
Effect	Rates paid to employees for travel exceeded those allowed under the terms of Federal grants and, as a result, Federal grant funds may have been used for goods or services that were not allowable and reasonable under the terms of the Federal grants.
Recommendation	The institution should return the questioned costs totaling \$16,322.16 to the grantor and continue to follow the newly implemented policy of reimbursing travel at the State rate.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Ted Sauerbeck, CPA, Audit Manager tedsauerbeck@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4468
UF Response and Corrective Action Plan	The money received on the Federal award addressed in this finding was through the Florida Department of Health which had a contract provision requiring the use of the State of Florida travel rates specified in the Florida Statutes. The questioned costs totaling \$16,322.16 for the period July 1, 2004, through June 30, 2007, (which included the \$7,958.26 cited in audit report No. 2007-146) have been removed from the grant.
Estimated Corrective Action Date	This was corrected in November 2007.

**UF Contact and
Telephone Number**

Michael V. McKee, Assistant Vice President and University Controller
(352) 392-1321

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Finding Number	FA 07-036
CFDA Number	84.181
Program Title	Special Education - Grants for Infants and Families with Disabilities
Compliance Requirement	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles, Period of Availability of Federal Funds
State Agency	Florida Department of Health (FDOH)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	H181A040099 2004-05 and H181A050099 2005-06
Finding Type	Significant Deficiency
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-030
Finding	Significant deficiencies disclosed in the prior audit, regarding the absence of reconciliations of amounts paid to subrecipients to amounts expended by the subrecipients at the end of the contractual budget period, continued to exist during the 2006-07 fiscal year.
Criteria	34 CFR 80.3, <i>Subgrantees are accountable to the grantee for the use of the funds provided</i> ; 34 CFR 80.22, <i>funds may not be used for profit to subgrantees</i> ; 34 CFR 76.709, <i>return any carryover funds not obligated by the end of the carryover period</i>
Condition	In the prior audit, we disclosed that FDOH had not reconciled amounts paid to subrecipients totaling \$36,158,984 for the 2004-05 budget period to amounts reported by subrecipients as expended on contracts ending June 30, 2005. Although FDOH indicated that a process for performing reconciliations was implemented, FDOH had not performed reconciliations for the 2004-05 or the 2005-06 budget periods, as of September 24, 2007.
Cause	FDOH staff attributed the deficiencies to the transition of the contract management function from the Bureau of Early Steps to a new contract management unit in the Division of Children's Medical Services and implementation of financial guidelines and other procedures related to the reconciliation process.
Effect	Absent timely reconciliations, FDOH cannot ensure that Program funds not spent by the Early Steps providers are either timely returned to FDOH or appropriately expended for allowable purposes during the subsequent budget year, as authorized by FDOH. Nor can FDOH determine the amount of unobligated funds that may need to be returned to the Federal government.
Recommendation	FDOH staff indicated that completion of corrective actions regarding the reconciliations was anticipated by December 31, 2007. We recommend that FDOH ensure that reconciliations of the amount expended to the amount paid under contracts with the Early Steps providers are completed for budget periods 2004-05, 2005-06, and 2006-07 and calculate the amount of unobligated funds, if any, that may need to be returned to the Federal government.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Jane Flowers, CPA, Audit Manager janeflowers@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-9136
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	We concur with this finding. Perform the financial analysis of each Early Steps contract for FY 2004 thru 2007. Notify providers of any un-spent / un-obligated funds by fiscal year. Request the providers to submit spending plans detailing how the funds were spent in the subsequent fiscal year. Conduct review of spending plans from Early Step Providers that had un-obligated (unearned) funds and collect any funds that were not spent in compliance with Early Steps / Federal requirements. Refund Federal

	Government any amount that is collected from expired grant periods.
Estimated Corrective Action Date	June 30, 2008
Agency Contact and Telephone Number	Dr. Phyllis Sloyer (850) 245-4218

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Finding Number	FA 07-037
CFDA Number	84.287
Program Title	Twenty-First Century Community Learning Centers (21st CCLC)
Compliance Requirement	Subrecipient Monitoring
State Agency	Florida Department of Education (FDOE)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	S287C040009 2004 and S287C050009 2005
Finding Type	Significant Deficiency
Finding	The results of monitoring reviews had not been timely communicated to subrecipients.
Criteria	34 CFR 80.40(a), <i>Monitoring and reporting program performance</i> ; OMB Circular A-133, § 400(d), <i>Pass-through entity responsibilities</i> ; 21st CCLC Policy, Monitoring and Compliance (PMC) Unit - Standard Operating Procedures indicates that upon completion of the on-site monitoring review of documentation, an official monitoring report should be issued by FDOE within 30 business days of the on-site visit and sent to the agency head and other key personnel.
Condition	Our review disclosed that FDOE issued three on-site monitoring reports during the 2006-07 fiscal year, which were released to the subgrantees from 40 to 225 days after the on-site visits.
Cause	<p>FDOE personnel indicated the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The monitoring component is a new process for the program office. • The State Director was on approved maternity leave. • Required documentation was not available during the on-site visits and desktop monitoring. Subgrantees were provided opportunity to obtain documentation from the district programs and their finance personnel. • Staff spent an inordinate amount of time reviewing the documentation provided. • Upon completing the reviews, FDOE provided subgrantees opportunities to review, rebut, and provide additional documentation prior to issuing final reports.
Effect	The untimely communication of the monitoring reports may delay corrective actions by the subgrantees.
Recommendation	FDOE should review its monitoring procedures and take those actions necessary to ensure timely issuance of the monitoring reports.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Joseph L. Williams, CPA, Audit Manager joewilliams@aud.state.fl.us (850) 414-9941
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	FDOE will implement additional protocols to ensure that corrective actions are timely communicated to the sub-grantees pursuant to 21st CCLC Policy, Monitoring and Compliance (PMC) Unit – Standard Operating Procedures. FDOE will also review the 21st CCLC Policy, Monitoring and Compliance (PMC) Unit – Standard Operating Procedures to ensure that the 30-day report deadline is a reasonable amount of time to issue tentative findings and give the subgrantees opportunity to review, rebut, and provide additional documentation prior to issuing final reports. Based on results of that review, the timeline may be revised.

**Estimated Corrective
Action Date**

June 30, 2008

**Agency Contact and
Telephone Number**Joe Davis, Chief, Bureau of Family and Community Outreach
(850) 245-0853

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Finding Number	FA 07-038
CFDA Number	84.357
Program Title	Reading First State Grants
Compliance Requirement	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles
State Agency	Florida Department of Education (FDOE)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	S357A060010 2006
Finding Type	Significant Deficiency
Finding	FDOE did not always ensure that the State's accounting system (FLAIR) was timely updated for transactions originally recorded in FDOE's On-Line Disbursement Reporting (D-503) Application.
Criteria	34 CFR 75.702, <i>Fiscal control and fund accounting procedures</i>
Condition	<p>Pursuant to FDOE procedures, recipients may request cash as needed to pay outstanding obligations for cash advance funded projects. Recipients were required to report expenditures for these projects as they occurred until the 20th of each month using the D-503 Application. On the 20th of each month, FDOE turned off the D-503 Application to record adjustments and to update FLAIR.</p> <p>Our review of 20 expenditure transactions reported by recipients disclosed that in four instances FDOE failed to timely update FLAIR accordingly. These four instances, totaling \$610,358.74, had been recorded in the D503 Application on May 21, 2007; however, at June 30, 2007, 41 days later, none of the entries had been recorded in FLAIR.</p>
Cause	FDOE staff did not consistently follow established accounting and reconciliation procedures.
Effect	FDOE's failure to timely record D-503 Application transactions in FLAIR limited the planning ability of others that utilized this data to administer the Program.
Recommendation	FDOE should review its procedures for detecting and timely resolving discrepancies between FLAIR and the D-503 Application. Additionally, FDOE should provide training regarding the importance of timely reconciling the data between the two systems.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Joseph L. Williams, CPA, Audit Manager joewilliams@aud.state.fl.us (850) 414-9941
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	The FDOE followed established accounting procedures for reconciliation and identified the discrepancies. The timing difference referenced in the "Effect" statement did not impede the planning ability of other personnel to administer the program since FDOE uses a subsystem (D-503) to track sub-recipient activities. FDOE continues to provide ongoing training to all staff regarding the monthly reconciliation process.
Estimated Corrective Action Date	N/A
Agency Contact and Telephone Number	Jon Manalo, Comptroller, (850) 245-9996 Norman Holley, Assistant Deputy Commissioner, (850) 245-9218

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Finding Number	FA 07-039
CFDA Number	84.357
Program Title	Reading First State Grants
Compliance Requirement	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles
State Agency	Florida Department of Education (FDOE)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	S357A050010 2005
Finding Type	Significant Deficiency
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-036
Finding	Significant deficiencies noted during the prior audit regarding the approval of subaward budgets that contained incorrect indirect cost rates continued to exist during the audit period.
Criteria	OMB Circular A-87, Attachment A, Section A.3.b, <i>All subawards are subject to those Federal cost principles applicable to the particular organization concerns</i> ; 20 USC Section 6362(d), <i>Local planning and administration</i> ; OMB Circular A-87, Attachment E, Section C, <i>Allocation of Indirect Costs and Determination of Indirect Cost Rates</i> ; FDOE Project Application and Amendment Procedures for Federal and State Programs, <i>Approved Indirect Cost Rates</i>
Condition	In the prior audit, we noted where FDOE used an incorrect indirect cost rate to calculate and approve project awards during the budget and planning process. In the Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings for the 2006-07 fiscal year, FDOE management advised us that additional training had been provided to Grants Management staff to ensure that the correct indirect cost rate was used in approving budgets. According to FDOE management, the training was provided on April 25, 2007, and follow-up training was provided on July 12, 2007.
Cause	FDOE corrective actions were not begun until late in the 2006-07 fiscal year.
Effect	The failure to adhere to Federal guidelines and FDOE procedures by not applying the allowable indirect cost rate, for planning and budgeting purposes, combined with the failure to notify applicants of such, can lead to the disbursement of unallowable costs that may result in repayment to USED.
Recommendation	We recommend that FDOE management ensure that the correct indirect cost rate is approved for use in the subgranted budgets.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Joseph L. Williams, CPA, Audit Manager joewilliams@aud.state.fl.us (850) 414-9941
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	During 2006-07, the FDOE entered into negotiations with the USED with regard to the indirect cost plans, procedures, and rates to be used by local education agencies (LEAs) for 2007-08. Additional training on the application of indirect costs rates to budgets was not held until such time as FDOE had clear indication from USED as to the changes that would be made. FDOE and USED reached agreement on a one-year interim plan and training was provided. FDOE and USED are currently negotiating the plans, procedures, and rates to be used in 2008-09. As soon as those negotiations are completed, training will be provided to all appropriate FDOE staff. Training will be ongoing as needed to ensure the correct application of indirect cost rates to proposed subgrant budgets.
Estimated Corrective Action Date	June 30, 2008 and ongoing

**Agency Contact and
Telephone Number**

Martha K. Asbury, Director, Administrative Services
(850) 245-0735

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Finding Number	FA 07-040
CFDA Number	84.365
Program Title	English Language Acquisition Grants
Compliance Requirement	Matching, Level of Effort, Earmarking
State Agency	Florida Department of Education (FDOE)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	T365A040009 2004, T365A050009 2005, and T365A060009 2006
Finding Type	Opinion Qualification, Material Noncompliance, Material Weakness, and Significant Deficiency, Questioned Costs – Unknown
Finding	As of June 30, 2007, moneys set aside for the Immigrant Children and Youth Program from the 2004, 2005, and 2006 grants had not been used for such purposes. Additionally, FDOE management did not have a system in place to ensure that subgrants pertaining to this funding would be uniquely identified and accounted for in the fiscal records.
Criteria	20 USC 6824(d) - <i>Within-State allocations - Required reservations</i> ; USED Correspondence from the <i>Office of English Language Acquisition, Language Enhancement, and Academic Achievement for Limited English Proficient Students, dated July 14, 2005</i> - The funds distributed under Section 3114(d)(1), the Title III Immigrant Children and Youth Program, must be awarded to subgrantees that have experienced a significant increase in the percentage or number of immigrant children and youth within the last two years. States are required to reserve up to 15 percent of their Title III grants for this purpose. At a minimum, States must reserve, under Section 3114(d), an amount of money that is large enough to make at least one grant of sufficient scope and size to meet the purposes of this part of the statute. Funds distributed under the Title III Immigrant Children and Youth Program should also be tracked separately from the regular State formula funds awarded under Section 3114(a).
Condition	USED awarded FDOE \$36,272,809, \$38,999,401 and \$42,709,671, for the 2004-05, 2005-06 and 2006-07 State fiscal years, respectively, under Public Law 107-110, Title III, Part A - English Language Acquisition: State Formula Grant Program. FDOE set aside for each of these fiscal years, \$5,168,875.28, \$5,849,910.15 and \$6,086,128.12, for the Immigrant Children and Youth Program, respectively. According to FDOE personnel, FDOE had not awarded subgrants for the Immigrant Children and Youth Program, nor had it established a mechanism to track such subgrants had they been awarded.
Cause	FDOE personnel indicated that the funds set aside for the Immigrant Children and Youth Program had not been awarded due to: (1) FDOE was holding funds until it could determine the final costs associated with the implementation of the Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment, and (2) awaiting clarification from USED on the definition of local educational agencies experiencing substantial increases in immigrant children and youth. Additionally, FDOE staff indicated that a separate grant number had not been established because the subgrants were funded through the same Federal grant.
Effect	FDOE may not have facilitated services to immigrant children and youth, as envisioned by the Federal granting agency, that included ensuring these individuals received enhanced instructional opportunities. Furthermore, USED may disallow grant expenditures in an amount equal to the amount of funding that was large enough to make at least one grant of sufficient scope and size to meet the purposes of the statute for each applicable fiscal year but which was not

	subgranted by FDOE in the manner required by Federal law.
Recommendation	We recommend that FDOE managers ensure that subgrants are awarded as required by the applicable Federal laws and guidelines, and use separate account code identifiers that properly identify and account for expenditures charged for Immigrant Children and Youth Program services. Additionally, we recommend that FDOE seek guidance from USED as to whether the failure to use the moneys set aside for the Immigrant Children and Youth Program services would result in disallowed costs.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Joseph L. Williams, CPA, Audit Manager joewilliams@aud.state.fl.us (850) 414-9941
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	<p>As of February 4, 2008, FDOE issued a separate Grant Tracking Number for use in allocating immigrant education funds and issuing project awards to subgrantee (TAP Number 09A028). New subgrants to eligible local educational agencies (LEAs) shall reference TAPs number and expenditures shall be tracked based on unique project award numbers.</p> <p>In the absence of guidance from USED, which provides a definition of "local educational agencies experiencing substantial increases in immigrant children and youth," the FDOE determined an allocation methodology that is based in part on the requirements outlined in section 3114(d)(1), Title III, No Child Left Behind and has completed the preliminary allocation of all unexpended funds set aside for Immigrant Education. In addition, a preliminary Request for Application (RFA) has been developed for use by eligible LEAs in 2008-09.</p>
Estimated Corrective Action Date	April 30, 2008
Agency Contact and Telephone Number	Lisa Saavedra, Executive Director Office of Academic Achievement Through Language Acquisition (850) 245-5074

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Finding Number	FA 07-041
CFDA Number	84.365
Program Title	English Language Acquisition Grants
Compliance Requirement	Subrecipient Monitoring
State Agency	Florida Department of Education (FDOE)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	T365A060009 2006
Finding Type	Material Noncompliance
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-152, Finding No. FA 06-022
Finding	Contrary to Federal regulations, FDOE did not conduct subrecipient monitoring in the 2006-07 fiscal year.
Criteria	34 CFR 80.40(a), <i>Monitoring and reporting program performance</i> ; OMB Circular A-133, §__400(d), <i>Pass-through entity responsibilities</i>
Condition	Our current year review of FDOE's monitoring procedures disclosed that contrary to Federal regulations, monitoring had not been conducted for the English Language Acquisition Grants Program during the 2006-07 fiscal year. Payments to subrecipients represented 91.3 percent of total Program expenditures.
Cause	<p>FDOE personnel explained that there were essentially two factors that lead to them not being able to conduct on-site monitoring and fully implement their monitoring procedures during the 2006-07 school year, that included the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The first administration of the Comprehensive English Language Learners Assessment System (CELLA) was supposed to have occurred in the spring of the 2005-06 school year; however, due to contract delays with the vendor and the numerous hurricanes, Florida was forced to delay the first administration until August - September of 2006, and also administer the test again in the spring of 2007. The implementation of CELLA not only involved considerable new/additional work tasks for the unit, but it also posed a considerable impact on school districts. In all of the districts, the English for Speakers of Other Languages (ESOL)/Title III Coordinators involved in implementing CELLA were the same coordinators that were responsible for preparing documents for FDOE on-site monitoring visits and completing the self-evaluation monitoring documents. Implementing CELLA (two administrations) and conducting monitoring activities would have placed a huge burden on the districts, and therefore, the decision was made to postpone the monitoring for one year. • The lack of the availability of staff and calendar time in which to conduct the on-site visits contributed to the postponement.
Effect	FDOE and USED have limited assurance that the subrecipients have administered the Federal program in accordance with Federal and State requirements.
Recommendation	We recommend that FDOE take the necessary actions to ensure that monitoring reviews are performed as required.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Joseph L. Williams, CPA, Audit Manager joewilliams@aud.state.fl.us (850) 414-9941

State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	Monitoring of subrecipient project awards issued on Title III, Part A, No Child Left Behind is being conducted through the system of focused monitoring established by the Office of Academic Achievement through Language Acquisition. All school districts receiving English Language Acquisition subgrants will be monitored either through focused desktop or self-monitoring and reporting depending on assessed risk factors.
Estimated Corrective Action Date	June 30, 2008
Agency Contact and Telephone Number	Lisa Saavedra, Executive Director Office of Academic Achievement Through Language Acquisition (850) 245-5074

U.S. ELECTION ASSISTANCE COMMISSION

Finding Number	FA 07-042
CFDA Number	90.401
Program Title	Help America Vote Act (HAVA) Requirements Payments
Compliance Requirement	Matching, Level of Effort, Earmarking
State Agency	Florida Department of State (FDOS)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	2003 and 2004
Finding Type	Other
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-039
Finding	In October 2007, FDOS corrected the undercontribution of State matching funds disclosed in the prior audit.
Criteria	42 USC Chapter 146, Section 15403, <i>Condition for receipt of funds</i>
Condition	In finding No. FA 06-039, we noted that FDOS had undercontributed State matching funds by \$345,776 and recommended that FDOS deposit additional State funds into the HAVA Trust Fund. Although FDOS requested and received a legislative appropriation totaling \$375,776 (\$345,776 for the additional State matching funds and \$30,000 for accumulated interest), the money was not available for transfer into the HAVA Trust Fund until October 2007. In addition, the amount of compound interest calculated exceeded the amount appropriated by \$20,988.16. However, this amount was transferred into the HAVA Trust Fund on October 19, 2007.
Cause	The transfer of funds was delayed pending the release of applicable General Revenue appropriations on October 3, 2007. Our audit also disclosed that FDOS initially estimated the interest based on a simple interest calculation but was later instructed by the EAC on July 31, 2007, to use a compound interest calculation.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Sherrill F. Norman, CPA, Audit Manager sherrillnorman@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-9316
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	The Department agrees with the findings reported above. The Department of State transferred \$396,763.16 from General Revenue to the HAVA Trust Fund for the additional state matching funds including the interest that would have accrued on those funds. The final transfer was made on October 19, 2007.
Estimated Corrective Action Date	The required corrective action was completed on October 19, 2007.
Agency Contact and Telephone Number	Sarah Jane Bradshaw, Acting Director Division of Elections (850) 245-6200

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Finding Number	FA 07-043
CFDA Number	Various (See Condition)
Program Title	Various (See Condition)
Compliance Requirement	Subrecipient Monitoring
State Agency	Florida Department of Children and Family Services (FDCFS)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	Various
Finding Type	Significant Deficiency
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-047
Finding	FDCFS did not always notify subrecipients of all required Federal award information within a reasonable period.
Criteria	OMB Circular A-133, §____.400(d)(1), <i>Pass-through entity responsibilities; Contract Management System for Contractual Services, CFOP 75-2</i>
Condition	<p>FDCFS procedures require that a Post Award Notice of Federal Financial Participation (PAN) be provided to subrecipients within 90 days of the contract's effective date. Updated PANs are to be provided for amendments to contracts involving Federal funding. The PANs are used to document FDCFS' notification to subrecipients of required Federal award information (i.e., Federal agency, CFDA title and number, and award name and number).</p> <p>Our tests of FDCFS records pertaining to 40 subrecipients of Federal awards disclosed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> For one subrecipient, FDCFS did not include on the PAN all sources of Federal awards. Additionally, for this subrecipient, FDCFS did not provide a PAN for the original contract within 90 days of the contract's effective date. In this instance, the PAN was not submitted until December 5, 2006, or 157 days after the July 1, 2006, contract effective date. The three-year original contract totaled \$165,148,014 and was later amended to \$125,501,957. For four subrecipients, contrary to FDCFS procedure, FDCFS personnel did not provide updated PANs when contracts were amended to change the amount. In these instances, there were six contract amendments totaling \$2,420,079. <p>The above noted instances pertained to contracts partially funded with the following programs:</p> <p>93.558 – Temporary Assistance for Needy Families 93.566 – Refugee and Entrant Assistance – State Administered Programs 93.603 – Adoption Incentive Payments 93.658 – Foster Care – Title IV-E 93.659 – Adoption Assistance 93.667 – Social Services Block Grant 93.778 – Medical Assistance Program</p>
Cause	FDCFS personnel indicated that the failure to provide updated PANs was an oversight. FDCFS further stated that it was difficult to provide the PANs on a current basis because the funding sources for Community-Based Care Services contracts change frequently.
Effect	FDCFS' failure to provide PANs containing updated Federal award information may result in subrecipients not correctly identifying Federal funds for financial reporting and accountability purposes.

Recommendation	We recommend that FDCFS ensure that subrecipients are timely notified of required Federal award information in accordance with FDCFS procedures.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Jane Flowers, CPA, Audit Manager janeflowers@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-9136
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	<p>The Department provides an automated application on the Provider Audit Unit web site to assist contract managers in the preparation of the Post Award Notice for contracts. The application instructions specify when a notice must be prepared and amended for the contract. The contract manager inputs the funding sources by Budget Entity, Other Cost Accumulator and Category information for the contract from the Form 1122. The application uses the Department's Chart 8 system to identify the CFDA numbers and Federal funding percentages. The application generates the notice and a transmittal letter. The grand total of the notice is then compared to the contract to ensure all funding sources have been included.</p> <p>Since contracts are funded and written at the Circuit level, the Post Award Notices must be prepared in the Circuits. Preparation of the Post Award Notice is a step included on Contract Administration checklists when contracts are executed.</p> <p>In 2007, we implemented a new Post Award Notice (PAN) process for the Community-Base Care contracts. A completed PAN is sent to the contract manager from an automated system when any change in funding occurs. This automated process will greatly enhance our compliance rates as contract managers only have to forward an already completed document to their provider.</p> <p>We continued to conduct quality reviews of this activity during the past Fiscal Year and have seen a continuing increase in our compliance rates.</p> <p>Central Office will again emphasize the importance of the Post Award Notice process to the Circuits during the March 25, 2008, Contract Administrators conference call.</p>
Estimated Corrective Action Date	May 31, 2008
Agency Contact and Telephone Number	Walter Sachs, Staff Director for Contracts (850) 921-8983

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Finding Number	FA 07-044
CFDA Number	93.283
Program Title	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention – Investigations and Technical Assistance (CDC–ITA)
Compliance Requirement	Allowable Cost/Cost Principles
State Agency	Florida Department of Health (FDOH)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	1U38EH000177-01 and 3U50EH423360-03W1
Finding Type	Material Noncompliance Questioned Costs – \$387,159.48 (\$212,269.77- 1U38EH000177-01 & \$174,889.71 - 3U50EH423360-03W1)
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-043
Finding	FDOH continued to utilize contracts to acquire staff to administer FDOH grant activities although the express statutory authority to do so was not clear. Additionally, FDOH did not fairly state the status of a similar finding in the Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings (SSPAF).
Criteria	OMB Circular A-87 Attachment A, Subsection C., <i>Basic Guidelines</i> - Factors affecting allowability of costs Sections 216.262(1) and 216.2625, Florida Statutes – Except for positions funded by county health department trust funds or the United States Trust Fund, the total number of authorized positions for FDOH is limited to the number of positions provided in the Appropriations Acts.
Condition	As disclosed in audit reports No. 2006-152, finding No. FA 05-058, and No. 2007-146, finding No. FA 06-043, FDOH had competitively procured ten contracts totaling \$60.1 million to provide staff to administer FDOH grant activities under FDOH direction rather than using authorized positions or outsourcing the services. Payments during the 2006-07 fiscal year for the pre-existing contracts totaled \$7,979,734.32. Our current review of FDOH's contract listing disclosed two additional staffing contracts totaling \$3.6 million that were in effect during the 2006-07 fiscal year. The two staffing contracts had 2006-07 fiscal year expenditures of \$387,159.48 that pertained to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Investigations and Technical Assistance Program. Generally, under the terms of the staffing contracts, the contract providers recruit personnel who are interviewed, hired, and supervised by FDOH personnel and housed at FDOH offices. Payments to the contract providers are made monthly or quarterly for payroll costs of staff placed in FDOH offices and for administrative expenses of the contract provider. FDOH indicated in the SSPAF that the prior audit findings were fully corrected by its utilization of the competitive procurement process to procure staffing services. However, as described below, FDOH had not complied with Federal regulations, in that it had not yet resolved questions as to its authority under State law to secure through staffing contracts, the services of staff to supplement authorized full-time equivalent staff positions. An audit of the Public Health Preparedness grants funded by the CDC-ITA Program at FDOH is currently being conducted by USDHHS. As part of the scope, USDHHS is reviewing FDOH's practice of utilizing contracts to acquire staff. Additionally, FDOH has presented information, including its legal counsel's opinion, to staff from the Division of Financial Systems, Payment Integrity, and Audit Resolution within USDHHS. FDOH maintains, in part, that various

	provisions in Chapter 287, Florida Statutes, provide implicit authority for FDOH to contract for services that would otherwise be provided by agency employees. While Chapter 287, Florida Statutes, provides authority for agencies to procure contractual services, the contractual services contemplated therein are services performed by independent contractors, not by staff supervised by FDOH personnel in a manner that is indistinguishable from other FDOH employees.
Cause	FDOH indicated that its legal authority to procure contractual services authorizes FDOH to acquire, through staffing contracts, the services of personnel who administer FDOH grant activities.
Effect	The absence of State laws and Federal regulations clearly authorizing these contracts may result in the disallowance of costs by the Federal grantor agency. Also, the State record characterization of these expenditures as contractual services does not accurately present their substance.
Recommendation	We recommend that FDOH seek the Attorney General's opinion regarding whether governing State laws authorize FDOH to secure, through staffing contracts, the services of staff to supplement authorized full-time equivalent staff positions. Absent an opinion of the Attorney General affirming FDOH's authority, FDOH should discontinue the use of staffing contracts.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Jane Flowers, CPA, Audit Manager janeflowers@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-9136
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	<p>The Department of Health has received repeated assurances from its attorneys that it has sufficient authority to enter contracts for staff services. If contracts for staff services are not allowed under state law then the contractor would be in peril since the contract could be void. No attorney for contract providers or department attorney has ever questioned the lawful authority for such contracts. The Department of Health will not seek the opinion of the Attorney General as it has received the legal opinion of its own attorneys. The attorney general notes on his website, "Attorney General Opinions are not a substitute for the advice and counsel of the attorneys who represent governmental agencies and officials on a day to day basis." The department will rely on the advice of its counsel in this regard.</p> <p>The Department of Health's General Counsel Office has advised that the Department has lawful authority to contract for staff. Department lawyers have stated that the Department of Health is an executive agency of the state of Florida created by section 20.43, Florida Statutes. The general provisions for public health are outlined in Chapter 381, Florida Statutes. Therein, the Legislature determined that the state's public health system, "must be founded on an active partnership between federal, state, and local government and between the public and private sectors, and therefore, assessment, policy development, and service provision must be shared by all of these entities to achieve its mission."</p> <p>Chapter 287, Florida Statutes, governs government procurement of commodities and services and all Florida executive branch departments are obligated to comply with all statutory requirements of the chapter in purchasing goods and services. Contractual services are defined as the rendering by a contractor of its time and effort rather than the furnishing of specific commodities. The statute continues, "The term applies only to those services rendered by individuals and firms who are independent contractors, and such services may include, but are not limited to, evaluations; consultations; maintenance; accounting; security; management systems; management consulting; educational training program; research and development studies or reports on the findings of consultants engaged thereunder; and professional, technical, and social services." The public procurement law specifically recognizes state agencies' authority to enter</p>

contracts for services which at one time might have been performed by employees of the government. One of the tasks assigned to the Department of Management Services under Chapter 287, Florida Statutes, is the "development of procedures to be used by an agency in deciding to contract, including, but not limited to, identifying and assessing in writing project needs and requirements, availability of agency employees, budgetary constraints or availability, facility equipment availability, current and projected agency workload capabilities, and the ability of any other state agency to perform the services."

Finally, in recognition of the growing trend for state agencies to contract for employment services, the Legislature created the Florida Efficient Government Act in 2006. "It is the intent of the Legislature that each state agency focus on its core mission and deliver services effectively and efficiently by leveraging resources and contracting with private sector vendors whenever vendors can more effectively and efficiently provide services and reduce the cost of government."

Additionally, department counsel has suggested that section 216.262, Florida Statutes, does not prohibit the Department entering contracts for services. Rather, this law fills the purpose of directing planning and budgeting of state agencies relative to salary and benefits obligations.

Accordingly, based on the directives of Florida law, it is clear that the Department of Health has legal authority to enter into contracts for services which otherwise would be performed by employees of the agency.

**Estimated Corrective
Action Date**

**Agency Contact and
Telephone Number**

Gary Mahoney
(850) 245-4149

Auditor's Remarks

Section 16.01(3), Florida Statutes, provides the means through which an executive branch department head can obtain from the Attorney General, upon a written request, an official opinion and legal advice in writing on any question of law relating to the official duties of the department. We again recommend that FDOH seek the Attorney General's opinion.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Finding Number	FA 07-045
CFDA Number	93.283
Program Title	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention – Investigations and Technical Assistance
Compliance Requirement	Allowable Costs/Costs Principles
State Agency	Florida Department of Health (FDOH)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	U90/CCU417006 2006 and 2007
Finding Type	Material Noncompliance Questioned Costs – \$213,018.61 (\$44,913.88 – U90/CCU417006-06 and \$168,824.73 – U90/CCU417006-07)
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-044
Finding	Contrary to Federal regulations, FDOH had not maintained time and effort records to support the basis for the allocation of employee salaries to multiple programs or cost objectives.
Criteria	OMB Circular A-87 Attachment B, Section 8.h., <i>Support of Salaries and Wages</i>
Condition	<p>In the prior audit, we reported that six employees had worked in the Preparedness Education and Training Section within the Office of Performance Improvement (OPI) whose salaries and benefits totaling \$289,043.19 were charged to the Centers for Disease Control – Investigations and Technical Assistance (CDC-ITA) Program. The employees were responsible for ensuring the delivery of education and training to key public health professionals. Since these functions benefited numerous programs and cost objectives, FDOH should have allocated the costs based on time and effort records or charged the costs as indirect costs.</p> <p>This condition continued for five of the six employees during all or a portion of the 2006-07 fiscal year, as described below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> For two OPI employees, 100 percent of their salaries and benefits were charged to CDC-ITA; however, only a portion of their duties (10 percent and 40 percent), as described in job position descriptions, related to the CDC-ITA Program. Time and effort records were not available to support the amount of time spent on Program activities. Salaries and benefits totaling \$103,842.18 were charged to the CDC-ITA Program for these two employees during the 2006-07 fiscal year. For three employees, FDOH revised the employees' job duties in February 2007, to be solely related to Public Health Preparedness, a CDC-ITA funded Program. Prior to February 2007, the three employees were assigned to OPI and continued to work on activities benefitting multiple programs and costs objectives. For the period July 2006 through January 2007, 100 percent of the salaries and benefits paid to these employees, totaling \$109,176.43, was charged to the CDC-ITA Program.
Cause	FDOH staff believed that costs charged to the CDC-ITA Program for these OPI employees was consistent with grant guidelines and objectives related to the deployment of a Statewide public health education initiative.
Effect	Absent appropriate time and effort records, FDOH cannot demonstrate the appropriateness of the amounts charged to Federal grants.
Recommendation	Effective with the 2007-08 fiscal year, FDOH implemented timekeeping procedures to document employee time and effort as a basis for allocating salaries and benefits to multiple Federal programs or cost objectives. We

	recommend that FDOH monitor the implementation of the new procedures to ensure that salaries and benefits are properly allocated based on time and effort records.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Jane Flowers, CPA, Audit Manager janeflowers@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-9136
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	<p>FDOH, Division of Emergency Medical Operations (DEMO) has appointed an employee within the Administrative Services Unit to oversee compliance with the DOH Policy 57-03-07. The employee is responsible to collect all timekeeping records, review time allocations to cost objects and complete journal transfers to align expenditures with actual time spent by cost object.</p> <p>The Division will continue to monitor this process to ensure that OMB A-87 guidelines are followed.</p>
Estimated Corrective Action Date	On-going
Agency Contact and Telephone Number	Victor Johnson (850) 245-4346

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Finding Number	FA 07-046
CFDA Number	93.558
Program Title	Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)
Compliance Requirement	Eligibility
State Agency	Florida Department of Children and Family Services (FDCFS)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	G-0501FLTANF 2005, G-0602FLTANF 2006, and G-0702FLTANF 2007
Finding Type	Questioned Costs - \$748,260 during the 2006-07 fiscal year.
Finding	Fraudulent TANF cash assistance benefit payments may have been made.
Criteria	<p>42 USC Chapter 7, <i>Social Security</i></p> <p>While the State's TANF Program cash assistance benefits were paid primarily from State funds in order to comply with the TANF Program's Maintenance of Effort requirement, benefits and eligibility should be determined and paid in compliance with the regulations and requirements of that Program.</p>
Condition	During our test of eligibility, as described in finding No. FA 07-047, we identified potentially fraudulent payments. This information was provided to FDCFS, and after review, FDCFS referred the matter to the Florida Department of Law Enforcement. Arrests, including that of a FDCFS employee, were made in December 2007.
Cause	A complete description of the cause is pending the completion of the investigation.
Effect	An estimated \$1.5 million in funds may have been fraudulently paid during the period January 2005 through December 2007.
Recommendation	FDCFS should address any control weaknesses disclosed by the continuing investigation.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	<p>Jane Flowers, CPA, Audit Manager</p> <p>janeflowers@aud.state.fl.us</p> <p>(850) 487-9136</p>
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	<p>In December 2007, the Department formed an Internal Controls Workgroup to proactively identify, detect and address potential fraudulent activity and internal control weaknesses in the ACCESS Florida Program. The Department began running monthly ad hoc reports meeting certain error prone and fraudulent criteria to monitor and detect any such activity. In addition, the Department is reviewing and modifying a number of internal controls to ensure that FLORIDA system edits are in place to prevent fraudulent activities.</p> <p>The Department will use the final reports issued by the FDLE and the Department's Office of Inspector General to address any other identified control weaknesses.</p>
Estimated Corrective Action Date	May 31, 2008
Agency Contact and Telephone Number	<p>Cindy Mickler</p> <p>(850) 488-5342</p>

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Finding Number	FA 07-047
CFDA Number	93.558
Program Title	Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)
Compliance Requirement	Eligibility
State Agency	Florida Department of Children and Family Services (FDCFS)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	G-0602FLTANF 2006 and G-0702FLTANF 2007
Finding Type	Material Noncompliance and Significant Deficiency Questioned Costs - \$12,444
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-050
Finding	TANF cash assistance benefits were not always calculated correctly and eligibility for TANF benefits was not always adequately documented.
Criteria	<p>TANF State Plan, <i>Eligibility for TANF Funded Assistance and Non-assistance</i>; 42 USC 608(a)(8) and (9) <i>Prohibitions; requirements</i>; 21 USC 862a <i>Denial of Federal benefits to drug traffickers and possessors</i></p> <p>FDCFS Operating Procedures (CFOP) 165-22 Public Assistance Policy Manual Section 1420.2100 - 1420.2102, <i>Applying the Family Cap (Temporary Cash Assistance)</i></p> <p>45 CFR 206.10(a)(1)(ii) <i>Application, determination of eligibility and furnishing of assistance</i></p> <p>TANF State Plan, Section 2.8 a. – <i>Up-Front Diversion</i></p>
Condition	<p>Our test of eligibility for 40 clients (cases) that received TANF cash assistance benefits during the 2006-07 fiscal year disclosed the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In accordance with Federal regulations, certain felony convictions result in applicants being ineligible to receive TANF benefits. In the prior audit, we noted that the online application process did not require attestations regarding these convictions. Corrective actions were taken to include such attestations; however, the actions were not effective until March 29, 2007. Of the 40 cases tested, 29 cases were processed prior to the online system revisions; therefore, the applications did not contain attestations regarding the lack of certain felony convictions. The sampled payments for these 29 cases totaled \$6,481. • We found three cases in which FDCFS could not provide a Request for Assistance (RFA) for the eligibility period tested. In these instances, FDCFS had not fully documented eligibility for the cases. Without the RFAs, there was no attestation regarding the lack of felony convictions. In these three instances, benefit payments sampled totaled \$725. • We found one case in which FDCFS incorrectly applied the family cap provisions. In this instance, the benefit payment sampled totaled \$241. The error resulted in \$238 in overpayments over eight months. <p>The TANF Program includes an Up-Front Diversion Program which has a lifetime limit of \$1,000 per family. In addition to the 40 clients discussed above, we performed analytical procedures for clients receiving large cash assistance payments. As part of these procedures, we noted two cases in which FDCFS had not enforced the lifetime payment limit. These two instances resulted in overpayments of \$5,000.</p>

Cause	With regards to the payments in excess of the lifetime limit, FDCFS indicated that it appeared the procedures outlined in the Workaround Guide for Upfront Diversion were not followed. As disclosed above, until March 29, 2007, the online application was not designed to obtain attestations regarding felony convictions.
Effect	TANF cash assistance payments, made from State Maintenance of Effort funds, were in excess of stated limits. In addition, without the RFA there was no documentation that FDCFS determined that the clients were not ineligible based on certain felony convictions specified in the Federal Regulations.
Recommendation	We recommend that FDCFS ensure that client eligibility documentation is properly maintained. We also recommend that FDCFS enhance controls to ensure that benefit payments are properly determined.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Jane Flowers, CPA, Audit Manager janeflowers@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-9136
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	<p>The FLORIDA system enhancement on April 4, 2007 to the web application now allows applicants to complete questions regarding felony convictions. In addition, the Department will continue to examine areas affecting accuracy through Quality Management System (QMS) On-line and Management Evaluation (ME) reviews to ensure proper documentation is maintained to support client benefit levels.</p> <p>In the one case where family cap was applied incorrectly, a request was made to correct the case and to refer it to Benefit Recovery.</p> <p>The two up-front diversion cases related to this audit finding were referred to Benefit Recovery and claims were established. As a result of this audit, the Department completed a sample of 100 cash cases from an ad hoc report of cases with large dollar amounts issued in 2007 to determine if there were problems issuing relocation, severance or up-front diversion payments by fiat. The review results showed that all of the benefit payments were properly issued.</p>
Estimated Corrective Action Date	May 31, 2008
Agency Contact and Telephone Number	Cindy Mickler (850) 488-5342

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Finding Number	FA 07-048
CFDA Number	93.563
Program Title	Child Support Enforcement (CSE)
Compliance Requirement	Reporting
State Agency	Florida Department of Revenue (FDOR)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	0604FL4004 for FY 05-06, and 0704FL4004 for FY 06-07
Finding Type	Significant Deficiency
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-052
Finding	FDOR procedures were not adequate to ensure the completeness and accuracy of the information reported in the Quarterly Reports of Collections (OCSE-34A).
Criteria	To ensure the reliability of records and reports, internal control policies and procedures should provide for independent verification of the reconciliation of related information maintained on multiple records or record systems. In situations in which a contractor is used to process transactions and maintain and reconcile records, the independent verification function may be achieved through evaluations performed on at least an annual basis by persons independent of the contractor.
Condition	<p>Florida's State Disbursement Unit (SDU) is the primary collection point for child support payments and is also responsible for the disbursement of moneys as authorized through the Florida Department of Children and Family Services' Florida On-line Recipient Integrated Data Access (FLORIDA) System. Our audit determined that the FDOR did not reconcile all data reported by the SDU contractor with related data in the FLORIDA System. Utilizing data from the FLORIDA System, FDOR reported collections totaling approximately \$1.5 billion on the Quarterly Reports of Collections (OCSE-34A) submitted for the 2006-07 fiscal year.</p> <p>FDOR staff stated that a reconciliation of SDU data to receipt and disbursement data recorded in the FLORIDA System and the FLAIR System is complicated due to the use of multiple systems which were not designed to serve as integrated, comprehensive accounting systems. Although the Department reconciled certain transactions that are components of the Child Support Program, a complete reconciliation of all activity was not possible.</p> <p>FDOR is continuing to pursue its development of the Child Support Enforcement Automated Management System (CAMS), which is projected to be implemented in 2011. Staff members are preparing detailed work flows and needs assessments to be utilized in designing CAMS to facilitate the necessary comprehensive reconciliations.</p>
Cause	FDOR staff stated that a complete reconciliation is neither possible nor feasible with the current systems.
Effect	Absent the reconciliation of the data among all systems, FDOR has a limited basis for reasonably ensuring the accuracy and completeness of the OCSE-34A reports.
Recommendation	We recommend that the FDOR reconcile all data maintained on multiple systems. Such reconciliation should provide sufficient detail and documentation to allow adjustments to Federal reports or accounting records as needed.

Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Kathryn Walker, CPA, Audit Manager kathrynwalker@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-9085
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	As noted, reconciliation of data maintained on the multiple systems is not possible, nor feasible, because the systems were not designed to serve as an integrated, comprehensive accounting system. However, the Department will continue to assess and reconcile program component transactions to ensure consistency and reliability of all data. Department staff is nearing completion of detailed work flows of current operations and will soon start developing "to be" work flows to support full reconciliation of all collection data. These work flows will be used in the design and development of CAMS Phase II, which is projected to be implemented in March 2011. The development of a comprehensive reconciliation component will enable the Department to perform timely and complete reconciliation of all data providing adequate detail and documentation to allow for adjustments to Federal reports or accounting records.
Estimated Corrective Action Date	March 2011
Agency Contact and Telephone Number	Mel Hedick (850) 413-0605

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Finding Number	FA 07-049
CFDA Number	93.566
Program Title	Refugee and Entrant Assistance – State Administered Programs (REAP)
Compliance Requirement	Eligibility
State Agency	Florida Department of Children and Family Services (FDCFS)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	G-06AAFL4100 2006 and G-07AAFL4100 2007
Finding Type	Material Noncompliance and Significant Deficiency Questioned Costs – \$1,873
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-053
Finding	FDCFS provided REAP benefits to individuals for whom FDCFS could not provide documentation supporting eligibility.
Criteria	45 CFR 400.75, <i>Registration for employment services, participation in employability service programs and targeted assistance programs, going to job interviews, and acceptance of appropriate offers of employment</i> ; 45 CFR 400.79, <i>Development of an employability plan</i> ; 45 CFR 400.50, <i>Opportunity to apply for cash assistance</i> ; <i>FDCFS Public Assistance Policy Manual</i> , CFOP 165-22
Condition	<p>We reviewed case files for 40 individuals receiving Refugee Medical Assistance (RMA) and Refugee Cash Assistance (RCA) to determine whether clients met the categorical and financial requirements of the Programs. Our review disclosed that benefits totaling \$1,873 (\$662 for grant number G-06AAFL4100 and \$1,211 for grant number G-07AAFL4100) were paid during the 2005-06 and 2006-07 fiscal years to three individuals for whom FDCFS could not provide the required documentation. Specifically, we noted:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In one instance, case records did not document that the client met the work participation requirements. RCA benefits totaling \$662 were paid to the client. • In one instance, FDCFS continued to provide RCA benefits to a client although the six month recertification was not completed as required by FDCFS Policy. RCA benefits totaling \$360 were paid to the client. • In one instance, an application was not provided to document the client's request for RCA benefits. RCA benefits totaling \$851 were paid to the client.
Cause	FDCFS failed to maintain complete case records and follow policies and procedures established to prevent amounts from being paid to ineligible individuals.
Effect	REAP benefits were used to provide RCA to individuals for whom FDCFS could not provide documentation supporting eligibility.
Recommendation	We recommend that FDCFS strengthen its efforts to ensure that appropriate documentation is maintained for individuals receiving benefits. Additionally, FDCFS should complete recertifications during the required time frames to ensure that only eligible clients receive benefits.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Jane Flowers, CPA, Audit Manager janeflowers@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-9136
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	In the one instance where the work participation is not documented, the work registration form was returned but did not contain signature of employment counselor certifying completion of work registration. A message was sent to Circuit 11 to remind them to carefully review returned employment registration

documents for appropriate approval signatures prior to benefit approval.

In the one instance where the required six-month eligibility review was not conducted, a message was sent to Circuit 11 to remind them of Refugee Assistance Program policy requirements in manual passage 0860.0100 requiring six-month eligibility reviews.

In the one instance where an application was not provided to request RCA benefits, it appears from other documents (completed CF-ES 2697 employment registration form) that the individual intended to apply for RCA. A message was sent to Circuit 11 to remind them to properly document any additional requests for benefits received on pending applications and address any discrepancies between benefits initially requested and those later approved.

**Estimated Corrective
Action Date**

February 25, 2008

**Agency Contact and
Telephone Number**

Eileen Schilling
(850) 414-5643

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Finding Number	FA 07-050
CFDA Number	93.566
Program Title	Refugee and Entrant Assistance – State Administered Programs (REAP)
Compliance Requirement	Reporting
State Agency	Florida Department of Children and Family Services (FDCFS)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	G-04AAFL4110 2004 and G-05AAFL4110 2005
Finding Type	Material Noncompliance and Significant Deficiency
Finding	The ORR-6 Quarterly Performance Report for the quarter ended September 30, 2006, was not prepared in accordance with applicable instructions.
Criteria	45 CFR 400.28(b), <i>Maintenance of records and reports</i> ; 45 CFR 400.152 <i>Limitations on eligibility for services</i> ; 45 CFR 400.315(b), <i>General Eligibility Requirements</i> ; Office of Refugee Settlement State Letter #5-23, <i>National Waiver of the 60-Month Limitation on Social Services</i> ; Office of Refugee Settlement State Letter #05-25, <i>National Waiver of 60-Month Limitation on Targeted Assistance for Refugees Evacuated or Displaced by Hurricane Katrina</i> ; OMB Control No. 0970-0036, <i>Office of Refugee Resettlement Policy Guidance and Reporting Forms – ORR-6 Quarterly Performance Report</i>
Condition	<p>States are required to submit information on program performance for certain services on the ORR-6 Quarterly Performance Report. Schedule C, Page 1, of this report includes information on participation, costs, and outcomes from employment services funded with REAP funds. This schedule contained 60 populated data fields for Social Services and 60 populated data fields for Targeted Assistance grants. This data related to five Social Services contracts and nine Targeted Assistance contracts for employment services. Our review of the ORR-6 report for the quarter ended September 30, 2006, disclosed that FDCFS did not prepare this schedule in accordance with report instructions. Specifically:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Actual employment services provided were not reported as required by the report instructions. Instead, the counts reported were estimates based on the funds allocated to the contracts. This method resulted in the possibility of incorrect counts being reported for 55 data fields for the Social Services grant and 55 data fields for the Targeted Assistance grant. • In the Grant Termination fields, FDCFS reported all cases with full-time employed persons without regard to whether the case was actually terminated. Additionally, FDCFS did not consider cases with part-time employment, which could also cause the case to exceed the earned income limit resulting in termination. The instructions define Grant Termination as the closing of a cash assistance case due to earned income from employment in an amount that is predicted to exceed or exceeds the State's payment standard for the case based on family size. This resulted in the possibility of incorrect counts being reported for five data fields for the Social Services grant and five data fields for the Targeted Assistance grant. • In the Health Benefits Available fields, FDCFS reported individuals who were placed in both full-time and part-time employment. This field should be limited to individuals who entered full-time employment. This resulted in incorrect counts being reported for two data fields for the Social Services grant and two data fields for the Targeted Assistance grant. • For both the Social Services and Targeted Assistance grants, in the Employed 90 Days Later fields, the full-time and part-time total counts reported for the

quarter ended September 30, 2006, exceeded the total counts reported for items C.1. - Entered Employment Full-time and C.2. - Entered Employment Part-time, for the quarter ended June 30, 2006. According to the report instructions, the Employed 90 Days Later fields should be derived from the counts reported in the prior report in fields C.1. and C.2. The counts reported were as follows:

	Reported September 30, 2006 Employed 90 days Later	Reported June 30, 2006 Items C.1. and C.2.
Social Services Grant		
Full-time	155	115
Part-time	10	9
Targeted Assistance Grant		
Full- time	1,354	655
Part-time	102	44

In addition to the above issues regarding FDCFS' methodology, we also noted data errors in counts reported for Schedule C, Page 1, for both the Social Services and Targeted Assistance grants. Specifically, we noted the following:

- FDCFS did not have proper edits in place to prevent data entry errors by the contractors when entering information into FDCFS' Refugee Services Data System. The System was used to compile data to be reported in the data fields for both full-time and part-time employment. The full-time or part-time employment status is indicated in the System as either "F" or "P". We noted the following other entries in these fields that were not counted on the report: "D", "Y", "N" and numerical values. This affected 51 data fields for the Social Services grant and 51 data fields for the Targeted Assistance grant.
- Although refugees are only eligible for assistance relating to employment services during the first 60 months in the United States, FDCFS included refugees that had been in the United States over 60 months in the counts for 55 applicable data fields for the Social Services grant and 55 data fields for the Targeted Assistance grant. In applying the method used by FDCFS to estimate contract performance for employment services, we determined that a total of 461 refugees that had been in the United States in excess of 60 months were included in the allocation process to determine the counts for these data fields. While there were Hurricane Katrina-related waivers that allowed for extended periods of service, FDCFS was unable to identify specific refugees subject to the waivers.

Cause

In regard to not using actual employment services, FDCFS was attempting to estimate performance based on contract funding. In correspondence to us, FDCFS stated that in instances in which contracts use multiple funding sources, activities reported on Schedule C were based on allocating outcomes in a given quarter proportionate to the actual expenditures by quarter. FDCFS further stated that funds were expended according to client eligibility so there was a direct relationship between expenditures and outcomes. This method was followed even though actual counts could be obtained.

With regard to grant termination, FDCFS indicated that data fields were not available in its database to capture the appropriate information.

	<p>Data fields were available in the database to identify Health Benefits Available. However, FDCFS did not follow report instructions to populate this report field.</p> <p>Other instances were due to inadequate data input and processing edits in the system.</p>
Effect	<p>The failure to provide an accurate report to USDHHS limits its ability to properly administer the program as well as provide accurate refugee population and contractual services data to other parties.</p>
Recommendation	<p>We recommend that FDCFS enhance procedures to ensure that the reports filed with USDHHS are prepared in accordance with report instructions and that records are maintained to provide required data. Additionally, FDCFS should ensure that proper procedures and system edits are in place to provide for accurate information in the Refugee Services Data System.</p>
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	<p>Jane Flowers, CPA, Audit Manager janeflowers@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-9136</p>
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	<p>The Office of Refugee Resettlement (ORR) issued State Letter 08-02, dated December 20, 2007 regarding Revisions to the ORR-6 Performance Report. Based on the ORR's State Letter, the Department of Children and Families has implemented procedures to comply with the new instructions and discontinued the previous allocation method.</p> <p>Grant termination issues occurred because certain data were not available in the Refugee Services Data System (RSDS). Cash Assistance data are received from the Economic Self-Sufficiency Office and maintained in an ACCESS database. The Department is working on placing the Cash Assistance data from Economic Self-Sufficiency into RSDS so we can better identify the number of months and first and last dates the clients received benefits.</p> <p>The Department has determined that part-time employment was included in the Health Benefits field erroneously. The Department will provide additional review of these fields to ensure that this error is not continued.</p> <p>The ORR State Letters 05-23, 05-24, and 05-25 addressed waivers to the 60-month time limit from September 1, 2005 through September 30, 2006 for the Social Services and Targeted Assistance grants. Adjustments for these waivers along with human errors in data entry resulted in difficulty sorting and tracking clients. The Department will continue its data systems enhancements to better capture all report requirements and to reduce the human errors of manual data entry. These enhancements include the installation of Business Objects (specialized software with performance management and reporting tools), staff and provider training, and improvements to the internal review and data integrity processes.</p>
Estimated Corrective Action Date	<p>June 1, 2008</p>
Agency Contact and Telephone Number	<p>Gloria Darling (850) 414-1852</p>

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Finding Number	FA 07-051
CFDA Number	93.568
Program Title	Low-Income Home Energy Assistance
Compliance Requirement	Cash Management
State Agency	Florida Department of Community Affairs (FDCA)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	Various
Finding Type	Material Noncompliance and Significant Deficiency
Finding	FDCA did not ensure that subgrantees timely expended advances, properly invested advances, and timely remitted earned interest to FDCA.
Criteria	31 CFR Part 205 <i>Rules and Procedures for Efficient Federal-State Funds Transfer</i>
Condition	<p>FDCA advanced Federal funds totaling \$3,222,385.99 during the 2006-07 fiscal year to 21 subgrantees. Advances were made based on the expected cash needs for the first three months of the 13 month agreement term. Our review of three draws totaling \$1,242,734.36 for three subgrantees disclosed that FDCA did not deduct the advanced amounts when subsequent payments were made to the subgrantee. Instead, the subgrantee was reimbursed for the entire amount of actual subgrantee expenses. FDCA indicated that this practice had been used as a means to maintain a source of working capital for the subgrantees.</p> <p>FDCA's procedures require the subgrantee to invest the advanced funds, report on the Monthly Financial Status Report the interest earned on advances, and remit the interest at the closeout of the agreement. Our review of the Monthly Financial Status Reports for the three subgrantees disclosed that two of three subgrantees reported no interest earned on advances totaling \$940,483.</p>
Cause	FDCA did not have adequate controls to ensure that the subgrantees timely expended advances, earned reasonable interest on advances, and timely remitted the interest earned to FDCA.
Effect	Absent adequate controls, FDCA lacked assurance that subgrantees minimized the time elapsing between the drawdown and disbursement of Federal funds, and that FDCA's Federal draws were limited to the minimum required to meet State's actual and immediate cash needs in carrying out the purpose of the approved Federal Program.
Recommendation	We recommend FDCA revise its procedures to require that advances are offset with expenditures prior to reimbursing the subgrantees for actual costs. Alternatively, we recommend FDCA seek approval from USDHHS to provide working capital advances to subgrantees. FDCA should monitor subgrantees to ensure that advances are invested as required by FDCA procedures and that subgrantees timely remit earned interest.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	David R. Vick, CPA, Audit Manager davidvick@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4494
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	FDCA will be revising the agreement language for advances, in respect to the interest issue, within the year. The federal guidelines for the Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program do not make any reference to advance funds between the state and the subrecipient. Furthermore, USDHHS monitored Florida's LIHEAP program in August, 2007 and did not return any findings or concerns that related to advances, interest, or any other financial procedures. However, FDCA will take steps to ensure that USDHHS is aware of the process we use in advancing funds to subrecipients.

The language in the 2008-09 fiscal year contracts and the monitoring procedures for the community assistance section will be strengthened to ensure that advances are invested and that subgrantees remit any interest earned in a timely manner. Program staff will review the rate in which advances are expended at the end of the second quarter to make sure that the interest is being calculated and reported properly. The strengthened monitoring activities will take place at the end of every quarter until the contract is closed. The Department will not require advances to be offset with expenditures prior to reimbursement of actual costs. It is not required and would be a detrimental procedure for LIHEAP. As long as there is a need, advances will not be offset in the above recommended way. Due to the emergency nature of LIHEAP, it is vital that funding be available throughout the entire year to the local providers. FDCA's business process for advances operates pursuant to Chapter 216, FS.

**Estimated Corrective
Action Date**

FDCA will implement revised agreement language and additional monitoring activities by December, 2008.

**Agency Contact and
Telephone Number**

Paula Lemmo
(850) 922-1844

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Finding Number	FA 07-052
CFDA Number	93.568
Program Title	Low-Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP)
Compliance Requirement	Period of Availability of Federal Funds, Reporting
State Agency	Florida Department of Community Affairs (FDCA)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	2005 G992201 and 2005 G992665
Finding Type	Significant Deficiency Questioned Costs – \$43,025.18
Finding	FDCA was not able to support \$43,025.18 of reported obligations for the 2005 grant award.
Criteria	45 CFR 96.14, <i>Time period for obligation and expenditure of grant funds</i> ; Federal Financial Status Report (SF-269) instructions
Condition	Pursuant to Federal regulations, FDCA was required to obligate all funds for the 2005 grant award by September 30, 2006, the end of the second year of the award period. FDCA reported on its Federal Financial Status Report (SF 269) for the period ending September 30, 2006, that the full amount of the grant award had been expended or was obligated. However, our review of the documentation supporting the \$1,391,567.97 reported as the Federal share of unliquidated obligations indicated that \$43,025.18 was not supported by evidence that the moneys had been obligated. While FDCA personnel provided documentation reflecting that the \$43,025.18 was expended by December 31, 2006, documentation was not provided to support that the amounts had been properly obligated by September 30, 2006.
Cause	Contrary to financial status report instructions, FDCA personnel indicated that it is FDCA practice for LIHEAP to report the remaining unobligated grant balance as obligated on the financial status report until the final report is submitted.
Effect	FDCA inaccurately reported the financial status of grant funds and improperly had the opportunity to expend grant funds that were not obligated during the period of availability.
Recommendation	FDCA should report on the Financial Status Report only documented obligations.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	David R. Vick, CPA, Audit Manager davidvick@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4494
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	Standard Form 269 (SF-269), prescribed by OMB Circulars A-102 and A-110 (Long Form), item 10k, stated "unliquidated obligations on a cash basis are obligations incurred, but not yet paid." While no federal guideline dictates the change of FDCA's procedure, the Department will comply with the recommendation above and report only documented obligations on the SF-269 Report. The Department acknowledges that state rules may be more restrictive than federal rules and as such the higher standard shall apply.
Estimated Corrective Action Date	July 1, 2008
Agency Contact and Telephone Number	Paula Lemmo (850) 922-1844

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Finding Number	FA 07-053
CFDA Number	93.568
Program Title	Low-Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP)
Compliance Requirement	Subrecipient Monitoring
State Agency	Florida Department of Community Affairs (FDCA)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	2005 G992201, 2005 G992665, 2006 G992201, and 2006 G992212
Finding Type	Significant Deficiency
Finding	FDCA did not have procedures in place to ensure that monitoring checklists were properly completed.
Criteria	OMB Circular A-133, §____.400(d)(3), <i>Pass-through entity responsibilities</i>
Condition	During the 2006-07 fiscal year, LIHEAP expenditures totaled \$50.1 million of which FDCA provided \$43.7 million to subgrantees. Pursuant to Federal regulations, FDCA is responsible for monitoring the activities of its subgrantees to ensure that Federal awards are used for authorized purposes in compliance with laws, regulations, and the provisions of contracts or grant agreements and that performance goals are achieved. FDCA had a monitoring checklist that was used to document the results of on-site monitoring visits. However, FDCA's procedures did not include a review to ensure that monitoring checklists were properly completed. Documentation supporting five monitoring checklists disclosed that one of the checklists had not been fully completed. The checklist did not contain evidence of a review of the subgrantee's monthly financial status report or the items included in the LIHEAP program specific section, which are important elements of the monitoring process.
Cause	FDCA personnel indicated that the monitoring staff were considered to be professional staff and were expected to complete the checklists and reports appropriately.
Effect	Without a supervisory review, monitoring checklists may not be properly completed and deficiencies within a subgrantee's operation may not be detected or communicated to the subgrantee.
Recommendation	In response to our inquiries, FDCA personnel provided additional procedures to staff requiring that all checklist items be completed or that an explanation is documented as to why a procedure was not performed. Additionally, FDCA personnel indicated that they would review the monitoring process and make changes where appropriate. We recommend that FDCA continue its evaluation of the monitoring process and ensure that the process includes a supervisory review of the monitoring checklists for completeness and documentation.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	David R. Vick, CPA, Audit Manager davidvick@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4494
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	FDCA will be moving forward with the additional procedures that are provided in the above recommendation. Currently the monitoring checklist is for both the LIHEAP and CSBG programs, however the checklists will be separated to allow them to be program specific. FDCA will continue to review monitoring checklists for problem agencies with the supervisor(s) for completeness and documentation. The supervisor will also do a random sampling of checklists for completeness and documentation with the financial specialists to ensure that all items on the checklists are being addressed. Unfortunately, the department does not have adequate staff to review every monitoring checklist. A recent compliance review

by the USDHHS did not reflect any concerns or findings with LIHEAP monitoring procedures.

**Estimated Corrective
Action Date**

July 1, 2008

**Agency Contact and
Telephone Number**

Paula Lemmo
(850) 922-1844

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Finding Number	FA 07-054
CFDA Number	93.575 and 93.596
Program Title	CCDF (Child Care and Development Fund) Cluster
Compliance Requirement	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles, Eligibility, and Subrecipient Monitoring
State Agency	Florida Agency for Workforce Innovation (FAWI)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	0602FLCCDF 2005-06 and 0702FLCCDF 2006-07
Finding Type	Material Noncompliance and Significant Deficiency
Finding	FAWI did not adequately oversee subrecipient-established eligibility data system security policies and controls.
Criteria	OMB Circular A-133, §__.400(d), <i>Pass-through entity responsibilities</i> and OMB Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement
Condition	<p>FAWI and the State's 31 early learning coalitions (ELCs) use the Enhanced Field System (EFS) to manage the CCDF Program. EFS is integral to CCDF Program eligibility compliance as it is used for enrollment, eligibility determination, client classification, case management, and provider payments. EFS is a distributed data system that is independently maintained at each ELC. Within EFS, controls related to EFS security and access are based on user roles assigned by the ELCs. Security guidelines related to EFS passwords are also assigned by each ELC.</p> <p>According to FAWI management, FAWI monitored EFS access approval at the 6 ELCs at which program monitoring was conducted during the 2006-07 fiscal year. However, the program monitoring did not include a review of the ELC's process for assigning or modifying user roles; assigning, periodically changing, or resetting passwords; restricting access to EFS when a user is away from his or her computer; terminating EFS access when an employee separates from employment; remotely accessing EFS; storing EFS reports that contain confidential information; electronically transmitting confidential data; entering, querying, and maintaining EFS CCDF client data; or ELC information technology policies training.</p> <p>In addition, FAWI Information Systems Security Program (ISSP) Policy 5.02 includes a directive addressing security and confidentiality practices of contract providers and provides standard contract language; however, FAWI did not reference the policy or include the standard language in the 2006-07 ELC grant agreements.</p>
Cause	According to FAWI management, as EFS is a distributed data system independently maintained by each ELC, FAWI's initial goal was to set the expectation that ELCs need to consider security requirements.
Effect	Absent effective oversight of EFS security policies and controls established by each ELC, CCDF Program data may not be adequately safeguarded and any unauthorized EFS use or CCDF Program data loss may not be timely detected.
Recommendation	FAWI included the required contract language in the 2007-08 ELC grant agreements. We recommend that, through its program monitoring efforts, FAWI enhance oversight of ELC-established security policies and controls related to EFS to ensure CCDF Program data is properly safeguarded.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Sherrill Norman, CPA, Audit Manager sherrillnorman@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-9316

State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	We concur. FAWI will continue to include the required contract language in the ELC grant agreements. This language has also been enhanced for the 2008-09 grant agreement. FAWI will review the agency's 2008-09 annual programmatic monitoring plans to perform risk assessment analyses and focus agency resources and monitoring efforts. The risk assessment results will be used to determine and implement the appropriate methods of oversight, including preventive and detective internal controls and enhanced programmatic monitoring. These plans will be coordinated with financial monitoring tasks (as needed) and will include procedures to analyze EFS access controls, application controls, system change management, data integrity, data confidentiality and data recovery controls. In February 2008, FAWI coordinated with outside consultants to schedule a training workshop open to all ELCs. Training topics offered included Internal Controls for IT and Information Technology Risk Management.
Estimated Corrective Action Date	June 30, 2008
Agency Contact and Telephone Number	Barbara Griffin, Deputy Director (850) 245-713

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Finding Number	FA 07-055
CFDA Number	93.575 and 93.596
Program Title	CCDF (Child Care and Development Fund) Cluster
Compliance Requirement	Eligibility
State Agency	Florida Department of Children and Family Services (FDCFS)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	0602FLCCDF 2006 and 0702FLCCDF 2007
Finding Type	Questioned Costs - \$743.60
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-054
Finding	FDCFS did not always maintain documentation to support the eligibility of children to receive Federally funded child care services.
Criteria	45 CFR 98.20(a), <i>A child's eligibility for child care services</i>
Condition	<p>FDCFS, through an agreement with the Florida Agency for Workforce Innovation, determined CCDF Program eligibility for certain children, including those considered at risk for abuse or neglect (under investigation or receiving protective supervision). FDCFS was responsible for maintaining documentation for each eligible child and for referring the child for CCDF Program services. Pursuant to State law, at-risk children are to receive priority placement.</p> <p>We reviewed case documentation related to 41 children FDCFS referred for CCDF Program services. Our review of the 19 cases classified as at-risk, disclosed one instance in which FDCFS did not provide documentation evidencing that the child was at risk of abuse or neglect (e.g., court order for protective supervision or foster care, case notes, etc). During the 2006-07 fiscal year, subsidized child care payments totaling \$743.60 were paid on behalf of the child.</p>
Cause	FDCFS staff were unable to locate the applicable documentation.
Effect	CCDF Program funds may have been used to pay for child care services for children whose eligibility was not appropriately determined. Absent the at-risk documentation, FDCFS cannot demonstrate that the case was appropriately classified for priority placement.
Recommendation	We recommend that FDCFS ensure that adequate documentation supporting the eligibility of at-risk children receiving subsidized child care services is maintained.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Sherrill F. Norman, CPA, Audit Manager sherrillnorman@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-9316
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	<p>The Florida Department of Children and Family Services (FDCFS) agrees with the Auditor General's finding and its recommendation that the eligibility of at-risk children receiving child care services must be supported with appropriate documentation. FDCFS will strengthen action steps implemented since the 2006-07 fiscal year. These action steps have improved compliance over the past months as evidenced by the lower error rate during the current audit.</p> <p>The Florida Department of Children and Family Services (FDCFS) continues to explore the most effective design for incorporating improved accountability via timely electronic reporting of eligibility status into the Florida Safe Families Network, whether by system generated templates and documents and/or specific data elements for reporting.</p>

**Estimated Corrective
Action Date**

June 30, 2008

**Agency Contact and
Telephone Number**

Linda D. Johns, MSW
Office of Family Safety
(850) 414-9982 Suncom: 994-9982

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Finding Number	FA 07-056
CFDA Number	93.659
Program Title	Adoption Assistance
Compliance Requirement	Activities Allowed and Unallowed and Eligibility
State Agency	Florida Department of Children and Family Services (FDCFS)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	Various
Finding Type	Opinion Qualification, Material Noncompliance, Material Weakness, and Significant Deficiency Questioned Costs – \$197,402.98 (Federal Share \$114,495.28)
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-058
Finding	FDCFS made payments on behalf of children for whom Adoption Assistance eligibility was not properly documented or who were not eligible.
Criteria	42 USC 673, <i>Adoption assistance program</i>
Condition	<p>FDCFS has responsibility for determining Adoption Assistance eligibility. Community-Based Care agencies (CBCs) provide documentation and maintain the case files supporting eligibility. We examined 41 case files of children receiving Adoption Assistance benefits to determine if eligibility requirements were met. In 2 cases with benefits totaling \$25,644, the initial adoption agreements and final adoption decrees were not provided; therefore, we were unable to determine whether the children had been adopted. For 1 of these cases, documentation was also not available to evidence that the child would have been eligible under the former Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC) program. We also noted 1 case where FDCFS paid Adoption Assistance benefits totaling \$9,416.98 for an individual who was over the age of 18.</p> <p>Additionally, as described below, we noted that for 6 of the 41 cases, FDCFS paid Adoption Assistance maintenance payments totaling \$162,342 without proper documentation of eligibility. For these 6 cases, the adoption decrees were dated from 2 to 12.8 years prior to June 30, 2007. In some cases, more than one instance of missing documentation was identified.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In 4 instances, FDCFS could not provide documentation supporting that the child was eligible, or would have been eligible for all required eligibility elements of the former AFDC program. In these instances, benefits totaled \$107,611. • In 1 instance, FDCFS could not provide the shelter order indicating that the child's removal was the result of a judicial determination that continuation in the home of removal would have been contrary to the welfare of the child. In this instance, benefits totaled \$35,831. • In 2 instances, FDCFS could not provide documentation that indicated that the child had special needs. In these instances, benefits totaled \$43,273. • In 3 instances, we could not determine whether the initial adoption agreement was signed and in effect before the final adoption decree. In 2 of these instances, the initial adoption agreements were not provided, and in 1 instance, the initial adoption agreement was not dated. In these instances, benefits totaled \$72,728. • In one instance, the initial adoption agreement was not signed and in effect before the final adoption decree. In this instance, benefits totaled \$25,276.

Instances noted above pertain to the following grant awards:

Federal Grant Award Number	Federal Share
9501FL1407	\$508.21
9601FL1407	\$1,485.45
9701FL1407	\$1,615.68
9801FL1407	\$3,838.74
9901FL1407	\$4,710.09
0001FL1407	\$6,070.25
0101FL1407	\$8,547.92
0201FL1407	\$10,241.48
0301FL1407	\$10,928.85
0401FL1407	\$13,813.19
0501FL1407	\$16,928.44
0601FL1407	\$20,679.81
0701FL1407	\$15,127.17

Cause

FDCFS districts and CBCs failed to follow FDCFS policies and Federal regulations regarding documenting eligibility determinations.

Effect

Adoption Assistance program funds were used to pay benefits for children who had not been documented as eligible or were not eligible to receive Program services.

Recommendation

We recommend that FDCFS take appropriate action to ensure that all cases have accurate and complete information and the payments funded with Adoption Assistance funds are made only on behalf of eligible children. FDCFS should determine whether improper payments were also made in relation to the above-noted cases after June 30, 2007.

Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number

Jane Flowers, CPA, Audit Manager
 janeflowers@aud.state.fl.us
 (850) 487-9136

State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan

The Florida Department of Children and Family Services (FDCFS) concurs with your recommendation.

The Department proposes the following corrective action plan. The Department will:

1. notify each region and community-based care lead agency with error payments to ensure that the title IV-E adoption assistance program is credited for improperly funded payments and charge the costs to a more appropriate funding source.
2. review existing statewide policies and/or develop new statewide policies to ensure that title IV-E adoption assistance payments are made on behalf of children who have met eligibility requirements as documented in the case file.
3. analyze existing review processes used by region and/or community-based care lead agencies to ensure appropriate review of adoption eligibility case files at appropriate intervals and determine areas that need improvement.

4. develop a schedule for review of the adoption assistance payment data in the Integrated Child Welfare Services Information System (ICWSIS) to identify payments to individuals who are over the age of 18 or any other potential clerical error.

5. continue review of child welfare court orders to determine the feasibility of implementing model court orders in the state SACWSIS (State Automated Child Welfare Services Information System).

**Estimated Corrective
Action Date**

June 30, 2008

**Agency Contact and
Telephone Number**

Mukweso Mwenene
Office of Family Safety
(850) 922-0510 or SunCom 292-0510

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Finding Number	FA 07-057
CFDA Number	93.767
Program Title	State Children's Insurance Program (SCHIP)
Compliance Requirement	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles
State Agency	Florida Department of Health (FDOH)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	05-0605FL5021
Finding Type	Significant Deficiency
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-061
Finding	FDOH had not resolved issues noted during the prior audit regarding capitated payments charged to SCHIP.
Criteria	OMB Circular A-87, Attachment A, Section C, <i>Basic Guidelines</i> - In determining reasonableness of a given cost, consideration shall be given to whether the transaction represents arms-length bargaining.
Condition	FDOH receives SCHIP funds through a capitation agreement with the Florida Agency for Health Care Administration (FAHCA), whereby FAHCA pays FDOH a fixed monthly rate per client enrolled in the Children's Medical Services (CMS) component of SCHIP. During prior audits, we reported that FDOH received SCHIP funds that exceeded FDOH costs and had accumulated a large cash balance (residual). Our current review of FDOH SCHIP activity disclosed that during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007, capitated revenues exceeded expenditures by \$20 million. Based on an analysis prepared by FDOH, the cash balance for the SCHIP capitation account was \$31.4 million as of June 30, 2007, an increase of \$17.5 million from June 30, 2006.
Cause	FDOH staff indicated the increase in cash balance was due to several factors such as client counts increasing at a rate greater than the related costs.
Effect	Capitation rates exceeded actual costs resulting in the accumulation of a significant cash balance.
Recommendation	FDOH reduced the capitation rate by eight percent effective July 1, 2007. We recommend that FDOH continue to monitor capitation rates to determine whether additional reductions are needed to prevent the accumulation of excess cash.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Jane Flowers, CPA, Audit Manager janeflowers@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-9136
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	Concur. CMS is continuing to review the status of the cash balance in relationship to expenditures and the monthly payment rate received from FAHCA per enrolled child.
Estimated Corrective Action Date	On-going.
Agency Contact and Telephone Number	Randy Wilcox (850) 245-4219

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Finding Number	FA 07-058
CFDA Number	93.767
Program Title	State Children's Insurance Program (SCHIP)
Compliance Requirement	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles, Procurement
State Agency	Florida Department of Health (FDOH)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	05-0605FL5021
Finding Type	Significant Deficiency
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-060
Finding	FDOH procedures were not adequate to ensure that Children's Medical Services (CMS) payments were accurate and adequately supported.
Criteria	OMB Circular A-87, Attachment A, Section C, <i>Basic Guidelines</i> Section 287.058(1), Florida Statutes, <i>Contract Document</i> , requires a written agreement for the procurement of contractual services in excess of an established threshold (i.e., \$25,000) with limited exceptions.
Condition	FDOH received SCHIP funds through the Florida Agency for Health Care Administration for the provision of services to eligible children with special health care needs. We tested 40 SCHIP expenditure transactions, 24 of which related to CMS payments. One sample item, totaling \$11,480.04, was for the payment of nursing salaries and benefits provided through a nonprofit entity. FDOH staff indicated that payments were made based on a verbal agreement between FDOH and the nonprofit providing nursing services. The total amount paid under this verbal agreement during the 2006-07 fiscal year was \$349,215.48.
Cause	FDOH staff indicated that the verbal agreement had been in place since 1999. The initial justification for not preparing a contract was not readily apparent; however, FDOH staff stated that a contract is currently being drafted.
Effect	Absent a written agreement, we could not determine that the rates paid were consistent with those agreed upon.
Recommendation	We recommend that contracts for services be reduced to writing and that FDOH procedures be enhanced to ensure that medical service payments are accurate and adequately supported.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Jane Flowers, CPA, Audit Manager janeflowers@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-9136
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	We concur with this finding. CMS will draft a Memorandum Of Understanding for use between local CMS offices and contracted primary care organizations to specify the terms and conditions by which CMS would pay primary care agencies for the employment of staff that will work under the supervision of CMS employees.
Estimated Corrective Action Date	June 2008
Agency Contact and Telephone Number	Randy Wilcox (850) 245-4219

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Finding Number	FA 07-059
CFDA Number	93.767
Program Title	State Children's Insurance Program (SCHIP)
Compliance Requirement	Matching, Level of Effort, Earmarking
State Agency	Florida Agency for Health Care Administration (FAHCA)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	05-0605FL5021
Finding Type	Material Noncompliance and Significant Deficiency Questioned Costs - \$18,590,392
Finding	FAHCA expended administrative costs at a rate higher than the established threshold.
Criteria	42 CFR 457.618 <i>Ten percent limit on certain SCHIP expenditures</i>
Condition	Federal regulations define SCHIP nonprimary expenditures as administrative expenditures, outreach, health initiatives, and certain other child health assistance. These expenditures are limited to ten percent of total SCHIP expenditures. FAHCA's CMS 21L report for the quarter ended December 31, 2006, reported primary expenditures of \$72,955,339 and nonprimary expenditures of \$34,241,945. Of the reported nonprimary expenditures, \$24,612,990 was reported as prior period adjustments. In total, nonprimary expenditures exceeded the threshold by \$26,135,796 (Federal share \$18,590,392). While nonprimary expenditures exceeded the ten percent limit for the quarter, FAHCA continued to draw Federal funds to reimburse the amount expended. FAHCA staff indicated that verbal, but not written, permission was obtained from USDHHS to draw Federal funds for the excess administrative expenditures.
Cause	The relative increase in administrative costs began in the 2003-04 fiscal year due to a large adjustment to reduce primary expenditures (i.e., client services). The increase in administrative spending has also been caused by changes in the eligibility documentation requirements and funding for a school health initiative.
Effect	FAHCA drew Federal funds for expenditures that may be subject to disallowance by USDHHS.
Recommendation	In order to reduce the amount of nonprimary expenditures, FAHCA provided an analysis of SCHIP expenditures to the Social Services Estimating Conference in March 2007. The analysis projected that 2006-07 fiscal year primary expenditures would be \$311,620,201 and administrative expenditures would be \$52,409,909, or \$17,785,442 over the threshold. The Legislature authorized \$7 million from another funding source for the school health initiative for the 2007-08 fiscal year. FAHCA should continue efforts to ensure that nonprimary expenditures do not exceed the ten percent limit. We also recommend that FAHCA seek written approval from USDHHS to draw Federal funds for the excess administrative expenditures.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Jane Flowers, CPA, Audit Manager janeflowers@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-9136
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	The cause was threefold. In March 2003 the Department of Health began claiming comprehensive school health services under title XXI, which increased administrative costs. Also, enrollment in the children's insurance program dropped from 284,948 in July 2004 to 178,997 in June 2005, which caused primary expenditures to decrease. At the same time, Department of Health was approved for random moment sampling, which allowed them a higher level of

administrative claiming. Additionally, a system glitch was discovered in 2003 in which 15 to 19 year olds that were to be phased out of Title XXI were continuing to be included. The retroactive adjustment resulted in an approximately \$189 million reduction in primary services charges.

**Estimated Corrective
Action Date**

Effective July 2007 the State Legislature provided funding to the Department of Health for the comprehensive school health services. We are projecting that the administrative expenditure will be below the 10% cap in state fiscal year 2008-09. The procedures we use for reporting the 10% cap is consistent with how it was presented in a CMS training session. We have asked but not received written procedures. We will continue to request it.

**Agency Contact and
Telephone Number**

Paula Shirley, Chief, Finance and Accounting
(850) 922-8452

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Finding Number	FA 07-060
CFDA Number	93.778, 93.777, 93.776, 93.775
Program Title	Medicaid Cluster
Compliance Requirement	Activities Allowed or Unallowed
State Agency	Florida Agency for Health Care Administration (FAHCA)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	05-0605FL5028 (Federal 2005-06); 05-0705FL5028 (Federal 2006-07)
Finding Type	Significant Deficiency
	Questioned Costs – \$2,878,000.23 (Federal Share \$1,692,223.86; Federal Grant No. 05-0605FL5028 \$503,249.53; Federal Grant No. 05-0705FL5028 \$1,188,974.33) [Health Maintenance Organization (HMO) capitation claims \$2,872,543.23 (Federal Share \$1,689,017.32); MediPass capitation claims \$5,457.00 (Federal \$3,206.54)]
Finding	FAHCA procedures did not effectively ensure the timely recoupment of overpayments made to HMOs on behalf of deceased clients.
Criteria	Sufficient controls include procedures to identify and timely recover claim overpayments.
Condition	<p>During the 2006-07 fiscal year FAHCA paid approximately \$2 billion in fixed monthly payments (i.e., capitation) to HMO plans. Audit queries of the Florida Medicaid Management Information System (FMMIS) disclosed instances in which HMO plans were paid on behalf of Medicaid recipients subsequent to the recipient's date of death. FAHCA has contracted with a provider to identify and recoup this type of overpayment; however, in some instances the overpayments were not timely recouped. For example, HMO overpayments in July 2006 totaled \$307,286.07. However, as of October 19, 2007, only 29 percent of the overpayments had been recouped by FAHCA. The balance of overpayments during the 2006-07 fiscal year not recouped as of October 19, 2007, totaled \$2,872,543.23.</p> <p>Medicaid recipients not being managed under an HMO plan may participate in a primary care case management program titled MediPass. MediPass providers receive a \$3 monthly case management fee. Based on audit queries of FMMIS, we also noted instances in which HMO payments were made for the same month in which MediPass payments were made. MediPass overpayments during the 2006-07 fiscal year amounted to \$5,457.</p>
Cause	Established procedures did not effectively ensure the timely recoupment of capitation overpayments.
Effect	Erroneous capitation payments were not timely recouped.
Recommendation	We recommend that FAHCA take steps to ensure that all capitation overpayments are timely recouped.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Jane Flowers, CPA, Audit Manager janeflowers@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-9136
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	The Agency will make every effort to recoup erroneous capitation payments on a timely basis. The Third Party Liability (TPL) Vendor is responsible for identifying and recouping overpayments made to HMOs on behalf of deceased Medicaid recipients. The Date of Death project is conducted on a quarterly basis. The Vendor identifies full-month capitation payments that were made on behalf of deceased Medicaid recipients. The Vendor conducts a five-year look back on all

Medicaid paid claims each time the project is conducted.

The Vendor submits provider notices signed by the Agency to HMOs advising them of any Medicaid overpayments. Providers are provided 45 days in which to review its records and submit refuting documentation regarding the date of death of a Medicaid recipient. Claims that are to be voided are submitted electronically directly to the Agency's fiscal agent. Claims that are more than 22 months old are submitted on paper. The electronic claims processing takes approximately two to three weeks for completion. The paper claims processing takes approximately thirty days. After the claims processing, the recovery is indicated on the HMO's remittance voucher.

The Auditor General's report identified \$2,872,543.23 in Medicaid paid claims. The TPL Vendor reviewed each claim and the following is a stratification of the claims identified by the Auditor General.

1. Claim/Provider Threshold (\$34,381.58) - Recovery thresholds have been established between the Agency and the Vendor. There is a threshold of \$50.00 per claim for identification for recoupment and a provider level threshold of \$500.00 for identification for recoupment.
2. Claim Date More Than 180 Days After Date of Death (\$22,944.95) - An assumption that the date of death is inaccurate is made for claims that are paid more than 180 days after the date of death. This is done to help ensure claims are not included for recipients where the date of death is inaccurate.
3. Posted After Audit Results Provided (\$523,398.19) - These claims have been posted to the MMIS system since identification during the audit.
4. Claims in Suspense - MMIS System (\$1,681,193.96) - Some claims identified by the Vendor were placed in suspense in the MMIS system during the recoupment process. This issue has been corrected and recoupment is in process.
5. Vendor System Issue (\$521,084.96) - A system issue was discovered by the Vendor where claims were not identified that should have been included in the recoupment process. The Vendor has corrected its system and these claims will be reviewed and recouped accordingly during the next cycle of the project.
6. Provider Type 72 (\$89,539.59) - On an annual basis, the Vendor reviews all provider types for claims paid after the date of death. This provider type will be included when the review is done for fiscal year 07-08.

A new Third Party Liability contract is scheduled to begin May 1, 2008. After execution of the new contract, the Agency will work with the TPL Vendor to review the above procedure to determine if there is a method to identify and recoup the capitation payments in a timelier manner. In addition, the Agency will review capitation payments on a periodic basis to ensure claims are identified and recouped.

Due to the Agency's fiscal agent implementation, the Agency will also monitor the recoupment process through the MMIS to ensure claims identified by the TPL Vendor are recouped from providers.

**Estimated Corrective
Action Date**

September 1, 2008

**Agency Contact and
Telephone Number**

Jennifer Barrett
(850) 487-0925

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Finding Number	FA 07-061
CFDA Number	93.778, 93.775, 93.776, 93.777
Program Title	Medicaid Cluster
Compliance Requirement	Eligibility
State Agency	Florida Department of Children and Family Services (FDCFS)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	05-0605FL5028 2006 and 05-0705FL5028 2007
Finding Type	Material Noncompliance, Material Weakness, and Significant Deficiency Questioned Costs \$10,245.82 (Federal Share \$6,025.58; Federal Grant No. 05-0605FL5028 \$2,325.55; Federal Grant No. 05-0705FL5028 \$3,700.03)
Finding	In some instances, FDCFS was unable to provide documentation that data exchange requests had been performed. In addition, data exchange responses were not timely processed which, in some instances, resulted in ineligible individuals remaining undetected by FDCFS.
Criteria	42 CFR 435.948 – <i>Requesting Information</i>
Condition	<p>In accordance with Federal regulations, FDCFS verifies certain eligibility information through electronic data exchanges between FDCFS' computer system and data maintained by other State and Federal agencies. For example, earned income must be compared with State wage files on a quarterly basis. FDCFS had established timeframes within which employees were required to review the results of requested data exchanges. Depending on the type of data exchange, responses were to be reviewed within 10 or 45 days of receiving the response.</p> <p>We reviewed case records for 30 individuals receiving Medicaid services to determine whether the records demonstrated that the clients met the eligibility criteria for the Program. For 13 individuals, FDCFS did not provide documentation evidencing that certain data exchanges were performed at the required intervals. Additionally, for 13 individuals, data exchange responses were not reviewed within FDCFS' established timeframes. For example, at the time of our audit, one data exchange response had not been reviewed 330 days after receiving the response. In 2 of the 13 instances, the data exchange responses contained sources of income not previously included in FDCFS' eligibility determination, the consideration of which would have had an adverse effect on the individual's eligibility status. Payments made on behalf of these two individuals during the tested eligibility period equaled \$10,051.70. In an additional instance, we were unable to determine whether the client was eligible due to insufficient available documentation and data exchanges. Payments made on behalf of this individual during the tested eligibility period equaled \$194.12.</p>
Cause	FDCFS staff indicated documentation evidencing that certain data exchanges had been requested was not retained because of FDCFS archiving policy. According to Department personnel, data exchange requests were archived after a period of time and were not readily retrievable once archived. FDCFS staff also indicated that the delay in reviewing data exchange responses was the result of understaffing.
Effect	Failure to appropriately use data exchange information may preclude FDCFS staff from detecting information that would affect client eligibility.
Recommendation	We recommend that FDCFS improve its procedures to ensure that data exchange requests are documented and readily available. We also recommend that FDCFS process data exchange responses within the Department's established timeframes.

Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Jane Flowers, CPA, Audit Manager janeflowers@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-9136		
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. In the two instances where data exchanges were not timely reviewed and sources of income not previously used, the cases were referred to Benefit Recovery.2. In the one instance of insufficient available documentation and data exchanges to determine eligibility, the case was referred to Benefit Recovery.3. Pre-service and in-service training materials will emphasize the process for reviewing data exchanges as well as the importance of reviewing data exchanges in a timely manner4. The ACCESS program requested a system enhancement to improve the availability and manner in which archived data exchange requests are retrieved.		
Estimated Corrective Action Date	Corrective Action 1: March 15, 2008 Corrective Action 2: March 15, 2008 Corrective Action 3: June 30, 2008 Corrective Action 4: February 28, 2008		
Agency Contact and Telephone Number	Florence Love	(850) 413-6790	(Actions 1-3)
	Anita Cawthorn	(850) 487-8957	(Action 4)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Finding Number	FA 07-062
CFDA Number	93.778, 93.777, 93.776, 93.775
Program Title	Medicaid Cluster
Compliance Requirement	Special Tests and Provisions – Inpatient Hospital and Long-Term Care Facility Audits
State Agency	Florida Agency for Health Care Administration (FAHCA)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	05-0605FL5028 (Federal 2005-06); 05-0705FL5028 (Federal 2006-07)
Finding Type	Significant Deficiency
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-066
Finding	FAHCA did not review and release certain audits of Intermediate Care Facilities for the Developmentally Disabled (ICF-DD) cost reports on a timely basis. Additionally, FAHCA had not resolved issues relating to ICF-DD facilities for which the independent auditors disclaimed an opinion on the cost reports.
Criteria	42 CFR 447.253(g) – <i>Audit requirements</i>
Condition	<p>Payments for inpatient hospital services and long-term care facility services are based on approved cost-based rates. To ensure the accuracy of those rates, periodic audits of the supporting financial and statistical records of participating providers are required. FAHCA has implemented a plan to obtain independent audits of the ICF-DD cost reports on a cyclical basis beginning with the 2003-04 fiscal year reports. FAHCA policy is to review the cost report audits prior to release of the audit reports.</p> <p>There were 105 participating ICF-DD facilities during the 2006-07 fiscal year. In audit report No. 2007-146, finding No. FA 06-066, we reported that 19 audits resulted in disclaimers of opinion on the ICF-DD cost reports for the 2003-04 and 2004-05 fiscal years. We also reported that FAHCA had referred the issue to the Medicaid management team for resolution. As of December 18, 2007, these audit issues had still not been resolved. Additionally, as of December 21, 2007, for the 2003-04 through 2006-07 fiscal years, only 29 audit reports had been released, while 37 initial audits were in progress (including audits with the CPA firm and those awaiting review by FAHCA). Nine of the audits in progress were for 2003-04 fiscal year audit assignments. Audits for the remaining 20 facility cost reports were either canceled or were not assigned.</p>
Cause	FAHCA staff indicated that the review process was delayed due to the implementation of new review procedures and the determination of several key policy issues relative to the ICF-DD audits. FAHCA also indicated that they were focusing audit resources on completing and releasing the older audits and ICF-DD audits of more recent periods would be incorporated with the review procedures used for nursing home audits.
Effect	Failure to review and release the ICF-DD audit reports in a timely manner delays efforts to resolve matters regarding unallowable costs and to identify and apply rate adjustments, if necessary.
Recommendation	We recommend that FAHCA devote the necessary efforts to ensure the timely completion and release of ICF-DD cost report audits and the resolution of the issues associated with the cost reports on which opinions had been disclaimed.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Jane Flowers, CPA, Audit Manager janeflowers@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-9136

State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	<p>FAHCA staff will continue to focus audit resources to complete the review and issuance of the older audits. From December 21, 2007 through February 19, 2008, 16 ICF-DD facility audits have been issued from the 2003-04 and 2004-05 fiscal years. Newer ICF-DD audits are being incorporated into the review process for nursing home audits.</p> <p>Medicaid management will continue to support audit efforts to attempt completion of the 19 disclaimed audits. A second information request was sent to the provider on January 25, 2008. Receipt of the requested information will begin the process to complete the disclaimed audits.</p>
Estimated Corrective Action Date	September 30, 2008
Agency Contact and Telephone Number	Lisa Milton (850) 487-1242

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Finding Number	FA 07-063
CFDA Number	93.917
Program Title	HIV Care Formula Grants
Compliance Requirement	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles
State Agency	Florida Department of Health (FDOH)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	6 X07HA00057-16 2007 and 6 X07HA00057-17 2008
Finding Type	Material Noncompliance and Significant Deficiency Questioned Costs – \$111,308.31 (CFDA No. 93.268, \$12,547.49; CFDA 93.283, \$16,311.63; CFDA 93.917, \$57,534.56 (\$50,755.46 Federal and \$6,599.10 State); CFDA 93.940 - \$25,094.63)
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-044
Finding	FDOH had not fully implemented procedures to document the basis for the allocation of employee salaries to multiple programs or cost objectives in accordance with Federal regulations or to obtain a certification for employees working solely on one Federal program.
Criteria	OMB Circular A-87 Attachment B, Section 8.h., <i>Support of Salaries and Wages</i>
Condition	<p>We tested 40 HIV Grant and State matching expenditures, 24 of which were salary payments. As described below, our test disclosed discrepancies in salary charges for four employees during the 2006-07 fiscal year.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> For two employees, FDOH charged salaries and benefits totaling \$32,259.93 to the HIV Care Formula Grants Program. (\$32,259.93, 6 X07HA00057-16) However, certifications were not provided to evidence that the employees worked solely on the HIV Care Formula Grant. Of the salary payments tested, two related to Headquarters' employees whose salaries were allocated to multiple Federal programs. However, FDOH did not maintain time and effort records to support the allocation of the employees' salaries and benefits totaling \$79,048.38 to the Federal programs. Salaries and benefits charged for these employees to the HIV Care Formula Grants Program totaled \$25,094.63 (\$18,014.64, 6 X07HA00057-16; \$7,079.99, 6 X07HA00057-17). <p>The remaining salary and benefit charges for these two employees were charged to CFDA No. 93.268, Immunization Grants (\$5,559.76, H23-CCH422511-04; \$6,987.73, 5H23IP22511-05); CFDA No. 93.283, Centers for Disease Control – Investigations and Technical Assistance (\$4,447.82, U50/CCU423781-02; \$5,590.18, U50/CCU423781-03; and \$6,273.63, U55/CCU421918-05); and CFDA No. 93.940, HIV Prevention Activities – Health Department Based (\$11,119.35, U62/CCU423466-03; and \$13,975.28, U62/CCU423466-04).</p>
Cause	While FDOH had enhanced its timekeeping procedures, the new policy was still in draft form as of June 30, 2007. Additionally, due to an oversight, some certifications were not obtained.
Effect	Salary costs charged to Federal grants may not have been properly supported.
Recommendation	Effective with the 2007-08 fiscal year, FDOH implemented timekeeping procedures to document employee time and effort as a basis for allocating salaries and benefits to multiple Federal programs or cost objectives. We recommend that FDOH monitor the implementation of the new procedures to ensure that salaries and benefits are properly allocated based on time and effort

	records. Additionally, FDOH should ensure that all certifications are obtained.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Jane Flowers, CPA, Audit Manager janeflowers@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-9136
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	<p>The Bureau of HIV/AIDS has fully implemented timekeeping procedures to document the time of employees whose salaries are charged to multiple grants. In addition, the bureau has procedures to obtain certifications for employees working solely on one federal program. This process is completed every six months.</p> <p>The bureau will continue to ensure that the required certifications are obtained every 6 months. The bureau tracks the receipt of certifications to ensure 100% compliance.</p> <p>The bureau will continue to track timekeeping compliance by employees funded by multiple grants. The bureau has assigned oversight of timekeeping to a staff person who monitors the process on a biweekly basis.</p> <p>The bureau budget staff will continue to pull quarterly reports from the Financial Information System to show where county health departments are charging salary costs. Bureau staff will work with county health department budget staff to make any necessary corrections.</p> <p>As part of the Quality Improvement (QI) process, the bureau will review county documentation supporting salary costs charged to the grant. Prior to visiting a county health department, bureau QI staff will obtain Employee Activity Reports on employees partially funded through HIV grants. Staff will ensure that these reports accurately reflect the time staff worked on grant-related activities.</p>
Estimated Corrective Action Date	On-going
Agency Contact and Telephone Number	Tom Liberti (850) 245-4444 Ext. 4477

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Finding Number	FA 07-064
CFDA Number	93.917
Program Title	HIV Care Formula Grants
Compliance Requirement	Eligibility
State Agency	Florida Department of Health (FDOH)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	6 X07HA00057-16 2007 and 6 X07HA00057-17 2008
Finding Type	Material Noncompliance and Significant Deficiency Questioned Costs – \$12,690.31
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-067
Finding	FDOH did not consistently maintain adequate documentation of client eligibility.
Criteria	42 USC 300ff-26(b) Provision of Treatment – <i>Eligible Individuals</i> ; 42 USC 300ff-27(b) State Application – <i>Description of Intended Uses and Agreements</i> ; FDOH ADAP Program Manual
Condition	<p>During the 2006-07 fiscal year, FDOH expended \$83,740,900.05 in AIDS Drug Assistance Program (ADAP) funds to purchase drugs that were then distributed to eligible clients. We reviewed records for 40 clients receiving ADAP assistance that were enrolled or reenrolled in the Program during the 2006-07 fiscal year. For 3 of the 40 clients, FDOH did not have adequate documentation to support eligibility to receive ADAP benefits. These 3 clients received drug benefits estimated at \$12,690.31 during the 2006-07 fiscal year. Specifically:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For one client, FDOH was unable to provide an enrollment form. The enrollment form is the primary documentation of the client's income level and asset amounts. • For two clients (one of whom was included above as lacking an enrollment form), FDOH could not provide adequate income documentation. • In one instance, the client was eligible for Medicaid during the time period in which she received drugs through the HIV Program. Clients eligible to participate in the Medicaid Program are not eligible to receive drugs through the HIV Program. Drugs received under the HIV Program during the time period in which the client was eligible for Medicaid totaled \$4,112.40.
Cause	The Program is administered on a decentralized basis at the county health departments where procedures for obtaining and retaining eligibility documentation were not always followed. However, in March 2007, FDOH fully implemented and trained staff on a new rule related to eligibility documentation. The rule and training substantially decreased the number of clients for whom eligibility documentation was not adequate. All three instances of inadequate documentation described above occurred prior to the rule change.
Effect	Drugs were issued to clients who did not or may not have met eligibility requirements.
Recommendation	We recommend that FDOH monitor its procedures to ensure that required documentation for eligibility determinations is obtained and properly maintained. We also recommend FDOH reimburse the HIV Program for the cost of the drugs received by the clients determined to be ineligible.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Jane Flowers, CPA, Audit Manager janeflowers@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-9136

State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	<p>The bureau implemented administrative rules on eligibility, including requirements on appropriate documentation. The bureau has trained staff on the new rule and on appropriate documentation.</p> <p>The bureau will continue to train staff on the importance of complete documentation. The bureau will continue to monitor its procedures to ensure that proper documentation is obtained and maintained.</p>
Estimated Corrective Action Date	On-going
Agency Contact and Telephone Number	Tom Liberti (850) 245-4444 Ext. 4477

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Finding Number	FA 07-065
CFDA Number	93.917
Program Title	HIV Care Formula Grants
Compliance Requirement	Eligibility
State Agency	Florida Department of Health (FDOH)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	6 X07HA00057-16 2007 and 6 X07HA00057-17 2008
Finding Type	Other
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-068
Finding	As of June 30, 2007, FDOH had not fully implemented automated controls in the Aids Drug Assistance Program (ADAP) database to prevent the distribution of drugs to individuals whose eligibility periods had expired.
Criteria	FDOH ADAP Program Manual requires clients to be reenrolled every six months.
Condition	Our review of the ADAP database disclosed that, of the 11,623 clients included in the ADAP database as of June 30, 2007, 287 (2.5 percent) had enrollment or reenrollment dates prior to January 1, 2006 (i.e., the eligibility period had expired from 1 day to 12 months earlier). We noted that the number of open, active cases for clients whose eligibility periods had expired had decreased from the prior year; however, FDOH's planned corrective action, an automatic closing edit, had not been implemented during the 2006-07 fiscal year.
Cause	FDOH personnel had not completed the implementation of system controls to help ensure that eligibility staff take prompt actions to reenroll eligible clients.
Effect	Our review of the drug distribution history for 10 of the 287 clients disclosed no instances where drugs were distributed after the client's eligibility had expired. However, absent appropriate controls, clients may receive ADAP assistance without properly being determined eligible for that assistance.
Recommendation	FDOH personnel indicated that an automatic closing edit was added to the database on September 1, 2007. In addition, FDOH personnel indicated that as of October 23, 2007, for the 287 clients, their cases had either been closed or the clients reenrolled. We recommend that FDOH continue monitoring the number of clients that remain active after the end of their eligibility periods and ensure that prompt actions are taken to reenroll the client or close the case as appropriate.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Jane Flowers, CPA, Audit Manager janeflowers@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-9136
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	<p>The bureau has implemented automated controls in the ADAP database. These controls will ensure that persons whose eligibility has expired will be re-enrolled or their case will be closed. The bureau will continue to monitor the number of clients that remain active after the end of their eligibility period and take steps to re-enroll or close the case as appropriate.</p> <p>The bureau will continue to monitor the automated controls to ensure that the controls prevent the distribution of drugs to persons whose eligibility period had expired. Staff will closely monitor the number of clients that remain active after the end of their eligibility period to determine if additional actions are needed.</p>
Estimated Corrective Action Date	On-going
Agency Contact and Telephone Number	Tom Liberti (850) 245-4444 Ext. 4477

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Finding Number	FA 07-066
CFDA Number	Various
Program Title	Various
Compliance Requirement	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles
State Agency	Florida Department of Community Affairs (FDCA)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	Various
Finding Type	Material Weakness and Significant Deficiency
Finding	FDCA procedures for granting access to the accounting system, the Florida Accounting Information Resource Subsystem (FLAIR), were not adequate to ensure that access was properly approved and appropriate given the employee's job duties. Additionally, FDCA did not ensure that terminated employees' access to FLAIR was timely removed upon termination.
Criteria	Chapter 3.2, Federal Information System Controls Audit Manual – <i>establish passwords to identify and authenticate users</i> ; Module 9, <i>Systems Auditability and Control – granting and revoking system access</i>
Condition	<p>FDCA uses FLAIR to record Federal revenue and expenditure transactions including transactions for the Community Development Block Grant/State's Program (CFDA No. 14.228), the Low-Income Energy Assistance Program (CFDA No. 93.568), the Homeland Security Cluster (CFDA Nos. 97.004 and 97.067), Disaster Grants – Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disasters) (97.036), and the Hazard Mitigation Grant (CFDA No. 97.039). Our review of FLAIR access granted for 27 employees disclosed that FDCA had not completed and approved FLAIR access request forms for the employees. We also noted that FDCA's procedures for granting FLAIR access addressed access procedures for employees within the Finance and Accounting Office; however, the procedures did not address access for other Department employees who are granted FLAIR access.</p> <p>Also, our review disclosed that FLAIR user access was inappropriate for 3 of 24 FDCA employees reviewed. One employee had update access to the State Chief Financial Officer Files function (SC), which allowed the employee to add or update accounts within the Chief Financial Officer's system. Another employee had update access to the Fixed Assets-Accounting function (FA) which is used to record property transactions and transfers. Neither function was relevant to the employee's job description. FDCA personnel indicated that the two employees' FLAIR access to the SC and FA function was inappropriate. The third employee had access through FLAIR to draw down cash through the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Payment Management System (USDHHS PMS), record the receipt of the cash, approve and record disbursements, and reconcile USDHHS PMS to FLAIR. Further inquiry with FDCA personnel disclosed that FLAIR access was established based on each position in June 1994 and no review of the access assigned had been conducted through June 2007.</p> <p>Additionally, access to the FLAIR Departmental Accounting Component was not timely removed for terminated employees. We reviewed access for employees who were terminated during the period July 1, 2006, through June 30, 2007. Of the three terminated employees with update access to FLAIR, none had their access timely removed from FLAIR. In order to remove an employee's access to FLAIR, the FLAIR Access Custodian changes the employee's password in FLAIR, rather than removing the user ID. Our review disclosed that employee passwords were not changed until 29, 79, and 292 days after the employee was terminated. In one instance, the password was not changed until 14 days after the position</p>

	had been filled by another employee.
Cause	FDCA personnel indicated that FLAIR access for each employee was not regularly reviewed to ensure appropriateness.
Effect	Personnel had access to functions incompatible with their job duties which could lead to improper accounting transactions being recorded in the FLAIR records.
Recommendation	FDCA personnel indicated that quarterly reviews of assigned FLAIR access would be conducted beginning with the 2007-08 fiscal year. We recommend FDCA implement appropriate procedures to ensure that access granted to the system is properly documented, approved, and compatible with the employees' assigned job duties. Additionally, FDCA should ensure that the access of terminated employees is promptly removed from the system.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	David R. Vick, CPA, Audit Manager davidvick@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4494
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	Due to significant staff turnover, the current employees in charge of FLAIR access control and maintenance were new to this assigned responsibility and were not aware of the procedures that were previously established for oversight of the FLAIR system. All previous managers who had knowledge of the established procedures are no longer employed with Finance & Accounting. Upon research, we were able to find guidelines for access control and FLAIR maintenance and will now begin quarterly review of system users for accuracy and access control capabilities. We will also incorporate the entire Department as well as the Division of Emergency Management into our procedures to ensure that proper access and control is universally maintained.
Estimated Corrective Action Date	2007-2008 fiscal year
Agency Contact and Telephone Number	Karen Peyton (850) 922-1646

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Finding Number	FA 07-067
CFDA Number	Various
Program Title	Various
Compliance Requirement	Procurement and Suspension and Debarment
State Agency	Florida Department of Community Affairs (FDCA)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	Various
Finding Type	Significant Deficiency
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-072
Finding	FDCA did not verify that vendors had not been debarred or suspended from receiving Federal funds.
Criteria	OMB Circular A-102, Attachment, Section 1.d., <i>Debarment and Suspension</i>
Condition	FDCA personnel did not obtain a written certification from its vendors or document that FDCA personnel checked the <i>Excluded Parties List System (EPLS)</i> for vendors debarred or suspended from receiving Federal funds for procurement contracts equal to or in excess of \$25,000. Applicable FDCA procurements for the 2006-07 fiscal year totaled \$10,768,119.99 for the Homeland Security Cluster (CFDA Nos. 97.004 and 97.067), Disaster Grants – Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disasters) (CFDA No. 97.036), and the Hazard Mitigation Grant (CFDA No. 97.039). Although our review of Federal program procurement transactions with 10 vendors disclosed that the vendors were not on the EPLS during the 2006-07 fiscal year, the potential for not detecting excluded vendors existed.
Cause	FDCA lacked procedures to ensure that the vendors were not suspended or debarred or otherwise excluded.
Effect	Contracts for goods or services may be procured from vendors that have been suspended or debarred, resulting in charges subject to disallowance.
Recommendation	FDCA personnel indicated that procurement procedures were in the process of being updated. FDCA personnel also indicated that they are now documenting their review of the EPLS by inserting a comment at the bottom of each purchase requisition. We recommend that FDCA ensure that updated written policies and procedures address the required Federal procurement and suspension and debarment rules. We also recommend that FDCA ensure that reviews of the EPLS are documented in accordance with the newly established procedure.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	David R. Vick, CPA, Audit Manager davidvick@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4494
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	DCA purchasing office is now checking all vendor names against the Excluded Parties List System that the federal government provides. While we have been doing that for a while now we are now adding that comment to the bottom of each purchase order the department issues. Procedures are still being updated and will include that action.
Estimated Corrective Action Date	Procedure should be updated by 5/30/08
Agency Contact and Telephone Number	David Perrin (850) 922-1717

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Finding Number	FA 07-068
CFDA Number	97.004 and 97.067
Program Title	Homeland Security Cluster (HSC)
Compliance Requirement	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles
State Agency	Florida Department of Community Affairs (FDCA)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	2004-GE-T4-0010
Finding Type	Significant Deficiency Questioned Costs – \$46,860.38
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-069
Finding	FDCA did not apply the correct indirect cost rates when calculating indirect costs charged to one of the HSC grants.
Criteria	OMB Circular A-87, Attachment E, Section E.3., <i>State and Local Indirect Cost Rate Proposals, Negotiation and Approval of Rates</i> Indirect Cost Negotiated Agreement, Section II.C., Reimbursement - Indirect cost reimbursement on all awards will be determined based upon the indirect cost rates established for the fiscal period in which the applicable direct expenditures are incurred.
Condition	FDCA had an approved indirect cost rate of 13.13 percent for the 2006-07 fiscal year for HSC grants. FDCA staff erroneously charged the 2004 grant indirect cost rates of 48.63 and 27.24 percent, which were the approved rates for the 2004-05 and 2005-06 fiscal years, respectively. The errors in applying indirect cost rates resulted in overcharges totaling \$46,860.38 to Federal Grant number 2004-GE-T4-0010.
Cause	FDCA's procedure was to apply the approved indirect cost rate for the fiscal year in which activity was first encountered throughout the life of HSC grants.
Effect	FDCA overcharged indirect costs to the 2004 HSC grant. The overcharges are subject to disallowance by the Federal grantor agency.
Recommendation	FDCA personnel indicated that beginning with the 2007-08 fiscal year, FDCA will apply the approved indirect cost rate for the fiscal period in which the applicable direct expenditures are incurred. We recommend that FDCA ensure that rates used to calculate indirect costs are in accordance with the appropriate fiscal year's approved indirect cost rate agreement.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	David R. Vick, CPA, Audit Manager davidvick@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4494
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	Historically, it has been DCA's procedure to maintain the same rate for the life of the grant for all federal grants that had a specified grant period. Therefore, federal grants meeting this qualifier that crossed state fiscal years maintained the rate that was the approved rate in the fiscal year that the grant was first utilized for employee salary charges. Even though this has been our established procedure that has been followed for many years, it has now been determined that we should be applying the approved rate for each operating year to all eligible grants that have employee salary charges posted and not carrying previously approved rates into subsequent years. Based on this finding, we will now charge all grants the applicable approved indirect cost rates for the operating fiscal year.

**Estimated Corrective
Action Date**

2007-2008 fiscal year

**Agency Contact and
Telephone Number**Karen Peyton
(850) 922-1646

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Finding Number	FA 07-069
CFDA Number	97.036
Program Title	Disaster Grants – Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disasters)
Compliance Requirement	Activities Allowed or Unallowed, Cash Management
State Agency	Florida Department of Community Affairs (FDCA) Florida Division of Emergency Management (FDEM)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	Various
Finding Type	Material Noncompliance and Significant Deficiency
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-075
Finding	FDEM again did not obtain required documentation from subgrantees to support advances and provide such documentation to FDCA for payment processing. Additionally, FDCA did not always ensure that subgranted advance payments were properly coded in the State's accounting system, Florida Accounting Information Resource Subsystem (FLAIR).
Criteria	31 CFR Part 205 <i>Rules and Procedures for Efficient Federal-State Funds Transfer</i> and 44 CFR 13.20, <i>Federal Emergency Management Agency, Standards for Financial Management Systems</i>
Condition	<p>FDCA advanced Disaster Grants funds totaling \$5,615,459.76 to 19 subgrantees during the 2006-07 fiscal year. Our review of three advances totaling \$2,337,290.42, disclosed that FDEM did not obtain and provide to FDCA documentation required by the subgrantee agreements, such as budget data and evidence to demonstrate that the subgrantee had procedures in place to ensure that funds were disbursed to vendors and contractors without unnecessary delay.</p> <p>FDCA policy required subgrantees to submit a request for reimbursement and a summary of documentation to document the expenditure of advanced funds within 90 days of receiving the advance. Of the three advances reviewed, we noted that for two advances totaling \$1,574,921.51, the subgrantees did not timely expend the advanced funds; for one subgrantee no work had been performed in approximately four months; and for the second subgrantee approximately half the work had been completed in four months. Additionally, FDCA coded advances totaling \$5,578,053.83 as reimbursements rather than as advances in FLAIR.</p>
Cause	FDEM did not have adequate controls to ensure that subgrantees met required conditions prior to making advances or to properly monitor the advances to ensure they were timely expended. Additionally, FDEM did not provide sufficient information to allow FDCA to properly identify the payments as advances and record them as such in FLAIR.
Effect	Absent adequate controls, FDEM and FDCA lack assurance that subgrantees minimize the time elapsing between the drawdown and disbursement of Federal funds, and that FDCA's Federal draws were limited to the minimum required to meet the State's actual and immediate cash needs in carrying out the purpose of the Program. Additionally, without proper recording of advances in FLAIR, FDEM and FDCA have a limited ability to identify advances and ensure that they are properly offset with subsequent subgrantee expenditures.
Recommendation	We again recommend that FDEM and FDCA establish procedures to ensure that all required conditions are met prior to advancing moneys to subgrantees and to ensure that advances are properly coded in FLAIR. We also recommend that FDEM and FDCA establish procedures to ensure that advance payments are properly identified to allow for accurate recording in FLAIR.

**Auditor General Contact
and Telephone Number**

David R. Vick, CPA, Audit Manager
davidvick@aud.state.fl.us
(850) 487-4494

Florida Department of Community Affairs**State Agency Response and
Corrective Action Plan**

FDEM and FDCA concur with the findings and recommendations, and the following procedures will be established and instituted immediately:

1. The Disaster Relief Funding Agreement will be revised to include an Advance of Funds Clause as follows: Any advance payment under this agreement is subject to Section 216.181(16), Fla.Stat., and is contingent upon the subgrantee's acceptance of the rights of DEM under Article XVIII of the agreement. The amount which may be advanced may not exceed the expected cash needs of the subgrantee within the first three (3) months of the agreement term. This federally funded agreement advance of payment is also subject to Federal OMB Circular A-87, A-110, A-122 and the Cash Management Improvement Act of 1990. If an advance payment is requested, the budget data on which the request is based and a justification statement shall be included in this Agreement, Attachment A. Attachment A will specify the amount of the advance payment needed and provide an explanation of the necessity for the proposed use of these funds and the expenditure timeframe over the 90 day period.

2. The Public Assistance FDCA Request for Payment form will be revised to identify an advance payment to insure that all advance payments are properly identified to allow for accurate recording in FLAIR.

3. FDEM will establish on-site monitoring and interim inspection procedures to insure that sub-grantees Request for Reimbursement and Summary of Documentation documents the expenditures incurred and the advance is expended in accordance with the specified timeframe noted in the justification for advance.

**Estimated Corrective
Action Date**

08/30/08

**Agency Contact and
Telephone Number**

Doug Wright
(850) 413-9963

Florida Division of Emergency Management**State Agency Response and
Corrective Action Plan**

FDEM and FDCA concur with the findings and recommendations, and the following procedures will be established and instituted:

(1) The Disaster Relief Funding Agreement will be revised to include an Advance of Funds Clause as follows: Any advance payment under this agreement is subject to Section 216.181(16), Fla.Stat., and is contingent upon the sub-grantee's acceptance of the rights of DEM under Article XVIII of the agreement. The amount which may be advanced may not exceed the expected cash needs of the sub-grantee within the first three (3) months of the agreement term. This federally funded agreement advance of payment is also subject to Federal OMB Circular A-87, A-110, A-122 and the Cash Management Improvement Act of 1990. If an advance payment is requested, the budget data on which the request is based and a justification statement shall be included in this Agreement, Attachment A. Attachment A will specify the amount of the advance payment needed and provide an explanation of the necessity for the proposed use of these funds and the expenditure timeframe over the 90 day period.

(2) The Public Assistance FDCA Request for Payment form will be revised to identify an advance payment to insure that all advance payments are properly identified to allow for accurate recording in FLAIR.

(3) FDEM will establish on-site monitoring and interim inspection procedures to insure that sub-grantees Request for Reimbursement and Summary of Documentation documents the expenditures incurred and the advance is expended in accordance with the specified timeframe noted in the justification for advance.

**Estimated Corrective
Action Date**

06/30/08

**Agency Contact and
Telephone Number**

Doug Wright
(850) 413-9963

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Finding Number	FA 07-070
CFDA Number	97.036
Program Title	Disaster Grants - Public Assistance (PA) (Presidentially Declared Disasters)
Compliance Requirement	Activities Allowed or Unallowed, Allowable Costs/Cost Principles, Period of Availability of Federal Funds, and Subrecipient Monitoring
State Agency	Florida Division of Emergency Management (FDEM)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	FEMA-1345-DR-FL
Finding Type	Opinion Qualification, Material Noncompliance, Material Weakness, and Significant Deficiency Questioned Costs – \$15,166,186.25
Finding	FDEM was unable to document that a subgrantee payment totaling \$15,166,186.25 was allowable, reasonable, and incurred within the period of availability. Additionally there was no evidence FDEM had adequately monitored the subgrantee or submitted required status reports to FEMA.
Criteria	44 CFR 13.22 & 206.205, 226, 228 – <i>Allowable Costs</i> ; OMB Circular A-87 – <i>Cost Principles</i> ; 44 CFR 13.40 & 206.204 – <i>Monitoring</i>
Condition	<p>FDEM administers the Public Assistance (PA) Program. Due to the high volume of payments processed and large amount of documentation required to be maintained by the subgrantee to support expenditures, FDEM allows subgrantees to submit Requests for Reimbursement (RFR) supported only by Summary of Documentation forms (SODs) that contain information such as vendor names, invoice numbers, brief descriptions of the work performed, dates of delivery, etc., without requiring the inclusion of copies of invoices or purchase orders. FDEM considers any risk of not requiring the invoices to be mitigated by its procedure of testing a sample of invoices during the final inspection and closeout of projects.</p> <p>Our review of 20 subgrantee payments included one payment totaling \$15,166,186.25 for a disaster that occurred in the calendar year 2000. The costs submitted for reimbursement were for work performed up to 4½ years prior to the date of reimbursement. Our review of this payment disclosed deficiencies as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The SOD was not adequate to determine that activities were allowable, reasonable, and within the established work completion deadlines. The Documentation column on the SOD did not contain the required specific information (i.e., vendor names, work description, dates of service, or invoice numbers) applicable to the submitted costs. • The date of delivery listed on the SOD for \$13,838,891.25 of the request was outside the established deadline for work to be completed. FDEM personnel indicated that the date was the date the SOD was prepared rather than the date of the goods or services were received; however, there was no documentation included in the payment file indicating that the goods or services were received within the grant period. • Of the total requested for reimbursement, \$3,957,235.91 related to projects that, according to FDEM personnel, had been deobligated in 2003, and were, therefore, ineligible for further reimbursements. Additionally, one payment totaling \$198,795.75 was made for a project where, according to FDEM personnel, no work was proposed. • FDEM personnel increased the subgrantee's request by \$1,391,823.93; however, there was no support for the increase and, although requested,

FDEM could not provide justification for the increase.

- According to FDEM personnel, final inspections had been performed ranging from 1 to 4½ years prior to submission of the RFR. However, our review of final inspections for three projects disclosed that two inspections were not completed until subsequent to the payment. For all three projects, costs approved for reimbursement during the final inspection did not agree with the amounts paid for the projects.

Additionally, our review of FDEM's monitoring of the subgrantee related to the calendar year 2000 disasters disclosed that the most recent documented contact, prior to the above payment, was in October 2004. The payment described above was requested, processed, and paid in September 2006.

Further, FDEM did not submit required quarterly status reports to FEMA for the subgrantee's calendar year 2000 disaster projects during the 2006-07 fiscal year.

Cause

FDEM did not maintain documentation that was adequate to determine the vendor name, the type of work performed, and the date services were delivered.

Although final payments had not been processed, FEMA quarterly reports were not submitted because these projects did not reflect any new activity since the performance of final inspections in prior years.

Effect

Processing reimbursements for costs that are not properly supported increases the risk that these payments will be made for unallowable costs. Federal regulations provide that funding for administrative costs is available for a maximum of eight years from the date of the major disaster declaration. Funding for calendar year 2000 disaster projects will expire on October 4, 2008. Not processing all remaining eligible cost reimbursements before October 4, 2008, will result in the State of Florida paying for an undisclosed amount of administrative costs that would have otherwise been eligible for Federal funding.

Recommendation

Subsequent to our audit inquiry, FDEM submitted a letter to the subgrantee on November 20, 2007, requesting an estimation of when requests for payment for an additional \$139 million of obligated funds would be submitted. We recommend FDEM require adequate documentation in RFRs so that determinations of whether costs are allowable, reasonable, and within the period of availability will be possible. FDEM should require all RFRs be submitted in sufficient time to allow for processing prior to October 4, 2008, and quarterly status reports should be submitted to FEMA until all final payments of the Federal share have been made. We also recommend FDEM request the subgrantee to submit documentation for the prior payments and that FDEM evaluate whether the costs were allowable, reasonable, and within the period of availability.

Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number

David Vick, CPA, Audit Manager
davidvick@aud.state.fl.us
(850) 487-4494

State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan

FDEM concurs with the findings and recommendations and the following actions will be taken:

(1) FDEM will schedule an on-site monitoring and interim inspection of all costs associated with the Request for Reimbursements paid to date to validate all costs are allowable, reasonable and performed within the period of performance.

(2) FDEM will establish Monitoring and Interim Inspection Procedures to insure that sub-grantee Request for Reimbursement and Summary of Documentation documents the expenditures incurred and the advance is expended in accordance with the specified timeframe. The procedures will include a Checklist for compliance with all required regulations.

(3) FDEM will establish a Quarterly Report Review Procedures to insure the Sub-grantees are accomplishing the Scopes of Work and fulfilling the requirements of the funding agreement in a timely manner. Quarterly reports will be submitted to the Federal awarding agency in accordance with the Federal Regulations, 44 CFR 13.20 (Monitoring and Reporting Program Performance).

(4) FDEM will complete the reconciliation of approximately 1/4 of the outstanding \$139 million within the next 30 days. The remaining balance is anticipated to be reconciled within 120 days.

**Estimated Corrective
Action Date**

07/31/08

**Agency Contact and
Telephone Number**

Doug Wright
(850) 413-9963

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Finding Number	FA 07-071																				
CFDA Number	97.036																				
Program Title	Disaster Grants – Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disasters)																				
Compliance Requirement	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles																				
State Agency	Florida Department of Community Affairs (FDCA)																				
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	Various (See Condition)																				
Finding Type	Significant Deficiency Questioned Costs – \$45,169.83 (\$35,053.66 Federal share)																				
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-070																				
Finding	FDCA charged payments for unused leave as direct costs to Federal programs, contrary to Federal regulations.																				
Criteria	OMB Circular A-87, Attachment B, Section 11.d., <i>Fringe Benefits</i>																				
Condition	During the 2006-07 fiscal year, FDCA paid \$220,137.29 for unused leave payments. Our review disclosed that payments totaling \$32,698.75 for unused leave of terminated employees were charged to the Program as direct costs. Charges totaling \$26,669.92 were made to the Federal Grant numbers listed below and \$6,028.83 was charged to the State matching grants. <table><tr><td>FEMA-1306-DR-FL</td><td>\$1,532.76</td><td>FEMA-1344-DR-FL</td><td>\$1,532.76</td></tr><tr><td>FEMA-1345-DR-FL</td><td>\$6,131.02</td><td>FEMA-1393-DR-FL</td><td>\$1,532.76</td></tr><tr><td>FEMA-1481-DR-FL</td><td>\$1,532.76</td><td>FEMA-1539-DR-FL</td><td>\$3,678.61</td></tr><tr><td>FEMA-1545-DR-FL</td><td>\$3,678.58</td><td>FEMA-1551-DR-FL</td><td>\$3,678.61</td></tr><tr><td>FEMA-1561-DR-FL</td><td>\$1,839.30</td><td>FEMA-1595-DR-FL</td><td>\$1,532.76</td></tr></table>	FEMA-1306-DR-FL	\$1,532.76	FEMA-1344-DR-FL	\$1,532.76	FEMA-1345-DR-FL	\$6,131.02	FEMA-1393-DR-FL	\$1,532.76	FEMA-1481-DR-FL	\$1,532.76	FEMA-1539-DR-FL	\$3,678.61	FEMA-1545-DR-FL	\$3,678.58	FEMA-1551-DR-FL	\$3,678.61	FEMA-1561-DR-FL	\$1,839.30	FEMA-1595-DR-FL	\$1,532.76
FEMA-1306-DR-FL	\$1,532.76	FEMA-1344-DR-FL	\$1,532.76																		
FEMA-1345-DR-FL	\$6,131.02	FEMA-1393-DR-FL	\$1,532.76																		
FEMA-1481-DR-FL	\$1,532.76	FEMA-1539-DR-FL	\$3,678.61																		
FEMA-1545-DR-FL	\$3,678.58	FEMA-1551-DR-FL	\$3,678.61																		
FEMA-1561-DR-FL	\$1,839.30	FEMA-1595-DR-FL	\$1,532.76																		
Cause	Additionally, FDCA charged \$8,383.74 to CFDA No. 97.042 Emergency Management Performance Grants Federal Grant number 2006-EM-E6-0049 and \$4,087.34 to the State matching grants for unused leave payments. FDCA staff allocated unused leave payments as indirect costs at the beginning of June 2007 for the 2006-07 fiscal year, but did not allocate as indirect costs two unused leave payments that occurred after the date of the initial redistribution.																				
Effect	Federal program funds were used to pay expenses that should have been allocated to all FDCA activities.																				
Recommendation	FDCA personnel indicated that, beginning with the 2007-08 fiscal year, unused leave payments will be processed and allocated like all other agency salary transactions rather than at the end of the fiscal year, which should prevent the errors noted above. We recommend that FDCA ensure the new procedures are effective in charging unused leave payments as a general administrative expense (indirect cost) allocable to all FDCA activities.																				
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	David R. Vick, CPA, Audit Manager davidvick@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4494																				
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	Procedural and system changes have been made to now redistribute leave payouts for federal granted funds as they occur and not at year end. This should eliminate the reoccurrence of leave payments being recorded as direct charges to federal programs.																				
Estimated Corrective Action Date	2007-2008 fiscal year																				

**Agency Contact and
Telephone Number**

Karen Peyton
(850) 922-1646

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Finding Number	FA 07-072
CFDA Number	97.036
Program Title	Disaster Grants – Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disasters)
Compliance Requirement	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles
State Agency	Florida Department of Community Affairs (FDCA)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	FEMA 1561-DR-FL, FEMA 1679-DR-FL
Finding Type	Questioned Costs – \$800.15
Finding	FDCA could not provide documentation to support some charges to Federal awards.
Criteria	OMB Circular A-87, Attachment A, Section C.1., <i>Basic Guidelines, Factors affecting allowability of costs</i>
Condition	FDCA could not provide documentation to support charges for 2 of 40 expenditure transactions reviewed. Missing documentation consisted of a travel voucher to support meal expenses totaling \$180 and the voucher schedule and supporting documentation for \$620.15 in expenses. These items were charged to Disaster Grants – Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disasters).
Cause	FDCA could not locate the voucher schedules and supporting documentation for the Federal charges.
Effect	Absent adequate documentation, FDCA could not support that the Federal charges were for allowable costs.
Recommendation	We recommend that FDCA ensure that adequate documentation is maintained to support Federal charges.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	David R. Vick, CPA, Audit Manager davidvick@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4494
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	Currently, the file room that houses voucher files is a non-secured room and therefore is susceptible to anyone having access to the agency's voucher schedules. The current system has allowed for some vulnerability in securing accounting voucher schedules generated from FLAIR. We are endeavoring to implement new procedures that will increase the security and maintenance of all voucher schedules and the applicable supporting documentation. We have assigned an employee to now be housed in the file room and require proper paper requests to be submitted to obtain needed vouchers and related information. This new process should provide better oversight and security of the agency accounting vouchers.
Estimated Corrective Action Date	2008-2009 fiscal year (full implementation)
Agency Contact and Telephone Number	Karen Peyton (850) 922-1646

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Finding Number	FA 07-073
CFDA Number	97.036
Program Title	Disaster Grants – Public Assistance (PA) (Presidentially Declared Disasters)
Compliance Requirement	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles
State Agency	Florida Department of Community Affairs (FDCA) Florida Division of Emergency Management (FDEM) Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	FEMA-1551-DR-FL
Finding Type	Other
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-076
Finding	In October 2007, FDOT received approval from FEMA to resolve questioned costs reported in the prior audit. FDCA did not fairly state the status of this finding in the Summary Schedule of Prior Year Findings (SSPAF).
Criteria	44 CFR 206 Subpart H, <i>Public Assistance Eligibility</i>
Condition	In report No. 2007-146, finding No. FA 06-076, we noted questioned costs totaling \$899,527.20, paid to FDOT for debris removal costs, that were ineligible for payment under the PA Program because the costs pertained to a subsequent, rather than initial, removal. FDOT submitted a Project Worksheet to correct the error on December 29, 2006. The worksheet was approved by FEMA on October 15, 2007. In its SSPAF as of June 30, 2007, FDCA indicated the finding was fully corrected; however, a refund of the ineligible payment was not received from FDOT and approval from FEMA was not received until subsequent to June 30, 2007.
Cause	FEMA had not approved the Project Worksheet that would allow FDOT to resolve the questioned costs with FDCA until October 2007.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	David Vick, CPA, Audit Manager davidvick@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4494

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Finding Number	FA 07-074
CFDA Number	97.036
Program Title	Disaster Grants – Public Assistance (PA) (Presidentially Declared Disasters)
Compliance Requirement	Special Tests and Provisions
State Agency	Florida Division of Emergency Management (FDEM)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	FEMA-1539-DR-FL, FEMA-1545-DR-FL, FEMA-1551-DR-FL, FEMA-1561-DR-FL, FEMA-1595-DR-FL, FEMA-1602-DR-FL, FEMA-3220-DR-FL, FEMA-3259-DR-FL, and FEMA-1609-DR-FL
Finding Type	Opinion Qualification, Material Noncompliance, Material Weakness, and Significant Deficiency
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-078
Finding	Reportable conditions disclosed in the prior audit regarding the completion of final inspections continued to exist during the 2006-07 fiscal year.
Criteria	44 CFR 206.205, <i>Payment of claims</i>
Condition	<p>In audit report No. 2007-146, finding No. FA 06-078, we disclosed reportable conditions regarding FDEM's inability to complete final inspections for a significant number of large PA projects. Large PA projects are those with expenditures exceeding an established threshold. During the 2006-07 fiscal year, the threshold for large projects was \$57,500.</p> <p>In the Summary Schedule of Prior Audit findings as of June 30, 2007, FDEM indicated that minor improvements had been made. In response to our inquiry, FDEM indicated that as of December 2007, final inspections had been completed for approximately 1,500 projects during the period July 2006 through December 2007. However, of the 7,515 applicable open large projects related to the 2004 and 2005 hurricane seasons, there remained 3,727 projects for which final inspections had been requested, but not completed.</p>
Cause	The resources needed to process these inspections had not been available. FDEM also attributed the backlog of final inspections and closeouts to a change in procedure by FEMA that required, in addition to FDEM, FEMA to audit the subgrantee's documentation.
Effect	Final inspections for large PA projects are necessary for FDEM to certify that reported costs were incurred in the performance of eligible work, that the approved work was completed, that the project was in compliance with the provisions of the FEMA-State Agreement, and that payments have been made in accordance with Federal requirements. The effectiveness of these inspections is significantly diminished absent their timely performance.
Recommendation	We recommend that FDEM allocate the necessary resources to facilitate the completion of all required final inspections as soon as practicable.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	David Vick, CPA, Audit Manager davidvick@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4494
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	<p>FDEM concurs with the findings and recommendations and the following actions are being taken:</p> <p>(1) FDEM has advertised for 30 additional staff positions to be hired and trained immediately to address the Final Inspection Request back-log, in addition to increasing and extending the Consultants Agreement currently contracted to perform final inspections and close-out activities. The Consultants Agreement contractor will bring on an additional 20 staff to assist with the backlog.</p>

**Estimated Corrective
Action Date**

06/30/08 to hire staff
06/30/09 is anticipated to complete the backlog of final inspections

**Agency Contact and
Telephone Number**

Doug Wright
(850) 413-9963

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Finding Number	FA 07-075
CFDA Number	97.039
Program Title	Hazard Mitigation Grant
Compliance Requirement	Activities Allowed/Unallowed, Allowable Costs/Cost Principles
State Agency	Florida Division of Emergency Management (FDEM)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	FEMA-1381-DR-FL
Finding Type	Questioned Costs – \$31,509.39
Finding	FDEM made a payment to a subgrantee without sufficient documentation to evidence that services were received.
Criteria	OMB Circular A-87, Attachment A, Section C.1.j. <i>Costs must be adequately documented.</i>
Condition	Our tests of 8 payments to subgrantees totaling \$1,937,645.49 and made during the period April 1, 2007, through June 30, 2007, included one payment totaling \$5,785.49 that did not contain sufficient supporting documentation to evidence that services were received. The payment related to a contract with Florida State University (FSU) for an internship with the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program. FSU was to provide students to support activities and tasks related to emergency management planning within FDEM. Our review of the documentation supporting payments made during the 2006-07 fiscal year, disclosed that documentation, such as timesheets, was not available to evidence that work was performed. Payments to FSU for this contract totaled \$31,509.39 during the 2006-07 fiscal year.
Cause	FDEM personnel stated that FSU is not required to maintain timesheets for the interns due to University policy. FDEM also stated that the interns were assigned tasks that would be documented by the end result, such as submission of a project for approval by the Federal agency; however, no documentation or summary of tasks completed was submitted with the invoice. Additionally, FDEM did not provide documentation of the work completed.
Effect	Absent adequate documentation, the validity of the expenditures charged to the Program can not be determined.
Recommendation	In response to our inquiry, FDEM indicated that a timesheet procedure will be implemented to document work week and hours. Additionally, FDEM indicated that an evaluation of the intern's performance will be conducted at the end of the internship. We recommend that FDEM ensure that appropriate documentation to evidence that services have been received is submitted with subgrantee requests for payment.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	David R. Vick, CPA, Audit Manager davidvick@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4494
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	FDEM concurs with the findings and recommendations and the following actions have been implemented: (1) A new weekly timesheet procedure has been implemented for all interns to document their work attendance. This is not a requirement of the university since they pay interns a flat rate stipend. In addition, each intern will complete an Individual Task Summary Report that will identify all assigned tasks. Upon completion of their internship, a performance evaluation will be conducted by the specified DEM supervisor utilizing this task report.

**Estimated Corrective
Action Date**

11/1/07

**Agency Contact and
Telephone Number**Miles Anderson, Community Program Administrator
(850) 413-9816

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Finding Number	FA 07-076
CFDA Number	97.039
Program Title	Hazard Mitigation Grant
Compliance Requirement	Activities Allowed or Unallowed, Allowable Costs/Cost Principles, Period of Availability of Federal Funds
State Agency	Florida Department of Community Affairs (FDCA) Florida Division of Emergency Management (FDEM)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	Various
Finding Type	Opinion Qualification, Material Noncompliance, Material Weakness, Significant Deficiency – Activities Allowed or Unallowed, Allowable Costs/Cost Principles Material Noncompliance and Significant Deficiency – Period of Availability Questioned Costs – Unknown
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding Nos. FA 06-079 and 06-081
Finding	Significant deficiencies regarding the adequacy of supporting documentation for subgrantee payments, including documentation for matching amounts, continued to exist during the period July 1, 2006, through March 31, 2007.
Criteria	44 CFR 13.20, <i>Standards for financial management systems</i> ; 44 CFR 13.22, <i>Allowable costs</i> ; 44 CFR 13.23, <i>Period of availability</i> ; 44 CFR 13.24, <i>Matching or cost sharing</i> ; 44 CFR 206.439, <i>Allowable costs</i>
Condition	<p>In finding Nos. FA 06-079 and 06-081, we noted material weaknesses and significant deficiencies regarding FDCA and FDEM's payment process. In those findings, we reported that FDCA and FDEM relied on subgrantee representations as to the appropriateness of costs and compliance, rather than employing FDEM and FDCA procedures to verify appropriateness of costs and compliance. As disclosed in FDEM's Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings, corrective actions were taken to resolve the findings; however, the actions were not effective until April 1, 2007. Payments to subgrantees totaled \$15,420,041.79 for the period July 1, 2006, through March 31, 2007.</p> <p>Our review of subgrantee payments for the period April 1, 2007, through June 30, 2007, disclosed that FDCA and FDEM have made progress in correcting the deficiencies noted during the prior audit; however, we noted instances in which documentation was not always available to support subgrantee payments as described in finding No. FA 07-075.</p>
Cause	FDCA and FDEM did not fully implement procedures to resolve the previously reported control deficiencies until April 2007.
Effect	Absent adequate documentation, the validity of expenditures charged to the Program can not be determined. Additionally, FDEM may lack records to demonstrate compliance with matching requirements.
Recommendation	We recommend FDCA and FDEM continue to strengthen the payment documentation process.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	David R. Vick, CPA, Audit Manager davidvick@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4494

Florida Department of Community Affairs

State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan We concur with the findings and recommendations. All corrective action tools implemented on 4/1/07 are being followed which include a Request for Reimbursement/Advance Payment Checklist which will ensure all appropriate documentation is included for payment approval. The Grant Management Tools have been placed on floridadisaster.org website so that agencies receiving program funding will know what documentation is to be provided. All payments, regardless of the project start date, are now following the new procedures. Procedures will be reviewed annually to determine any improvements needed.

Estimated Corrective Action Date 4/01/07 - tools implemented

Agency Contact and Telephone Number Miles Anderson, Community Program Administrator
(850) 413-9816

Florida Division of Emergency Management

State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan FDEM and FDCA concur with the findings and recommendations and the following actions have been implemented:

(1) All corrective action tools implemented on 4/1/07 are being followed which include a Request for Reimbursement/Advance Payment Checklist which will ensure all appropriate documentation is included for payment approval.

(2) The Grant Management Tools have been placed on floridadisaster.org website so that agencies receiving program funding will know what documentation is to be provided. All payments regardless of the project start date, are now following the new procedures.

(3) Procedures will be reviewed annually to determine any improvements needed.

Estimated Corrective Action Date 4/01/07

Agency Contact and Telephone Number Miles Anderson, Community Program Administrator
(850) 413-9816

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Finding Number	FA 07-077
CFDA Number	97.039
Program Title	Hazard Mitigation Grant
Compliance Requirement	Reporting
State Agency	Florida Division of Emergency Management (FDEM)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	Various
Finding Type	Significant Deficiency
Finding	Our review of FDEM's FEMA Quarterly Report for the quarter ending June 30, 2007, disclosed errors in the reporting of project status and completion dates.
Criteria	44 CFR 206.438(c), <i>Progress reports</i> , requires a quarterly progress report to FEMA indicating the status and completion date for each project funded. Any problems or circumstances affecting completion dates, scope of work, or project costs which are expected to result in noncompliance with approved grant conditions are to be described in the report.
Condition	Our review of FDEM's FEMA Quarterly Report for the quarter ending June 30, 2007, disclosed 53 projects where the project completion due dates were prior to June 30, 2007, yet the projects were shown as ongoing in the project status column. In response to our inquiry, FDEM provided a schedule updating the status of these projects. The schedule provided showed that for 44 of those projects (83 percent), the status or the completion date reported was incorrect. Quarterly Report errors included project completion due dates that were up to three years earlier than the actual expected completion dates, projects reported as ongoing that had been withdrawn and never started, and projects reported as ongoing that had been completed from 3 months to 3 ½ years prior to June 30, 2007.
Cause	FDEM staff indicated that the errors were due to an administrative oversight.
Effect	The Quarterly Report errors limited FEMA's ability to effectively monitor the status of funded projects.
Recommendation	FDEM indicated that it is working to secure consulting services to assist with completing the actions necessary to close out projects that have been completed but are still reported as ongoing. We recommend that FDEM enhance its procedures to ensure that the project status and completion dates are accurately reported to the Federal government.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	David R. Vick, CPA, Audit Manager davidvick@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4494
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	FDEM concur with the findings and recommendations and the following actions will be taken immediately: (1) The Division has secured consulting services to assist in closing out projects and to indicate the proper project status on the FEMA Quarterly Reports. The current state of the Division's tracking information is accurate and up-to-date. The current databases used to collect the Quarterly Report information were redesigned to ensure users of the databases would not inadvertently enter dates into a project's status that belong to a different project. There was also a lack of understanding by the staff as to who was and when to ensure the proper dates were entered. This has been addressed by additional training and instruction on the operating procedures for the proper staff.

(2) The Division has contracted for a new and all encompassing project management system that will consolidate management of the status and completion dates into one system.

**Estimated Corrective
Action Date**

06/30/08

**Agency Contact and
Telephone Number**

Miles Anderson, Community Program Administrator
(850) 413-9816

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Finding Number	FA 07-078
CFDA Number	97.039
Program Title	Hazard Mitigation Grant
Compliance Requirement	Subrecipient Monitoring
State Agency	Florida Division of Emergency Management (FDEM)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	FEMA-1393-DR-FL
Finding Type	Material Noncompliance and Significant Deficiency
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-082
Finding	Significant deficiencies regarding FDEM's subgrantee monitoring continued to exist during the period July 1, 2006, through March 31, 2007. Additionally, FDEM could not provide documentation to evidence that monitoring that should have occurred subsequent to March 31, 2007, had been conducted for one subgrantee.
Criteria	OMB Circular A-133 §____.400(d)(3), <i>Pass-through entity responsibilities</i>
Condition	Deficiencies in FDEM's procedures for obtaining quarterly reports, performing on-site inspections, and following up on concerns identified during monitoring visits were not corrected until April 1, 2007. Our review of FDEM's monitoring activities for 15 subgrantees, during the period April 1, 2007, through June 30, 2007, indicated that FDEM's corrective actions appear to have been sufficient to resolve the deficiencies noted. However, we noted that for the one planning project reviewed, FDEM was not able to provide documentation that monitoring had been conducted. The subgrantee contract, totaling \$104,221, was for the development of a Florida-specific database for relevant State hazards research. Expenditures for planning projects totaled \$1,832,893.29 of the total \$13,176,709.23 expended for all subgrants during the 2006-07 fiscal year.
Cause	<p>FDEM indicated that the staff member who was managing planning projects is no longer with FDEM and the responsibility to monitor the projects was reassigned to another employee. However, training was not provided and the employee was still working to understand the projects.</p> <p>FDEM is currently working with the subgrantee to obtain a status update as to the progress of the project. Once this information is compiled, appropriate monitoring will resume.</p>
Effect	Absent timely monitoring, FDEM had limited ability to detect ineffective and inefficient delivery of services; noncompliance with laws, regulations, and provisions of contracts; or underachievement of performance goals; and ensure that prompt, appropriate corrective actions were taken.
Recommendation	We recommend FDEM monitor subgrantees and ensure that monitoring activities are appropriately documented.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	David R. Vick, CPA, Audit Manager davidvick@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4494
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	<p>FDEM concurs with the findings and recommendations and the following actions will be taken immediately:</p> <p>(1) The planning project in question had its file misplaced that was just located on 2/12/08. According to the file documentation, all required monitoring was accomplished.</p>

(2) Procedures have been modified to include the same monitoring process for all Hazard Mitigation Grant Projects. The employee assigned to assume the responsibilities of the planning projects is operating under these procedures to ensure planning projects are managed and monitored appropriately.

**Estimated Corrective
Action Date**

2/15/08

**Agency Contact and
Telephone Number**

Miles Anderson, Community Program Administrator
(850) 413-9816

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Finding Number	FA 07-079
CFDA Number	97.004, 97.067
Program Title	Homeland Security Cluster
Compliance Requirement	Subrecipient Monitoring
State Agency	Florida Division of Emergency Management (FDEM)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	2004-GE-T4-0010, 2005-GE-T5-0035, 2006-GE-T6-0023
Finding Type	Material Noncompliance and Significant Deficiency
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-074
Finding	FDEM did not timely obtain and review subgrantee programmatic status reports.
Criteria	28 CFR 66.40, <i>Monitoring and Reporting Program Performance</i> ; USDHS OGT OGO Financial Management Guide, <i>Monitoring Project Performance</i>
Condition	FDEM had 729 contracts totaling \$321,382,960 in effect during the 2006-07 fiscal year. Our review of 10 subgrantees with contracts totaling \$22,580,219, disclosed that for 7 subgrantees, FDEM had either not obtained, timely received, or documented its review of required programmatic status reports, such as monthly and quarterly status reports, and closeout reports. For the 3 subgrantees for which reports had not been timely submitted, the reports ranged from 32 to 364 days late. For one other subgrantee, we could not determine whether semi-annual reports due within the audit period had been submitted and reviewed timely, since the reports in the file were not dated.
Cause	FDEM had not incorporated procedures on obtaining and reviewing status and closeout reports into its Standard Operating Guide.
Effect	FDEM had limited assurance of compliance with applicable Program requirements and achievement of performance goals.
Recommendation	In the Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings as of June 30, 2007, FDEM indicated that a Standard Operating Guide was being drafted that would include obtaining programmatic status and closeout reports for subgrantees. Completion of corrective action is anticipated by March 1, 2008. FDEM personnel indicated that steps requiring reports be date-stamped and reviewed and steps for contacting subgrantees when reports are due would be added to the Standard Operating Guide. We recommend that FDEM ensure that the described revisions are made to the Standard Operating Guide. We also recommend that FDEM timely obtain and review required programmatic status and closeout reports.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	David Vick, CPA, Audit Manager davidvick@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4494
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	FDEM concurs with the findings and recommendations and have implemented the following actions: (1) Steps have been integrated into our Standard Operating Guide on Contract Management for securing financial/status reports from subgrantees. All staff are to adhere to these new procedures. Some of the steps incorporated are: Date stamping all Status Reports and Reimbursement Requests; sending email reminder to recipients 10 days prior to the reporting due date; Calling recipients 5 days prior to due date to determine if any assistance is needed. (2) As of 12/01/07, programmatic reviews are being done for all status reports.

**Estimated Corrective
Action Date**

12/01/07

**Agency Contact and
Telephone Number**

Tina Quick, (850) 413-9974
OR
Dianne Smith, (850) 413-9966

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Finding Number	FA 07-080
CFDA Number	97.004, 97.067
Program Title	Homeland Security Cluster
Compliance Requirement	Subrecipient Monitoring
State Agency	Florida Division of Emergency Management (FDEM)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	2003-MU-T3-0032, 2004-GE-T4-0010, 2005-GE-T5-0035, 2006-GE-T6-0023
Finding Type	Material Noncompliance and Significant Deficiency
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-073
Finding	Deficiencies disclosed in the prior audit, regarding the communication of required information, including applicable CFDA numbers, to subgrantees for equipment distributions and the implementation of adequate procedures for monitoring subgrantees, continued to exist during the 2006-07 fiscal year.
Criteria	OMB Circular A-133, §____.400(d)(3), <i>Pass-through Entity Responsibilities</i> ; 28 CFR 66.32, <i>Equipment</i> ; 28 CFR 66.40, <i>Monitoring and Reporting Program Performance</i> ; USDHS OGT OGO Financial Management Guide, <i>Monitoring Project Performance</i>
Condition	<p>During the 2006-07 fiscal year, FDEM executed 13 subgrantee agreements for equipment distributions totaling \$518,633.96. An additional 12 subgrantee agreements for equipment distributions totaling \$878,264.01 were in effect during the 2006-07 fiscal year.</p> <p>As noted in the prior audit, FDEM had no written procedures to ensure that distributed equipment would be monitored, and that contracts were executed containing all required information prior to the distribution of equipment to subgrantees and other State agencies. We also noted in our review of financial agreements between FDEM and other State agencies that the agreements do not provide specific guidance on other agencies' responsibilities for monitoring equipment distributions to subgrantees.</p>
Cause	FDEM had not developed written procedures for the execution of contracts for equipment distributions, and subsequent monitoring of the distributed equipment. FDEM staff indicated that numerous changes occurring within the contract unit and a lack of contract staff during the audit period made it difficult to execute and monitor contracts.
Effect	Absent timely notification by FDEM of required Federal award information, subgrantees and other State agencies may not correctly identify the equipment distributions for financial reporting and accountability purposes. Additionally, without adequate monitoring of equipment distributed to subgrantees, and adequate monitoring guidance to other State agencies, FDEM has limited assurance that the equipment is properly accounted for, utilized, and maintained so that it will be functional and available for use, and that subgrantees and other State agencies have fulfilled Program requirements.
Recommendation	We recommend that FDEM ensure that all subgrantees are informed of applicable Federal information and requirements. FDEM should also ensure that written procedures are implemented to ensure that subrecipients receiving equipment distributions, either through FDEM or another State agency, are properly monitored.

Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	David Vick, CPA, Audit Manager davidvick@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4494
State Agency Response and Corrective Action Plan	FDEM concurs with the findings and recommendations and will take the following actions immediately: (1) New written procedures are being developed to ensure subgrantees are informed of all applicable Federal requirements and information. The procedure will include that an MOU (Memorandum of Understanding) must be in place prior to any equipment delivery. DEM Purchasing & Finance offices will be included in developing the procedures so all phases are covered. (2) All MOUs for equipment delivered during the 06/07 fiscal year have been obtained and are in place.
Estimated Corrective Action Date	6/30/08
Agency Contact and Telephone Number	Tina Quick, (850) 413-9974 OR Dianne Smith, (850) 413-9966

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

STATE UNIVERSITIES AND COMMUNITY COLLEGES
SUMMARY OF QUESTIONED COSTS
For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

Compliance Requirement/ Institutions	Total Questioned Costs	Questioned Costs Restored	Net Questioned Costs
<u>Student Financial Assistance Cluster</u>			
<i>CASH MANAGEMENT - Finding No. FA 07-082</i>			
Escheating:			
Miami-Dade College	\$ 22,578.89	\$ 11,835.06	\$ 10,743.83
<i>ELIGIBILITY - Finding No. FA 07-085</i>			
Over Award:			
St. Petersburg College	17,431.00	17,431.00	-
<i>ELIGIBILITY - Finding No. FA 07-086</i>			
Satisfactory Academic Progress:			
St. Petersburg College	17,494.17	-	17,494.17
<i>SPECIAL TESTS AND PROVISIONS - Finding No. FA 07-087</i>			
Return of Title IV Funds – Official Withdrawal:			
Seminole Community College	4,321.03	4,321.03	-
<i>SPECIAL TESTS AND PROVISIONS - Finding No. FA 07-088</i>			
Return of Title IV Funds – Unofficial Withdrawal:			
Polk Community College	1,249.54	-	1,249.54
<i>SPECIAL TESTS AND PROVISIONS – Finding No. FA 07-089</i>			
Return of Title IV Funds – Non Attendance:			
Polk Community College	1,512.00	397.61	1,114.39
Total (SFA)	\$ 64,586.63	\$ 33,984.70	\$ 30,601.93

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Finding Number	FA 07-081
CFDA Number	84.007, 84.032, 84.033, 84.038, 84.063, 84.268, 84.375, and 84.376
Program Title	Student Financial Assistance Cluster (SFA) Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants (FSEOG) Federal Family Education Loans (FFEL) Federal Work-Study (FWS) Federal Perkins Loans (FPL) Federal Pell Grant Program (PELL) Federal Direct Student Loans (FDSL) Academic Competitiveness Grants (ACG) National Science and Mathematics Access to Retain Talent Grant (SMART)
Compliance Requirement	Cash Management, Eligibility, and Special Tests and Provisions
State Educational Entity	Florida Agricultural and Mechanical University (FAMU)
Finding Type	Material Noncompliance and Significant Deficiencies
Prior Year Findings	Report No. 2007-146, Finding Nos. FA 06-083, FA 06-084, FA 06-085, FA 06-086, FA 06-089, FA 06-094, FA 06-095, FA 06-096, FA 06-097, FA 06-098, FA 06-099, and FA 06-100
Finding	The institution was not always able to ensure compliance with Title IV Higher Education Act (HEA) Federal program requirements.
Criteria	<p>34 CFR 668.16, <i>Standards of Administrative Capability</i>, and various regulations specific to Title IV HEA programs</p> <p>Federal regulations require that for an institution to continue to participate in any Title IV HEA program, the institution must demonstrate to the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Education (USED) that it is capable of adequately administering that program under various standards established in Title 34, Section 668.16, Code of Federal Regulations. These administrative capability standards include, among other items:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The institution's ability to administer Title IV HEA programs in accordance with all statutory provisions; • The designation of a capable individual to be responsible for administering Title IV HEA programs in which it participates and for coordinating those programs with the institution's other Federal and non-Federal programs of student financial assistance; • The use of an adequate number of qualified staff to administer Title IV HEA programs in which the institution participates; • The administration of Title IV HEA programs with adequate checks and balances in its system of internal controls, including separating the functions of authorizing payments and disbursing or delivering funds; and • Establishing and maintaining records required by regulations and Title IV HEA programs.
Condition	<p>The institution disbursed approximately \$96 million from the Title IV HEA programs listed above during the 2006-07 fiscal year. The institution reported on the 2006-07 Fiscal Operations Report and Application to Participate (FISAP) that of the 13,418 students enrolled, 10,499 (78 percent) were eligible aid applicants.</p> <p>In our report No. 2007-146, we noted deficiencies in the institution's administration of Title IV HEA programs as discussed in Finding Nos. FA 06-084, FA 06-085, FA 06-086, FA 06-089, FA 06-094, FA 06-095, FA 06-096, FA 06-097, FA 06-098, FA</p>

06-099, and FA 06-100. During our current audit, the institution certified that new procedures were not completely implemented by June 30, 2007, and institution staff were continuing to work toward compliance with administrative requirements for Title IV HEA programs. Since the beginning of the 2007-08 fiscal year the President has hired a new management team that appears to be aggressively implementing new procedures to bring the institution into compliance; however, due to the timing of these changes and the continuing need to hire adequately qualified staff, the prior year findings had not been completely corrected as of June 30, 2007.

Deficiencies noted in the institution's administration of Title IV HEA programs during the 2005-06 fiscal year that continued during the 2006-07 fiscal year, included (Finding Nos. from Report No. 2007-146 are provided):

- Inadequate procedures to ensure that unclaimed Title IV HEA funds contained in unnegotiated student checks were returned to applicable Federal programs. (FA 06-084)
- The institution's interest allocation method did not properly allocate interest earned on FPL funds deposited in the bank and investment accounts; and FPL funds were not properly deposited into an interest-bearing bank account. (FA 06-085)
- Inadequate monthly reconciliations of the institution's program accounts to Federal records for drawdowns and disbursements, to bank statements, and to the institution's accounting records. (FA 06-086)
- Inadequate procedures to ensure that Title IV HEA funds were properly awarded to eligible students. As a result, the institution overawarded and underawarded Title IV HEA funds to its students. (FA 06-089)
- Undocumented notifications of FDSL or FPL student or parent loan borrowers within 30 days before or after crediting a student's account with FDSL or FPL funds. (FA 06-094)
- Inadequate procedures to ensure accurate calculation and timely return of Title IV HEA funds to applicable Federal programs for students who officially or unofficially withdrew or had not attended. (FA 06-095, 096, 097)
- The institution did not always timely and accurately report FDSL student loan borrowers' enrollment status changes to the National Student Loan Data System. (FA 06-098)
- Inadequate procedures to ensure that exit counseling materials were provided to FDSL and FPL student loan borrowers after graduation, withdrawal, or ceasing to be enrolled at least half-time. (FA 06-099 and 100)

Cause

These deficiencies were primarily attributable to continued problems related to implementation of the institution's PeopleSoft systems and continued staffing shortages in the Financial Aid Office.

Effect

While individually these deficiencies may not be indicative of administrative weakness, collectively they negatively impact the ability for the institution to satisfactorily meet the numerous compliance requirements for administration of Title IV HEA programs. Additionally, as a result of the deficiencies, the institution is liable for returning questioned costs. As of October 2007, the institution had repaid \$639,674.30 to USED in response to the USED Letters of Determination (LOD) for Federal audit findings for the 2003-04 and 2004-05 fiscal years. The 2005-06 LOD had not been received as of January 2008.

Recommendation

The institution should continue its efforts to review its administration and delivery processes and make appropriate changes to comply with Title IV HEA program

**Auditor General Contact
and Telephone Number**

requirements. In addition, as new procedures are fully implemented, the institution should review prior fiscal years student financial assistance activity and, return Title IV HEA funds as applicable to Federal programs or lenders. The institution should also perform random self-checks during the 2007-08 fiscal year to ensure that its revised procedures are working as intended.

Ted Sauerbeck, CPA, Audit Manager
tedsauerbeck@aud.state.fl.us
(850) 487-4468

**FAMU Response and
Corrective Action Plan**

The Division of Audit and Compliance completed a compliance review of corrective actions taken by the University to address the deficiencies noted in the Auditor General Report No. 2007-146. The objective of the compliance review was to: a) Verify and validate the implementation of the University's corrective actions to determine if they resolve the Auditor General's financial aid audit findings, and b) Determine whether the University's Student Financial Assistance procedures are effective by conducting tests of transactions for the 2007 Fall semester and the 2008 Spring semester. The results are presented below:

Issue: Inadequate procedures to ensure that unclaimed Title IV HEA funds contained in un-negotiated student checks were returned to applicable Federal programs. (FA 06-084)

Response: The University has established procedures for ensuring that unclaimed Title IV HEA funds contained in un-negotiated student checks are returned to applicable Federal programs. Substantial progress has been made in addressing this issue. Completion date: March 2008.

Issue: The institution's interest allocation method did not properly allocate interest earned on FPL funds deposited in the bank and investment accounts; and FPL funds were not properly deposited into an interest-bearing bank account. (FA 06-085)

Response: The University has developed adequate investment Policy and Procedures (P&P) including a method for allocating interest earned on Federal Perkins Loan (FPL) funds deposited in investment accounts. Additionally, excess FPL funds are being deposited in an interest-bearing bank account. Completion date: February 2008.

Issue: Inadequate monthly reconciliations of the institution's program accounts to Federal records for drawdowns and disbursements, to bank statements, and to the institution's accounting records. (FA 06-086)

Response: Procedures have been implemented to ensure adequate monthly reconciliations of all Title IV HEA program accounts to Federal records, bank statements, and the institution's accounting records. Completion data: February 2008.

Issue: Inadequate procedures to ensure that Title IV HEA funds were properly awarded to eligible students. As a result, the institution overawarded and underawarded Title IV HEA funds to its students. (FA 06-089)

Response: The University has established adequate policies and procedures to ensure that Title IV HEA funds are properly awarded to eligible students. The new procedures are designed to prevent overawards and underawards of Title IV HEA funds to students. Completion date: March 2008.

Issue: Undocumented notifications of FDSL or FPL student or parent loan borrowers within 30 days before or after crediting a student's account with FDSL or FPL funds. (FA 06-094)

Response: The Student Financial Aid Office submits notifications to FDSL or FPL student or parent loan borrowers within 30 days before or after crediting a

student's account with FDSL or FPL funds. Further, the notifications are documented. Completion date: February 2008.

Issue: Inadequate procedures to ensure accurate calculation and timely return of Title IV HEA funds to applicable Federal programs for students who officially or unofficially withdrew or had not attended. (FA 06-095, 096, 097)

Response: The University has established adequate procedures for ensuring the timely return of Title IV HEA funds to applicable Federal programs for students who officially or unofficially withdrew or had not attended. In addition, the PeopleSoft system automatically calculates the amount of financial aid to be returned. Completion date: February 2008.

Issue: The institution did not always timely and accurately report FDSL student loan borrowers' enrollment status changes to the National Student Loan Data System. (FA 06-098)

Response: Currently, the University reports FDSL student loan borrowers' enrollment status changes to the National Student Loan Data System promptly and accurately. Completion date: February 2008.

Issue: Inadequate procedures to ensure that exit counseling materials were provided to FDSL and FPL student loan borrowers after graduation, withdrawal, or ceasing to be enrolled at least half-time. (FA 06-099 and 100)

Response: The University has developed and implemented adequate procedures to ensure that exit counseling is performed and exit counseling materials are provided to FDSL and FPL student loan borrowers after graduation, withdrawal, or ceasing to be enrolled at least half-time. Completion date: February 2008.

**FAMU Contact and
Telephone Number**

Charles O'Duor
(850) 412-5479

**Estimated Corrective
Action Date**

March 2008

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Finding Number	FA 07-082
CFDA Number	84.007, 84.032, 84.033, 84.038, 84.063, 84.268, 84.375, and 84.376
Program Title	Student Financial Assistance Cluster (SFA) Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants (FSEOG) Federal Family Education Loans (FFEL) Federal Work-Study (FWS) Federal Perkins Loan Program (FPL) Federal Pell Grant Program (PELL) Federal Direct Student Loans (FDSL) Academic Competitiveness Grants (ACG) National Science and Mathematics Access to Retain Talent Grant (SMART)
Compliance Requirement	Cash Management – Prohibition on Escheating of Title IV Higher Education Act (HEA) Funds
State Educational Entity	Various
Finding Type	Material Noncompliance and Significant Deficiency Questioned Costs - \$22,578.89 (PELL)
Finding	Six institutions had not established adequate procedures to ensure the timely return of unclaimed Title IV HEA funds to applicable Federal programs and lenders. Additionally, two institutions did not accurately state the status of a similar finding in the Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings (SSPAF).
Criteria	34 CFR 668 Subpart K, <i>Cash Management</i> , and FSA Handbook Volume 4 Chapter 3 (2006-07), <i>Requesting and Managing FSA Funds</i> According to the U.S. Department of Education, institutions are prohibited from allowing Title IV HEA funds to revert (or “escheat”) to a third-party, State, or institutional coffers, and Florida institutions must return unclaimed Title IV HEA funds no later than 180 days after checks containing such funds are written. Institutions are responsible for making sure that Title IV HEA funds are used only for the educational purposes for which they are intended. OMB Circular A-133, Section.500(e), <i>Audit Follow-up</i>
Effect	The institutions may be allowing Title IV HEA funds to be used for purposes other than that for which they are intended. Also, inaccurate responses on the SSPAF may result in incorrect decisions by the Federal awarding agency. <u>Florida International University (FIU)</u>
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-084
Condition	In our report No. 2007-146, finding No. FA 06-084, we noted that the institution did not have adequate procedures to prevent Title IV HEA funds from escheating to a third-party, State, or institutional coffers, and we identified \$18,074.19 that the institution subsequently returned to applicable Federal programs and lenders. During our current audit, we were advised by institution personnel that the institution was revising its procedures, but the new procedures were not completely implemented by June 30, 2007.
Cause	The institution needed additional time to complete the implementation of new procedures to ensure the timely return of unclaimed Title IV HEA funds to applicable Federal programs and lenders.
Recommendation	The institution should continue its efforts to implement revised procedures to ensure that unclaimed Title IV HEA funds contained in checks that remain unnegotiated for 180 days after the dates the checks are written are promptly

	returned to applicable Federal programs and lenders.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Ted Sauerbeck, CPA, Audit Manager tedsauerbeck@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4468
FIU Response and Corrective Action Plan	Procedures have been established to provide the financial aid department with outstanding checks on a monthly basis. Once this list is received, financial aid staff is committed to reviewing the items on the Federal Title IV programs and taking the appropriate action, including returning funds.
FIU Contact and Telephone Number	Izhar Haq, Associate Controller (305) 348-2098 Francisco Valines, Director for Financial Aid (305) 348-2333
Estimated Corrective Action Date	February 2008
	<u>Florida State University (FSU)</u>
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-084
Condition	<p>Our review of unnegotiated checks issued during the 2006-07 fiscal year disclosed that for 17 of 20 unclaimed checks tested, \$32,951.80 (\$6,901.83 FFEL subsidized, \$21,569.11 FFEL unsubsidized, \$2,860 FFEL PLUS, and \$1,620.86 PELL) of Title IV HEA funds had not been timely returned to applicable Federal programs or lenders. The Title IV HEA funds contained in these checks were returned 190 to 264 days after the checks were written.</p> <p>A similar finding was noted in report No. 2007-146, finding No. FA 06-084, for which the institution, in the 2006-07 fiscal year SSPAF, stated that the finding had been fully corrected as of June 30, 2007. However, as noted in the previous paragraph, the institution still had not established adequate procedures to timely identify unnegotiated checks containing Title IV HEA funds and return such funds to applicable Federal programs or lenders within 180 days of the checks being written.</p>
Cause	The institution had not established adequate procedures to timely identify unnegotiated checks containing Title IV HEA funds and return such funds to applicable Federal programs or lenders within 180 days of the checks being written. The institution considered checks as negotiable until one year old, which is not consistent with USED guidelines.
Recommendation	The institution should revise its procedures to ensure that unclaimed Title IV HEA funds are promptly returned to applicable Federal programs or lenders within 180 days after the dates the checks are written. Also, the institution should accurately report the status of prior year findings on the SSPAF.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Ted Sauerbeck, CPA, Audit Manager tedsauerbeck@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4468
FSU Response and Corrective Action Plan	Florida State University is revising its procedures to comply with U.S. Department of Education regulations published in the Federal Register on November 1, 2007. The regulation establishes that the University has 240 days after the dates the checks are written to return unclaimed funds to the applicable Federal program. The regulation resolves any further difference in interpretation of time frames to return such funds. As for prior year findings, a letter of final determination from U.S. DOE was provided with support documentation. There has been no other response from U.S. DOE.

FSU Contact and Telephone Number	Michael Williams (850) 644-7351
Estimated Corrective Action Date	July 1, 2008
	<u>University of Florida (UF)</u>
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. 06-084
Condition	The institution did not have adequate procedures to identify nonnegotiated checks containing Title IV HEA funds and return such funds to applicable Federal programs within 180 days.
Cause	The institution had established procedures to identify nonnegotiated checks containing Title IV HEA program funds and to either apply those funds to the student's account for debts incurred in the term of award or return the funds to the applicable program; however, those procedures mandated the return of funds to applicable programs after 12 months, not the required 180 days. The institution revised its checks to indicate "void after 180 days" instead of 12 months effective for checks issued after October 1, 2006, and revised its policies and procedures accordingly to identify checks that remain unnegotiated for 180 days after the dates the checks are written. However, the new policies and procedures were not in effect for the entire 2006-07 fiscal year, and the previous checks issued with "void after 12 months" could not be recalled and will be negotiable and honored for one year, thus preventing the institution from returning unclaimed Title IV HEA funds contained in such checks to applicable programs within 180 days. After September 2007, the institution should be in compliance.
Recommendation	The institution should ensure its revised procedures are implemented as planned to ensure that unclaimed Title IV HEA funds contained in checks that remain unnegotiated for 180 days after the dates the checks are written are promptly returned to applicable Federal programs.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Ted Sauerbeck, CPA, Audit Manager tedsauerbeck@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4468
UF Response and Corrective Action Plan	As of October 1, 2007, the University began using check stock negotiable for up to 180 days. For the 2007-08 fiscal year, the new check stock will be used and the procedures to return the funds shortly after 180 days will be implemented; therefore, the University will be current in regard to returning funds to the applicable Federal programs. The University completed work on the prior years' outstanding checks to return applicable funds to the appropriate Federal programs. The last student financial aid checks with a negotiable timeframe of one year ceased to be negotiable on September 30, 2007.
UF Contact and Telephone Number	Michael V. McKee, Assistant Vice President and University Controller (352) 392-1321
Estimated Corrective Action Date	October 1, 2007
	<u>Florida Community College at Jacksonville (FCCJ)</u>
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. 06-084
Condition	In our report No. 2007-146, finding No. FA 06-084, we noted that the institution did not have adequate procedures to ensure that Title IV HEA funds did not escheat to a third-party, State, or institutional coffers, and we identified \$6,034.37 that the institution subsequently returned to applicable Federal programs and lenders. During our current audit, we were advised by institution personnel that the institution was revising its procedures, but the new procedures were not completely implemented by June 30, 2007.

Cause	The institution needed additional time to complete the implementation of new procedures and appropriate return of any additional Title IV HEA funds to applicable Federal programs and lenders.
Recommendation	The institution should ensure its revised procedures are implemented as planned and ensure that unclaimed Title IV HEA funds contained in checks that remain unnegotiated for 180 days after the dates the checks are written are promptly returned to applicable Federal programs and lenders.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Ted Sauerbeck, CPA, Audit Manager tedsauerbeck@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4468
FCCJ Response and Corrective Action Plan	The College considers this finding fully corrected and has established procedures to return unclaimed Title IV funds to the Title IV HEA program or lender within 180 days. These procedures were changed to incorporate clarification and additional guidance on escheating time requirements received by the Auditor General's Office. The College does not currently have any checks resulting from unclaimed Title IV HEA funds that are more than 180 days old.
FCCJ Contact and Telephone Number	Yvonne Horner, Associate Vice President of Finance (904) 632-3251
Estimated Corrective Action Date	August 14, 2006

Miami Dade College (MDC)

Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-084.
Condition	<p>Our review of the institution's June 30, 2007, list of unnegotiated checks disclosed 20 checks containing \$22,578.89 of Pell grant program funds that had not been timely returned to the Pell grant program. As of October 1, 2007, the institution had not returned \$10,743.83 (PELL) for 10 of the checks although the checks had remained unnegotiated from 580 to 621 days after the dates the checks were written. In addition, 4 of the checks totaling \$4,465.35 were either cashed by the student (1 check), or the institution applied the funds to the students' account (3 checks), 549 to 569 days after the checks were written; and the other 6 checks, totaling \$7,369.71 were cancelled, reissued, and cashed by the students 583 to 606 days after the original checks were written.</p> <p>A similar finding was noted in report No. 2007-146, finding No. FA 06-084, for which the institution, in the 2006-07 fiscal year SSPAF, indicated a status of "Finding Does Not Warrant Further Action." However, as noted in the previous paragraph, the institution still had not established procedures to return unnegotiated checks containing Title IV HEA funds to applicable Federal programs within 180 days of the checks being written. For a finding not to warrant further action, the following conditions must be met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Two years have passed since the audit report in which the finding occurred was submitted to the Federal clearinghouse; • The Federal agency or pass-through entity is not currently following up with the auditee on the audit finding; and • A management decision was not issued. <p>As not all of the aforementioned requirements had been met, the status indicated by the institution does not appear to be appropriate.</p>

Cause	The institution had not established procedures to return unnegotiated checks containing Title IV HEA funds to the applicable Federal programs within 180 days of the checks being written because it does not believe that is required to return such funds within 180 days.
Recommendation	The institution should promptly return to applicable Federal programs unclaimed Title IV HEA funds contained in checks that remain unnegotiated for 180 days after the dates the checks are written, and return \$10,743.83 to the Pell grant program.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Ted Sauerbeck, CPA, Audit Manager tedsauerbeck@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4468
MDC Response and Corrective Action Plan	Based on consultation with USED and receipt of a Final Determination Letter regarding this issue, the College believes its process of returning such funds annually, as opposed to within 180 days, is in compliance with all applicable State and Federal regulations; however, because of the continued audit findings the College will seek further clarification from the USED.
MDC Contact and Telephone Number	Gregory Knott, AVP - Accounting and Student Services (305) 237-0825
Estimated Corrective Action Date	Pending USED clarification.
<u>Palm Beach Community College (PBCC)</u>	
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-084
Condition	In our report No. 2007-146, finding No. FA 06-084, we noted that the institution did not have adequate procedures to ensure that Title IV HEA funds did not escheat to a third-party, State, or institutional coffers, and was in the process of revising such procedures. During our current audit, we were advised by institution personnel that although the institution had revised its procedures, the new procedures were not completely implemented by June 30, 2007, and that the institution was still in the process of identifying and returning funds to the applicable Federal programs and lenders.
Cause	The institution needed additional time to complete the implementation of new procedures and identify and return Title IV HEA funds to applicable programs and lenders.
Recommendation	The institution should ensure its revised procedures are implemented as planned and ensure that unclaimed Title IV HEA funds contained in checks that remain unnegotiated for 180 days after the dates the checks are written are promptly returned to applicable Federal programs and lenders.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Ted Sauerbeck, CPA, Audit Manager tedsauerbeck@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4468
PBCC Response and Corrective Action Plan	As noted in prior communications and formally reported to the USDOE, USDHHS, and Florida Comptroller – Palm Beach Community College has corrected the issues noted on non-negotiable (stale-dated) document issues. PBCC has improved procedures, training, and coordination. Extensive time and resources were deployed and have been in place for the current fiscal year. All prior items were correctly identified and returned to the USDOE as appropriate, and reported as such to them including reconciliation follow up.
PBCC Contact and Telephone Number	James Duffie (561) 868-3077
Estimated Corrective Action Date	Current

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Finding Number	FA 07-083
CFDA Number	84.007, 84.032, 84.033, 84.063, and 84.375
Program Title	Student Financial Assistance Cluster (SFA) Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants (FSEOG) Federal Family Education Loans (FFEL) Federal Work-Study Program (FWS) Federal Pell Grant Program (PELL) Academic Competitiveness Grants (ACG)
Compliance Requirement	Eligibility – Fraud Disclosure
State Educational Entity	St. Johns River Community College (SJRCC)
Finding Type	Other
Finding	The institution reported suspected fraud to the Office of the Inspector General (OIG) of the United States Department of Education (USED).
Criteria	34 CFR 668.16(g), <i>Referrals to the Office of the Inspector General of the United States Department of Education for Investigation</i>
Condition	<p>During routine inquiries regarding an employee's dependent tuition waiver for the Fall 2007 term, the institution discovered a discrepancy between the employee's marital status and information reported on several recent years' student financial assistance (SFA) applications. SFA payments from various Title IV Higher Education Act (HEA) programs to the employee and related dependents were based upon inaccurate information resulting in potential overawards. The suspected fraud appears to have begun in the 2003-04 award year. Because of the complexity of the circumstances and timeframe, the amounts in question have yet to be determined.</p> <p>The institution notified the USED OIG of the suspected fraud on September 27, 2007. At the end of audit field work, October 5, 2007, we were advised that the matter had not been reported to local law enforcement while the institution awaits the USED OIG's instructions on how to proceed.</p>
Recommendation	The institution should continue to report any known or suspected instances of Title IV HEA funds' fraud to the USED OIG, and to local law enforcement agencies, as applicable.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Ted Sauerbeck, CPA, Audit Manager tedsauerbeck@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4468
SJRCC Response and Corrective Action Plan	St. Johns River Community College will continue to report any known or suspected instances of Title IV HEA funds' fraud to the USED OIG, and to local law enforcement agencies, as applicable.
SJRCC Contact and Telephone Number	Albert P. Little (386) 312-4116
Estimated Corrective Action Date	N/A

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Finding Number	FA 07-084
CFDA Number	84.007, 84.032, and 84.063
Program Title	Student Financial Assistance Cluster (SFA) Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants (FSEOG) Federal Family Education Loans (FFEL) Federal Pell Grant Program (PELL)
Compliance Requirement	Eligibility – Fraud Disclosure
State Educational Entity	St. Petersburg College (SPC)
Finding Type	Other
Finding	The institution reported two separate suspected fraud cases to the Office of the Inspector General (OIG) of the United States Department of Education (USED).
Criteria	34 CFR 668.16(g), <i>Fraud referrals to the Office of the Inspector General of the United States Department of Education for Investigation</i>
Condition	<p>Individuals may have fraudulently obtained Title IV Higher Education Act (HEA) funds through identify theft as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> During the Spring 2007 term, the institution was notified of several students who were enrolled in one of the institution's distance learning programs and had not received their Title IV HEA funds. One of the students stated that there was an individual assisting students to register for a distance learning program at the institution. The alleged perpetrator was obtaining students' personal information and then redirecting the students' Title IV HEA funds to herself. The matter was referred to the USED OIG on May 15, 2007, and the OIG requested records for the students. The matter was also referred to the United States Postal Inspector's Office and local Police Department on June 6, 2007. As of the end of our fieldwork in August 2007, the investigations had not been completed. An individual notified the institution, during the Spring 2007 term, that someone using his name had registered for classes and applied for and received Title IV HEA funds for the Spring 2006 and Summer 2006 terms. The individual who reported the incident was incarcerated during this time and did not attend school or receive Title IV HEA funds. The institution notified the USED OIG of the fraud and as of the end of our fieldwork in August 2007, the OIG's investigation had not been completed.
Recommendation	The institution should continue to report any known or suspected instances of Title IV HEA funds' fraud to the USED OIG, the U.S. Postal Inspector, and to the applicable law enforcement agencies, as applicable.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Ted Sauerbeck, CPA, Audit Manager tedsauerbeck@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4468
SPC Response and Corrective Action Plan	The U.S. Department of Education regulation (34 CFR 668.16(g)) requires a school to refer to the Department's Office of Inspector General (OIG) any credible information indicating that an applicant for Federal Student aid may have engaged in fraud or other criminal misconduct in connection with his or her application. Commonly falsified items include false claims of independent student status, false claims of citizenship, use of false identities, forgery of signatures of certifications, and false statements of income. St. Petersburg College will continue to timely report any known or suspected instances of Title IV HEA funds' fraud to the Office of Inspector General, the U.S. Postal Inspector, and to the applicable law enforcement agencies, as applicable.

**SPC Contact and
Telephone Number**Marcia R. McConnell, Director, Financial Assistance Services
(727) 341-3269**Estimated Corrective
Action Date**

N/A

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Finding Number	FA 07-085
CFDA Number	84.007, 84.032, and 84.063
Program Title	Student Financial Assistance Cluster (SFA) Federal Supplemental Education Opportunity Grant (FSEOG) Federal Family Education Loans (FFEL) Federal Pell Grant Program (PELL)
Compliance Requirement	Eligibility - Overawards
State Educational Entity	St. Petersburg College (SPC)
Finding Type	Material Noncompliance and Significant Deficiency Questioned Costs – \$17,431 (\$400 FSEOG; \$7,250 FFEL subsidized; \$7,000 FFEL unsubsidized; and \$2,781 PELL)
Finding	The institution disbursed Title IV Higher Education Act (HEA) funds to ineligible students.
Criteria	34 CFR 668.33, 682.604(d), and 690.80(b), <i>Citizenship and Residency Requirements, Applying the Loan Proceeds, and Recalculation of a Federal Pell Grant Award</i>
Condition	For 2 of 16 students tested, we noted the following exceptions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> For one student, the institution did not obtain primary and secondary confirmation of citizenship status prior to disbursing Title IV HEA funds totaling \$13,431 (\$400 FSEOG; \$5,500 FFEL subsidized; \$5,000 FFEL unsubsidized; and \$2,531 PELL) during the Fall 2006 and Spring 2007 terms. Subsequent to audit inquiry, the institution repaid the FSEOG program funds on April 24, 2007; the unsubsidized FFEL funds on December 21, 2006, and April 30, 2007; the subsidized FFEL funds on April 30, 2007; and the PELL program funds on May 7, 2007. For the other student, the institution could not document attendance in all classes for which original award eligibility was based. Absent documentation evidencing that the student attended at least half-time, the student was not eligible for FFEL loan funds or PELL funds totaling \$4,000 (\$1,750 FFEL subsidized; \$2,000 FFEL unsubsidized; and \$250 PELL). Subsequent to audit inquiry, the institution returned PELL funds on April 13, 2007, subsidized FFEL funds on May 14, 2007, and unsubsidized FFEL funds on May 7, and May 8, 2007, to the program and lenders.
Cause	The institution's procedures did not ensure obtaining adequate documentation of the student's citizenship status prior to disbursing Title IV HEA funds. Also, the institution's procedures did not provide for the prompt return of Title IV HEA funds to the applicable Federal program or lender when a student's enrollment status results in ineligibility for original awards.
Effect	When institutions award Title IV HEA funds to ineligible students, funds may not be available for eligible students and institutions may be required to return institutional funds to the Federal program or lender.
Recommendation	The institution should strengthen its procedures to ensure that awards of Title IV HEA funds are properly determined, monitored, and documented.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Ted Sauerbeck, CPA, Audit Manager tedsauerbeck@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4468

**SPC Response and
Corrective Action Plan**

St. Petersburg College (SPC) has strengthened our procedures regarding student eligibility. Beginning Spring term 2008, SPC will begin to implement a centralized process for student verification and student eligibility issues. This will ensure a more accurate review of data. Only one staff member will oversee the verification/student eligibility team rather than an individual Assistant Director on each campus site. This will ensure the Federal guidelines are being followed and consistent training is being provided. There will be extensive training of the staff responsible for the verification process in order to ensure a thorough understanding of the rules and regulations. In addition, quality control checks will be instituted to minimize the number of mistakes and take corrective action expeditiously.

Effective Spring term 2008, all faculty members are required to take attendance during the first two weeks of classes. The faculty is required to report in PeopleSoft if a student was not present in a class during the first two weeks of classes. This ensures that No Show information is being reported timely to the Financial Assistance Services office to ensure adjustments are made to a student's award package for the classes in which attendance can not be documented. SPC has created this new policy to ensure faculty members are accurately reporting students who do not attend at least one class during the first two weeks of classes. The new policy strengthens our prior policy to faculty for reporting No Shows to the College Registrar.

**SPC Contact and
Telephone Number**

Marcia R. McConnell, Director, Financial Assistance Services
(727) 341-3269

Marty Clay, Associate VP for Academic and Student Affairs
(727) 341-3344

Patrick Rinard, Associate VP for Enrollment Management
(727) 341-3064

**Estimated Corrective
Action Date**

Spring Term 2008

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Finding Number	FA 07-086
CFDA Number	84.007, 84.032, 84.033, 84.063, and 84.375
Program Title	Student Financial Assistance Cluster (SFA) Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants (FSEOG) Federal Family Education Loans (FFEL) Federal Work-Study Program (FWS) Federal Pell Grant Program (PELL) Academic Competitiveness Grants (ACG)
Compliance Requirement	Eligibility – Satisfactory Academic Progress (SAP)
State Educational Entity	St. Petersburg College (SPC)
Finding Type	Material Noncompliance and Significant Deficiency Questioned Costs - \$17,494.17 (\$6,125 FFEL subsidized, \$7,414.48 FFEL unsubsidized, and \$3,954.69 PELL)
Finding	The institution's Satisfactory Academic Progress (SAP) policies and procedures did not meet Federal requirements.
Criteria	34 CFR 668.16(e), <i>Satisfactory Academic Progress</i> Federal regulations require that students demonstrate satisfactory academic progress to be eligible to receive Title IV HEA funds. For an undergraduate program, the institution's SAP policy must include a quantitative component that requires the student's attempted hours, as a percentage of earned hours, to be at least 67 percent, but no more than 150 percent, of the published length of the educational program.
Condition	For 4 of 16 students tested, satisfactory academic progress was not met. The 4 students' incremental progress was less than 67 percent, which did not comply with Federal regulations. Therefore the students were not eligible for the \$17,494.17 (\$6,125 FFEL subsidized, \$7,414.48 FFEL unsubsidized, and \$3,954.69 PELL) of Title IV Higher Education Act (HEA) funds received.
Cause	The institution's SAP policy during the 2006-07 award year did not include the quantitative component required by Federal regulations. In November 2007, the institution revised its SAP policy to be in compliance with Federal regulations.
Effect	There may be students who received Title IV HEA funds that did not meet SAP requirements and were therefore ineligible for the Title IV HEA funds received.
Recommendation	The institution should apply the required quantitative component to all students that received Title IV HEA funds during the 2006-07 award year to determine if any ineligible students received Title IV HEA funds, and return funds, as applicable, to the applicable Federal programs or lenders.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Ted Sauerbeck, CPA, Audit Manager tedsauerbeck@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4468
SPC Response and Corrective Action Plan	St. Petersburg College was selected by the U.S. Department of Education for a Federal Program Review for the award years of 2005-2006 and 2006-2007. From September 17, 2007, through September 21, 2007, representatives from the U.S. Department of Education conducted a review of St. Petersburg College's administration of the programs authorized pursuant to Title IV of the Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended, 20 U.S.C. et seq. (Title IV, HEA programs). During the review, the U.S. Department of Education stated our SAP policy was not in compliance with Federal regulations. The required action by the U.S. Department of Education stipulated we revise our SAP policy and provide a copy

to the U.S. Department of Education. On January 11, 2008, SPC submitted our written response to the U.S. Department of Education including a copy of our final SAP policy. We are confident this policy meets the Federal guidelines as stated in 34 C.F.R. 668.16(e); 668.32, and 668.35, that an institution must have a satisfactory academic progress (SAP) policy for measuring the academic progress of its students. For Title IV recipients the policy must include the following elements: A qualitative component consisting of grades that are measurable against a norm. A quantitative component that consists of a maximum timeframe in which a student must complete his or her educational program. And a maximum timeframe that is no longer than 150% of the published length of the educational program. A copy of the new policy has been provided to the Auditor General's staff. The U.S. Department of Education did not require the College to apply the quantitative component to all students that received Title IV funds during the 2006-2007 award year. Therefore, the institution does not intend to apply the quantitative component to all students who received Title IV funds during the 2006-2007 award year.

The new SAP policy is in effect for the Spring term of 2008. One of the provisions of our new policy is a probation and suspension period for students who are not meeting SAP standards. All students who are not meeting standards for the first review will be placed on SAP probation. During this period students will be allowed to receive Title IV funds. When their eligibility is reviewed a second time, if the student is not meeting all components of the SAP policy, a student will be placed on SAP suspension and the student will no longer be eligible for Title IV funds unless they appeal or regain their eligibility.

**SPC Contact and
Telephone Number**

Marcia R. McConnell, Director, Financial Assistance Services
(727) 341-3269

**Estimated Corrective
Action Date**

Spring Term 2008

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Finding Number	FA 07-087
CFDA Number	84.032 and 84.063
Program Title	Student Financial Assistance Cluster (SFA) Federal Family Educational Loans (FFEL) Federal Pell Grant Program (PELL)
Compliance Requirement	Special Tests and Provisions – Return of Title IV Higher Education Act (HEA) Funds (Official Withdrawals)
State Educational Entity	Various
Finding Type	Material Noncompliance and Significant Deficiency Questioned Costs - \$4,321.03 (\$3,622.35 FFEL unsubsidized and \$698.68 PELL)
Finding	Two institutions did not always accurately calculate and, within 45 days of the students' withdrawal, timely return Title IV HEA funds to applicable Federal programs and lenders for those students who officially withdrew prior to the 60 percent point of the payment period.
Criteria	34 CFR 668.22, <i>Treatment of Title IV Funds When a Student Withdraws</i>
Effect	The institutions retained unearned Title IV HEA funds that should have been returned to applicable Federal programs and lenders. Additionally, one institution returned funds in excess of the amounts that were unearned. <u>St. Petersburg College (SPC)</u>
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-095
Condition	In our report No. 2007-146, finding No. 06-095, we noted that the institution did not always accurately and timely calculate and return Title IV HEA funds to applicable Federal programs and lenders for those students enrolled in regular terms or entirely in non-standard terms who officially withdraw prior to the 60 percent point of the payment period. During our current audit, institution personnel advised us that the institution was in the process of modifying its procedures to identify, accurately calculate, and timely return unearned Title IV HEA funds to applicable programs and lenders for those students who officially withdraw prior to the 60 percent point of the payment period. However, these modifications had not been completed as of June 30, 2007, and the institution was continuing to work towards compliance.
Cause	The institution was unable to satisfy this requirement due to staff turnover, inadequate procedures to timely return all unearned funds to the Title IV HEA programs and lenders, and a lack of procedures to correctly calculate return of Title IV HEA funds for students enrolled entirely in non-standard term courses.
Recommendation	The institution should continue its efforts to modify its procedures to ensure the timely calculation and return of unearned Title IV HEA funds to the applicable Federal programs or lenders. In addition, official withdrawals for the 2006-07 award year should be reviewed after the new procedures are implemented, and Title IV HEA funds returned, as applicable.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Ted Sauerbeck, CPA, Audit Manager tedsauerbeck@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4468
SPC Response and Corrective Action Plan	St. Petersburg College was selected by the U.S. Department of Education for a Federal Program Review for the award years 2005-2006 and 2006-2007. From September 17, 2007, through September 21, 2007, representatives from the U.S. Department of Education conducted a review of St. Petersburg College's

	<p>administration of the programs authorized pursuant to Title IV of the Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended, 20 U.S.C. et seq. (Title IV, HEA programs). The required action from the U.S. Department of Education stated, "Our review recognized the improvement in the institution's timeliness to determine withdrawn students and refund calculations from the 2005-2006 to the 2006-2007 award year. However, in response to this finding you are required to provide written procedures that describe how the institution plans to correct, or has corrected this deficiency in order to avoid a repeat of this finding in future program reviews or audit reports." Our response to the U.S. Department of Education on January 11, 2008, stated the policies and procedures for R2T4 calculations have been enhanced to ensure that all Federal deadlines are met. In addition, SPC has reviewed its policy related to requiring reporting of attendance and access to input grades by the faculty members.</p> <p>A copy of SPC's revised Return of Title IV policy has been provided to the Auditor General's staff.</p>
SPC Contact and Telephone Number	Marcia R. McConnell, Director, Financial Assistance Services (727) 341-3269
Estimated Corrective Action Date	Spring Term 2008
Prior Year Finding Condition	<p><u>Seminole Community College (SCC)</u></p> <p>Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-095</p> <p>Of the 15 students tested that officially withdrew from the institution during the Spring 2007 term, and received Title IV HEA funds, we noted the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For 7 of the 15 students, the institution returned \$4,321.03 (\$3,622.35 FFEL unsubsidized and \$698.68 PELL) of unearned Title IV HEA funds 11 to 178 days late. • The returns for 8 of the 15 students were miscalculated, resulting in de minimus overpayments.
Cause	The institution did not follow its procedures to ensure the timely return of funds to Title IV HEA programs and lenders. Also, the institution used an incorrect number of days for the return calculations during the Spring term, which resulted in de minimus overpayments.
Recommendation	The institution should enhance its procedures to ensure the accurate calculation and timely return of unearned Title IV HEA funds to applicable Federal programs and lenders for students that officially withdraw.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Ted Sauerbeck, CPA, Audit Manager tedsauerbeck@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4468
SCC Response and Corrective Action Plan	The College acknowledges the finding. The College is reviewing the processes of enrollment monitoring to ensure required timeframes are met in R2T4 calculations.
SCC Contact and Telephone Number	Robert Lynn, Director, Student Financial Resources (407) 708-2044
Estimated Corrective Action Date	By the end of Spring term, April, 2008.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Finding Number	FA 07-088
CFDA Number	84.032 and 84.063
Program Title	Student Financial Assistance Cluster (SFA) Federal Family Education Loans (FFEL) Federal Pell Grant Program (PELL)
Compliance Requirement	Special Tests and Provisions - Return of Title IV Higher Education Act (HEA) Funds - Unofficial Withdrawals
State Education Entity	Various
Finding Type	Material Noncompliance and Significant Deficiency Questioned Costs - \$1,249.54 (PELL)
Finding	Two institutions had not implemented adequate procedures to determine, within 30 days after the end of the payment period, whether Title IV HEA funds were earned for students who ceased attendance without providing official notification to the institution of their withdrawal. As a result, the institutions did not always correctly identify, calculate, and return unearned Title IV HEA funds to the applicable Federal programs for those students who unofficially withdrew prior to the 60 percent point of the payment period.
Criteria	34 CFR 668.22, <i>Treatment of Title IV Funds When a Student Withdraws</i>
Effect	The institutions retained unearned Title IV HEA funds that should have been returned to applicable Federal programs. <u>Polk Community College (PCC)</u>
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-096
Condition	For 16 students tested who unofficially withdrew and received Title IV HEA funds during the Spring 2007 term, we noted the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For 4 students that did not attend past the 60 percent point of the payment period, the institution did not properly calculate the amount to be returned. The institution underpaid \$1,249.54 (PELL) to the program. • For 7 students that attended past the 60 percent point of the payment period, the institution incorrectly calculated and charged the students \$2,677.28 PELL grant overpayments. Subsequent to auditor inquiry, the students' grant overpayments were canceled by the institution.
Cause	The institution did not have adequate procedures to accurately identify students who had unofficially withdrawn and to correctly calculate returns to Title IV HEA programs. The institution indicated that there were staff shortages that contributed to the issues noted above.
Recommendation	The institution should enhance its procedures to ensure the accurate identification, calculation, and return of unearned Title IV HEA funds to applicable Federal programs. The institution should also return \$1,249.54 to the PELL grant program.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Ted Sauerbeck, CPA, Audit Manager tedsauerbeck@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4468
PCC Response and Corrective Action Plan	The College has changed its Return to Title IV procedures to calculate only those students whose last date of active participation is prior to the 60% point in a given term regardless of the instructor designated withdrawal code. Those students who have a last date of active participation past the 60% point require no further calculation. We have made the appropriate adjustments to the Pell account and subsequent GAPS draws.

PCC Contact and Telephone Number	Olivia Maultsby (863) 297-1063
Estimated Corrective Action Date	February 22, 2008
Prior Year Finding Condition	<p><u>St. Petersburg College (SPC)</u></p> <p>Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-096</p> <p>In our report No. 2007-146, finding No. 06-096, we noted that the institution did not always accurately and timely calculate and return Title IV HEA funds to applicable programs and lenders for those students enrolled in regular terms or entirely in non-standard terms who unofficially withdraw prior to the 60 percent point of the payment period.</p> <p>During our current audit, institution personnel advised us that the institution was in the process of modifying its procedures to identify, accurately calculate, and timely return unearned Title IV HEA funds to applicable Federal programs and lenders for those students who unofficially withdraw prior to the 60 percent point of the payment period. However, these modifications had not been completed as of June 30, 2007, and the institution was continuing to work towards compliance.</p>
Cause	The institution was unable to satisfy this requirement due to staff turnover, inadequate procedures to identify students who unofficially withdraw prior to the 60 percent point, and inadequate procedures to correctly calculate return of Title IV HEA funds for students enrolled entirely in non-standard term courses.
Recommendation	The institution should continue its efforts to modify its procedures to ensure the identification of unofficial withdrawals, and timely calculation and return of Title IV HEA funds to applicable Federal programs or lenders for those students enrolled in regular terms or entirely in non-standard terms. In addition, unofficial withdrawals for the 2006-07 award year should be reviewed after the new procedures are implemented, and Title IV HEA funds returned, as applicable.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Ted Sauerbeck, CPA, Audit Manager tedsauerbeck@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4468
SPC Response and Corrective Action Plan	<p>St. Petersburg College was selected by the U.S. Department of Education for a Federal Program Review for the award years 2005-2006 and 2006-2007. From September 17, 2007, through September 21, 2007, representatives from the U.S. Department of Education conducted a review of St. Petersburg College's administration of the programs authorized pursuant to Title IV of the Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended, 20 U.S.C. et seq. (Title IV, HEA programs). The required action from the U.S. Department of Education stated, "Our review recognized the improvement in the institution's timeliness to determine withdrawn students and refund calculations from the 2005-2006 to the 2006-2007 award year. However, in response to this finding you are required to provide written procedures that describe how the institution plans to correct, or has corrected this deficiency in order to avoid a repeat of this finding in future program reviews or audit reports." Our response to the U.S. Department of Education on January 11, 2008, stated the policies and procedures for R2T4 calculations have been enhanced to ensure that all Federal deadlines are met. In addition, SPC has reviewed its policy related to requiring of reporting attendance and access to input grades by the faculty members. The following new policies and procedures have been put into place to ensure consistency:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • At the 61% point of each enrollment period, each instructor will verify the student's active participation in class. • All students who are not actively participating at the 61% point will receive a grade of WF.

- At the end of each term, a list of students without a passing grade will be produced. If a student was not an active participant in at least one class at the 61% point, the student will be assumed to be an unofficial withdrawal, and a R2T4 calculation at the 50% point will be done.
- Faculty members are no longer allowed to give a student a grade of "W" or "WF" which will significantly reduce the errors related to unofficial withdrawals.
- Faculty members will report students who are No Shows and students who are not actively participating in their classes through PeopleSoft, rather than through the Angel Attendance Manager.

A copy of SPC's revised Return of Title IV policy has been provided to the Auditor General's staff.

**SPC Contact and
Telephone Number**

Marcia R. McConnell, Director, Financial Assistance Services
(727) 341-3269

**Estimated Corrective
Action Date**

Spring Term 2008

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Finding Number	FA 07-089
CFDA Number	84.063
Program Title	Student Financial Assistance Cluster (SFA) Federal Pell Grant Program (PELL)
Compliance Requirement	Special Tests and Provisions - Return of Title IV Higher Education Act (HEA) Funds – Non Attendance
State Education Entity	Polk Community College (PCC)
Finding Type	Material Noncompliance and Significant Deficiency Questioned Costs - \$1,512 (PELL)
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-097
Finding	The institution did not always document attendance in at least one class for students who received Title IV HEA funds and received all failing, incomplete, and withdraw grades.
Criteria	34 CFR 668.21 <i>Treatment of Federal Perkins Loan, FSEOG, and Federal Pell Grant Program Funds if the Recipient Withdraws, Drops Out, or is Expelled Before His or Her First Day of Class</i>
Condition	For 2 of 16 students tested that received Title IV HEA funds during the Spring 2007 term, the institution did not document attendance in at least one class and disbursed \$1,512 PELL. Subsequently, the institution returned \$397.61, leaving \$1,114.39 to be returned to the Pell grant program.
Cause	Although, the institution has procedures in place for faculty members to input the appropriate withdrawal code to reflect the student's attendance status, errors were made by the faculty that incorrectly identified the students as attending when in fact they were not in attendance.
Effect	The institution retained unearned Title IV HEA funds that should have been returned to the applicable Federal program.
Recommendation	The institution should enhance its procedures to identify all students who did not attend at least one class and return unearned Title IV HEA funds to applicable Federal programs. In addition, the institution should return the remaining \$1,114.39 to the Pell grant program.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Ted Sauerbeck, CPA, Audit Director tedsauerbeck@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4468
PCC Response and Corrective Action Plan	The College has modified its procedures to include reminders to College faculty prior to the disbursement of funds emphasizing the importance in timely recording a student's nonattendance. Attendance reports are reviewed weekly by the financial aid staff. Recalculations (based on last date of participation) of awards are performed as necessary based on the results of this review. We have made the appropriate adjustments to the Pell account and subsequent GAPS draws.
PCC Contact and Telephone Number	Olivia Maultsby (863) 297-1063
Estimated Corrective Action Date	February 22, 2008

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Finding Number	FA 07-090
CFDA Number	84.032
Program Title	Student Financial Assistance Cluster (SFA) Federal Family Education Loans (FFEL)
Compliance Requirement	Special Tests and Provisions – Student Status Changes – National Student Loan Data System (NSLDS) Roster Files
State Education Entity	Various
Finding Type	Material Noncompliance and Significant Deficiency
Finding	Nine institutions did not always accurately or timely report enrollment status changes to NSLDS for FFEL student loan borrowers. Unless the institution expects to submit its next roster file (enrollment data) to NSLDS within 60 days, it must notify NSLDS directly within 30 days of discovering that a student who received an FFEL loan ceased to be enrolled on at least a half-time basis.
Criteria	34 CFR 682.610(c), <i>Student Status Confirmation Reports</i>
Effect	When NSLDS is not timely notified with accurate information, NSLDS may not be aware of when an FFEL student loan borrower ceases at least half-time enrollment, thereby not timely starting the grace period for repayment of FFEL student loans, which may result in an increased default rate.
	<u>Florida Gulf Coast University (FGCU)</u>
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-098
Condition	For four of five FFEL student loan borrowers tested who withdrew, graduated, or otherwise ceased to be enrolled at least half-time during the 2006-07 award year, the institution reported the enrollment status changes 41 to 227 days late to NSLDS. Additionally, one of the four student's graduate status was incorrectly reported as withdrawn.
Cause	The institution's procedures were not adequate to ensure accurate and timely reporting to NSLDS for FFEL student loan borrowers' enrollment status changes.
Recommendation	The institution should enhance its procedures to ensure that enrollment status changes for FFEL student loan borrowers are accurately and timely reported to NSLDS.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Ted Sauerbeck, CPA, Audit Director tedsauerbeck@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4468
FGCU Response and Corrective Action Plan	In order to accurately and timely report student enrollment, FGCU will be transmitting its reports to NSLDS once a month. Beginning January of 2008, Florida Gulf Coast University's Office of the Registrar will be using the National Student Clearinghouse to report student enrollment to the Department of Education's NSLDS. A transmission schedule worksheet will be completed on an annual basis to review and identify the dates that FGCU provides enrollment reports to the Clearinghouse.
FGCU Contact and Telephone Number	Jorge E. Lopez (239) 590-1210
Estimated Corrective Action Date	January 31, 2008
	<u>Florida International University (FIU)</u>
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-098

Condition	In our report No. 2007-146, finding No. 06-098, we noted that the institution did not always accurately or timely report enrollment status changes to NSLDS for FFEL student loan borrowers. Effective October 2007, enhanced processes were put in place to ensure that the institution reports student enrollment and graduation data to the National Student Clearinghouse on an accurate and timely basis. Therefore, the institution's enhanced procedures were not completely implemented by June 30, 2007.
Cause	The institution needed additional time to complete the implementation of new procedures to ensure accurate and timely reporting of enrollment status changes to NSLDS for FFEL student loan borrowers.
Effect	When NSLDS is not timely notified with accurate information, NSLDS may not be aware of when an FFEL student loan borrower ceases at least half-time enrollment, thereby not timely starting the grace period for repayment of FFEL student loans, which may result in an increased default rate.
Recommendation	The institution should continue its efforts to ensure that enrollment changes are accurately and timely reported to NSLDS for FFEL student loan borrowers.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Ted Sauerbeck, CPA, Audit Manager tedsauerbeck@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4468
FIU Response and Corrective Action Plan	Effective October 2007, enhanced processes are in place to ensure that the University report student enrollment and graduation data to the National Student Clearinghouse on an accurate and timely basis. Enrollment status files are extracted from the student information system and transmitted to NSC every other Monday. In a similar fashion, Degree Verify files are transmitted twice per semester. SSCR requests (from NSLDS to the NSC) are processed at the beginning of each month.
FIU Contact and Telephone Number	Steve Kelly, Director of Registrar (305) 348-2320
Estimated Corrective Action Date	October 2007
<u>New College (NC)</u>	
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-098
Condition	For 4 of 10 FFEL student loan borrowers tested who graduated, withdrew, or otherwise ceased at least half-time enrollment during the 2006-07 award year, the institution reported enrollment status changes to NSLDS 41 (2 students) and 44 (2 students) days late.
Cause	The institution uses the National Student Loan Clearinghouse (NSC) to transmit its student enrollment status changes to NSLDS. NSC receives the institution's Enrollment Reporting roster file from NSLDS, matches the roster file to the enrollment information provided by the institution to NSC, and returns the updated roster file to NSLDS. The status changes were reported late because the institution failed to timely notify NSC of changes in the FFEL student loan borrowers' enrollment status for students whose status changed after the end of the Fall term. For these students, the institution did not report enrollment status changes until its first Spring transmission on February 23, 2007.
Recommendation	The institution should enhance its procedures to ensure that enrollment status changes for FFEL student loan borrowers are timely reported to NSLDS.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Ted Sauerbeck, CPA, Audit Manager tedsauerbeck@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4468

NC Response and Corrective Action Plan	The four students were reported late due to a miscommunication between the staff members of the Registrar's Office. The staff member who processed the withdrawals was mistakenly informed that the staff member who prepared the reports to the NSLDS did not need to be notified of these particular students' change in enrollment status. This issue was discovered and the cause corrected by the Registrar's staff on February 5, 2007, prior to this audit. In addition to improving the communication between Registrar staff members, the Registrar's Office has implemented an automated reporting tool that will preclude the necessity of manually updating the various transmission files to NSLDS, which should eliminate this type of administrative error. This automated process was implemented in time for the December 5, 2007, submittal to the Clearinghouse.
NC Contact and Telephone Number	Kathy Allen (941) 487-4282
Estimated Corrective Action Date	February 5, 2007, and December 5, 2007
Prior Year Finding Condition	<u>University of Central Florida (UCF)</u> Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-098 For 3 of 15 FFEL student loan borrowers tested who withdrew during the Fall 2006 term, the institution did not report the enrollment status changes until the students again withdrew during the Spring 2007 term. Therefore, the status changes were reported 104, 116, and 148 days late to NSLDS.
Cause	The institution submitted its roster files to the National Student Clearinghouse (NSC) and relied on NSC to transmit student status changes to NSLDS for FFEL student loan borrowers. According to the institution, the status changes were not reported to NSLDS because of an NSC programming error.
Recommendation	The institution should work with NSC and NSLDS to correct the submission problems or develop alternative procedures to timely provide NSLDS with FFEL student loan borrowers' enrollment status information.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Ted Sauerbeck, CPA, Audit Manager tedsauerbeck@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4468
UCF Response and Corrective Action Plan	A system modification has been filed with the University's Computer Services & Telecommunications to address sporadic cases where status changes are not properly identified by the program that generates the National Student Clearinghouse report. The modification is currently assigned to a developer and is in the development stage. We have reassigned a due date of December 31, 2007. The Registrar's Office will continue with a 30-day NSC reporting cycle and will conduct random audits of enrollment records on file at the NSLDS website.
UCF Contact and Telephone Number	Dennis Dulniak, University Registrar (407) 823-3016
Estimated Corrective Action Date	January 1, 2008
Prior Year Finding Condition	<u>Edison College (EC)</u> Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-098 For five of eight FFEL student loan borrowers tested who withdrew, graduated, or otherwise ceased to be enrolled at least half-time during the 2006-07 award year, the institution did not report, or timely report, the status changes to NSLDS. For four of the students, the number of days late ranged from 9 to 40 days. For the fifth student, the institution had not reported the status change to NSLDS as of September 4, 2007, 64 days late.

Cause	The institution's procedures to report student status changes to NSLDS continued to be ineffective in meeting reporting timelines.
Recommendation	The institution should enhance its procedures to ensure that all enrollment status changes for FFEL student loan borrowers are reported timely to NSLDS.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Ted Sauerbeck, CPA, Audit Manager tedsauerbeck@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4468
EC Response and Corrective Action Plan	Edison College understands and concurs with the finding. Edison College has instituted new procedures to report to the Clearinghouse every 30 days. This will ensure compliance with reporting rules concerning FFEL student status.
EC Contact and Telephone Number	Lucinda Kelley, Interim District Registrar (239) 489-9388
Estimated Corrective Action Date	September 1, 2007
<u>Polk Community College (PCC)</u>	
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-098
Condition	<p>The enrollment status changes for 8 of 19 FFEL student loan borrowers tested, were not accurately or timely reported to NSLDS within 60 days of the status changes as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For 4 FFEL student loan borrowers who withdrew or ceased to be enrolled at least half-time, the enrollment status changes were reported to NSLDS from 6 to 25 days late. • For 4 FFEL student loan borrowers who graduated on May 4, 2007, the institution notified NSLDS of the status changes on September 21, 2007, 80 days late; however, the enrollment status changes reported were incorrect. The 4 graduated students were inaccurately reported as "withdrawn."
Cause	The institution submitted its roster files to the National Student Clearinghouse (NSC) and relied on NSC to transmit student status changes to NSLDS for FFEL student loan borrowers; however, the institution did not have monitoring procedures in place to ensure that NSC submitted student status changes to NSLDS timely. Also, the institution did not monitor the accuracy of the information submitted.
Recommendation	The institution should work with NSC and NSLDS to correct the submission problems or develop alternative procedures to timely provide NSLDS with FFEL student loan borrowers' enrollment status information. Also, the institution should verify that the information submitted is accurate.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Ted Sauerbeck, CPA, Audit Manager tedsauerbeck@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4468
PCC Response and Corrective Action Plan	The College has updated its reporting schedule with NSLDS to increase the frequency of transmissions from NSC to monthly. The College has enhanced its transmissions' review procedure to ensure that data transmissions are accurate.
PCC Contact and Telephone Number	Olivia Maultsby (863) 297-1063
Estimated Corrective Action Date	February 22, 2008
<u>St. Petersburg College (SPC)</u>	
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-098

Condition	In our report No. 2007-146, finding No. 06-098, we noted that the institution did not always accurately or timely report enrollment status changes to NSLDS for FFEL student loan borrowers. Beginning with the 2006 Fall term, the institution refined its procedures by increasing the frequency of submissions to monthly for NSLDS reporting; however, a programming error caused some incorrect enrollment status data to be sent to NSLDS during the 2006-07 award year. Therefore, the institution's revised procedures were not completely implemented by June 30, 2007, and the institution was continuing to work toward compliance.
Cause	The institution was unable to satisfy this requirement due to the programming error.
Recommendation	The institution should continue its efforts to correct the programming error so that enrollment status changes are accurately reported to NSLDS for FFEL student loan borrowers.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Ted Sauerbeck, CPA, Audit Manager tedsauerbeck@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4468
SPC Response and Corrective Action Plan	St. Petersburg College (SPC) implemented a new method of recording the student's last date of attendance beginning the Fall of 2006. There were some interface/programming problems which caused some untimely reporting of enrollment status changes to NSLDS. In addition to increasing the frequency of submissions to the National Student Clearinghouse, effective Spring 2008, SPC has further refined the way active participation in a class will be considered which will increase accuracy and timeliness of enrollment status changes.
SPC Contact and Telephone Number	Patrick W. Rinard, Associate Vice President, Enrollment Management (727) 341-3064
Estimated Corrective Action Date	Spring Term, 2008
<u>Seminole Community College (SCC)</u>	
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-098
Condition	For 11 of 13 FFEL student loan borrowers tested who graduated, withdrew, or ceased to be enrolled at least half-time, enrollment status changes were reported to NSLDS from 9 to 101 days late. In addition, for all 13 FFEL student loan borrowers tested, the effective dates of the status changes were not reported correctly to NSLDS. The effective dates were incorrect by 4 to 113 days, and for 1 of the 13 students the enrollment status reported was incorrect.
Cause	The institution submitted its roster files to the National Student Clearinghouse (NSC) and relied on NSC to transmit student status changes to NSLDS for FFEL student loan borrowers; however, the institution did not have monitoring procedures in place to ensure that NSC submitted student status changes to NSLDS timely. Also, the institution did not monitor the accuracy of the information submitted.
Recommendation	The institution should work with NSC and NSLDS to correct the submission problems or develop alternative procedures to timely provide NSLDS with FFEL student loan borrowers' enrollment status information. Also, the institution should verify that the information submitted is accurate.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Ted Sauerbeck, CPA, Audit Manager tedsauerbeck@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4468

SCC Response and Corrective Action Plan	The College acknowledges the finding. The College has since changed the reporting of enrollment status to the NSLDS via the Clearinghouse from monthly to bi-monthly to accommodate the 30-day reporting rule.
SCC Contact and Telephone Number	Robert Lynn, Director, Student Financial Resources (407) 708-2044
Estimated Corrective Action Date	Implemented beginning Spring 2008.
<u>Valencia Community College (VCC)</u>	
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-098
Condition	For three of eight FFEL student loan borrowers tested who withdrew, graduated, or otherwise ceased to be enrolled at least half-time during the 2006-07 award year, the institution reported enrollment status changes to NSLDS 4 to 132 days late. For the status change that was reported 132 days late, the student's effective date and status were reported incorrectly and as of August 20, 2007, had not been corrected.
Cause	The institution had computer system issues that had not been resolved to accurately and timely notify NSLDS of FFEL student loan borrowers' enrollment status changes.
Recommendation	The institution should enhance its procedures to ensure that enrollment status changes for FFEL student loan borrowers are accurately and timely reported to NSLDS.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Ted Sauerbeck, CPA, Audit Manager tedsauerbeck@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4468
VCC Response and Corrective Action Plan	Valencia has revised its procedures to report NSC student data five times per term. Dates are selected to meet the 30-day reporting requirement. Valencia data is also available to NSLDS on a monthly basis should they request it. To ensure that NSLDS is aware of our submissions, Valencia e-mails NSLDS when the transmission is made. Also, Valencia has online access to NSLDS to monitor submission and receipt dates between NSC and NSLDS.
VCC Contact and Telephone Number	Renee K. Simpson (407) 582-1506
Estimated Corrective Action Date	October 2006

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Finding Number	FA 07-091
CFDA Number	84.032 and 84.063
Program Title	Student Financial Assistance Cluster (SFA) Federal Family Education Loans (FFEL) Federal Pell Grant Program (PELL)
Compliance Requirement	Special Tests and Provisions – Disbursements – National Student Loan Data System (NSLDS) - Mid-Year Transfer Monitoring
State Educational Entity	Miami Dade College (MDC)
Finding Type	Material Noncompliance and Significant Deficiency
Finding	The institution did not always inform NSLDS of mid-year transfer students. Additionally, the institution did not accurately state the status of a similar finding in the Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings (SSPAF).
Criteria	34 CFR 668.19(b), <i>Financial Aid History</i> The institution is required to inform NSLDS of mid-year transfer students, including those students for whom the institution accesses NSLDS directly, so NSLDS can continue monitoring the student for any subsequent relevant changes. The institution may inform NSLDS about a student at any time it determines that a student may be transferring to the school and seeking Title IV HEA aid, but it must do so prior to disbursing any Title IV HEA aid to the student. OMB Circular A-133, §____.500(e), <i>Audit Follow-up</i>
Condition	For 3 of 10 students tested that transferred to the institution mid-year, the institution did not inform NSLDS of the transfers. The 3 students received Title IV HEA funds totaling \$12,401 (\$11,088 PELL and \$1,313 FFEL subsidized). A similar finding was noted in report No. 2006-152, finding No. FA 05-101, for which the institution, in the 2006-07 fiscal year SSPAF, indicated a status of "Fully Corrected." However, as noted in the previous paragraph, the institution still had not established adequate procedures to comply with the NSLDS Mid-Year Transfer Monitoring Process.
Cause	The institution had computer programming problems that had not been resolved to appropriately identify and report mid-year transfer students to NSLDS.
Effect	Although the three students were not overawarded, when NSLDS is not informed of mid-year transfers, students may receive Title IV HEA funds for which they are not eligible because they may have received Title IV HEA funds from the prior institution and the current institution would not be informed. Further, by providing information to NSLDS of mid-year transfer students, the institution allows NSLDS to monitor and inform the institution of any subsequent relevant changes in a student's financial aid history before and after making a disbursement at the second institution. Also, inaccurate responses on the SSPAF may result in incorrect decisions by the Federal awarding agency.
Recommendation	The institution should resolve the computer programming issue to ensure that NSLDS is informed of all mid-year transfer students prior to disbursing Title IV HEA funds by using the NSLDS Student Transfer Monitoring Process. Also, the institution should accurately report the status of prior year findings on the SSPAF.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Ted Sauerbeck, CPA, Audit Manager tedsauerbeck@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4468

**MDC Response and
Corrective Action Plan**

As noted in the prior audit report the College developed and implemented corrective programs as of December, 2006, that addressed the majority of this issue. It has been subsequently discovered that transfer students who register, drop before full refund date and subsequently re-register in following terms were not being properly identified as new transfer students due to the existence of their initial student record. Corrective program modifications to isolate this rare occurrence are scheduled and the appropriate record transmissions will be made in compliance with Title IV HEA regulations.

It should be noted that in addition to the programmatic changes, the College has closely monitored the Multiple Reporting Records (MRR) reports provided by the Department of Education, and through this secondary control, amounts noted in the audit sample did not include any overpayments.

**MDC Contact and
Telephone Number**

Gregory Knott, AVP - Accounting and Student Services
(305) 237-0825

**Estimated Corrective
Action Date**

March 2008

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Finding Number	FA 07-092
CFDA Number	84.032
Program Title	Student Financial Assistance Cluster Federal Family Education Loans (FFEL)
Compliance Requirement	Special Tests and Provisions - Student Status Changes – Exit Counseling
State Educational Entity	Various
Finding Type	Material Noncompliance and Significant Deficiency
Finding	Three institutions did not always perform exit counseling, or provide exit counseling materials, for FFEL student loan borrowers who graduated, withdrew, or ceased to be enrolled at least half-time.
Criteria	34 CFR 682.604(g), <i>Exit Counseling</i>
Effect	When exit counseling information is not provided timely, FFEL student loan borrowers may not be aware of their loan repayment obligations, and lenders and guarantors may not be timely provided with important personal and contact information, which could lead to an increased default rate for the institution.
	<u>Palm Beach Community College (PBCC)</u>
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-099
Condition	For four of five students tested, the institution did not perform exit counseling, or provide exit counseling materials, within 30 days of when the FFEL student loan borrowers withdrew or otherwise ceased to be enrolled at least half-time. The exit counseling materials had not been provided as of July 12, 2007, and days late ranged from 176 to 242.
Cause	The institution did not have adequate procedures to ensure that FFEL student loan borrowers who ceased to be enrolled at least half-time were provided exit counseling materials.
Recommendation	The institution should ensure that exit counseling is performed, or exit counseling materials provided, within 30 days of an FFEL student loan borrower ceasing at least half-time enrollment.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Ted Sauerbeck, CPA, Audit Manager tedsauerbeck@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4468
PBCC Response and Corrective Action Plan	This was a systemic issue with unofficial withdrawals; compliant procedures and documentation are in place for the first payment period of the 2007-08 Award Year.
PBCC Contact and Telephone Number	David M. Bodwell, Director of Financial Aid (561) 868-3390
Estimated Corrective Action Date	August, 2007
	<u>Polk Community College (PCC)</u>
Condition	For 19 students tested, the institution did not perform exit counseling, or provide exit counseling materials, within 30 days of when the FFEL student loan borrowers graduated, withdrew, or ceased to be enrolled at least half-time, as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Seven of the 19 students withdrew and exit counseling materials were not provided. As of September 30, 2007, the end of our audit fieldwork, days late ranged from 169 to 212.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Twelve of the 19 students graduated on May 4, 2007, and as of September 30, 2007, the end of our audit fieldwork, exit counseling materials had not been provided (119 days late).
Cause	Due to staff shortages, the institution had not yet implemented adequate procedures to ensure that exit counseling materials were provided to FFEL student loan borrowers who graduated, withdrew, or ceased to be enrolled at least half-time.
Recommendation	The institution should ensure that exit counseling is performed, or exit counseling materials provided, within 30 days of an FFEL student loan borrower ceasing at least half-time enrollment.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Ted Sauerbeck, CPA, Audit Manager tedsauerbeck@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4468
PCC Response and Corrective Action Plan	The College is now verifying the enrollment status of FFEL recipients on a weekly basis. As a result of this review, exit counseling documentation is mailed to applicable students, along with instructions to complete the document and return it to the Financial Aid Office within five business days. Additionally, beginning with the current term, Financial Aid will be performing exit counseling beginning two weeks prior to the end of the term for all FFEL students scheduled to graduate.
PCC Contact and Telephone Number	Olivia Maultsby (863) 297-1063
Estimated Corrective Action Date	February 22, 2008
	<u>Seminole Community College (SCC)</u>
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. FA 06-099
Condition	For 8 of 13 FFEL student loan borrowers tested who withdrew during the 2006-07 award year, exit counseling materials were not provided timely (days late ranged from 24 to 90 days).
Cause	Although exit counseling is performed on-line, the institution did not timely notify FFEL student loan borrowers of exit counseling requirements.
Recommendation	The institution should ensure that exit counseling materials are provided within 30 days of an FFEL student loan borrower ceasing at least half-time enrollment.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Ted Sauerbeck, CPA, Audit Manager tedsauerbeck@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4468
SCC Response and Corrective Action Plan	The College acknowledges the finding. The notification to students of exit counseling has been identified through the weekly enrollment monitoring procedure. Borrowers who cease to maintain half-time enrollment are notified of exit counseling requirements and the requirement is noted on the student's electronic file.
SCC Contact and Telephone Number	Robert Lynn, Director, Student Financial Resources (407) 708-2044
Estimated Corrective Action Date	Implemented beginning Spring term of 2008

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

STATE UNIVERSITIES AND COMMUNITY COLLEGES
SUMMARY OF QUESTIONED COSTS
For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

Compliance Requirement/ Institutions	Total Questioned Costs
<hr/>	
<u>Research and Development Cluster (R&D)</u>	
<i>ALLOWABLE COSTS/COST PRINCIPLES</i> - Finding Nos. FA 07-097 and 098	
General Expenditures:	
University of Florida	\$ 6,090.47
University of South Florida	<u>9,828.06</u>
Total	<u>15,918.53</u>
<i>ALLOWABLE COSTS/COST PRINCIPLES</i> - Finding No. FA 07-099	
Time-and-Effort:	
Florida Atlantic University	<u>330,375.83</u>
Total (R&D)	<u><u>\$ 346,294.36</u></u>

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Finding Number	FA 07-093
CFDA Number	12.999
Program Title	Research and Development Cluster (R&D) United States Department of Defense - Other Federal Awards
Compliance Requirement	Activities Allowed or Unallowed
State Educational Entity	University of South Florida (USF)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	DASG60-00-C-0089, September 7, 2000 – September 6, 2007
Finding Type	Material Noncompliance and Significant Deficiency
Finding	The institution did not receive the required grantor approval for the Principal Investigator's (PI) change in employment status of Federal grant No. DASG60-00C-0089, prior to the PI's separation from employment with the institution. In November 2007, subsequent to audit inquiry, and approximately 11 months after the PI separated from employment, the institution requested and received grantor approval for the PI's employment status change.
Criteria	OMB Circular A-110, Subpart C, Section .25(c)., <i>Revision of Budget and Program Plans</i> For nonconstruction awards, recipients must request prior approval from Federal awarding agencies for the following: (1) change in a key person specified in the application or award document; (2) absence for more than three months, or a 25 percent reduction in time devoted to the project, by the approved project director or principal investigator; or (3) subaward, transfer, or contracting out of any work under the grant unless in the application and funded in the approved award.
Condition	Effective November 7, 2006, the institution entered into a subcontract with a private company to transition the employment of the grant's PI and 42 other employees to the private company. On December 21, 2006, the institution notified the grantor that a core group of the grant's employees, including the PI, would be terminating employment with the institution to take positions with the private company. Effective January 1, 2007, the PI for grant No. DASG60-00C-0089 separated employment with the institution. The institution did not, of record, request prior written approval from the grantor allowing the PI to continue working and administering the grant subsequent to separation of employment from the institution. Pursuant to our inquiry, institution personnel provided us with a letter dated November 15, 2007, which provided after-the-fact approval from the grant technical officer for the PI's change in employment status. Grant charges incurred subsequent to the PI's separation of employment from the institution on January 1, 2007, through September 30, 2007, totaled \$1,442,749.53.
Cause	Due to inadequate monitoring, institution personnel failed to obtain prior written approval from the grantor allowing the PI to continue working and administering the grant subsequent to separation of employment from the institution.
Effect	Noncompliance with Federal cost principles or institution policies may result in disallowed costs by the grantor.
Recommendation	The institution should enhance its procedures to ensure that changes in the status of key personnel working on Federal grants are approved by the grantor prior to the change.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Ted Sauerbeck, CPA, Audit Manager tedsauerbeck@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4468

**USF Response and
Corrective Action Plan**

This was a unique situation in which the University entered into a partnership to transition faculty to another entity. USF employees were to transition to the new entity while maintaining courtesy appointments at USF in order to ensure appropriate oversight of ongoing research projects. USF ultimately decided to change PIs on these projects and obtained sponsor approval.

USF will expand its current procedures of notifying the sponsor of changes in the principal investigator to include situations where principal investigators are leaving the University but the awards remain at USF.

**USF Contact and
Telephone Number**

Priscilla Pope, Associate Vice President for Research
(813) 974-5555

**Estimated Corrective
Action Date**

March 31, 2008

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Finding Number	FA 07-094
CFDA Number	Various
Program Title	Research and Development Cluster (R&D)
Compliance Requirement	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles, Cash Management, Reporting, and Special Tests and Provisions
State Educational Entity	Florida Agricultural and Mechanical University (FAMU)
Finding Type	Material Noncompliance and Significant Deficiencies
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding Nos. FA 06-112, FA 06-115, FA 06-120, and FA 06-122
Finding	The institution was not always able to ensure it was administering the R&D grants in compliance with OMB Circulars A-21 and A-133, and grant award requirements.
Criteria	OMB Circular A-21, <i>Principles for Determining Costs Applicable to Grants, Contracts, and Other Agreements With Educational Institutions</i> , and OMB Circular A-110, Sections .22, <i>Payment</i> , .51, <i>Monitoring and Reporting Program Performance</i> , and .71, <i>Closeout Procedures</i>
Condition	<p>The institution disbursed approximately \$20 million from R&D grants during the 2006-07 fiscal year. In our report No. 2007-146, we noted that the institution did not always have adequate procedures to administer R&D grants as discussed in Finding Nos. FA 06-112, FA 06-115, FA 06-120, and FA 06-122. During our current audit, the institution certified that new procedures were not completely implemented as of June 30, 2007, and institution staff were continuing to work toward compliance with administrative requirements for R&D grants.</p> <p>Since the beginning of the 2007-08 fiscal year, the President has hired a new management team that appears to be aggressively implementing new procedures to bring the institution into compliance; however, due to the timing of these changes, and the continuing need to hire adequately qualified staff, the prior year's findings had not been completely corrected as of June 30, 2007.</p> <p>Deficiencies noted, in the institution's administration of R&D grants during the 2005-06 fiscal year that continued during the 2006-07 fiscal year include (Finding Nos. from report No. 2007-146 are provided):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of documentation demonstrating the allowability of indirect costs charged. (FA 06-112) • Inadequate procedures to ensure timely remittance of interest earned on Federal grant funds to the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (USDHHS). (FA 06-115) • Inadequate procedures to ensure the completeness and accuracy of information reported to Federal agencies in SF-269 and SF-272 reports. (FA 06-120) • The institution's accounting and grant records reflected numerous expired Federal grant accounts that the institution had not closed. (FA 06-122)
Cause	These deficiencies were primarily attributable to continued problems related to implementation of the institution's PeopleSoft systems and continued staffing shortages in the areas responsible for administering Federal grants.
Effect	While individually these deficiencies may not be indicative of administrative weakness, collectively they appear to negatively impact the ability for the institution to satisfactorily meet the numerous compliance requirements for administration of Federal grants. Additionally, as a result of these deficiencies, the institution is liable for returning questioned costs that USDHHS may assess.

Recommendation	The institution should continue its efforts to implement new procedures to comply with administrative requirements for R&D grants. In addition, as new procedures are implemented, the institution should review prior fiscal years' R&D grant activity and return funds, as applicable, to appropriate Federal agencies. The institution should also continue to work with applicable Federal agencies to resolve prior audit issues.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Ted Sauerbeck, CPA, Audit Manager tedsauerbeck@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4468
FAMU Response and Corrective Action Plan	<p>Issue• Lack of documentation demonstrating the allowability of indirect costs charged.</p> <p>Response• The Division of Research and the Office of Contracts and Grants will adhere to the revised Indirect Cost (F&A) policy. Additionally, the implementation of PeopleSoft 9.0 will provide a mechanism to assist in the monitoring of these charges.</p> <p>Issue• Inadequate procedures to ensure timely remittance of interest earned on Federal grant funds to the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (USDHHS).</p> <p>Response• Adequate procedures are being developed to monitor and report interest earned on Federal grant funds to USDHHS. The PeopleSoft system will be used to identify the applicable projects and identify any interest earned for immediate submission.</p> <p>Issue• Inadequate procedures to ensure the completeness and accuracy of information reported to Federal agencies in SF-269 and SF-272 reports.</p> <p>Response• The University plans to utilize the Billing Module in PeopleSoft 9.0 upon its implementation scheduled for July 1, 2008. The new process will provide more complete and accurate information to be used for reporting.</p> <p>Issue• The institution's accounting and grant records reflected numerous expired Federal grant accounts that the institution had not closed.</p> <p>Response• FAMU is scheduled to close all expired grants by March/April timeframe.</p>
FAMU Contact and Telephone Number	Ms. Teresa Hardee/Mr. Vinod Sharma/Mrs. Wanda Ford (850) 599-3211
Estimated Corrective Action Date	April/May 2008

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Finding Number	FA 07-095
CFDA Number	Various
Program Title	Research and Development Cluster (R&D)
Compliance Requirement	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles – Cost Accounting Standards (CAS) Exemptions
State Educational Entity	University of Florida (UF)
Finding Type	Material Noncompliance and Significant Deficiency
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. 06-106
Finding	During the 2006-07 fiscal year, the institution applied CAS exemptions totaling \$1,386,645.55 to 73 grant accounts for salaries and benefits and \$341,569.18 to 175 grant accounts for other expenditures. CAS exemptions are used to charge indirect costs (facilities and administration) as direct costs and are to be applied for “unlike circumstances.” As similarly disclosed in previous audit reports, it is not clear whether the CAS exemptions used by the institution met the criteria for “unlike circumstances” as contemplated by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (USDHHS), the cognizant agency.
Criteria	OMB Circular A-21, Section F.6.b., <i>Departmental Administration Expenses</i> and Exhibit C, <i>Examples of “Major Project” Where Direct Charging of Administrative or Clerical Staff Salaries May Be Appropriate</i>
Condition	In accordance with institutional cost accounting practices, in certain circumstances the institution charges costs, which are normally indirectly charged, directly to its Federal grants. The institution determines that such circumstances exist when a Federal grant, due to its size and nature, requires administrative or clerical services, or supplies, postage, or telecommunications costs that are well beyond the core of departmental support routinely provided for departmental activities. Institution personnel stated that because those costs can be identified readily and specifically with a project and have been specifically budgeted and approved by the sponsoring agency in the grant award application, the costs are allowable. In cases where the sponsoring agency has delegated budget approval to the institution, institution approval is assumed equivalent to Federal agency approval. The existence of “unlike circumstances” is evaluated on a project-by-project basis, and in certain instances the institution may apply CAS exemptions retroactively if it subsequently determined that a cost meets the institution’s criteria for exemption. However, because the institution’s records do not evidence how the amounts exempted are well beyond the core of departmental support routinely provided, it is questionable as to whether the CAS exemptions used by the institution met the criteria for “unlike circumstances” as contemplated by USDHHS.
Cause	Although the institution continues to follow the policies and procedures disclosed in its CAS Board Disclosure Statement (DS-2), clarification from the cognizant agency has not been obtained to define “unlike circumstances.”
Effect	Federal grants may have been overcharged for goods or services that were charged 100 percent as direct costs instead of being charged at the lesser indirect cost rate. If it is determined by the USDHHS that any CAS exemptions are disallowed, the institution may be required to return disallowed costs.
Recommendation	Given that the institution reported CAS exemptions totaling \$1,728,214.73 for its Federal grants, we again recommend that the institution seek an opinion and clarification from the cognizant agency (USDHHS) and the USDHHS Division of Cost Allocation as to whether the institution is using CAS exemptions that meet the criteria for “unlike circumstances” as contemplated by the cognizant agency.

Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Ted Sauerbeck, CPA, Audit Manager tedsauerbeck@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4468
UF Response and Corrective Action Plan	The University believes it has appropriate policies and procedures in place to ensure that CAS exemptions meet the criteria for “unlike circumstances” as contemplated by the cognizant agency. External auditors did not identify any specific questioned CAS exemptions. The percentage of exemptions granted in relation to total Federal direct expenditures for the 2006-07 year is less than 1%, an insignificant portion of our Federal dollars.
UF Contact and Telephone Number	Michael V. McKee, Assistant Vice President and University Controller (352) 392-1321
Estimated Corrective Action Date	No corrective action necessary.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Finding Number	FA 07-096
CFDA Number	Various
Program Title	Research and Development Cluster (R&D)
Compliance Requirement	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles – Disclosure Statement (DS-2)
State Educational Entity	Florida International University (FIU)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	Various
Finding Type	Material Noncompliance and Significant Deficiency
Finding	The institution's filed Disclosure Statement (DS-2) was not accurate as it related to the Federal cost principle requirement of reporting the type of payroll distribution method used by the institution.
Criteria	OMB Circular A-21, Section J. 10, <i>Compensation for Personal Services</i> , and Appendix B, <i>Cost Accounting Standards Board's (CASB) Disclosure Statement (DS-2, Section 2.50)</i> Recipients are required to provide information describing the method used to account for payroll charges to Federal awards.
Condition	The institution is not in compliance with DS-2 reporting requirements. The institution reported the method of charging direct salaries and wages as plan-confirmation; however, the institution follows the after-the-fact method for charging salary and wages to Federal grants. Institution personnel indicated that the DS-2 is going to be resubmitted in its entirety and should be finalized by March 2008.
Cause	The institution changed policies and procedures regarding the method of charging salaries and wages to sponsored projects and did not update the DS-2 through the required amendment process.
Effect	Inaccurate information on the DS-2 could affect decisions made by Federal granting agencies from which the institution receives awards.
Recommendation	The institution should ensure that the DS-2 is timely updated.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Ted Sauerbeck, CPA, Audit Manager tedsauerbeck@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4468
FIU Response and Corrective Action Plan	The amended disclosure statement (DS-2) will be submitted to the cognizant Federal agency by March 1, 2008. This due date for submission of the amended DS-2 was discussed and agreed upon with the cognizant Federal agency. When the Facility and Administrative (F&A) cost negotiations are completed, the University may be required to complete a second amendment to the DS-2 to include any recommended changes resulting from the F&A review. Amendment number 1 will reflect the change in the certification of effort to grants & contracts and does not have a financial impact on the institution's costing policies for grants and contracts.
FIU Contact and Telephone Number	Joseph Barabino, Associate Vice President (305) 348-2494
Estimated Corrective Action Date	March 1, 2008

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Finding Number	FA 07-097
CFDA Number	43.999 and 47.074
Program Title	Research and Development Cluster (R&D) NASA – Other Federal Awards (43.999) National Science Foundation (47.074)
Compliance Requirement	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles – General Expenditures
State Educational Entity	University of Florida (UF)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	CFDA No. 43.999 NCC3-994, August 19, 2002 – August 18, 2007 CFDA No. 47.074 EF-0224953, June 1, 2002 – May 31, 2008
Finding Type	Material Noncompliance and Significant Deficiency Questioned Costs - \$ 6,090.47 (\$629.85, grant No. NCC3-994, and \$5,460.62, grant No. EF-0224953)
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. 06-110
Finding	The institution did not always properly monitor Federal grant expenditures to ensure documentation was on file to support that expenditures were properly approved, valid, reasonable, and necessary.
Criteria	OMB Circular A-110, <i>Allowable Costs</i> ; OMB Circular A-21, Section C.2 <i>Factors Affecting Allowability of Costs</i> , Section C.3 <i>Reasonable Costs</i> , Section C.7 <i>Limitations on Allowance of Costs</i> , Section J. <i>General Provisions for Selected Items of Cost</i> , and Appendix A; and Section 112.061, Florida Statutes, <i>Per Diem and Travel Expenses</i>
Condition	Our test of non-salary expenditures for five Federal grants disclosed the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> For two Federal grants, seven expenditures totaling \$6,090.47 (\$629.85, grant No. NCC3-994, and \$5,460.62, grant No. EF-0224953) charged on institution purchasing cards (P-Card) from July 2006, to November 2006, were not supported by signed P-Card Paid Charges Aging Reports by the cardholder, reconciler/approver, or both, contrary to institution policy. In May 2007, a Fax Imaging System was implemented that automatically attaches the imaged support to the voucher, eliminating the need for the P-Card Paid Charges Aging Reports form for P-Card purchases made after May 2007. The institution reimbursed employees for mileage and meals using rates prescribed by the U.S. General Services Administration (GSA) Domestic Per Diem Rate Schedule instead of rates authorized by Section 112.061, Florida Statutes. OMB Circular A-21, Sections C.2 and J.53, determine reasonableness, in part, by the restraints or requirements imposed by State Laws and regulations, and to the extent that such costs do not exceed charges normally allowed by the institution in its regular operations. Amounts that Federal programs were overcharged were not readily available. Effective July 1, 2007, the institution changed its travel reimbursement rates to comply with Section 112.061, Florida Statutes.
Cause	The institution's procedures were not adequate to ensure that all expenditures charged to Federal projects were adequately documented, approved, reasonable and necessary.
Effect	Federal grant funds may have been used for goods or services that were not allowable or reasonable under the terms of the Federal grants.

Recommendation	The institution should enhance its procedures to maintain documentation substantiating the validity, reasonableness, and necessity of all expenditures charged to Federal grants, and evidencing approval thereof. Also, overcharges for travel should be calculated, funds should be returned to the applicable Federal grants, and the institution should continue to follow the newly revised directives and procedures for reimbursing travel at the State rate.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Ted Sauerbeck, CPA, Audit Manager tedsauerbeck@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4468
UF Response and Corrective Action Plan	<p>The University has enhanced procedures to maintain documentation related to purchasing card charges to Federal grants by implementing a fax imaging system.</p> <p>With regard to the University's continued use of the GSA rates, it is the University's position that GSA rates are the approved travel reimbursement rates per University policy and this position has been supported by an opinion from our General Counsel's Office, which was shared with the Auditors and with DHHS. OMB Circular A-21, Sections C.2 and J.53 states that travel costs are to be based upon the charges that are consistent with those normally allowed in like circumstances in the institution's non-federally sponsored activities. The University has adopted the GSA Schedule and this is used for all institutional travel reimbursements, unless specific Sponsored Project awards have other provisions. Because GSA Schedule rates are allowable charges to grants, the Federal programs are not being overcharged. Pursuant to a change in Florida Statutes effective July 1, 2007, the University changed its travel reimbursement rates to follow State reimbursement rates effective July 1, 2007.</p>
UF Contact and Telephone Number	Michael V. McKee, Assistant Vice President and University Controller (352) 392-1321
Estimated Corrective Action Date	The University believes these issues have been addressed.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Finding Number	FA 07-098
CFDA Number	12.300, 12.999, 81.087, 93.389, and 93.847
Program Title	Research and Development Cluster (R&D) Basic and Applied Scientific Research (12.300) United States Department of Defense - Other Federal Awards (12.999) Renewable Energy Research and Development (81.087) National Center for Research Resources (93.389) Diabetes, Endocrinology, and Metabolism Research (93.847)
Compliance Requirement	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles – General Expenditures
State Educational Entity	University of South Florida (USF)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	CFDA No. 12.300 N00014-01-1-0279, December 1, 2000 – April 30, 2007 CFDA No. 12.999 DASG60-00-C-0089, September 7, 2000 – September 6, 2007 CFDA No. 81.087 DE-FC36-04GO14224, May 19, 2004 – September 30, 2007 CFDA No. 93.389 5 U54 RR019259, September 30, 2003 – July 31, 2008 CFDA No. 93.847 5 U01 DK063790-04, September 30, 2002 – August 31, 2007
Finding Type	Material Noncompliance and Significant Deficiency Questioned Costs – \$9,828.06 (\$553.18, grant No. N00014-01-1-0279; \$5,089.71, grant No. DASG60-00-C-0089; \$315.52, grant No. DE-FC36-04GO14224; \$3,838.60, grant No. 5 U54 RR019259; and \$31.05, grant No. 5 U01 DK063790-04)
Finding	The institution did not always properly monitor Federal grant expenditures to ensure documentation was on file to support that amounts were valid, reasonable, and necessary, and that travel expenditures for employees were paid at rates authorized by State law.
Criteria	OMB Circular A-21, Section C.4. <i>Allowable Costs</i> ; Section J. <i>General Provisions for Selected Items of Costs</i> ; Section J.10.f. <i>Fringe Benefits</i> ; and Section 112.061, Florida Statutes, <i>Per Diem and Travel Expenses</i>
Condition	Our test of expenditures for 5 Federal grants disclosed the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Expenditures totaling \$366.31 were either not supported by adequate documentation or were unallowable as follows: 1) inadequate support - charges for miscellaneous supplies (\$290.74, grant No. DASG60-00-C-0089); 2) unallowable charge - overpaid an invoice (\$31.05, grant No. 5 U01 DK063790-04); and 3) taxes paid when exempt - (\$44.52, grant No. DE-FC36-04GO14224). In November 2007, subsequent to our inquiry, the \$31.05 and \$44.52 were reimbursed to the respective grants for the charges that were incurred in August 2006, and August 2005, respectively. Our review of seven employees that terminated employment during the 2006-07 fiscal year disclosed three employees who earned annual and sick leave from multiple projects during their employment at the institution. Leave payments made to these three employees, totaling \$5,282.65, for unused annual and sick leave, were incorrectly charged to two Federal grants (\$553.18, grant No. N00014-01-1-0279 and \$4,729.47, grant No. DASG60-00-C-0089). In July 2007, subsequent to audit inquiry, the \$5,282.65 was reimbursed to the respective grants for the charges that were incurred in February 2007.

- Federal grants were overcharged for travel costs as follows:
 - The institution reimbursed employees for mileage and meals using rates prescribed by the U.S. General Services Administration (GSA) Domestic Per Diem Rate Schedule instead of rates authorized by Section 112.061, Florida Statutes. OMB Circular A-21, Sections C.2 and J.53, determine reasonableness, in part, by the restraints or requirements imposed by State laws and regulations, and to the extent that such costs do not exceed charges normally allowed by the institution in its regular operations. Two of the five Federal grants tested were overcharged \$340.50 for meals (\$69.50, grant No. DASG60-00-C-0089 and \$271, grant No. DE-FC36-04GO14224) due to the use of GSA rates instead of rates authorized by State law. The extent to which other Federal grants were overcharged due to noncompliance with Section 112.061, Florida Statutes, was not readily determinable.
 - For another Federal grant, expenditures charged for meals and breaks provided at a conference, totaling \$4,997.60, exceeded rates allowed by State law by \$3,838.60 (grant No. 5 U54 RR019259). For example, entrée dinner buffets served at the conference ranged in price from approximately \$34 to \$46 while the rate authorized by State law was \$19.

Effective July 1, 2007, the institution changed its travel reimbursement rates to agree with Section 112.061, Florida Statutes.

Cause	The institution's procedures were not adequate to ensure that expenditures charged to Federal grants were adequately documented, approved, reasonable, and necessary.
Effect	Federal grant funds may have been used for goods or services that were not allowable or reasonable under the terms of the Federal grants.
Recommendation	The institution should enhance its procedures to maintain documentation for all charges to substantiate the validity, reasonableness, and necessity of all expenditures charged to Federal grants. The institution should calculate overcharges for travel and return any such overcharges, and the remaining questioned costs disclosed by our audit, to applicable Federal grants.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Ted Sauerbeck, CPA, Audit Manager tedsauerbeck@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4468
USF Response and Corrective Action Plan	USF has procedures regarding the maintenance of documentation for all expenditures. We continue to enhance our controls in response to emerging business practices; such as, the development and staffing of a purchasing card review team and expansion of our document imaging process to include purchasing card supporting documentation. Similarly, in June, 2007, USF issued a Clarification of Procedure regarding the allowability of expenditures on Federal awards. Finally, all charges deemed unallowable by USF have been removed from the applicable Federal awards. USF feels that the conference expenses are exempt from the per diem requirements of State law and has requested sponsor approval for this specific expenditure.
USF Contact and Telephone Number	Nick Trivunovich, Controller (813) 416-7696
Estimated Corrective Action Date	June 30, 2007

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Finding Number	FA 07-099
CFDA Number	15.634, 20.514, and 66.468
Program Title	Research & Development Cluster (R&D) Groundwater Modeling and Refinement for Public Well Safety (15.634) USDOT Consortium Intermodal Transportation (20.514) Invasive Plants – State Wildlife Grants (66.468)
Compliance Requirement	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles – Time-and-Effort
State Educational Entity	Florida Atlantic University (FAU)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	CFDA No. 15.634 Federal Wildlife Commission (FWC) Agreement No. 06006, July 1, 2006 - June 30, 2007 CFDA No. 20.514 49 United States Code (USC) 5314(a), June 15, 2004 – August 31, 2007 CFDA No. 66.468 Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) Contract No. GW 252, July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2007
Finding Type	Material Noncompliance and Significant Deficiency Questioned Costs - \$330,375.83 (FWC Agreement No. 06006, \$39,866.36; 49 USC 5314(a), \$230,793.84; DEP Contract No. GW 252, \$59,715.63)
Finding	After-the-fact time-and-effort payroll certification forms did not reflect actual time worked by employees on various Federal contracts and grants, and such forms and reports documenting time-and-effort were not always certified by the employee or an individual with direct knowledge of the employee's work, contrary to Federal cost principles.
Criteria	OMB Circular A-21, Sections C., <i>Basic Considerations</i> and J. 10.c.(2), <i>Compensation for Personal Services</i> ; and the institution's Directives and Procedures. Federal regulations require that after-the-fact personnel activity reports be completed within six months of the end of the academic term for academic personnel, and monthly for non-academic or non-professional personnel. These reports should be signed by the employee and a supervisor with direct knowledge of the employee's activities.
Condition	The institution's procedures required that payroll certification forms be approved at the end of each pay period by the Department head and timekeeper. In addition, faculty was required to document non-instructional activities in the Faculty Academic and Information Reporting system. Our review disclosed that the institution's procedures were not in compliance with Federal regulations, as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Although payroll certification forms were prepared after-the-fact, the percentage of effort shown was based on budgeted or anticipated percentages of effort rather than actual effort, and the forms were not signed by the employee and an individual with direct knowledge of the employee's activities. Our review of reports generated by the Faculty Academic and Information Reporting system for faculty paid from the three contracts or grants selected disclosed that those reports were not always signed by the employee and an individual with direct knowledge of the employee's activities. <p>Questioned costs for salary and benefits (\$272,036.80) and OPS (\$58,339.03) payroll for the three contracts or grants tested totaled \$330,375.83 (FWC</p>

	Agreement No. 06006, \$39,866.36; 49 USC 5314(a), \$230,793.84; and DEP Contract No. GW 252, \$59,715.63).
Cause	The institution had not implemented procedures to ensure that all required time-and-effort after-the-fact certifications were completed in accordance with Federal requirements.
Effect	Financial data may not be reliable, reports to users may not be accurate, and unallowable costs may be charged to grants and go undetected.
Recommendation	The institution should implement procedures to ensure that after-the-fact activity certification forms and Faculty Academic and Information Reporting system reports reflect the employees' actual effort and are certified by employees and an individual with direct knowledge of the employee's work in accordance with Federal Regulations.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Ted Sauerbeck, CPA, Audit Manager tedsauerbeck@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4468
FAU Response and Corrective Action Plan	The University agrees with audit finding FA 07-099 related to Time-and-Effort reporting. We have formed a committee to evaluate available Time-and-Effort reporting systems that could be integrated with our Banner ERP. The University will acquire a new Time-and-Effort system as recommended by the committee. The University will establish new policies and procedures to ensure that after-the-fact activity certification in the new Time-and-Effort system reflects the actual effort and that this effort is certified by the employees and other staff with direct knowledge of the employee's work in accordance with OMB Circular A-21.
FAU Contact and Telephone Number	Edwin P. Bemmell (561) 297 2606
Estimated Corrective Action Date	June 30, 2008

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Finding Number	FA 07-100
CFDA Number	Various
Program Title	Research and Development Cluster (R&D)
Compliance Requirement	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles – Time-and-Effort
State Educational Entity	University of Florida (UF)
Finding Type	Material Noncompliance and Significant Deficiency
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. 06-114
Finding	After-the-fact time-and-effort report certifications of work performed were not always completed by the employee, principal investigator, or other individual with direct knowledge of the employee's work, contrary to Federal cost principles.
Criteria	OMB Circular A-21, Sections C. <i>Basic Considerations</i> and J.10.c.(2) <i>Compensation for Personal Services</i> ; and the institution's Directives and Procedures Federal regulations require that after-the-fact personnel activity reports be completed within six months of the end of the academic term for academic personnel, and monthly for non-academic or non-professional personnel. These reports must be signed by the employee and a supervisor with direct knowledge of the employee's activities.
Condition	The institution's procedures required that after-the-fact time-and-effort reports reflecting employees' effort on Federal grants be prepared at the end of each academic term. After-the-fact time-and-effort certifications for large departments were completed by certifiers with limited knowledge of the work performed. Documentation of methods utilized by certifiers, if any, to ensure the accuracy of the reports was not provided.
Cause	The institution ensured that time-and-effort reports were completed timely and was in the process of revising and implementing its procedures to ensure time-and-effort certifications by an individual with direct knowledge of the employee's work; however, the new procedures were not completely implemented by June 30, 2007. Implementation is on-going and the institution expects to be in full compliance for the 2007-08 fiscal year.
Effect	The information verified and recorded on the time-and-effort reports may not be valid due to the lack of direct knowledge by the report certifier. Consequently, salary expenditures may be inappropriately charged to grants and go undetected.
Recommendation	The institution should continue its efforts to implement revised procedures to ensure that after-the-fact activity reports are certified by an individual with direct knowledge of the employee's work.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Ted Sauerbeck, CPA, Audit Manager tedsauerbeck@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4468
UF Response and Corrective Action Plan	The University implemented procedures to ensure that after-the-fact activity reports are certified by an individual with direct knowledge of the employee's work. The development of new certification forms signed by the principal investigator, employee, or responsible official with suitable means of verification was piloted for the Fall 2006 term and the Spring 2007 term. All departments began using the new certification forms in November 2007, for the Summer 2007 term (May 18 - August 15, 2007).
UF Contact and Telephone Number	Michael V. McKee, Assistant Vice President and University Controller (352) 392-1321

**Estimated Corrective
Action Date**

November 2007 (for the Summer 2007 term).

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Finding Number	FA 07-101																							
CFDA Number	20.999, 43.999, and 47.074																							
Program Title	Research and Development Cluster (R&D) Phase 2: Pedestrian Safety Engineering and Intelligent Transportation and System-Based Countermeasures Program (20.999) NASA – Other Federal Awards (43.999) National Science Foundation (47.074)																							
Compliance Requirement	Matching, Level of Effort, and Earmarking																							
State Educational Entity	University of Florida (UF)																							
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	CFDA No. 20.999 DTFH61-01-X-00018, October 1, 2001 – October 31, 2007 CFDA No. 43.999 NCC3-994, August 19, 2002 – August 18, 2007 CFDA No. 47.074 EF-0224953, June 1, 2002 – May 31, 2008																							
Finding Type	Material Noncompliance and Significant Deficiency																							
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. 06-118																							
Finding	The institution's cost-share reports did not demonstrate that the minimum cost-share contributions were being met.																							
Criteria	OMB Circular A-110, Subpart C.23., <i>Cost Sharing or Matching</i>																							
Condition	In our report No. 2007-146, finding No. FA 06-118, we noted that the institution did not document that cost-share (match) requirements were met for all programs that required mandatory cost-share. During our current audit, we reviewed five projects with cost-share requirements and based on information included in the institution's cost-sharing reports, determined that three of those projects did not meet the required mandatory match, as follows:																							
	<table><tr><th rowspan="2">CFDA No.</th><th rowspan="2">Total Grant Award</th><th colspan="3">As of June 30, 2007</th></tr><tr><th>Required Match</th><th>Actual Match</th><th>Match Deficit</th></tr><tr><td>20.999</td><td>\$ 870,540.00</td><td>\$ 326,771.00</td><td>\$ 78,749.00</td><td>\$ 248,022.00</td></tr><tr><td>43.999</td><td>12,737,235.00</td><td>3,256,423.00</td><td>1,079,241.26</td><td>2,177,181.74</td></tr><tr><td>47.074</td><td>2,271,031.00</td><td>19,451.54</td><td>6,481.00</td><td>12,970.54</td></tr></table>	CFDA No.	Total Grant Award	As of June 30, 2007			Required Match	Actual Match	Match Deficit	20.999	\$ 870,540.00	\$ 326,771.00	\$ 78,749.00	\$ 248,022.00	43.999	12,737,235.00	3,256,423.00	1,079,241.26	2,177,181.74	47.074	2,271,031.00	19,451.54	6,481.00	12,970.54
CFDA No.	Total Grant Award			As of June 30, 2007																				
		Required Match	Actual Match	Match Deficit																				
20.999	\$ 870,540.00	\$ 326,771.00	\$ 78,749.00	\$ 248,022.00																				
43.999	12,737,235.00	3,256,423.00	1,079,241.26	2,177,181.74																				
47.074	2,271,031.00	19,451.54	6,481.00	12,970.54																				
Cause	The institution developed cost-sharing reports during the 2006-07 fiscal year for use in monitoring compliance with cost-share requirements; however, input of data necessary for the reports to reflect all cost-share information had not been completed in time for the reports to be effectively used for the 2006-07 fiscal year.																							
Effect	The institution may not have met cost-share requirements of the grantors, making the institution subject to termination of the grants and disallowance of grant costs.																							
Recommendation	The institution should determine the amounts of cost-share required and provide such amounts to the applicable grants.																							
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Ted Sauerbeck, CPA, Audit Manager tedsauerbeck@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4468																							
UF Response and Corrective Action Plan	The University will continue to improve the cost-share reporting system to enable accurate determination of the amounts of cost-share required and provide such amounts to the applicable grants. Regarding cost-share deficits addressed in this finding, departments will ensure that required cost-sharing is met and documented by the expiration dates of the projects.																							

**UF Contact and
Telephone Number**Ed Poppell, Vice President for Business Affairs
(352) 392-1336**Estimated Corrective
Action Date**

June 30, 2008

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Finding Number	FA 07-102
CFDA Number	12.999 and 93.389
Program Title	Research and Development Cluster (R&D) United States Department of Defense - Other Federal Awards National Center for Research Resources
Compliance Requirement	Procurement and Suspension and Debarment – Suspension and Debarment
State Educational Entity	University of South Florida (USF)
Federal Grant/Contract Number and Grant Year	CFDA No. 12.999 DASG60-00-C-0089, September 7, 2000 – September 6, 2007 CFDA No. 93.389 5 U54 RR019259, September 30, 2003 – July 31, 2008
Finding Type	Material Noncompliance and Significant Deficiency
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. 06-119, and Report No. 2006-152, Finding No. 05-129
Finding	Prior to entering into agreements with vendors used for covered Federal transactions equal to or greater than \$25,000, the institution did not determine whether vendors were debarred or suspended from receiving Federal funds.
Criteria	OMB Circular A-110, Subpart C, Section 44(d) <i>Procurement Procedures</i> and Appendix A.8. <i>Debarment and Suspension</i> , and the November 26, 2003, Federal Register
Condition	The institution did not obtain a written certification from its vendors, or check the Excluded Parties List System (EPLS) maintained by the General Services Administration for vendors debarred or suspended from receiving Federal funds, for covered Federal transactions. Although our review of four Federal purchases totaling \$198,679.41, with four vendors, disclosed that the vendors were not on the EPLS at the time of our testing, the potential for not detecting excluded vendors exists because the institution did not verify vendors were not excluded prior to subcontracting.
Cause	During the audit period, the institution's procedures did not require vendors to certify that they were not suspended or debarred or provide for an independent verification that vendors were not on the EPLS excluded vendors' list. Effective July 1, 2007, the institution implemented procedures to independently verify that existing vendors were not on the EPLS excluded vendors list.
Effect	Covered Federal transactions may be entered into with vendors that have been suspended or debarred, resulting in charges subject to disallowance by Federal agencies.
Recommendation	The institution should continue its efforts to ensure that vendors used for covered Federal transactions are not debarred or suspended from receiving Federal funds.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Ted Sauerbeck, CPA, Audit Manager tedsauerbeck@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4468
USF Response and Corrective Action Plan	USF has implemented procedures to ensure that vendors used for covered transactions are not debarred or suspended from receiving Federal funds.
USF Contact and Telephone Number	Jeff Mack, Assistant Vice President for University Services (813) 974-2539
Estimated Corrective Action Date	July 1, 2007

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Finding Number	FA 07-103
CFDA Number	Various
Program Title	Research and Development Cluster (R&D)
Compliance Requirement	Subrecipient Monitoring
State Educational Entity	University of Florida (UF)
Finding Type	Material Noncompliance and Significant Deficiency
Prior Year Finding	Report No. 2007-146, Finding No. 06-121
Finding	<p>The institution did not adequately monitor grant subrecipients to ensure that the institution did not disburse Federal funds to a subrecipient that expended \$500,000 or more of Federal funds during the year preceding the prior fiscal year without first determining that the subrecipient had an OMB Circular A-133 audit completed for the year preceding the prior fiscal year; and that there were no unresolved findings related to the program funded through the institution.</p>
Criteria	OMB Circular A-133, §____.400(d), <i>Pass-Through Entity Responsibilities</i>
Condition	<p>To determine that audit requirements have been met by a subrecipient, the institution maintains an online listing, OMB A-133 Subcontract Report (Report), which identifies subrecipients expending Federal awards of \$500,000 or more during the prior fiscal year. Our audit test of the institution's monitoring efforts regarding 12 subrecipients disclosed the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• One subrecipient was not included on the institution's Report and another subrecipient, although on the report, did not have an acceptable OMB Circular A-133 audit status. Payments made to these subrecipients totaled \$48,650 (\$28,125 and \$20,525, respectively).• Payments totaling \$569,956 were made during the 2006-07 fiscal year to four subrecipients shown on the institution's Report as having had an audit; however, the institution had not obtained management certifications from the four subrecipients confirming that the audit reports did not include any findings relating to the program funded through the institution. Subsequent to audit inquiry, requests were made for management certifications from the subrecipients.
Cause	The institution's procedures were not adequate to properly monitor grant subrecipients' compliance with OMB Circular A-133 audit requirements.
Effect	The institution may be disbursing Federal funds to subrecipients that do not meet the audit requirements of OMB Circular A-133 and, as such, are not eligible to receive Federal funds. Also, absent evidence of compliance with OMB Circular A-133 audit requirements, the institution has limited assurance that Federal funds awarded to subrecipients are being expended and reported in accordance with Federal program requirements.
Recommendation	The institution should strengthen its procedures for monitoring subrecipients of Federal grants to ensure subrecipient compliance with OMB Circular A-133 audit requirements.
Auditor General Contact and Telephone Number	Ted Sauerbeck, CPA, Audit Manager tedsauerbeck@aud.state.fl.us (850) 487-4468
UF Response and Corrective Action Plan	Contracts and Grants will strengthen its procedures for monitoring subrecipients of Federal grants to ensure subrecipient compliance with OMB Circular A-133 audit requirements.

**UF Contact and
Telephone Number**

Fred Cantrell, Associate Vice President
(352) 392-1235

**Estimated Corrective
Action Date**

January 2008

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

Acronyms and abbreviations used in the State's Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards include the following:

Citrus	Florida Department of Citrus
Courts	State Courts System
FAHCA	Florida Agency for Health Care Administration
FAPD	Florida Agency for Person with Disabilities
FAWI	Florida Agency for Workforce Innovation
FDACS	Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services
FDCA	Florida Department of Community Affairs
FDCFS	Florida Department of Children and Family Services
FDEP	Florida Department of Environmental Protection
FDFS	Florida Department of Financial Services
FDHSMV	Florida Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles
FDJJ	Florida Department of Juvenile Justice
FDLA	Florida Department of Legal Affairs
FDLE	Florida Department of Law Enforcement
FDMA	Florida Department of Military Affairs
FDMS	Florida Department of Management Services
FDOC	Florida Department of Corrections
FDOE	Florida Department of Education
FDOEA	Florida Department of Elder Affairs
FDOH	Florida Department of Health (Includes County Health Departments)
FDOR	Florida Department of Revenue
FDOS	Florida Department of State
FDOT	Florida Department of Transportation
FDVA	Florida Department of Veterans' Affairs
FEOG	Florida Executive Office of the Governor (1)
FFWCC	Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission
FPC	Florida Parole Commission
FSDB	Florida School for the Deaf and the Blind
JAC	Justice Administrative Commission
SU	State Universities
SCC	State Community Colleges

(1) Includes amounts reported for Volunteer Florida

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY / PROGRAM NAME	ADMINISTERING AGENCY	CFDA #	2006-2007	2006-2007
			EXPENDITURES	TRANSFER TO SUBRECIPIENTS
Office of National Drug Control Policy				
Direct Programs - Not Clustered				
Other Federal Awards	FDLE	07.999	240,201	
Subtotal - Direct Programs - Not Clustered			\$240,201	
Indirect Programs - Not Clustered				
Other Federal Awards	FDLE	07.999	108,580	
Subtotal - Indirect Programs - Not Clustered			\$108,580	
Subtotal - Office of National Drug Control Policy			\$348,781	
U. S. Department of Agriculture				
Direct Programs - Clustered				
Child Nutrition Cluster:				
School Breakfast Program	FDOE/ FSDB	10.553	117,334,321	123,062,554
National School Lunch Program	FDJJ/ FDOC/ FDOE/ FSDB	10.555	418,715,877	406,885,907
Special Milk Program for Children	FDOE	10.556	63,978	63,978
Summer Food Service Program for Children	FDOE/ SCC	10.559	15,408,504	14,814,856
Emergency Food Assistance Cluster:				
Emergency Food Assistance Program (Administrative Costs)	FDACS	10.568	2,351,828	
Emergency Food Assistance Program (Food Commodities) (1)	FDACS	10.569	9,045,757	
Food Stamp Cluster:				
Food Stamps (1)	FDCFS	10.551	1,365,882,201	
State Administrative Matching Grants for Food Stamp Program	FAW/ FDCFS/ FDOE	10.561	65,869,560	15,658,697
Research & Development Cluster:				
Agricultural Research - Basic and Applied Research	SU	10.001	630,549	434
Plant and Animal Disease, Pest Control, and Animal Care	SU	10.025	740,839	9,000
Conservation Reserve Program	SU	10.069	13,162	
Grants for Agricultural Research, Special Research Grants	SU	10.200	10,659,805	1,815,505
Cooperative Forestry Research	SU	10.202	628,634	
Payments to Agricultural Experiment Stations Under the Hatch Act	SU	10.203	2,807,403	
Payments to 1890 Land-Grant Colleges and Tuskegee University	SU	10.205	629,980	
Grants for Agricultural Research - Competitive Research Grants	SU	10.206	2,110,904	255,234
Food and Agricultural Sciences National Needs Graduate Fellowship Grants	SU	10.210	95,829	
1890 Institution Capacity Building Grants	SU	10.216	826,777	
Higher Education Challenge Grants	SU	10.217	2,166	1,756
Biotechnology Risk Assessment Research	SU	10.219	148,421	38,988
Hispanic Serving Institutions Education Grants	SU	10.223	88,809	
Integrated Programs	SU	10.303	1,055,409	154,041
Homeland Security - Agricultural	SU	10.304	250,680	
Organic Agriculture Research and Extension Initiative	SU	10.307	27,071	
Outreach and Assistance for Socially Disadvantaged Farmers and Ranchers	SU	10.443	34,161	
Cooperative Extension Service	SU	10.500	2,039,837	
Forestry Research	SU	10.652	322,936	
Rural Development, Forestry, and Communities	SU	10.672	19,455	
Research on the Economic Impact of Cooperatives	SU	10.778	38,916	
Technical Agricultural Assistance	SU	10.960	119,336	
Scientific Cooperation and Research	SU	10.961	9,364	
Other Federal Awards	SU	10.999	525,913	19,759
Schools and Roads Cluster:				
Schools and Roads - Grants to States	FDFS	10.665	2,547,240	
Subtotal - Direct Programs - Clustered			\$2,021,045,622	\$562,780,709
Direct Programs - Not Clustered				
Agricultural Research - Basic and Applied Research	Citrus/ SCC/ SU	10.001	186,996	
Plant and Animal Disease, Pest Control, and Animal Care	FDACS/ FFWCC	10.025	16,981,863	

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY / PROGRAM NAME	ADMINISTERING AGENCY	CFDA #	2006-2007	2006-2007
			EXPENDITURES	TRANSFER TO SUBRECIPIENTS
Wildlife Services	FFWCC	10.028	75,128	
Livestock Assistance Program	FDACS	10.066	3,812,205	
Wetlands Reserve Program	FFWCC	10.072	171,771	
Federal-State Marketing Improvement Program	Citrus/ FDACS	10.156	209,098	
Market Protection and Promotion	FDACS	10.163	1,718,471	
Grants for Agricultural Research, Special Research Grants	FDACS/ SU	10.200	92,914	
Food and Agricultural Sciences National Needs Graduate Fellowship Grants	SU	10.210	43,960	
Higher Education Challenge Grants	SU	10.217	22,110	
Higher Education Multicultural Scholars Program	SU	10.220	8,511	
Hispanic Serving Institutions Education Grants	SCC	10.223	36,650	
Secondary and Two-Year Postsecondary Agriculture Education Challenge Grants	SCC	10.226	11,530	971
Integrated Programs	SU	10.303	121,026	4,715
Homeland Security - Agricultural	FDACS	10.304	385,970	
International Science and Education Grants	SU	10.305	25,467	
Organic Agriculture Research and Extension Initiative	SU	10.307	79,154	8,188
State Mediation Grants	SU	10.435	45,191	
Commodity Partnerships for Risk Management Education	FDACS	10.457	6,981	
Meat, Poultry, and Egg Products Inspection	FDACS	10.477	43,052	
Cooperative Extension Service	SU	10.500	4,804,602	
Food Donation (1)	FDACS/ FSDB	10.550	52,206,583	53,550
Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children	FDOH	10.557	266,602,033	3,226,907
Child and Adult Care Food Program	FDLE/ FDOEA/ FDOH/ SCC	10.558	122,519,136	120,424,829
State Administrative Expenses for Child Nutrition	FDACS/ FDOE/ FDOEA/ FDOH	10.560	7,449,071	481,486
WIC Farmers Market Nutrition Program (FMNP)	FDACS	10.572	332,258	
Senior Farmers Market Nutrition Program	FDOEA	10.576	54,947	
Market Access Program	Citrus	10.601	4,862,222	
Forestry Research	FDACS/ SU	10.652	260,486	
Cooperative Forestry Assistance	FDACS	10.664	17,118,452	8,427,228
Distance Learning and Telemedicine Loans and Grants	FDOH	10.855	19,353	
Soil and Water Conservation	FFWCC/ SCC	10.902	539,328	
Environmental Quality Incentives Program	SU	10.912	42,082	
Wildlife Habitat Incentive Program	FFWCC	10.914	63,073	
Technical Agricultural Assistance	SU	10.960	4,244	
Other Federal Awards	SCC/ SU	10.999	2,397,540	1,009
Subtotal - Direct Programs - Not Clustered			\$503,353,458	\$132,628,883
Indirect Programs - Clustered				
Food Stamp Cluster:				
Food Stamps (1)	SCC	10.551	60,288	
State Administrative Matching Grants for Food Stamp Program	SCC	10.561	89,326	
Research & Development Cluster:				
Grants for Agricultural Research, Special Research Grants	SU	10.200	12,315	
Grants for Agricultural Research - Competitive Research Grants	SU	10.206	34,789	
Higher Education Challenge Grants	SU	10.217	23,162	
Outreach and Assistance for Socially Disadvantaged Farmers and Ranchers	SU	10.443	18,804	
Cooperative Extension Service	SU	10.500	4,896	
Forestry Research	SU	10.652	925	
Other Federal Awards	SU	10.999	24,429	
Subtotal - Indirect Programs - Clustered			\$268,934	
Indirect Programs - Not Clustered				
Plant and Animal Disease, Pest Control, and Animal Care	FDACS	10.025	2,902	
Grants for Agricultural Research, Special Research Grants	SU	10.200	9,414	
1890 Institution Capacity Building Grants	SU	10.216	32,786	

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY / PROGRAM NAME	ADMINISTERING AGENCY	CFDA #	2006-2007	2006-2007
			EXPENDITURES	TRANSFER TO SUBRECIPIENTS
Higher Education Challenge Grants	SU	10.217	8,869	
Integrated Programs	SU	10.303	93,991	
Cooperative Extension Service	SU	10.500	38,660	9,854
Emerging Markets Program	SCC	10.603	71,778	
Other Federal Awards	SU	10.999	80,304	
Subtotal - Indirect Programs - Not Clustered			\$338,704	\$9,854
Subtotal - U. S. Department of Agriculture			\$2,525,006,718	\$695,419,446
U. S. Department of Commerce				
Direct Programs - Clustered				
Public Works and Economic Development Cluster:				
Grants for Public Works and Economic Development Facilities	SCC	11.300	8,605	
Research & Development Cluster:				
Research and Evaluation Program	SU	11.312	6,433	
Sea Grant Support	SU	11.417	2,509,321	894,164
Coastal Zone Management Estuarine Research Reserves	SU	11.420	142,655	
Fisheries Development and Utilization Research and Development Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program	SU	11.427	33,532	33,528
Undersea Research	SU	11.430	9,692	
Climate and Atmospheric Research	SU	11.431	1,393,254	
Office of Oceanic and Atmospheric Research (OAR) Joint and Cooperative Institutes	SU	11.432	147,583	
Pacific Fisheries Data Program	SU	11.437	19,217	
Environmental Sciences, Applications, Data, and Education	SU	11.440	176,798	
Regional Fishery Management Councils	SU	11.441	285	
Unallied Management Projects	SU	11.454	352,450	7,889
Special Oceanic and Atmospheric Projects	SU	11.460	68,729	
Hydrologic Research	SU	11.462	110,405	
Habitat Conservation	SU	11.463	41,082	
Applied Meteorological Research	SU	11.468	1,979,213	
Unallied Science Program	SU	11.472	139,127	54,470
Coastal Services Center	SU	11.473	472,375	
Center for Sponsored Coastal Ocean Research - Coastal Ocean Program	SU	11.478	422,652	25,500
Educational Partnership Program	SU	11.481	2,276,438	696,693
Measurement and Engineering Research and Standards	SU	11.609	275,098	
Other Federal Awards	SU	11.999	161,904	
Subtotal - Direct Programs - Clustered			\$10,746,848	\$1,712,244
Direct Programs - Not Clustered				
Interjurisdictional Fisheries Act of 1986	FFWCC	11.407	135,638	
Sea Grant Support	SU	11.417	213,935	
Coastal Zone Management Administration Awards	FDCA/ FDEP/ FDOH/ FDOS/ FFWCC/ SU	11.419	7,800,101	5,811,640
Coastal Zone Management Estuarine Research Reserves	FDEP/ SU	11.420	3,589,122	
Fisheries Development and Utilization Research and Development Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program	FFWCC	11.427	22,062	
Marine Sanctuary Program	FDEP/ FFWCC	11.429	1,988,914	
Marine Fisheries Initiative	FFWCC/ SU	11.433	385,670	40,872
Cooperative Fishery Statistics	FFWCC	11.434	146,154	
Southeast Area Monitoring and Assessment Program	FFWCC/ SU	11.435	301,043	86,781
Marine Mammal Data Program	FFWCC	11.439	68,301	
Unallied Industry Projects	FDACS	11.452	31,115	
Unallied Management Projects	FDACS/ FFWCC/ SU	11.454	1,094,461	
Special Oceanic and Atmospheric Projects	SU	11.460	1,937	
Habitat Conservation	FDACS/ FDEP/ FFWCC/ SU	11.463	182,669	
Unallied Science Program	FFWCC	11.472	151,947	6,900
Coastal Services Center	FDEP	11.473	54,176	

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY / PROGRAM NAME	ADMINISTERING AGENCY	CFDA #	2006-2007	2006-2007
			EXPENDITURES	TRANSFER TO SUBRECIPIENTS
Atlantic Coastal Fisheries Cooperative Management Act	FFWCC	11.474	360,888	
Center for Sponsored Coastal Ocean Research - Coastal Ocean Program	FFWCC	11.478	1,621,000	763,749
Educational Partnership Program	FDEP/ FFWCC/ SCC/ SU	11.481	181,566	
Public Telecommunications Facilities Planning and Construction	SCC/ SU	11.550	282,954	
Measurement and Engineering Research and Standards	SU	11.609	37,610	
Subtotal - Direct Programs - Not Clustered			<u>\$18,651,263</u>	<u>\$6,709,942</u>
Indirect Programs - Clustered				
Research & Development Cluster:				
ITA Special Projects	SU	11.113	19,914	
Sea Grant Support	SU	11.417	31,584	
Coastal Zone Management Administration Awards	SU	11.419	176,878	
Coastal Zone Management Estuarine Research Reserves	SU	11.420	210	
Financial Assistance for National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science	SU	11.426	5,216	
Undersea Research	SU	11.430	9,330	
Climate and Atmospheric Research	SU	11.431	81,470	
Office of Oceanic and Atmospheric Research (OAR) Joint and Cooperative Institutes	SU	11.432	146,374	
Marine Fisheries Initiative	SU	11.433	51,566	
Environmental Sciences, Applications, Data, and Education	SU	11.440	66,036	
Independent Education and Science Projects and Programs	SU	11.449	361	
Unallied Management Projects	SU	11.454	48,241	
Habitat Conservation	SU	11.463	40,187	8,338
Meteorologic and Hydrologic Modernization Development	SU	11.467	22,692	
Coastal Services Center	SU	11.473	238,527	
Center for Sponsored Coastal Ocean Research - Coastal Ocean Program	SU	11.478	117,061	
Other Federal Awards	SU	11.999	49,252	
Subtotal - Indirect Programs - Clustered			<u>\$1,104,899</u>	<u>\$8,338</u>
Indirect Programs - Not Clustered				
Coastal Zone Management Administration Awards	SU	11.419	25,198	
Fisheries Development and Utilization Research and Development Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program	FFWCC	11.427	29,599	5,490
Undersea Research	SU	11.430	685	
Office of Oceanic and Atmospheric Research (OAR) Joint and Cooperative Institutes	SU	11.432	4,747	
Regional Fishery Management Councils	FFWCC	11.441	29,295	
Habitat Conservation	FFWCC/ SU	11.463	98,235	
Coastal Services Center	SU	11.473	360	
Educational Partnership Program	SU	11.481	18,370	
Subtotal - Indirect Programs - Not Clustered			<u>\$206,489</u>	<u>\$5,490</u>
Subtotal - U. S. Department of Commerce			<u>\$30,709,499</u>	<u>\$8,436,014</u>
U. S. Department of Defense				
Direct Programs - Clustered				
Research & Development Cluster:				
Aquatic Plant Control	SU	12.100	1	
State Memorandum of Agreement Program for the Reimbursement of Technical Services	SU	12.113	21,726	
Collaborative Research and Development	SU	12.114	4,357,942	221,032
Basic and Applied Scientific Research	SU	12.300	26,766,241	8,520,524
Military Medical Research and Development	SU	12.420	3,708,600	235,471
Basic Scientific Research	SU	12.431	4,850,639	786,656
Basic, Applied, and Advanced Research in Science and Engineering	SU	12.630	1,624,704	261,784
Air Force Defense Research Sciences Program	SU	12.800	8,858,950	1,219,995
Language Grant Program	SU	12.900	7,243	
Mathematical Sciences Grants Program	SU	12.901	330,465	
Information Security Grant Program	SU	12.902	187,920	

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY / PROGRAM NAME	ADMINISTERING AGENCY	CFDA #	2006-2007	2006-2007
			EXPENDITURES	TRANSFER TO SUBRECIPIENTS
Research and Technology Development	SU	12.910	7,382,980	940,741
Other Federal Awards	SU	12.999	13,632,908	1,349,316
Subtotal - Direct Programs - Clustered			<u>\$71,730,319</u>	<u>\$13,535,519</u>
Direct Programs - Not Clustered				
Procurement Technical Assistance For Business Firms	SU	12.002	40,326	
Navigation Projects	FDEP	12.107	180,000	
State Memorandum of Agreement Program for the Reimbursement of Technical Services	FDEP	12.113	1,118,418	
Collaborative Research and Development	SU	12.114	504,969	42,858
Basic and Applied Scientific Research	SU	12.300	44,183	
Military Construction, National Guard	FDMA	12.400	16,911,436	
National Guard Military Operations and Maintenance (O&M) Projects	FDMA	12.401	24,745,063	
National Guard Civilian Youth Opportunities	FDMA	12.404	5,832,591	
Military Medical Research and Development	SU	12.420	24,019	
Basic Scientific Research	SU	12.431	17,048	
National Flagship Language Program Grants To U.S. Institutions Of Higher Education	SU	12.550	43,369	
National Security Education Program David L. Boren Scholarships	SU	12.551	54,859	
Community Economic Adjustment Assistance for Advance Planning	FEOG	12.614	307,800	307,800
Research and Technology Development	SU	12.910	50,941	
Other Federal Awards	FDMS/ FDOC/ FDOE/ SU	12.999	3,807,496	935,096
Subtotal - Direct Programs - Not Clustered			<u>\$53,682,518</u>	<u>\$1,285,754</u>
Indirect Programs - Clustered				
Research & Development Cluster:				
Collaborative Research and Development	SU	12.114	34,112	
Basic and Applied Scientific Research	SU	12.300	1,685,050	
Military Medical Research and Development	SU	12.420	90,291	
Basic Scientific Research	SU	12.431	485,403	
Community Economic Adjustment Assistance for Advance Planning	SU	12.614	16,572	
Basic, Applied, and Advanced Research in Science and Engineering	SU	12.630	2,042,888	
Air Force Defense Research Sciences Program	SU	12.800	818,453	
Information Security Grant Program	SU	12.902	19,351	
Research and Technology Development	SU	12.910	927,154	
Other Federal Awards	SU	12.999	1,705,075	20,852
Subtotal - Indirect Programs - Clustered			<u>\$7,824,349</u>	<u>\$20,852</u>
Indirect Programs - Not Clustered				
Basic and Applied Scientific Research	SU	12.300	77,149	
Basic Scientific Research	SU	12.431	2,404	
Air Force Defense Research Sciences Program	SU	12.800	3,382	
Research and Technology Development	SU	12.910	28,735	
Other Federal Awards	SU	12.999	15,849	
Subtotal - Indirect Programs - Not Clustered			<u>\$127,519</u>	
Subtotal - U. S. Department of Defense			<u>\$133,364,705</u>	<u>\$14,842,125</u>
U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development				
Direct Programs - Not Clustered				
Community Development Block Grants/States Program	FDCA	14.228	60,073,278	58,123,348
Emergency Shelter Grants Program	FDCFS	14.231	2,312,179	1,846,965
Supportive Housing Program	SU	14.235	2,250	
Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS	FDOH	14.241	3,249,478	3,181,004
Opportunities for Youth - Youthbuild Program	SCC	14.243	2,961	
Community Development Block Grants/Brownfields Economic Development Initiative	SCC	14.246	477,000	
Fair Housing Assistance Program - State and Local	FDMS	14.401	828,808	
Community Outreach Partnership Center Program	SCC/ SU	14.511	164,637	

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY / PROGRAM NAME	ADMINISTERING AGENCY	CFDA #	2006-2007	2006-2007
			EXPENDITURES	TRANSFER TO SUBRECIPIENTS
Community Development Work-Study Program	SU	14.512	90,544	
Hispanic-Serving Institutions Assisting Communities	SU	14.514	231,194	232,046
Other Federal Awards	SU	14.999	402,888	
Subtotal - Direct Programs - Not Clustered			<u>\$67,835,217</u>	<u>\$63,383,363</u>
Indirect Programs - Clustered				
CDBG - Entitlement and (HUD-Administered) Small Cities Cluster:				
Community Development Block Grants/Entitlement Grants	FDOH/ SCC/ SU	14.218	58,365	
Research & Development Cluster:				
Manufactured Home Construction and Safety Standards	SU	14.171	88,863	
Subtotal - Indirect Programs - Clustered			<u>\$147,228</u>	
Subtotal - U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			<u>\$67,982,445</u>	<u>\$63,383,363</u>
U. S. Department of the Interior				
Direct Programs - Clustered				
Fish and Wildlife Cluster:				
Sport Fish Restoration	FFWCC	15.605	12,117,097	424,106
Wildlife Restoration	FDEP/ FFWCC/ SU	15.611	4,455,294	
Research & Development Cluster:				
Cultural Resource Management	SU	15.224	2,065	
Coastal Wetlands Planning, Protection and Restoration Act	SU	15.614	18,446	
Cooperative Endangered Species Conservation Fund	SU	15.615	3,354	
Coastal Program	SU	15.630	21,776	
Conservation Grants Private Stewardship for Imperiled Species	SU	15.632	738,887	20,938
State Wildlife Grants	SU	15.634	6,564	
Assistance to State Water Resources Research Institutes	SU	15.805	152,125	82,082
U.S. Geological Survey - Research and Data Collection	SU	15.808	2,515,883	
National Cooperative Geologic Mapping Program	SU	15.810	3,870	
Gap Analysis Program	SU	15.811	365,424	
Cooperative Research Units Program	SU	15.812	74,873	
Historic Preservation Fund Grants-In-Aid	SU	15.904	288,013	
Technical Preservation Services	SU	15.915	12,033	
Other Federal Awards	SU	15.999	142,857	
Subtotal - Direct Programs - Clustered			<u>\$20,918,561</u>	<u>\$527,126</u>
Direct Programs - Not Clustered				
National Fire Plan - Wildland Urban Interface Community Fire Assistance	FDACS/ FFWCC	15.228	337,842	
National Fire Plan - Rural Fire Assistance	FDACS	15.242	16,684	
Fish and Wildlife Management Assistance	FDACS/ FDEP/ FFWCC	15.608	69,649	
Coastal Wetlands Planning, Protection and Restoration Act	FDEP	15.614	339,280	
Cooperative Endangered Species Conservation Fund	FDACS/ FFWCC	15.615	903,421	
Clean Vessel Act	FDEP	15.616	567,635	215,857
Sportfishing and Boating Safety Act	FFWCC	15.622	765,800	
North American Wetlands Conservation Fund	FDEP	15.623	2,110	
Partners for Fish and Wildlife	FDEP/ FFWCC	15.631	19,863	
Conservation Grants Private Stewardship for Imperiled Species	FDEP/ FFWCC	15.632	113,508	
Landowner Incentive	FFWCC	15.633	243,028	
State Wildlife Grants	FFWCC	15.634	1,569,877	
Wildlife Without Borders- Latin America and the Caribbean	SU	15.640	5,635	
Challenge Cost Share	FDEP/ FFWCC	15.642	47,051	
U.S. Geological Survey - Research and Data Collection	FDEP/ SU	15.808	106,271	
National Cooperative Geologic Mapping Program	FDEP	15.810	93,286	
Historic Preservation Fund Grants-In-Aid	FDOS/ SU	15.904	208,134	83,151
Technical Preservation Services	SU	15.915	27,920	
Outdoor Recreation - Acquisition, Development and Planning	FDEP/ FFWCC	15.916	3,923,010	3,757,924
Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act	SU	15.922	4,624	
American Battlefield Protection	SU	15.926	19,636	
Other Federal Awards	SU	15.999	2,434,488	45,028

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY / PROGRAM NAME	ADMINISTERING AGENCY	CFDA #	2006-2007	2006-2007
			EXPENDITURES	TRANSFER TO SUBRECIPIENTS
Subtotal - Direct Programs - Not Clustered			\$11,818,752	\$4,101,966
Indirect Programs - Clustered				
Research & Development Cluster:				
Fish, Wildlife, and Parks Programs on Indian Lands	SU	15.039	14,847	
Fish, Wildlife and Plant Conservation Resource Management	SU	15.231	10,981	
Minerals Management Service (MMS) Environmental Studies Program (ESP)	SU	15.423	19,045	
Water Reclamation and Reuse Program	SU	15.504	39,996	
State Wildlife Grants	SU	15.634	4,623	
National Center for Preservation Technology and Training	SU	15.923	41,259	
Other Federal Awards	SU	15.999	36,520	
Subtotal - Indirect Programs - Clustered			\$167,271	
Indirect Programs - Not Clustered				
Landowner Incentive	SU	15.633	37,585	
Assistance to State Water Resources Research Institutes	SU	15.805	9,910	
National Cooperative Geologic Mapping Program	SU	15.810	11,988	
Other Federal Awards	SU	15.999	38,804	
Subtotal - Indirect Programs - Not Clustered			\$98,287	
Subtotal - U. S. Department of the Interior			\$33,002,871	\$4,629,086
U. S. Department of Justice				
Direct Programs - Clustered				
Research & Development Cluster:				
Desegregation of Public Education	SU	16.100	114,969	
Combined DNA Index System	SU	16.307	8,312	
Grants to Reduce Violent Crimes Against Women on Campus	SU	16.525	5,878	
National Institute of Justice Research, Evaluation, and Development Project Grants	SU	16.560	1,862,920	30,883
Public Safety Partnership and Community Policing Grants	SU	16.710	113	
Other Federal Awards	SU	16.999	770,111	1,713
Subtotal - Direct Programs - Clustered			\$2,762,303	\$32,596
Direct Programs - Not Clustered				
Americans With Disabilities Act Technical Assistance Program	SU	16.108	76,990	
Prisoner Reentry Initiative Demonstration (Offender Reentry)	FDJJ/ FDOC	16.202	560,352	
Comprehensive Approaches to Sex Offender Management Discretionary Grant (CASOM)	FDJJ	16.203	47,834	
Juvenile Accountability Incentive Block Grants	FDJJ/ SU	16.523	3,886,832	
OVW Technical Assistance Initiative	SU	16.526	55,185	
Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention - Allocation to States	FDJJ/ JAC	16.540	2,716,361	
Part E - Developing, Testing and Demonstrating Promising New Programs	FEOG/ SU	16.541	282,725	281,203
Part D - Research, Evaluation, Technical Assistance and Training	Courts/ SU	16.542	166,321	
Gang-Free Schools and Communities - Community-Based Gang Intervention	FDJJ/ FEOG	16.544	1,427,444	
Title V - Delinquency Prevention Program	FDJJ/ SU	16.548	1,201,016	
State Justice Statistics Program for Statistical Analysis Centers	FDLE	16.550	63,930	
National Criminal History Improvement Program (NCHIP)	Courts/ FDLE/ FDOC	16.554	266,128	75,000
National Institute of Justice Research, Evaluation, and Development Project Grants	FDLE/ FDOC/ SU	16.560	4,895,300	265,996
Crime Victim Assistance	FDHSMV/ FDLA/ FDOH/ FPC/ JAC	16.575	19,908,970	17,392,473
Crime Victim Compensation	FDLA	16.576	2,937,000	
Edward Byrne Memorial Formula Grant Program	FDLE/ FDOC	16.579	6,014,773	1,211,650
Edward Byrne Memorial State and Local Law Enforcement Assistance Discretionary Grants Program	FDLA/ SCC/ SU	16.580	2,701,206	
Crime Victim Assistance/Discretionary Grants	JAC	16.582	42,423	
Drug Court Discretionary Grant Program	Courts/ SU	16.585	103,925	
Violent Offender Incarceration and Truth in Sentencing Incentive Grants	FDJJ/ FDLE/ FDOC	16.586	1,303,207	

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY / PROGRAM NAME	ADMINISTERING AGENCY	CFDA #	2006-2007	2006-2007
			EXPENDITURES	TRANSFER TO SUBRECIPIENTS
Violence Against Women Formula Grants	Courts/ FDCFS/ JAC	16.588	6,252,817	2,037,452
Rural Domestic Violence and Child Victimization Enforcement Grant Program	FDCFS	16.589	9,169	
Local Law Enforcement Block Grant Program	FDLE	16.592	19,435	
Residential Substance Abuse Treatment for State Prisoners	FDJJ/ FDLE/ FDOC	16.593	1,582,676	317,847
State Criminal Alien Assistance Program	FDOC	16.606	12,800,000	
Bulletproof Vest Partnership Program	FDLE/ FDOT	16.607	515,657	9,129
Community Prosecution and Project Safe Neighborhoods	FDLE/ JAC/ SCC	16.609	1,488,615	831,091
Public Safety Partnership and Community Policing Grants	FDMA/ FDOC/ SCC/ SU	16.710	1,388,733	
Police Corps	SU	16.712	4,579	
Enforcing Underage Drinking Laws Program	FDLE/ FEOG	16.727	343,488	313,950
Protecting Inmates and Safeguarding Communities Discretionary Grant Program	FDOC	16.735	7,611	
Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program	FDLE	16.738	12,404,044	10,653,393
Other Federal Awards	FDLE/ SU	16.999	422,558	195,704
Subtotal - Direct Programs - Not Clustered			<u>\$85,897,304</u>	<u>\$33,584,888</u>
Indirect Programs - Clustered				
Research & Development Cluster:				
Title V - Delinquency Prevention Program	SU	16.548	3,522	
National Institute of Justice Research, Evaluation, and Development Project Grants	SU	16.560	61,655	
Police Corps	SU	16.712	10,601	
Subtotal - Indirect Programs - Clustered			<u>\$75,778</u>	
Indirect Programs - Not Clustered				
Community Relations Service	SU	16.200	30,802	
Juvenile Accountability Incentive Block Grants	SU	16.523	12,112	
Part D - Research, Evaluation, Technical Assistance and Training	SU	16.542	19,592	
National Institute of Justice Research, Evaluation, and Development Project Grants	SU	16.560	55,666	
Local Law Enforcement Block Grant Program	JAC	16.592	125,000	
Community Capacity Development Office	SCC	16.595	23,031	
Juvenile Mentoring Program	SU	16.726	1,469	
Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program	JAC	16.738	22,299	
Criminal and Juvenile Justice and Mental Health Collaboration Program	SU	16.745	11,327	
Subtotal - Indirect Programs - Not Clustered			<u>\$301,298</u>	
Subtotal - U. S. Department of Justice			<u>\$89,036,683</u>	<u>\$33,617,484</u>
U. S. Department of Labor				
Direct Programs - Clustered				
Employment Service Cluster:				
Employment Service/Wagner-Peyser Funded Activities	FAWI/ FDOE	17.207	38,240,969	12,107,050
Disabled Veterans Outreach Program (DVOP)	FAWI	17.801	5,057,376	1,638,058
Local Veterans Employment Representative Program	FAWI	17.804	4,199,568	1,071,866
National Farmworker Jobs Program Cluster:				
National Farmworker Jobs Program	FDOE	17.264	4,140,315	2,884,386
WIA Cluster:				
WIA Adult Program	FAWI/ FDOE	17.258	46,827,254	44,430,336
WIA Youth Activities	FAWI	17.259	34,236,740	31,364,137
WIA Dislocated Workers	FAWI	17.260	29,807,778	25,321,313
Subtotal - Direct Programs - Clustered			<u>\$162,510,000</u>	<u>\$118,817,146</u>
Direct Programs - Not Clustered				
Labor Force Statistics	FAWI	17.002	3,072,310	
Compensation and Working Conditions	FDFS	17.005	250,867	
Unemployment Insurance	FAWI/ FDOR	17.225	1,024,206,704	3,025,990
Senior Community Service Employment Program	FDOEA	17.235	5,023,854	4,451,854
Trade Adjustment Assistance	FAWI/ FDOE	17.245	2,581,979	905,912
WIA Pilots, Demonstrations, and Research Projects	FAWI/ SCC	17.261	5,636,093	2,797,973

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY / PROGRAM NAME	ADMINISTERING AGENCY	CFDA #	2006-2007	2006-2007
			EXPENDITURES	TRANSFER TO SUBRECIPIENTS
Work Incentive Grants	FAWI	17.266	1,166,005	1,089,967
Community Based Job Training Grants	SCC	17.269	734,007	
Permanent Labor Certification for Foreign Workers	FAWI	17.272	557,902	
Occupational Safety and Health - Susan Harwood Training Grants	SCC	17.502	36,794	
Consultation Agreements	SU	17.504	1,839,816	
Mine Health and Safety Grants	FDEP	17.600	216,632	
Disability Employment Policy Development	FAPD/ FAWI	17.720	84,281	18,225
Other Federal Awards	SCC	17.999	71,634	
Subtotal - Direct Programs - Not Clustered			\$1,045,478,878	\$12,289,921
Indirect Programs - Clustered				
Employment Service Cluster:				
Employment Service/Wagner-Peyser Funded Activities	SCC	17.207	263,687	
Disabled Veterans Outreach Program (DVOP)	SCC	17.801	13,404	
Local Veterans Employment Representative Program	SCC	17.804	11,357	
Research & Development Cluster:				
WIA Pilots, Demonstrations, and Research Projects	SU	17.261	5,530	
WIA Cluster:				
WIA Adult Program	SCC	17.258	1,147,025	
WIA Youth Activities	SCC	17.259	551,428	
WIA Dislocated Workers	SCC/ SU	17.260	2,220,950	
Subtotal - Indirect Programs - Clustered			\$4,213,381	
Indirect Programs - Not Clustered				
Unemployment Insurance	SCC	17.225	178,903	
Trade Adjustment Assistance	SCC	17.245	1,273	
WIA Pilots, Demonstrations, and Research Projects	SCC/ SU	17.261	84,008	
Incentive Grants - WIA Section 503	SCC	17.267	12,440	
Veterans Employment Program	SU	17.802	97,758	
Transition Assistance Program	SCC	17.807	551	
Subtotal - Indirect Programs - Not Clustered			\$374,933	
Subtotal - U. S. Department of Labor			\$1,212,577,192	\$131,107,067
U. S. Department of State				
Direct Programs - Not Clustered				
Educational Exchange - Graduate Students	SU	19.400	13,687	
American Council of Young Political Leaders	SU	19.403	54,209	
Professional Exchanges - Annual Open Grant	SU	19.415	4	
Educational Exchange, American Studies Institute	SU	19.418	63,965	
Other Federal Awards	SU	19.999	96,605	5,000
Subtotal - Direct Programs - Not Clustered			\$228,470	\$5,000
Indirect Programs - Clustered				
Research & Development Cluster:				
Educational Exchange - Graduate Students	SU	19.400	6,235	
Other Federal Awards	SU	19.999	31,735	
Subtotal - Indirect Programs - Clustered			\$37,970	
Indirect Programs - Not Clustered				
Educational Exchange - Teachers from Secondary and Postsecondary Levels and School Administrators	SU	19.408	8,768	
Educational Exchange, American Studies Institute	SCC	19.418	157,218	
Other Federal Awards	SU	19.999	19,831	
Subtotal - Indirect Programs - Not Clustered			\$185,817	
Subtotal - U. S. Department of State			\$452,257	\$5,000
U. S. Department of Transportation				
Direct Programs - Clustered				
Highway Planning and Construction Cluster:				

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY / PROGRAM NAME	ADMINISTERING AGENCY	CFDA #	2006-2007	2006-2007
			EXPENDITURES	TRANSFER TO SUBRECIPIENTS
Highway Planning and Construction	FDEP/ FDOS/ FDOT/ FFWCC/ SU	20.205	2,102,230,663	154,740,710
Highway Safety Cluster:				
State and Community Highway Safety	FDHSMV/ FDOH/ FDOT	20.600	12,258,489	1,626,019
Alcohol Traffic Safety and Drunk Driving Prevention Incentive Grants	FDHSMV/ FDOT	20.601	4,769,753	2,951,622
Occupant Protection	FDHSMV/ FDOH/ FDOT	20.602	1,356,254	
Safety Belt Performance Grants	FDOT	20.609	1,881,697	
State Traffic Safety Information System Improvement Grants	FDOT	20.610	45,137	
Research & Development Cluster:				
Aviation Research Grants	SU	20.108	479,527	
Highway Planning and Construction	SU	20.205	22,366	
Highway Training and Education	SU	20.215	33,194	
Public Transportation Research	SU	20.514	1,749,612	60,046
New Freedom Program	SU	20.521	421,844	9,000
University Transportation Centers Program	SU	20.701	652,392	8,000
Other Federal Awards	SU	20.999	15,419	
Subtotal - Direct Programs - Clustered			\$2,125,916,347	\$159,395,397
Direct Programs - Not Clustered				
Airport Improvement Program	FDOT	20.106	1,169,723	1,169,723
Air Transportation Centers of Excellence	SU	20.109	2,573	
National Motor Carrier Safety	FDOE/ FDOT	20.218	5,609,822	
Recreational Trails Program	FDEP	20.219	917,682	385,833
Commercial Driver License State Programs	FDHSMV	20.232	530,401	
High Speed Ground Transportation - Next Generation High Speed Rail Program	FDOT	20.312	1,557,400	1,557,400
Federal Transit - Metropolitan Planning Grants	FDOT	20.505	4,977,742	4,108,748
Formula Grants for Other Than Urbanized Areas	FDOT	20.509	7,624,268	6,784,268
Capital Assistance Program for Elderly Persons and Persons with Disabilities	FDOT	20.513	6,821,089	6,821,089
Public Transportation Research	FDOT/ SU	20.514	35,856	34,902
Pipeline Safety	FDACS	20.700	47,660	
Interagency Hazardous Materials Public Sector Training and Planning Grants	FDCA	20.703	457,519	389,434
Subtotal - Direct Programs - Not Clustered			\$29,751,735	\$21,251,397
Indirect Programs - Clustered				
Federal Transit Cluster:				
Federal Transit - Formula Grants	SU	20.507	48,963	
Highway Planning and Construction Cluster:				
Highway Planning and Construction	SU	20.205	90,128	
Highway Safety Cluster:				
State and Community Highway Safety	SU	20.600	44,911	
Research & Development Cluster:				
Highway Training and Education	SU	20.215	12	
National Motor Carrier Safety	SU	20.218	90	
Federal Transit - Metropolitan Planning Grants	SU	20.505	167	
Public Transportation Research	SU	20.514	50,685	
Other Federal Awards	SU	20.999	53,902	
Subtotal - Indirect Programs - Clustered			\$288,858	
Indirect Programs - Not Clustered				
Performance and Registration Information Systems Management	SU	20.231	6,990	
Federal Transit - Metropolitan Planning Grants	SU	20.505	4,142	
Public Transportation Research	SU	20.514	67,385	
University Transportation Centers Program	SU	20.701	2,737	
Subtotal - Indirect Programs - Not Clustered			\$81,254	
Subtotal - U. S. Department of Transportation			\$2,156,038,194	\$180,646,794
U. S. Department of the Treasury				

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY / PROGRAM NAME	ADMINISTERING AGENCY	CFDA #	2006-2007	2006-2007
			EXPENDITURES	TRANSFER TO SUBRECIPIENTS
Direct Programs - Not Clustered				
Community Development Financial Institutions Program	SU	21.020	2,160	
Other Federal Awards	FDLE	21.999	4,868	
Subtotal - Direct Programs - Not Clustered			<u>\$7,028</u>	
Subtotal - U. S. Department of the Treasury			<u>\$7,028</u>	
U. S. Office of Personnel Management				
Direct Programs - Clustered				
Research & Development Cluster:				
Federal Civil Service Employment	SU	27.001	66,223	
Intergovernmental Personnel Act (IPA) Mobility Program	SU	27.011	10,001	
Subtotal - Direct Programs - Clustered			<u>\$76,224</u>	
Direct Programs - Not Clustered				
Federal Civil Service Employment	SU	27.001	458,896	
Intergovernmental Personnel Act (IPA) Mobility Program	SU	27.011	180,524	
Subtotal - Direct Programs - Not Clustered			<u>\$639,420</u>	
Subtotal - U. S. Office of Personnel Management			<u>\$715,644</u>	
U. S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission				
Direct Programs - Not Clustered				
Employment Discrimination - State and Local Fair Employment Practices	FDMS	30.002	552,539	
Agency Contracts				
Subtotal - Direct Programs - Not Clustered			<u>\$552,539</u>	
Subtotal - U. S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission			<u>\$552,539</u>	
U. S. General Services Administration				
Direct Programs - Not Clustered				
Donation of Federal Surplus Personal Property (1)	FDMS/ SU	39.003	2,855,072	3,381,406
Other Federal Awards	FDOS	39.999	427,582	
Subtotal - Direct Programs - Not Clustered			<u>\$3,282,654</u>	<u>\$3,381,406</u>
Subtotal - U. S. General Services Administration			<u>\$3,282,654</u>	<u>\$3,381,406</u>
Library of Congress				
Indirect Programs - Not Clustered				
Other Federal Awards	SU	42.999	2,189	
Subtotal - Indirect Programs - Not Clustered			<u>\$2,189</u>	
Subtotal - Library of Congress			<u>\$2,189</u>	
National Aeronautics and Space Administration				
Direct Programs - Clustered				
Research & Development Cluster:				
Aerospace Education Services Program	SU	43.001	4,864,204	1,085,998
Technology Transfer	SU	43.002	1,120,831	91,500
Other Federal Awards	SU	43.999	5,782,472	2,700
Subtotal - Direct Programs - Clustered			<u>\$11,767,507</u>	<u>\$1,180,198</u>
Direct Programs - Not Clustered				
Aerospace Education Services Program	SU	43.001	19,784	
Technology Transfer	Citrus	43.002	465,181	
Other Federal Awards	SU	43.999	27,822	
Subtotal - Direct Programs - Not Clustered			<u>\$512,787</u>	
Indirect Programs - Clustered				
Research & Development Cluster:				
Aerospace Education Services Program	SU	43.001	561,401	
Technology Transfer	SU	43.002	505,017	38,500
Other Federal Awards	SU	43.999	978,608	109,002

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY / PROGRAM NAME	ADMINISTERING AGENCY	CFDA #	2006-2007	2006-2007
			EXPENDITURES	TRANSFER TO SUBRECIPIENTS
Subtotal - Indirect Programs - Clustered			\$2,045,026	\$147,502
Indirect Programs - Not Clustered				
Other Federal Awards	SU	43.999	140,327	
Subtotal - Indirect Programs - Not Clustered			\$140,327	
Subtotal - National Aeronautics and Space Administration			\$14,465,647	\$1,327,700
National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities				
Direct Programs - Clustered				
Research & Development Cluster:				
Promotion of the Arts - Partnership Agreements	SU	45.025	875	
Promotion of the Humanities - Division of Preservation and Access	SU	45.149	39,787	
Promotion of the Humanities - Fellowships and Stipends	SU	45.160	40,018	
Promotion of the Humanities - Research	SU	45.161	64,776	
National Leadership Grants	SU	45.312	177,555	10,500
Laura Bush 21st Century Librarian Program	SU	45.313	309,213	7,920
Other Federal Awards	SU	45.999	4,715	5,196
Subtotal - Direct Programs - Clustered			\$636,939	\$23,616
Direct Programs - Not Clustered				
Promotion of the Arts - Grants to Organizations and Individuals	FDOS/ SCC/ SU	45.024	130,684	5,000
Promotion of the Arts - Partnership Agreements	FDOS	45.025	742,966	277,774
Promotion of the Humanities - Division of Preservation and Access	SU	45.149	112,765	
Promotion of the Humanities - Fellowships and Stipends	SU	45.160	1,066	
Promotion of the Humanities - Teaching and Learning Resources and Curriculum Development	SU	45.162	73,139	
Promotion of the Humanities - Professional Development	SU	45.163	53,325	
Promotion of the Humanities - Public Programs	SU	45.164	29,078	
Promotion of the Humanities - We the People	SU	45.168	168,208	
Museums for America	FDEP/ SU	45.301	266,705	72,116
Grants to States	FDOS/ SU	45.310	8,417,209	3,859,408
National Leadership Grants	SU	45.312	33,894	
Laura Bush 21st Century Librarian Program	SU	45.313	424,972	6,400
Other Federal Awards	SU	45.999	10,958	
Subtotal - Direct Programs - Not Clustered			\$10,464,969	\$4,220,698
Indirect Programs - Clustered				
Research & Development Cluster:				
National Leadership Grants	SU	45.312	29,864	
Other Federal Awards	SU	45.999	4,995	
Subtotal - Indirect Programs - Clustered			\$34,859	
Indirect Programs - Not Clustered				
Promotion of the Arts - Grants to Organizations and Individuals	SCC/ SU	45.024	52,587	
Promotion of the Humanities - Federal/State Partnership	SCC/ SU	45.129	13,153	
Promotion of the Humanities - Public Programs	SU	45.164	132,327	
Grants to States	SU	45.310	55,876	
Other Federal Awards	SU	45.999	22,240	
Subtotal - Indirect Programs - Not Clustered			\$276,183	
Subtotal - National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities			\$11,412,950	\$4,244,314
National Science Foundation				
Direct Programs - Clustered				
Research & Development Cluster:				
Engineering Grants	SU	47.041	10,477,686	426,646
Mathematical and Physical Sciences	SU	47.049	49,450,576	12,865,922
Geosciences	SU	47.050	9,652,518	1,037,680
Computer and Information Science and Engineering	SU	47.070	8,074,962	1,816,452
Biological Sciences	SU	47.074	12,048,099	1,143,884

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY / PROGRAM NAME	ADMINISTERING AGENCY	CFDA #	2006-2007	2006-2007
			EXPENDITURES	TRANSFER TO SUBRECIPIENTS
Social, Behavioral, and Economic Sciences	SU	47.075	3,005,965	242,710
Education and Human Resources	SU	47.076	8,663,619	587,128
Polar Programs	SU	47.078	891,751	
International Science and Engineering (OISE)	SU	47.079	143,147	
Other Federal Awards	SU	47.999	6,607	
Subtotal - Direct Programs - Clustered			\$102,414,930	\$18,120,422
Direct Programs - Not Clustered				
Engineering Grants	SCC/ SU	47.041	730,268	47,993
Mathematical and Physical Sciences	SCC/ SU	47.049	233,930	
Geosciences	SU	47.050	813,577	
Computer and Information Science and Engineering	SU	47.070	406,972	
Biological Sciences	SU	47.074	207,691	
Social, Behavioral, and Economic Sciences	SU	47.075	240,101	
Education and Human Resources	SCC/ SU	47.076	6,710,919	339,645
Polar Programs	SU	47.078	49,920	
International Science and Engineering (OISE)	SU	47.079	17,756	
Other Federal Awards	SU	47.999	10,631	
Subtotal - Direct Programs - Not Clustered			\$9,421,765	\$387,638
Indirect Programs - Clustered				
Research & Development Cluster:				
Engineering Grants	SU	47.041	572,073	
Mathematical and Physical Sciences	SU	47.049	1,032,637	
Geosciences	SU	47.050	398,570	
Computer and Information Science and Engineering	SU	47.070	295,423	
Biological Sciences	SU	47.074	694,199	
Social, Behavioral, and Economic Sciences	SU	47.075	24,474	
Education and Human Resources	SU	47.076	1,134,785	
Polar Programs	SU	47.078	118,976	
International Science and Engineering (OISE)	SU	47.079	31,154	
Other Federal Awards	SU	47.999	116,231	
Subtotal - Indirect Programs - Clustered			\$4,418,522	
Indirect Programs - Not Clustered				
Engineering Grants	SU	47.041	8,711	
Geosciences	SU	47.050	45,497	
Computer and Information Science and Engineering	SU	47.070	53,672	
Social, Behavioral, and Economic Sciences	SU	47.075	10,509	
Education and Human Resources	SCC/ SU	47.076	27,325	
Other Federal Awards	SU	47.999	8,238	
Subtotal - Indirect Programs - Not Clustered			\$153,952	
Subtotal - National Science Foundation			\$116,409,169	\$18,508,060
U. S. Small Business Administration				
Direct Programs - Not Clustered				
Small Business Development Center	SU	59.037	274,354	
Veterans Entrepreneurial Training and Counseling	SU	59.044	146,513	146,513
Other Federal Awards	SCC/ SU	59.999	306,974	
Subtotal - Direct Programs - Not Clustered			\$727,841	\$146,513
Subtotal - U. S. Small Business Administration			\$727,841	\$146,513
U. S. Department of Veterans Affairs				
Direct Programs - Not Clustered				
Grants to States for Construction of State Home Facilities	FDVA	64.005	316,406	
Veterans Medical Care Benefits	SU	64.009	6,679	
Veterans State Domiciliary Care	FDVA	64.014	1,320,524	
Veterans State Nursing Home Care	FDVA	64.015	12,566,375	

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY / PROGRAM NAME	ADMINISTERING AGENCY	CFDA #	2006-2007	2006-2007
			EXPENDITURES	TRANSFER TO SUBRECIPIENTS
Veterans State Hospital Care	SU	64.016	12,940	
Survivors and Dependents Educational Assistance	SU	64.117	3,576	
Vocational and Educational Counseling for Servicemembers and Veterans	SCC	64.125	7,648	
Other Federal Awards	SCC	64.999	9,669	
Subtotal - Direct Programs - Not Clustered			<u>\$14,243,817</u>	
Subtotal - U. S. Department of Veterans Affairs			<u>\$14,243,817</u>	
U. S. Environmental Protection Agency				
Direct Programs - Clustered				
Research & Development Cluster:				
Surveys, Studies, Investigations, Demonstrations and Special Purpose Activities Relating to the Clean Air Act	SU	66.034	13,662	
Congressionally Mandated Projects	SU	66.202	46,863	
State Underground Water Source Protection	SU	66.433	18,873	
Surveys, Studies, Investigations, Demonstrations, and Training Grants and Cooperative Agreements - Section 104(b)(3) of the Clean Water Act	SU	66.436	978,086	
Water Quality Management Planning	SU	66.454	117,265	
National Estuary Program	SU	66.456	29,687	
Water Quality Cooperative Agreements	SU	66.463	699	
Science To Achieve Results (STAR) Research Program	SU	66.509	570,483	88,330
Office of Research and Development Consolidated Research/Training	SU	66.511	4,231	
Greater Research Opportunities (GRO) Fellowships For Undergraduate/Graduate Environmental Study	SU	66.513	28,385	
Science To Achieve Results (STAR) Fellowship Program	SU	66.514	39,860	
Greater Opportunities: Research Program	SU	66.515	4,390	
P3 Award: National Student Design Competition for Sustainability	SU	66.516	20,121	
Pesticide Environmental Stewardship Regional Grants	SU	66.714	47,000	
Surveys, Studies, Investigations, Training Demonstrations and Educational Outreach	SU	66.716	861	
Other Federal Awards	SU	66.999	801,046	30,000
Subtotal - Direct Programs - Clustered			<u>\$2,721,512</u>	<u>\$118,330</u>
Direct Programs - Not Clustered				
Air Pollution Control Program Support	FDEP/ FDOH	66.001	3,465,046	654,723
State Indoor Radon Grants	FDOH	66.032	18,074	
Surveys, Studies, Investigations, Demonstrations and Special Purpose Activities Relating to the Clean Air Act	FDEP/ FDOH/ SU	66.034	900,960	55,424
Congressionally Mandated Projects	SU	66.202	11,096	6,050
Water Pollution Control State, Interstate, and Tribal Program Support	FDEP	66.419	20,577	208,754
State Public Water System Supervision	FDEP	66.432	6,392,504	109,475
State Underground Water Source Protection	FDEP	66.433	261,177	
Surveys, Studies, Investigations, Demonstrations, and Training Grants and Cooperative Agreements - Section 104(b)(3) of the Clean Water Act	FFWCC	66.436	392,613	56,129
Water Quality Management Planning	FDEP	66.454	196,877	220,639
Capitalization Grants for Clean Water State Revolving Funds	FDEP	66.458	66,243,633	66,243,633
Nonpoint Source Implementation Grants	FDACS/ FDEP	66.460	8,908,814	5,627,391
Regional Wetland Program Development Grants	FDEP	66.461	919,534	565,000
Water Quality Cooperative Agreements	FDEP	66.463	46,804	
Wastewater Operator Training Grant Program (Technical Assistance)	SU	66.467	65,919	
Capitalization Grants for Drinking Water State Revolving Funds	FDEP	66.468	21,901,831	19,513,959
State Grants to Reimburse Operators of Small Water Systems for Training and Certification Costs	FDEP	66.471	21,505	13,905
Beach Monitoring and Notification Program Implementation Grants	FDOH	66.472	481,202	9,415
Water Protection Grants to the States	FDEP	66.474	228,640	
Gulf of Mexico Program	FDACS/ FDEP/ FDOH/ FFWCC/ SU	66.475	255,249	107,380
Science To Achieve Results (STAR) Research Program	SU	66.509	105,104	
Office of Research and Development Consolidated Research/Training	FDEP/ FFWCC/ SU	66.511	199,285	
Science To Achieve Results (STAR) Fellowship Program	SU	66.514	40,567	

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY / PROGRAM NAME	ADMINISTERING AGENCY	CFDA #	2006-2007	2006-2007
			EXPENDITURES	TRANSFER TO SUBRECIPIENTS
Performance Partnership Grants	FDACS	66.605	800,181	
Environmental Information Exchange Network Grant Program and Related Assistance	FDEP	66.608	109,104	
TSCA Title IV State Lead Grants Certification of Lead-Based Paint Professionals	FDOH	66.707	47,700	21,726
Pollution Prevention Grants Program	FDEP	66.708	33,589	
Multi-Media Capacity Building Grants for States and Tribes	FDEP	66.709	78,366	
Hazardous Waste Management State Program Support	FDEP	66.801	2,902,075	
Superfund State, Political Subdivision, and Indian Tribe Site - Specific Cooperative Agreements	FDEP	66.802	711,463	
Solid Waste Management Assistance Grants	FDEP	66.808	14,277	
State and Tribal Response Program Grants	FDEP	66.817	853,883	
Environmental Education Grants	SU	66.951	7,917	
Other Federal Awards	Citrus/ FDEP/ SU	66.999	2,592,750	
Subtotal - Direct Programs - Not Clustered			\$119,228,316	\$93,413,603
Indirect Programs - Clustered				
Research & Development Cluster:				
Congressionally Mandated Projects	SU	66.202	30,718	
State Underground Water Source Protection	SU	66.433	23	
Surveys, Studies, Investigations, Demonstrations, and Training Grants and Cooperative Agreements - Section 104(b)(3) of the Clean Water Act	SU	66.436	123,093	43,720
National Estuary Program	SU	66.456	11,145	
Gulf of Mexico Program	SU	66.475	76,301	
Assessment and Watershed Protection Program Grants	SU	66.480	2,670	
Science To Achieve Results (STAR) Research Program	SU	66.509	7,629	
Office of Research and Development Consolidated Research/Training	SU	66.511	12	
Other Federal Awards	SU	66.999	89,303	
Subtotal - Indirect Programs - Clustered			\$340,894	\$43,720
Indirect Programs - Not Clustered				
Environmental Justice Collaborative Problem-Solving Cooperative Agreement Program	SU	66.306	4,804	
Surveys, Studies, Investigations, Demonstrations, and Training Grants and Cooperative Agreements - Section 104(b)(3) of the Clean Water Act	SU	66.436	255	
National Estuary Program	FFWCC/ SU	66.456	109,048	28,602
Gulf of Mexico Program	FDEP	66.475	15,720	
Other Federal Awards	SU	66.999	5	
Subtotal - Indirect Programs - Not Clustered			\$129,832	\$28,602
Subtotal - U. S. Environmental Protection Agency			\$122,420,554	\$93,604,255
U. S. Department of Energy				
Direct Programs - Clustered				
Research & Development Cluster:				
State Energy Program	SU	81.041	55,970	15,400
Office of Science Financial Assistance Program	SU	81.049	9,666,227	263,067
University Coal Research	SU	81.057	62,118	
Office of Scientific and Technical Information	SU	81.064	96	
Conservation Research and Development	SU	81.086	1,029,905	531,915
Renewable Energy Research and Development	SU	81.087	5,448,597	489,090
Fossil Energy Research and Development	SU	81.089	14,640	
Office of Environmental Cleanup and Acceleration	SU	81.104	3,875,580	157,600
National Industrial Competitiveness through Energy, Environment, and Economics	SU	81.105	72,677	
Stewardship Science Grant Program	SU	81.112	445,103	65,943
Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation Research	SU	81.113	61,850	
University Reactor Infrastructure and Education Support	SU	81.114	396,540	
Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Information Dissemination, Outreach, Training and Technical Analysis/Assistance	SU	81.117	217,579	
Nuclear Energy Research, Development and Demonstration	SU	81.121	502,534	

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY / PROGRAM NAME	ADMINISTERING AGENCY	CFDA #	2006-2007	2006-2007
			EXPENDITURES	TRANSFER TO SUBRECIPIENTS
Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability, Research, Development and Analysis	SU	81.122	101,209	
Other Federal Awards	SU	81.999	1,366,917	
Subtotal - Direct Programs - Clustered			<u>\$23,317,542</u>	<u>\$1,523,015</u>
Direct Programs - Not Clustered				
State Energy Program	FDEP	81.041	2,110,526	2,006,906
Weatherization Assistance for Low-Income Persons	FDCA	81.042	2,057,812	2,057,738
Regional Biomass Energy Programs	SU	81.079	87,327	
Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Information Dissemination, Outreach, Training and Technical Analysis/Assistance	FDEP	81.117	100,696	85,079
State Energy Program Special Projects	FDEP	81.119	370,275	139,912
Other Federal Awards	SU	81.999	13,544	
Subtotal - Direct Programs - Not Clustered			<u>\$4,740,180</u>	<u>\$4,289,635</u>
Indirect Programs - Clustered				
Research & Development Cluster:				
State Energy Program	SU	81.041	90,731	
Office of Science Financial Assistance Program	SU	81.049	262,055	
Renewable Energy Research and Development	SU	81.087	576,861	8,644
Fossil Energy Research and Development	SU	81.089	215,160	
Office of Environmental Cleanup and Acceleration	SU	81.104	14,564	
National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) Minority Serving Institutions (MSI) Program	SU	81.123	550,309	
Other Federal Awards	SU	81.999	881,280	
Subtotal - Indirect Programs - Clustered			<u>\$2,590,960</u>	<u>\$8,644</u>
Indirect Programs - Not Clustered				
Office of Science Financial Assistance Program	SU	81.049	70,164	
Subtotal - Indirect Programs - Not Clustered			<u>\$70,164</u>	
Subtotal - U. S. Department of Energy			<u>\$30,718,846</u>	<u>\$5,821,294</u>
Federal Emergency Management Agency				
Direct Programs - Not Clustered				
Other Federal Awards	FDEP/ SCC	83.999	88,063	
Subtotal - Direct Programs - Not Clustered			<u>\$88,063</u>	
Subtotal - Federal Emergency Management Agency			<u>\$88,063</u>	
U. S. Department of Education				
Direct Programs - Clustered				
Research & Development Cluster:				
Title I Grants to Local Educational Agencies	SU	84.010	121,091	
National Resource Centers and Fellowships Program for Language and Area or Language and International Studies	SU	84.015	209,283	83,796
Undergraduate International Studies and Foreign Language Programs	SU	84.016	36,507	
Overseas - Faculty Research Abroad	SU	84.019	9,335	
Overseas - Doctoral Dissertation	SU	84.022	16,252	
Fund for the Improvement of Postsecondary Education	SU	84.116	36,551	
Rehabilitation Long-Term Training	SU	84.129	212,031	
National Institute on Disability and Rehabilitation Research	SU	84.133	580,505	95,115
Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities - National Programs	SU	84.184	93,889	
Graduate Assistance in Areas of National Need	SU	84.200	582,958	
Fund for the Improvement of Education	SU	84.215	394,779	
Centers for International Business Education	SU	84.220	90,847	
Education Research, Development and Dissemination	SU	84.305	3,380,587	630,858
Research in Special Education	SU	84.324	3,544,319	1,221,377
Special Education - Personnel Development to Improve Services and Results for Children with Disabilities	SU	84.325	2,089,350	91,877
Other Federal Awards	SU	84.999	490	

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY / PROGRAM NAME	ADMINISTERING AGENCY	CFDA #	2006-2007	2006-2007
			EXPENDITURES	TRANSFER TO SUBRECIPIENTS
Special Education Cluster (IDEA):				
Special Education - Grants to States	FDOC/ FDOE/ FDOH/ FSDB/ SU	84.027	573,946,394	547,794,738
Special Education - Preschool Grants	FDOE/ FDOH/ FSDB	84.173	17,628,373	16,733,481
Student Financial Aid Cluster:				
Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants	SCC/ SU	84.007	17,381,643	
Federal Family Education Loans	SCC/ SU	84.032	834,093,166	
Federal Work-Study Program	SCC/ SU	84.033	19,374,440	
Federal Perkins Loan Program - Federal Capital Contributions	SCC/ SU	84.038	86,803,841	
Federal Pell Grant Program	SCC/ SU	84.063	395,287,006	
Federal Direct Student Loans	SCC/ SU	84.268	201,107,069	
Academic Competitiveness Grants	SCC/ SU	84.375	7,888,049	
National Science and Mathematics Access to Retain Talent (SMART) Grants	SCC/ SU	84.376	6,659,918	
TRIO Cluster:				
TRIO - Student Support Services	SCC/ SU	84.042	5,112,720	
TRIO - Talent Search	SCC/ SU	84.044	2,701,788	
TRIO - Upward Bound	SCC/ SU	84.047	5,601,108	
TRIO - Educational Opportunity Centers	SCC	84.066	1,203,448	
TRIO - McNair Post-Baccalaureate Achievement	SU	84.217	842,527	
Subtotal - Direct Programs - Clustered			\$2,187,030,264	\$566,651,242
Direct Programs - Not Clustered				
Adult Education - State Grant Program	FDOC/ FDOE/ SCC	84.002	34,274,722	22,026,925
Title I Grants to Local Educational Agencies	FDOE/ FSDB	84.010	650,620,646	642,916,705
Migrant Education - State Grant Program	FDOE/ SU	84.011	22,988,290	20,883,423
Title I Program for Neglected and Delinquent Children	FDOC/ FDOE	84.013	1,295,149	272,179
National Resource Centers and Fellowships Program for Language and Area or Language and International Studies	SU	84.015	1,383,033	254,825
Undergraduate International Studies and Foreign Language Programs	SCC/ SU	84.016	75,552	
International Research and Studies	SU	84.017	109,729	
Overseas - Faculty Research Abroad	SU	84.019	40,189	
Overseas - Group Projects Abroad	SU	84.021	74,940	
Overseas - Doctoral Dissertation	SU	84.022	39,714	
Higher Education - Institutional Aid	SCC/ SU	84.031	17,163,574	
Federal Family Education Loans	FDOE	84.032	684,682,261	
Perkins Loan Cancellations	SCC/ SU	84.037	549,423	
Impact Aid	FDEP	84.041	97,299	
Vocational Education - Basic Grants to States	FDOC/ FDOE/ FSDB/ SCC/ SU	84.048	72,221,469	39,765,020
Leveraging Educational Assistance Partnership	FDOE	84.069	2,041,866	
Fund for the Improvement of Postsecondary Education	FDOE/ SCC/ SU	84.116	3,268,687	686,929
Minority Science and Engineering Improvement	SCC/ SU	84.120	239,703	
Rehabilitation Services - Vocational Rehabilitation Grants to States	FDOE/ SCC	84.126	165,590,921	
Rehabilitation Services - Service Projects	FDOE	84.128	152,027	
Rehabilitation Long-Term Training	SU	84.129	299,438	
National Institute on Disability and Rehabilitation Research	SU	84.133	951,545	34,000
Migrant Education - High School Equivalency Program	SU	84.141	342,947	
Migrant Education - College Assistance Migrant Program	SU	84.149	105,105	
Business and International Education Projects	SU	84.153	47,782	
Independent Living - State Grants	FDOE	84.169	1,155,319	
Rehabilitation Services - Independent Living Services for Older Individuals Who are Blind	FDOE/ SCC	84.177	2,048,392	
Special Education - Grants for Infants and Families with Disabilities	FDOE/ FDOH	84.181	21,689,009	9,960,879
Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities - National Programs	FDOE	84.184	334,546	
Byrd Honors Scholarships	FDOE/ SU	84.185	2,062,294	
Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities - State Grants	FDLE/ FDOE/ FSDB/ SU	84.186	18,457,362	17,130,745
Supported Employment Services for Individuals with Severe Disabilities	FDOE	84.187	1,296,597	
Education for Homeless Children and Youth	FDOE	84.196	2,766,940	2,766,940

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY / PROGRAM NAME	ADMINISTERING AGENCY	CFDA #	2006-2007	2006-2007
			EXPENDITURES	TRANSFER TO SUBRECIPIENTS
Graduate Assistance in Areas of National Need	SU	84.200	574,704	
Even Start - State Educational Agencies	FAWI/ FDOC/ FDOE/ SU	84.213	7,375,633	5,981,300
Fund for the Improvement of Education	SCC/ SU	84.215	346,563	
Centers for International Business Education	SU	84.220	602,102	
Assistive Technology	FDOE	84.224	671,501	
Projects with Industry	SCC	84.234	164,115	
Rehabilitation Services Demonstration and Training Programs	SU	84.235	283,272	
Tech-Prep Education	FDOE/ SCC	84.243	6,139,043	2,803,662
Rehabilitation Training - State Vocational Rehabilitation Unit In-Service Training	FDOE	84.265	202,864	
Charter Schools	FDOE	84.282	14,357,285	13,624,123
Twenty-First Century Community Learning Centers	FDOE	84.287	42,732,336	39,157,553
State Grants for Innovative Programs	FDOE	84.298	6,404,205	5,760,257
Education Research, Development and Dissemination	SU	84.305	206,676	
Parental Assistance Centers	SU	84.310	284,410	
Education Technology State Grants	FDOE/ FSDB	84.318	18,978,179	18,259,615
Special Education - State Personnel Development	FDOE/ SU	84.323	1,592,133	258,762
Research in Special Education	SU	84.324	1,298,100	637,048
Special Education - Personnel Development to Improve Services and Results for Children with Disabilities	SU	84.325	3,867,880	
Special Education - Technical Assistance and Dissemination to Improve Services and Results for Children with Disabilities	SU	84.326	701,402	16,498
Grants to States for Incarcerated Youth Offenders	FDOC	84.331	1,200,589	
Comprehensive School Reform Demonstration	FDOE/ SU	84.332	3,902,463	3,698,015
Demonstration Projects to Ensure Students with Disabilities Receive a Higher Education	SCC	84.333	79,156	
Gaining Early Awareness and Readiness for Undergraduate Programs	SCC/ SU	84.334	1,841,995	376,676
Child Care Access Means Parents in School	SCC/ SU	84.335	593,434	
Teacher Quality Enhancement Grants	SU	84.336	38,054	
International Education - Technological Innovation and Cooperation for Foreign Information Access	SU	84.337	134,207	
Vocational Education - Occupational and Employment Information State Grants	FDOE	84.346	10,596	14,763
Transition to Teaching	FDOE/ SCC/ SU	84.350	1,992,581	877,011
Tech-Prep Demonstration Grants	SCC	84.353	739,686	
Reading First State Grants	FDOE	84.357	58,351,206	49,818,368
Rural Education	FDOE	84.358	3,608,699	3,423,835
Voluntary Public School Choice	FDOE	84.361	4,442,421	3,551,006
English Language Acquisition Grants	FDOE	84.365	47,048,055	42,773,615
Mathematics and Science Partnerships	FDOE/ SU	84.366	7,670,272	4,303,825
Improving Teacher Quality State Grants	FDOC/ FDOE/ FSDB/ SU	84.367	137,402,695	132,858,632
Grants for State Assessments and Related Activities	FDOE	84.369	11,252,127	
Statewide Data Systems	FDOE	84.372	742,742	
Hurricane Education Recovery	FDOE	84.938	7,421,408	74,062
Other Federal Awards	FDOE/ SCC/ SU	84.999	3,336,753	
Subtotal - Direct Programs - Not Clustered			\$2,107,058,012	\$1,084,967,196
Indirect Programs - Clustered				
Research & Development Cluster:				
Fund for the Improvement of Postsecondary Education	SU	84.116	33,528	
National Institute on Disability and Rehabilitation Research	SU	84.133	19,194	
Fund for the Improvement of Education	SU	84.215	8,903	
National Institute for Literacy	SU	84.257	1,981	
Comprehensive Centers	SU	84.283	541,711	10,000
Education Research, Development and Dissemination	SU	84.305	79,009	
Research in Special Education	SU	84.324	29,299	
Special Education - Technology and Media Services for Individuals with Disabilities	SU	84.327	1,973	
Comprehensive School Reform Demonstration	SU	84.332	1,182	

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY / PROGRAM NAME	ADMINISTERING AGENCY	CFDA #	2006-2007	2006-2007
			EXPENDITURES	TRANSFER TO SUBRECIPIENTS
Early Childhood Educator Professional Development	SU	84.349	105,106	
Arts in Education	SU	84.351	20,937	
Other Federal Awards	SU	84.999	30,760	
Special Education Cluster (IDEA):				
Special Education - Grants to States	SU	84.027	168,217	
Subtotal - Indirect Programs - Clustered			\$1,041,800	\$10,000
Indirect Programs - Not Clustered				
Adult Education - State Grant Program	SCC/ SU	84.002	174,669	
Title I Grants to Local Educational Agencies	SU	84.010	151,095	
Higher Education - Institutional Aid	SCC	84.031	242,896	
Vocational Education - National Programs	SCC	84.051	81,528	
Fund for the Improvement of Postsecondary Education	SCC/ SU	84.116	130,135	
National Institute on Disability and Rehabilitation Research	SU	84.133	10,072	
Magnet Schools Assistance	SU	84.165	27,500	
Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities - National Programs	SU	84.184	299,470	
Fund for the Improvement of Education	SU	84.215	51,343	
Tech-Prep Education	SCC	84.243	94,778	
Charter Schools	SCC	84.282	211,899	
Comprehensive Centers	SU	84.283	243,298	
Education Technology State Grants	SU	84.318	23,989	
Research in Special Education	SU	84.324	12,316	
Special Education - Personnel Development to Improve Services and Results for Children with Disabilities	SU	84.325	135,913	
Special Education - Technical Assistance and Dissemination to Improve Services and Results for Children with Disabilities	SU	84.326	101,883	
Comprehensive School Reform Demonstration	SU	84.332	1,329	
Gaining Early Awareness and Readiness for Undergraduate Programs	SU	84.334	4,864	
International Education - Technological Innovation and Cooperation for Foreign Information Access	SU	84.337	9,431	
Early Childhood Educator Professional Development	SU	84.349	11,701	
Transition to Teaching	SU	84.350	6,962	
Early Reading First	SU	84.359	10,474	
Voluntary Public School Choice	SU	84.361	59,594	
Mathematics and Science Partnerships	SU	84.366	645,084	
Improving Teacher Quality State Grants	SCC/ SU	84.367	416,859	
Other Federal Awards	SCC/ SU	84.999	2,305,556	
Subtotal - Indirect Programs - Not Clustered			\$5,464,638	
Subtotal - U. S. Department of Education			\$4,300,594,714	\$1,651,628,438
National Archives and Records Administration				
Direct Programs - Not Clustered				
National Historical Publications and Records Grants	FDOS/ SU	89.003	20,620	
Subtotal - Direct Programs - Not Clustered			\$20,620	
Subtotal - National Archives and Records Administration			\$20,620	
Election Assistance Commission				
Direct Programs - Clustered				
Research & Development Cluster:				
Help America Vote College Pollworker Program	SU	90.400	7,889	3,268
Subtotal - Direct Programs - Clustered			\$7,889	\$3,268
Direct Programs - Not Clustered				
Help America Vote Act Requirements Payments	FDLE/ FDOS	90.401	9,282,583	4,276,370
Subtotal - Direct Programs - Not Clustered			\$9,282,583	\$4,276,370
Subtotal - Election Assistance Commission			\$9,290,472	\$4,279,638
U. S. Department of Health and Human Services				

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY / PROGRAM NAME	ADMINISTERING AGENCY	CFDA #	2006-2007	2006-2007
			EXPENDITURES	TRANSFER TO SUBRECIPIENTS
Direct Programs - Clustered				
Aging Cluster:				
Special Programs for the Aging - Title III, Part B - Grants for Supportive Services and Senior Centers	FDOEA	93.044	29,636,913	26,693,837
Special Programs for the Aging - Title III, Part C - Nutrition Services	FDOEA	93.045	36,844,917	31,769,863
Nutrition Services Incentive Program	FDOEA	93.053	7,352,194	6,599,920
CCDF - Child Care Cluster:				
Child Care and Development Block Grant	FAWI/ FDCFS/ FDOE/ FDOH/ SCC/ SU	93.575	242,737,161	227,397,157
Child Care Mandatory and Matching Funds of the Child Care and Development Fund	FAWI/ FDOE	93.596	116,893,977	116,880,799
Medicaid Cluster:				
State Medicaid Fraud Control Units	FDLA	93.775	14,400,245	
State Survey and Certification of Health Care Providers and Suppliers	FAHCA/ FDOH	93.777	15,148,752	
Medical Assistance Program	FAHCA/ FAPD/ FDCFS/ FDOEA/ FDOH	93.778	8,351,493,747	10,166,978
Research & Development Cluster:				
Special Programs for the Aging - Title IV - and Title II - Discretionary Projects	SU	93.048	1,013,716	18,155
Centers for Genomics and Public Health	SU	93.063	179,775	
Healthy Marriage Promotion and Responsible Fatherhood Grants	SU	93.086	388,208	
Food and Drug Administration - Research	SU	93.103	53,356	
Maternal and Child Health Federal Consolidated Programs	SU	93.110	581,896	93,279
Adolescent Family Life Research Grants	SU	93.111	323,027	53,440
Environmental Health	SU	93.113	2,393,969	296,424
Oral Diseases and Disorders Research	SU	93.121	7,811,068	450,151
Nurse Anesthetist Traineeships	SU	93.124	25,184	
Coordinated Services and Access to Research for Women, Infants, Children, and Youth	SU	93.153	881,412	117,543
Rural Health Research Centers	SU	93.155	548	
Human Genome Research	SU	93.172	332	
Research Related to Deafness and Communication Disorders	SU	93.173	3,318,166	101,954
Research and Training in Complementary and Alternative Medicine	SU	93.213	572,976	
Research on Healthcare Costs, Quality and Outcomes	SU	93.226	473	
National Center on Sleep Disorders Research	SU	93.233	338,086	
Mental Health Research Grants	SU	93.242	7,664,219	1,405,657
Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services - Projects of Regional and National Significance	SU	93.243	12,712	
Advanced Education Nursing Grant Program	SU	93.247	13,353	
Poison Control Stabilization and Enhancement Grants	SU	93.253	372,965	313,860
Occupational Safety and Health Program	SU	93.262	14,241	
Alcohol Research Programs	SU	93.273	3,922,980	92,794
Drug Abuse and Addiction Research Programs	SU	93.279	9,464,961	1,630,341
Mental Health Research Career/Scientist Development Awards	SU	93.281	186,555	
Mental Health National Research Service Awards for Research Training	SU	93.282	120,873	
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Investigations and Technical Assistance	SU	93.283	224,500	
Discovery and Applied Research for Technological Innovations to Improve Human Health	SU	93.286	2,335,920	52,174
Minority Health and Health Disparities Research	SU	93.307	1,011,240	419,881
Trans-NIH Research Support	SU	93.310	263,176	
Advanced Education Nursing Traineeships	SU	93.358	76,403	
Nursing Research	SU	93.361	2,865,143	307,288
National Center for Research Resources	SU	93.389	11,659,537	2,534,568
Cancer Cause and Prevention Research	SU	93.393	3,051,409	84,518
Cancer Detection and Diagnosis Research	SU	93.394	912,380	70,518
Cancer Treatment Research	SU	93.395	2,526,242	285,630
Cancer Biology Research	SU	93.396	3,700,186	119,598
Cancer Centers Support Grants	SU	93.397	66,616	

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY / PROGRAM NAME	ADMINISTERING AGENCY	CFDA #	2006-2007	2006-2007
			EXPENDITURES	TRANSFER TO SUBRECIPIENTS
Cancer Research Manpower	SU	93.398	701,105	45,156
Cancer Control	SU	93.399	728,220	
Welfare Reform Research, Evaluations and National Studies	SU	93.595	250,866	123,842
Head Start	SU	93.600	30,468	
Family Violence Prevention and Services/Grants for Battered Womens Shelters - Grants to States and Indian Tribes	SU	93.671	405,699	
Health Careers Opportunity Program	SU	93.822	37,587	
Heart and Vascular Diseases Research	SU	93.837	11,899,016	814,138
Lung Diseases Research	SU	93.838	3,607,491	134,510
Blood Diseases and Resources Research	SU	93.839	3,766,100	41,527
Arthritis, Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases Research	SU	93.846	3,560,454	131,735
Diabetes, Endocrinology and Metabolism Research	SU	93.847	13,769,805	3,400,941
Digestive Diseases and Nutrition Research	SU	93.848	2,915,463	
Kidney Diseases, Urology and Hematology Research	SU	93.849	4,018,549	218,091
Extramural Research Programs in the Neurosciences and Neurological Disorders	SU	93.853	16,988,965	2,423,753
Allergy, Immunology and Transplantation Research	SU	93.855	10,966,120	1,122,921
Microbiology and Infectious Diseases Research	SU	93.856	3,593,056	302,713
Biomedical Research and Research Training	SU	93.859	16,288,208	1,446,095
Child Health and Human Development Extramural Research	SU	93.865	5,473,898	612,048
Aging Research	SU	93.866	9,777,559	2,716,383
Vision Research	SU	93.867	5,628,277	570,056
Health Care and Other Facilities	SU	93.887	343,123	
Grants to Provide Outpatient Early Intervention Services with Respect to HIV Disease	SU	93.918	64,011	44,992
Healthy Start Initiative	SU	93.926	129,362	15,000
HIV Prevention Activities - Non-Governmental Organization Based	SU	93.939	10,726	
Assistance Programs for Chronic Disease Prevention and Control	SU	93.945	587,969	
Public Health Traineeships	SU	93.964	9,464	
Coal Miners Respiratory Impairment Treatment Clinics and Services	SU	93.965	24,641	
International Research and Research Training	SU	93.989	81,829	
Other Federal Awards	SU	93.999	756,658	68,438
Student Financial Aid Cluster:				
Health Professions Student Loans, Including Primary Care Loans/Loans for Disadvantaged Students	SU	93.342	4,092,327	
Nursing Student Loans	SCC/ SU	93.364	89,074	
Scholarships for Health Professions Students from Disadvantaged Backgrounds	SCC/ SU	93.925	2,124,917	
Subtotal - Direct Programs - Clustered			<u>\$9,005,576,716</u>	<u>\$442,188,666</u>
Direct Programs - Not Clustered				
State and Territorial and Technical Assistance Capacity Development	FDOH	93.006	297,558	49,985
Minority HIV/AIDS Demonstration Program				
Medical Reserve Corps Small Grant Program	FDOH	93.008	139,289	
Community-Based Abstinence Education (CBAE)	FDOH	93.010	1,542,887	184,633
HIV Prevention Programs for Women	SU	93.015	35,870	
Special Programs for the Aging - Title VII, Chapter 3 - Programs for Prevention of Elder Abuse, Neglect, and Exploitation	FDOEA	93.041	380,117	222,600
Special Programs for the Aging - Title VII, Chapter 2 - Long Term Care Ombudsman Services for Older Individuals	FDOEA	93.042	1,315,849	67,085
Special Programs for the Aging - Title III, Part D - Disease Prevention and Health Promotion Services	FDOEA	93.043	1,756,570	1,439,750
Special Programs for the Aging - Title IV - and Title II - Discretionary Projects	FDOEA/ FDOH	93.048	390,908	219,371
Alzheimers Disease Demonstration Grants to States	FDOEA	93.051	441,441	114,286
National Family Caregiver Support	FDOEA	93.052	12,732,340	10,048,700
Model State-Supported Area Health Education Centers	SU	93.107	163,605	123,000
Maternal and Child Health Federal Consolidated Programs	FDOH/ SU	93.110	1,145,488	
Environmental Health	SU	93.113	9,285	

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY / PROGRAM NAME	ADMINISTERING AGENCY	CFDA #	2006-2007	2006-2007
			EXPENDITURES	TRANSFER TO SUBRECIPIENTS
Project Grants and Cooperative Agreements for Tuberculosis Control Programs	FDOH	93.116	7,913,942	1,861,140
Oral Diseases and Disorders Research	SU	93.121	487,742	
Nurse Anesthetist Traineeships	SU	93.124	18,884	
Emergency Medical Services for Children	FDOH/ SCC	93.127	138,315	
Primary Care Services Resource Coordination and Development	FDOH	93.130	312,892	50,000
Centers for Research and Demonstration for Health Promotion and Disease Prevention	SU	93.135	842,944	160,289
Injury Prevention and Control Research and State and Community Based Programs	FDOH/ SU	93.136	2,462,386	1,407,095
Community Programs to Improve Minority Health Grant Program	SU	93.137	53,194	
AIDS Education and Training Centers	SU	93.145	3,166,829	1,113,391
Projects for Assistance in Transition from Homelessness (PATH)	FDCFS	93.150	3,301,901	3,207,986
Coordinated Services and Access to Research for Women, Infants, Children, and Youth	SU	93.153	1,367,378	876,078
Centers of Excellence	SU	93.157	159,845	
Research Related to Deafness and Communication Disorders	SU	93.173	210,015	
Allied Health Special Projects	SU	93.191	86,908	
Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention Projects - State and Local	FDOH	93.197	934,234	18,945
Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention and Surveillance of Blood Lead Levels in Children				
Surveillance of Hazardous Substance Emergency Events	FDOH	93.204	77,869	54,213
Family Planning - Services	FDOH	93.217	11,459,309	543,339
Clinical Research Loan Repayment Program for Individuals from Disadvantaged Backgrounds	SU	93.220	54,129	
Consolidated Health Centers (Community Health Centers, Migrant Health Centers, Health Care for the Homeless, Public Housing Primary Care, and School Based Health Centers)	FDOH	93.224	6,780,589	
Research on Healthcare Costs, Quality and Outcomes	SU	93.226	62,281	
Consolidated Knowledge Development and Application (KD&A) Program	FDOH	93.230	12,518	
Traumatic Brain Injury State Demonstration Grant Program	FDOH	93.234	62,500	62,500
Abstinence Education Program	FDOH	93.235	3,878,344	1,689,719
Grants for Dental Public Health Residency Training	SU	93.236	87,616	
State Capacity Building	FDOH	93.240	493,131	
State Rural Hospital Flexibility Program	FDOH	93.241	410,897	
Mental Health Research Grants	SU	93.242	26,008	
Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services - Projects of Regional and National Significance	FDCFS/ SU	93.243	4,010,780	453,500
Advanced Education Nursing Grant Program	SU	93.247	878,393	
Universal Newborn Hearing Screening	FDOH	93.251	114,489	21,358
Poison Control Stabilization and Enhancement Grants	SU	93.253	13,758	
Rural Access to Emergency Devices Grant	FDOH	93.259	6,125	
Occupational Safety and Health Program	SU	93.262	937,590	
Nurse Faculty Loan Program (NFLP)	SU	93.264	209,266	
Immunization Grants (1)	FDOH	93.268	120,392,118	86,389,030
Alcohol National Research Service Awards for Research Training	SU	93.272	24,381	
Alcohol Research Programs	SU	93.273	16,797	
Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services-Access to Recovery	FDCFS/ SU	93.275	13,330,054	216,531
Drug Abuse and Addiction Research Programs	SU	93.279	49,312	
Mental Health Research Career/Scientist Development Awards	SU	93.281	125,347	
Mental Health National Research Service Awards for Research Training	SU	93.282	16,509	
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Investigations and Technical Assistance	FDACS/ FDOH/ SU	93.283	58,883,773	1,917,557
Small Rural Hospital Improvement Grant Program	FDOH	93.301	151,455	
Minority Health and Health Disparities Research	SU	93.307	273,541	
Advanced Education Nursing Traineeships	SU	93.358	281,999	
Nurse Education, Practice and Retention Grants	SCC/ SU	93.359	746,166	
Nursing Research	SU	93.361	297,447	27,521
National Center for Research Resources	SU	93.389	248,707	

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY / PROGRAM NAME	ADMINISTERING AGENCY	CFDA #	2006-2007	2006-2007
			EXPENDITURES	TRANSFER TO SUBRECIPIENTS
Cancer Cause and Prevention Research	SU	93.393	20,607	
Cancer Research Manpower	SU	93.398	1,016,864	5,511
Cancer Control	SU	93.399	913,047	18,000
Food Safety and Security Monitoring Project	FDACS	93.448	310,057	
Ruminant Feed Ban Support Project	FDACS	93.449	211,987	
Promoting Safe and Stable Families	FDCFS	93.556	21,384,577	19,177,604
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	FAWI/ FDCFS/ FDMA/ FDOE/ FDOH	93.558	417,944,066	316,052,769
Child Support Enforcement	Courts/ FDOR/ JAC	93.563	197,370,068	24,046,338
Child Support Enforcement Research	FDOR	93.564	19,140	
Refugee and Entrant Assistance - State Administered Programs	FAHCA/ FDCFS/ FDOH	93.566	77,073,674	21,448,058
Low-Income Home Energy Assistance	FDCA/ FDOEA	93.568	47,537,993	46,876,484
Community Services Block Grant	FDCA	93.569	20,386,635	19,404,985
Community Services Block Grant - Discretionary Awards	SU	93.570	202	
Community Services Block Grant Formula and Discretionary Awards	FDCA	93.571	83,388	83,388
Community Food and Nutrition Programs				
Refugee and Entrant Assistance - Discretionary Grants	FDCFS/ FDOE/ FDOH/ SCC	93.576	23,798,259	15,349,351
U.S. Repatriation	FDCFS	93.579	56,892	
Refugee and Entrant Assistance - Targeted Assistance Grants	FDCFS	93.584	12,912,258	12,787,896
State Court Improvement Program	Courts	93.586	684,677	
Community-Based Child Abuse Prevention Grants	FDCFS	93.590	1,476,836	711,548
Grants to States for Access and Visitation Programs	FDCFS	93.597	552,163	485,320
Chafee Education and Training Vouchers Program (ETV)	FDCFS	93.599	2,738,482	2,452,123
Head Start	SU	93.600	1,531,436	209,494
Adoption Incentive Payments	FDCFS	93.603	2,216,845	1,522,226
Voting Access for Individuals with Disabilities - Grants to States	FDOS	93.617	103,102	103,102
University Centers for Excellence in Developmental Disabilities Education, Research, and Service	SU	93.632	459,470	
Childrens Justice Grants to States	FDCFS/ FDLE/ FDOH	93.643	593,803	
Child Welfare Services - State Grants	FDCFS	93.645	17,104,457	13,331,043
Foster Care - Title IV-E	FDCFS/ FDJJ	93.658	143,791,027	121,852,522
Adoption Assistance	FDCFS	93.659	62,862,857	56,216,376
Social Services Block Grant	FAPD/ FAWI/ FDCFS/ FDJJ/ FDOEA/ FDOH	93.667	175,144,831	64,170,368
Child Abuse and Neglect State Grants	FDCFS	93.669	932,935	642,747
Family Violence Prevention and Services/Grants for Battered Womens Shelters - Grants to States and Indian Tribes	FDCFS	93.671	4,425,704	4,222,343
Chafee Foster Care Independence Program	FDCFS	93.674	6,165,869	7,035,574
State Childrens Insurance Program	FAHCA/ FDCFS/ FDOH	93.767	269,723,135	154,140,203
Medicaid Infrastructure Grants To Support the Competitive Employment of People with Disabilities	FAPD	93.768	439,941	
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) Research, Demonstrations and Evaluations	FAPD/ FDMS/ FDOEA	93.779	2,527,259	964,483
Health Careers Opportunity Program	SCC/ SU	93.822	444,946	
Heart and Vascular Diseases Research	SU	93.837	52,506	
Lung Diseases Research	SU	93.838	45,722	
Blood Diseases and Resources Research	SU	93.839	112,974	
Arthritis, Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases Research	SU	93.846	182,144	
Diabetes, Endocrinology and Metabolism Research	SU	93.847	387,190	
Digestive Diseases and Nutrition Research	SU	93.848	209,332	
Kidney Diseases, Urology and Hematology Research	SU	93.849	136,858	
Extramural Research Programs in the Neurosciences and Neurological Disorders	SU	93.853	295,991	
Allergy, Immunology and Transplantation Research	SU	93.855	156,072	
Microbiology and Infectious Diseases Research	SU	93.856	78,247	
Biomedical Research and Research Training	SU	93.859	462,430	
Child Health and Human Development Extramural Research	SU	93.865	850,256	
Aging Research	SU	93.866	648,774	373,628

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY / PROGRAM NAME	ADMINISTERING AGENCY	CFDA #	2006-2007	2006-2007
			EXPENDITURES	TRANSFER TO SUBRECIPIENTS
Vision Research	SU	93.867	197,236	
Grants for Training in Primary Care Medicine and Dentistry	SU	93.884	53,100	
Health Care and Other Facilities	FDOH/ SCC/ SU	93.887	9,624,798	
Specially Selected Health Projects	SU	93.888	500,938	119,163
National Bioterrorism Hospital Preparedness Program	FDOH	93.889	31,082,892	
Rural Health Care Services Outreach and Rural Health Network Development Program	FDOH	93.912	14,928	
Grants to States for Operation of Offices of Rural Health	FDOH	93.913	155,158	72,000
HIV Care Formula Grants	FDOH	93.917	115,131,536	23,091,169
Grants to Provide Outpatient Early Intervention Services with Respect to HIV Disease	FDOH/ SU	93.918	3,284,078	249,060
Cooperative Agreements for State-Based Comprehensive Breast and Cervical Cancer Early Detection Programs	FDOH	93.919	5,076,805	
Healthy Start Initiative	FDOH/ SU	93.926	2,333,752	249,828
Native Hawaiian Health Systems	SU	93.932	91,315	43,500
Cooperative Agreements to Support Comprehensive School Health Programs to Prevent the Spread of HIV and Other Important Health Problems	FDOE/ FDOH	93.938	1,100,347	
HIV Prevention Activities - Health Department Based	FDOC/ FDOH	93.940	19,770,540	5,211,040
HIV Demonstration, Research, Public and Professional Education Projects	FDOH	93.941	192,275	98,163
Epidemiologic Research Studies of Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS) and Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) Infection in Selected Population Groups	FDOH/ SU	93.943	571,865	92,540
Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV)/Acquired Immunodeficiency Virus Syndrome (AIDS) Surveillance	FDOH	93.944	3,499,345	510,138
Assistance Programs for Chronic Disease Prevention and Control	FDOH	93.945	1,129,889	117,002
Cooperative Agreements to Support State-Based Safe Motherhood and Infant Health Initiative Programs	FDOH	93.946	135,288	
Trauma Care Systems Planning and Development	FDOH	93.952	27,740	
Block Grants for Community Mental Health Services	FDCFS	93.958	24,314,870	29,204,022
Block Grants for Prevention and Treatment of Substance Abuse	FDCFS/ FDOH	93.959	93,162,082	83,750,240
Public Health Traineeships	SU	93.964	32,248	
Geriatric Education Centers	SU	93.969	350,367	65,608
Preventive Health Services - Sexually Transmitted Diseases Control Grants	FDOH	93.977	4,152,107	592,608
Preventive Health Services - Sexually Transmitted Diseases Research, Demonstrations, and Public Information and Education Grants	FDOH	93.978	415,044	126,774
Mental Health Disaster Assistance and Emergency Mental Health	FDCFS	93.982	10,548,283	5,845,502
Cooperative Agreements for State-Based Diabetes Control Programs and Evaluation of Surveillance Systems	FDOH	93.988	576,135	41,894
Preventive Health and Health Services Block Grant	FDOH	93.991	4,609,183	529,203
Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant to the States	FDOH	93.994	29,164,731	
Bioterrorism Training and Curriculum Development Program	SU	93.996	18,164	
Other Federal Awards	FDOH/ SU	93.999	899,484	
Subtotal - Direct Programs - Not Clustered			\$2,147,016,322	\$1,166,232,540
Indirect Programs - Clustered				
CCDF - Child Care Cluster:				
Child Care and Development Block Grant	FDOH/ SU	93.575	511,874	
Medicaid Cluster:				
Medical Assistance Program	FDOH/ SU	93.778	1,358,436	12,414
Research & Development Cluster:				
Comprehensive Community Mental Health Services for Children with Serious Emotional Disturbances (SED)	SU	93.104	208,968	
Maternal and Child Health Federal Consolidated Programs	SU	93.110	145,552	
Oral Diseases and Disorders Research	SU	93.121	243,850	
Community Programs to Improve Minority Health Grant Program	SU	93.137	6,373	
Rural Health Research Centers	SU	93.155	24,448	
Human Genome Research	SU	93.172	430,462	
Research Related to Deafness and Communication Disorders	SU	93.173	26,284	

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY / PROGRAM NAME	ADMINISTERING AGENCY	CFDA #	2006-2007	2006-2007
			EXPENDITURES	TRANSFER TO SUBRECIPIENTS
Allied Health Special Projects	SU	93.191	37,219	
Research and Training in Complementary and Alternative Medicine	SU	93.213	55,571	
Research on Healthcare Costs, Quality and Outcomes	SU	93.226	40,892	
National Center on Sleep Disorders Research	SU	93.233	70,811	
Mental Health Research Grants	SU	93.242	427,687	
Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services - Projects of Regional and National Significance	SU	93.243	141,024	
State Planning Grants Health Care Access for the Uninsured	SU	93.256	13,769	
Alcohol Research Programs	SU	93.273	79,395	
Drug Abuse and Addiction Research Programs	SU	93.279	156,484	
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Investigations and Technical Assistance	SU	93.283	267,062	
Discovery and Applied Research for Technological Innovations to Improve Human Health	SU	93.286	255,848	
Nursing Research	SU	93.361	17,809	
National Center for Research Resources	SU	93.389	408,297	
Cancer Cause and Prevention Research	SU	93.393	18,948	
Cancer Treatment Research	SU	93.395	388,749	
Cancer Centers Support Grants	SU	93.397	101,143	
Cancer Research Manpower	SU	93.398	3,778	
Cancer Control	SU	93.399	89,944	
Child Care and Development Block Grant	SU	93.575	3,150	
Early Learning Fund	SU	93.577	244,254	
Head Start	SU	93.600	40,277	
Basic/Core Area Health Education Centers	SU	93.824	2,565	
Lung Diseases Research	SU	93.838	16,121	
Blood Diseases and Resources Research	SU	93.839	442,768	
Arthritis, Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases Research	SU	93.846	33,668	
Diabetes, Endocrinology and Metabolism Research	SU	93.847	90,518	
Extramural Research Programs in the Neurosciences and Neurological Disorders	SU	93.853	572,847	
Allergy, Immunology and Transplantation Research	SU	93.855	7	
Microbiology and Infectious Diseases Research	SU	93.856	214,667	
Biomedical Research and Research Training	SU	93.859	408,772	
Child Health and Human Development Extramural Research	SU	93.865	817,355	
Aging Research	SU	93.866	488,191	
Vision Research	SU	93.867	179,066	89,380
Preventive Health and Health Services Block Grant	SU	93.991	741	
Other Federal Awards	SU	93.999	995,966	
Student Financial Aid Cluster:				
Health Professions Student Loans, Including Primary Care Loans/Loans for Disadvantaged Students	SU	93.342	36,350	
Subtotal - Indirect Programs - Clustered			\$10,117,960	\$101,794
Indirect Programs - Not Clustered				
Community-Based Abstinence Education (CBAE)	FDOH	93.010	18,125	
Special Programs for the Aging - Title III, Part D - Disease Prevention and Health Promotion Services	SU	93.043	24,771	
National Family Caregiver Support	SU	93.052	122,515	
Healthy Marriage Promotion and Responsible Fatherhood Grants	SU	93.086	35,674	
Health Disparities in Minority Health	FDOH	93.100	106,033	
Food and Drug Administration - Research	SU	93.103	7,938	
Comprehensive Community Mental Health Services for Children with Serious Emotional Disturbances (SED)	FDOH/ SU	93.104	743,544	
Maternal and Child Health Federal Consolidated Programs	SU	93.110	56,697	
Coordinated Services and Access to Research for Women, Infants, Children, and Youth	SU	93.153	578,553	
Centers of Excellence	SU	93.157	1,620	
Nursing Workforce Diversity	SCC	93.178	38,297	

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY / PROGRAM NAME	ADMINISTERING AGENCY	CFDA #	2006-2007	2006-2007
			EXPENDITURES	TRANSFER TO SUBRECIPIENTS
Health Education and Training Centers	SU	93.189	66,427	24,654
Allied Health Special Projects	SU	93.191	36,740	
Research on Healthcare Costs, Quality and Outcomes	SU	93.226	21,965	
Consolidated Knowledge Development and Application (KD&A) Program	SU	93.230	8,820	
Mental Health Research Grants	SU	93.242	33,169	
Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services - Projects of Regional and National Significance	SU	93.243	511,658	
Healthy Communities Access Program	FDOH	93.252	386,612	
Drug Abuse and Addiction Research Programs	SU	93.279	483,480	
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Investigations and Technical Assistance	SU	93.283	445,756	16,640
Cancer Cause and Prevention Research	SU	93.393	5,781	
Cancer Centers Support Grants	SU	93.397	974	
Promoting Safe and Stable Families	SU	93.556	15,002	
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	SCC/ SU	93.558	1,893,554	
Child Support Enforcement	SU	93.563	55,128	
Community-Based Child Abuse Prevention Grants	SU	93.590	43,250	
Head Start	SCC/ SU	93.600	173,120	
Developmental Disabilities Basic Support and Advocacy Grants	SCC/ SU	93.630	11,374	
Adoption Opportunities	SU	93.652	61,915	
Foster Care - Title IV-E	SU	93.658	911,427	
Child Abuse and Neglect Discretionary Activities	FDCFS/ SU	93.670	76,715	
Heart and Vascular Diseases Research	SU	93.837	2,389	
Diabetes, Endocrinology and Metabolism Research	SU	93.847	5,364	
Biomedical Research and Research Training	SCC	93.859	32,944	
Child Health and Human Development Extramural Research	SU	93.865	307,831	
HIV Emergency Relief Project Grants	FDOH/ SU	93.914	11,250,220	
HIV Care Formula Grants	FDOH	93.917	117,157	
Grants to Provide Outpatient Early Intervention Services with Respect to HIV Disease	FDOH	93.918	242,708	
Healthy Start Initiative	FDOH/ SU	93.926	2,269,029	
HIV Prevention Activities - Health Department Based	SU	93.940	23,658	
Block Grants for Prevention and Treatment of Substance Abuse	SU	93.959	80,476	
Preventive Health and Health Services Block Grant	SU	93.991	103,654	
Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant to the States	FDOH	93.994	39,684	
Adolescent Family Life - Demonstration Projects	SU	93.995	1,012	
Bioterrorism Training and Curriculum Development Program	SCC	93.996	296,791	
Other Federal Awards	SCC/ SU	93.999	348,004	
Subtotal - Indirect Programs - Not Clustered			\$22,097,555	\$41,294
Subtotal - U. S. Department of Health and Human Services			\$11,184,808,553	\$1,608,564,294
U. S. Corporation for National and Community Service				
Direct Programs - Clustered				
Foster Grandparent/Senior Companion Cluster:				
Senior Companion Program	FDOEA	94.016	333,318	218,345
Subtotal - Direct Programs - Clustered			\$333,318	\$218,345
Direct Programs - Not Clustered				
Retired and Senior Volunteer Program	FEOG/ SCC	94.002	306,516	
State Commissions	FEOG	94.003	402,525	
Learn and Serve America - School and Community Based Programs	FDOE	94.004	1,684,194	1,129,385
AmeriCorps	FDEP/ FEOG	94.006	4,402,431	3,105,079
Planning and Program Development Grants	FEOG/ SCC	94.007	244,376	
Training and Technical Assistance	FEOG	94.009	423,556	
Volunteers in Service to America	SU	94.013	244,026	
	FDOC	94.999	330,995	
Subtotal - Direct Programs - Not Clustered			\$8,038,619	\$4,234,464

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY / PROGRAM NAME	ADMINISTERING AGENCY	CFDA #	2006-2007	2006-2007
			EXPENDITURES	TRANSFER TO SUBRECIPIENTS
Indirect Programs - Clustered				
Research & Development Cluster:				
AmeriCorps	SU	94.006	65,588	
Subtotal - Indirect Programs - Clustered			<u>\$65,588</u>	
Indirect Programs - Not Clustered				
Learn and Serve America - Higher Education	SCC	94.005	18,368	
AmeriCorps	FDOEA/ SU	94.006	249,890	66,611
Planning and Program Development Grants	SCC	94.007	12,741	
Subtotal - Indirect Programs - Not Clustered			<u>\$280,999</u>	<u>\$66,611</u>
Subtotal - U. S. Corporation for National and Community Service			<u>\$8,718,524</u>	<u>\$4,519,420</u>
U. S. Social Security Administration				
Direct Programs - Clustered				
Disability Insurance/SSI Cluster:				
Social Security - Disability Insurance	FDLE/ FDOH	96.001	93,358,621	
Subtotal - Direct Programs - Clustered			<u>\$93,358,621</u>	
Subtotal - U. S. Social Security Administration			<u>\$93,358,621</u>	
U. S. Department of Homeland Security				
Direct Programs - Clustered				
Homeland Security Cluster:				
State Domestic Preparedness Equipment Support Program	FDACS/ FDCA/ FDFS/ FDHSMV/ FDLE/ FDMS	97.004	23,957,464	17,803,795
Homeland Security Grant Program	FDACS/ FDCA/ FDEP/ FDFS/ FDHSMV/ FDLE/ FDMS/ FDOE/ FDOH/ FFWCC/ SCC	97.067	26,503,499	14,804,461
Research & Development Cluster:				
Homeland Security Grant Program	SU	97.067	10,000	
Competitive Training Grants	SU	97.068	1,627,566	168,713
Subtotal - Direct Programs - Clustered			<u>\$52,098,529</u>	<u>\$32,776,969</u>
Direct Programs - Not Clustered				
Pilot Demonstration or Earmarked Projects	FDCA/ FDLE/ FFWCC	97.001	394,020	149,422
Homeland Security Preparedness Technical Assistance Program	SCC	97.007	978,609	
Urban Areas Security Initiative	FDCA	97.008	15,133,350	15,133,350
Boating Safety Financial Assistance	FFWCC	97.012	4,633,174	
Pre-Disaster Mitigation (PDM) Competitive Grants	FDCA	97.017	5,512,667	5,493,925
Hazardous Materials Assistance Program	FDCA	97.021	39,981	
Flood Mitigation Assistance	FDCA	97.029	1,835,905	1,594,871
Crisis Counseling	FDCA/ FDCFS	97.032	350,059	
Disaster Unemployment Assistance	FAWI	97.034	50,441	
Disaster Grants - Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disasters)	FDACS/ FDCA/ FDEP/ FDFS/ FDHSMV/ FDJJ/ FDLE/ FDMA/ FDMS/ FDOH/ FDOS/ FDOT/ FFWCC	97.036	554,675,035	479,771,151
Hazard Mitigation Grant	FDCA/ FDOC	97.039	22,410,934	13,157,242
National Dam Safety Program	FDEP	97.041	69,725	
Emergency Management Performance Grants	FDCA	97.042	6,761,065	2,380,179
Fire Management Assistance Grant	FDCA/ FDOH	97.046	551,493	497,129
State Homeland Security Program (SHSP)	FDCA	97.073	21,161	
Rail and Transit Security Grant Program	FDCA	97.075	163,100	163,100
Buffer Zone Protection Plan (BZPP)	FDCA	97.078	1,033,019	
Repetitive Flood Claims	FDCA	97.092	1,317,903	1,317,903
Other Federal Awards	FDLE/ FDOC	97.999	833,501	
Subtotal - Direct Programs - Not Clustered			<u>\$616,765,142</u>	<u>\$519,658,272</u>
Indirect Programs - Clustered				

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY / PROGRAM NAME	ADMINISTERING AGENCY	CFDA #	2006-2007	2006-2007
			EXPENDITURES	TRANSFER TO SUBRECIPIENTS
Homeland Security Cluster:				
State Domestic Preparedness Equipment Support Program	FDLE	97.004	200	
Homeland Security Grant Program	SU	97.067	103,898	
Research & Development Cluster:				
Hazardous Materials Training Program	SU	97.020	66,992	
Other Federal Awards	SU	97.999	1,767	
Subtotal - Indirect Programs - Clustered			<u>\$172,857</u>	
Indirect Programs - Not Clustered				
Urban Areas Security Initiative	SU	97.008	1,857	
Subtotal - Indirect Programs - Not Clustered			<u>\$1,857</u>	
Subtotal - U. S. Department of Homeland Security			<u>\$669,038,385</u>	<u>\$552,435,241</u>
U. S. Agency for International Development				
Direct Programs - Clustered				
Research & Development Cluster:				
USAID Foreign Assistance for Programs Overseas	SU	98.001	3,987,947	537,871
John Ogonowski Farmer-to-Farmer Program	SU	98.009	197,990	
Global Development Alliance	SU	98.011	38,635	
USAID Development Partnerships for University Cooperation and Development	SU	98.012	90,328	75,000
Subtotal - Direct Programs - Clustered			<u>\$4,314,900</u>	<u>\$612,871</u>
Direct Programs - Not Clustered				
Ocean Freight Reimbursement Program (OFR)	SU	98.003	161,640	99,479
Subtotal - Direct Programs - Not Clustered			<u>\$161,640</u>	<u>\$99,479</u>
Indirect Programs - Clustered				
Research & Development Cluster:				
John Ogonowski Farmer-to-Farmer Program	SU	98.009	215,546	
Global Development Alliance	SU	98.011	6,174	
USAID Development Partnerships for University Cooperation and Development	SU	98.012	125	
Subtotal - Indirect Programs - Clustered			<u>\$221,845</u>	
Indirect Programs - Not Clustered				
USAID Development Partnerships for University Cooperation and Development	SCC	98.012	520	
Other Federal Awards	SCC	98.999	708,739	
Subtotal - Indirect Programs - Not Clustered			<u>\$709,259</u>	
Subtotal - U. S. Agency for International Development			<u>\$5,407,644</u>	<u>\$712,350</u>
Other Federal Grants				
Direct Programs - Clustered				
Research & Development Cluster:				
Other Federal Awards	SU	99.999	5,368	
Subtotal - Direct Programs - Clustered			<u>\$5,368</u>	
Direct Programs - Not Clustered				
Other Federal Awards	SCC	99.999	15,949	
Subtotal - Direct Programs - Not Clustered			<u>\$15,949</u>	
Indirect Programs - Not Clustered				
Other Federal Awards	SCC	99.999	7,125	
Subtotal - Indirect Programs - Not Clustered			<u>\$7,125</u>	
Subtotal - Other Federal Grants			<u>\$28,442</u>	

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY / PROGRAM NAME	ADMINISTERING AGENCY	CFDA #	2006-2007 EXPENDITURES	2006-2007 TRANSFER TO SUBRECIPIENTS
Program Totals				
Direct Total Federal Awards Expenditures			\$22,768,496,321	\$5,080,766,601
Indirect Total Federal Awards Expenditures			\$66,335,940	\$492,701
Total Expenditures of Federal Awards			\$22,834,832,261	\$5,081,259,302

The accompanying notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards are an integral part of this schedule.

1 These items include non-cash assistance.

NOTES TO THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

NOTE 1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards has been prepared in accordance with the United States Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 and presents Federal awards expended by the State of Florida. The Single Audit Act Amendments of 1996 (Public Law 104-156) and the OMB Circular A-133 define Federal awards as Federal financial assistance and Federal cost-reimbursement contracts that non-Federal entities receive directly from Federal awarding agencies or indirectly from pass-through entities. Federal financial assistance is defined as assistance that non-Federal entities receive or administer in the form of grants, loans, loan guarantees, property, cooperative agreements, interest subsidies, insurance, food commodities, direct appropriations, or other assistance.

The following summary of the State of Florida's significant accounting policies and related information is presented to assist the reader in interpreting the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards and should be viewed as an integral part of the accompanying schedule.

- **Reporting Entity**

The reporting entity for the purposes of the accompanying schedule is the State of Florida primary government (i.e., legislative agencies, the Governor and Cabinet, departments and agencies, including Volunteer Florida, commissions, boards of the Executive Branch, and various offices relating to the Judicial Branch), the State Universities (SU), and the State Community Colleges (SCC), exclusive of any component units of the State Universities and Community Colleges.

- **Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance**

The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance is a government-wide compendium of individual Federal programs. A five-digit program identification number (CFDA No.) is assigned to each program included in the catalog. Those programs that have not been assigned a CFDA number by the Federal Government and those programs for which CFDA numbers could not be identified are included in the category "Other Federal Awards" on the accompanying schedule. Note 5 further identifies these awards by an agency-specific identifier.

- **Expenditures**

The column on the accompanying schedule captioned "Expenditures" includes amounts using different bases of accounting.

Amounts reported on the accompanying schedule consist of amounts expended from Federal programs by those entities determined in accordance with the accrual, modified accrual, and cash basis of accounting.

The State agencies reported expenditures in accordance with the modified accrual basis of accounting except for amounts for the Unemployment Insurance (UI) Program (CFDA No. 17.225) and the Federal Family Education Loans (FFEL) Program (CFDA No. 84.032). Expenditures for the UI Program and payments to lenders under the FFEL Program are reported using the accrual basis of accounting.

Expenditures reported for the majority of State Universities consist of amounts expended from Federal programs by the applicable institutions determined in accordance with the modified accrual and cash basis of accounting. The New College of Florida and Florida A&M University reported expenditures on the accrual basis of accounting.

Expenditures reported for the majority of State Community Colleges consist of amounts expended in accordance with the accrual basis of accounting.

Appropriate adjustments have been made to the expenditures reported on the accompanying schedule to preclude reporting both the transfers of Federal awards pursuant to subrecipient relationships between the various State agencies, universities, and community colleges, and the subsequent expenditures.

- **Transfers to Subrecipients Column**

The column on the accompanying schedule captioned "Transfers to Subrecipients" represents the amounts transferred by the State agencies, universities, and community colleges to subrecipients that are not included in the State's Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards. The amounts in this column are also included in the column captioned "Expenditures". Transfers between the State agencies, universities, and community colleges pursuant to subrecipient relationships are not included in this column.

- **Administering Agency**

The agencies and institutions reported as the administering agencies on the accompanying schedule represent the entities that expended and/or administered the respective Federal awards programs.

- **Noncash Assistance**

The State participates in several Federal awards programs in which noncash benefits are provided through the State to eligible program participants. The programs that report noncash benefits [e.g., food stamps (CFDA No. 10.551), food donation (CFDA No. 10.550), food commodities (CFDA No. 10.569), immunization grants (CFDA No. 93.268), and surplus property (CFDA No. 39.003)] are identified on the accompanying schedule by a superscript (1) next to the applicable grantor/program. All programs identified with a superscript (1) report 100% of their expenditures as noncash benefits with the exception of immunization grants (CFDA No. 93.268). The State distributed vaccine valued at \$112 million during the fiscal year. The State uses the Electronic Benefit Transfer system to issue food stamp benefits (CFDA No. 10.551) to eligible recipients.

NOTE 2. LOANS AND LOAN GUARANTEES

The State of Florida participates in several Federal loan programs in which funds are provided through the State to eligible program participants.

- **Higher Education Loans**

The current year loan disbursements administered by the SU and SCC for the loan programs Federal Family Education Loans (CFDA No. 84.032) and Federal Direct Student Loans (CFDA No. 84.268) are \$834,093,166 and \$201,107,069, respectively. Florida State University (FSU) and University of Central Florida (UCF) both made or originated loans totaling \$24,372,650 and \$9,100,925, respectively, under the Federal Family Education Loans – Lender – Program (CFDA No. 84.032). All loans made as lenders under the Federal Family Education Loan – Lender – Program were sold prior to June 30, 2007. Additionally, the SU and SCC reported having a value of loans outstanding for programs Federal Perkins Loan Program – Federal Capital Contributions (CFDA No. 84.038), Nurse Faculty Loan Program (CFDA No. 93.264), Health Professions Student Loans - Including Primary Care Loans/Loans for Disadvantaged Students (CFDA No. 93.342), and Nursing Student Loans (CFDA No. 93.364) in the amounts of \$86,803,841, \$209,266, \$4,128,677, and \$89,074, respectively.

- **State Infrastructure Bank (CFDA No. 20.205)**

The Federal State Infrastructure Bank (SIB) for the Highway Planning and Construction Program (CFDA No. 20.205) is an investment fund from which loans and other forms of credit assistance are provided for highway construction, transit capital, or other surface transportation projects. The Federal share (80 percent) of SIB disbursements made during the 2006-07 fiscal year totaled \$21,661,346. This amount is included on the accompanying schedule with other expenditures from the Highway Planning and Construction Program. The balance of SIB loans outstanding at June 30, 2007, totaled \$114,597,397.

- **Capitalization Grants for Clean Water State Revolving Funds (CFDA No. 66.458)**

A revolving loan trust fund is used by the State to provide loans to eligible recipients for the construction of wastewater treatment facilities and implementation of other water quality management activities. The current year activity is shown on the accompanying schedule. The value of loans outstanding at June 30, 2007, totaled \$1,272,630,405 of which \$740,780,551 is pledged to the Florida Water Pollution Control Financing Corporation (Corporation). The Corporation was created pursuant to State law for the purpose of financing or refinancing water pollution control projects and other activities in the State. The Corporation issued debt obligations that were secured by loan repayments and related interest from loans pledged to it from the revolving loan trust fund accounts.

- **Capitalization Grants for Drinking Water State Revolving Funds (CFDA No. 66.468)**

A revolving loan trust fund is used by the State to provide loans to eligible recipients for infrastructure improvements to drinking water systems and for other eligible activities. The current year activity is shown on the accompanying schedule and the value of loans outstanding at June 30, 2007, totaled \$248,728,966.

- **Federal Family Education Loans – Guaranty Agency (CFDA No. 84.032)**

Under the Federal Family Education Loans (FFEL) Program, the U.S. Department of Education guarantees the repayment of loans made to students by participating financial institutions. The Florida Department of Education is a guaranty agency for the FFEL Program (CFDA No. 84.032). During the 2006-07 fiscal year, payments made to lenders to cover student loans in default totaled \$139,696,142 and the value of new net loan guarantees totaled \$544,986,119 and are shown on one line of the accompanying schedule. The value of loan guarantees outstanding at June 30, 2007, totaled \$4,143,859,929.

NOTE 3. UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE

The Unemployment Insurance (UI) Program (CFDA No. 17.225) is a unique Federal-State partnership, founded upon Federal law but implemented primarily through State law. Pursuant to this Program, unemployment benefits are paid to eligible unemployed workers for periods of involuntary unemployment. Benefits are paid from Federal funds and from State unemployment taxes that are deposited into the State's account in the Federal Unemployment Trust Fund (FUTF). The State's administrative expenditures incurred under this Program are funded by Federal grants. Expenditures reported on the accompanying schedule for the UI Program include those made from Federal funds of \$97,806,228 and State funds of \$926,048,307 disbursed during the 2006-07 fiscal year from the State's account in the FUTF.

Note 4. Pass-Through Awards

In accordance with OMB Circular A-133, Section .310(b)(2), the following identifies in detail the expenditures relating to Federal awards provided by pass-through entities to State agencies, universities, and community colleges. These amounts are included in the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards under the amounts reported for indirect programs (clustered or not clustered) under the respective CFDA numbers:

FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY / PASS-THROUGH GRANTOR NAME	PASS-THROUGH GRANTOR NUMBER	ADMINISTERING AGENCY	CFDA NUMBER	2006-2007 EXPENDITURES
Office of National Drug Control Policy				
Monroe County	I6MIP586	FDLE	07.999	649
St Johns County	I3PNFP501	FDLE	07.999	107,931
Total - Office of National Drug Control Policy				\$108,580
U. S. Department of Agriculture				
Auburn University	2003-06169	SU	10.200	686
Bard (US Israel AG R&D Fund)	2004-34135-14715	SU	10.200	86
Florida Citrus Packers	6004	SU	10.999	2,638
Gulf Coast Workforce Development Board, Inc.	07-WT-GC	SCC	10.551	60,288
Harbor Branch Oceanographic, Inc.	NA	SU	10.999	1,765
North Carolina State University	2005-1763-02	SU	10.200	3,890
North Carolina State University	2003-1486-18	SU	10.303	64,447
North Carolina State University	2006-0457-37	SU	10.500	10,128
Oregon State University	RD010A-20	SU	10.999	80,304
Pacific University	NA	SU	10.206	34,789
Partners of America	EDH-A-00-03-00020-00	SU	10.443	18,804
Prairie View A&M University	SC10044	SU	10.200	5,524
Rutgers State Univ Ir-4 Biopest Res Pgm	2005-34383-15437/ 2006 FLB001B	SU	10.200	5,126
South Carolina State University	04-443620-FCS-FSU-FL	SU	10.216	32,786
Southern United States Trade Association	SUSTA/EMO 01-03 flm, E06MXERT02mdc	SCC	10.603	71,778
Texas A&M University	TCE 450013	SU	10.303	29,385
Texas A&M University	#622114/ 622066	SU	10.500	28,532
University of Georgia	RE677-381/3504038	FDACS	10.025	2,902
University of Georgia	RD318-103/7877507	SU	10.200	1,367
University of Georgia	RD294-259/5812107	SU	10.303	159
University of Georgia	RE675-112/8922887/ RE675- 114/3505138	SU	10.500	4,896
University of Georgia	RC282-169/9039327	SU	10.652	925
University of Missouri	C00006883-1	SU	10.217	23,162
University of Puerto Rico	AES-PO-04-001	SU	10.200	5,050
University of Vermont	GE34-01	SU	10.217	8,869
University of Virgin Islands	UNIV VIRGIN ISL/USDA	SU	10.999	20,026
Walton County Grants Department	MO215	SCC	10.561	89,326
Total - U. S. Department of Agriculture				\$607,638
U. S. Department of Commerce				
Clemson University	SC94775582272004113	SU	11.113	19,914
Consortium for Oceanresearch & Education	Check #: 4896/ Check #: 6241	SU	11.481	18,236
EA, Engineering, Science and Technology	CONTRACT #2569	SU	11.463	541
EA, Engineering, Science and Technology	2190	SU	11.473	360
Earth and Space Research	P.O. 06-73 03-104	SU	11.473	10,670
Ferrate Treatment Technologies	NA040AR4170147	SU	11.417	11,768
Fish and Wildlife Foundation	FAF-4070	SU	11.463	1,022
FL Sea Grant College	NA16RG-2195	SU	11.417	7,580
Gulf of Mexico Fishery Council	NOAA CRCG 2004	SU	11.433	51,566
Gulf of Mexico Fishery Council	NA06NMF4410082	FFWCC	11.441	29,295
Gulf States Marine Fisheries Commission	BULLFISH-2005-12	SU	11.454	48,241
Mississippi State University	191001-363558-01	SU	11.432	81,807
Mote Marine Labs	MML-186321	SU	11.478	117,061

FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY / PASS-THROUGH GRANTOR NAME	PASS-THROUGH GRANTOR NUMBER	ADMINISTERING AGENCY	CFDA NUMBER	2006-2007 EXPENDITURES
National Fish and Wildlife Foundation	2002-0005-039.CON/ 2002-0005-040.CON/ 2003-0092-010/ 2003-0206-009/ 2004-0002-003/ 2004-0012-008/ 2004-0012-010/ 2004-0012-013/ 2006-0104008	FFWCC	11.463	70,034
National Fish and Wildlife Foundation	2002-0005-039.CON/ 2002-0005-040.CON/ 2003-0092-010/ 2003-0206-009/ 2004-0002-003/ 2004-0012-008/ 2004-0012-010/ 2004-0012-013/ 2006-0104008	SU	11.463	63,328
National Fish and Wildlife Foundation	2004-0012-009	SU	11.481	134
National Oceanic and Atmospher	NA05NOS4201041	SU	11.420	210
Ocean Trust	OT 2005-04	FFWCC	11.427	29,599
Rutgers University	P.O. #S686023	SU	11.430	1,387
Tampa Bay Estuary Program	6350a	SU	11.463	3,497
The Nature Conservance	NA04NMF4630233	SU	11.999	25,831
The Nature Conservancy	FCO-070706	SU	11.419	25,198
University Corporation for Atm	S04-44696/ S06-58381	SU	11.467	22,692
University of California	FLRP-2004-11A Subaward#010726	SU	11.430	685
University of Georgia	RE676-224/9036277	SU	11.417	12,236
University of Hawaii	NOAA#NA 17RJ 1230/PO Z748818	SU	11.432	9,023
University of Maryland	CA 02-14/07525464373	SU	11.473	227,857
University of Miami	660125	SU	11.431	410
University of Miami	P773464	SU	11.432	6,724
University of Miami	66099H	SU	11.449	361
University of Michigan	Contract	SU	11.432	48,820
University of New Hampshire	06-033/ 07-092	SU	11.419	176,878
University of New Hampshire	P7URM48	SU	11.426	5,216
University of North Carolina - Wilmington	GOM-2004-10A	SU	11.430	7,943
University of Puerto Rico	NA05NOS4261159	SU	11.440	40,682
University of Southern Mississippi	GR02903-001/ USM-GR02903-002	SU	11.432	4,747
University of Wisconsin	G070033/ G073242	SU	11.440	25,354
Wildlife Trust	NA	SU	11.999	23,421
Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution	A100386	SU	11.431	81,060
Total - U. S. Department of Commerce				\$1,311,388
U. S. Department of Defense				
AC Materials, Inc.	F29601-01-C-0013	SU	12.800	1,310
AC Materials, Inc.	F29601-01-C-0013	SU	12.910	3,290
Academy of Applied Science	06-12	SU	12.431	2,404
Academy of Applied Science	06-66/ 06-67/ 07-51	SU	12.999	7,348
Advanced Material Technology, Inc.	HTC 2004-2005	SU	12.431	1,241
Alion Science & Technology	PUR1175189	SU	12.910	1,415
Alion Science & Technology	800505910/TO#59/ 800506610/SUB11836/ CON1181072/ PUR1175189/ SUB1183690	SU	12.999	58,240
Amec, Inc.	04-32M-771.01	SU	12.800	61
American Superconductor	037339-00/ 41343-00/ 41751-00/ NA	SU	12.999	97,073
Aptiman Inc.	02201157	SU	12.910	7,711
Blackbird Technologies, Inc.	Project Order #1/ Project Order #2	SU	12.630	1,141,470
Boeing Company	1662694/ 176936/ B41000/ FA8901-04-C-0012/ N/A	SU	12.800	156,993
Boeing Company	208493	SU	12.999	68,235
Boulder Nonlinear Systems	N61339-05-C-0144	SU	12.999	4,847
Caracal, Inc.	N/A	SU	12.300	114,977
Carnegie Mellon University	119449-1140024	SU	12.300	39,462
Case Western Reserve University	F49620-03-1-0128	SU	12.800	13,698
CH2M Hill	6137	SU	12.300	2,990
CHI Systems, Inc.	05023-001	SU	12.114	34,087
CHI Systems, Inc.	CHI04020001	SU	12.910	58,323
CHI Systems, Inc.	06003-001/ CHI0502003001/ CHI-06022-001	SU	12.999	75,736
Clarkson University	375-32756-1	SU	12.910	20,462
Clemson University	96975582012005075	SU	12.431	116,541
Coastal Frontiers Corporation	DACW09-99-D-0010	SU	12.999	10,701
Combustion Research & Flow Technology, Inc.	04-C-3452.002/C225	SU	12.999	81,456

FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY / PASS-THROUGH GRANTOR NAME	PASS-THROUGH GRANTOR NUMBER	ADMINISTERING AGENCY	CFDA NUMBER	2006-2007 EXPENDITURES
Computer Sciences Corporation	S1002409	SU	12.910	1
Computer Sciences Corporation	S-8503-02	SU	12.999	1,790
Cornell University	482238003	SU	12.431	49,073
Custom Manufacturing & Engineering, Inc.	P13460/ P15853	SU	12.300	9,575
Custom Manufacturing & Engineering, Inc.	N/A	SU	12.800	15,000
Custom Manufacturing & Engineering, Inc.	P14966	SU	12.999	15,129
Du Pont Merck Pharmaceutical Company	LOTF-69708	SU	12.910	15,986
E I Du Pont De Nemours and Company	LOX484610	SU	12.910	6,304
Eagan Mcallister Association	03383027	SU	12.999	77,108
Earth Tech	05PG-7522-RC11/ N/A	SU	12.800	19,138
Earth Tech	N/A	SU	12.910	19,426
EG&G Technical Services, Inc.	P.O. A150200594	SU	12.300	3,798
Ei Dupont Pharm	4500367520	SU	12.910	29,233
Electrodynamics Associates, Inc.	C0502P1PUR/C0407P1PU	SU	12.910	395
Engineering Systems Solutions	ESS06S005/TO001	SU	12.999	25,082
ETREMA Products, Inc.	05CO165	SU	12.999	24,364
Fibertek	3211845065	SU	12.910	15,128
Fractal Systems, Inc.	A04-T010/ N/A	SU	12.910	46,231
General Dynamics	S50042J	SU	12.910	46,380
General Technical Services	05-1-157/ 06-1-415	SU	12.999	21,158
Georgia Institute of Technology	G33B45G1/ R7473-G1	SU	12.300	25,101
Georgia Institute of Technology	E-16-U81-G2	SU	12.630	46,208
Georgia Institute of Technology	B12M06S9	SU	12.910	4,778
H Lee Moffitt Cancer Center	12-14328-99-01-S1	SU	12.420	27,301
H.Lee Moffitt Cancer Center & Research Center	12-14533-99-01-G1	SU	12.420	2,909
Harris Corporation	6823831	SU	12.910	379,094
High Performance Technologies, Inc.	N/A	SU	12.910	6,189
Hyper Tech Research, Inc.	40615MTH	SU	12.300	56,015
Hyper Tech Research, Inc.	1030621	SU	12.999	20,487
Intelligent Automation, Inc.	3551	SU	12.910	125
Intelligent Automation, Inc.	499-1	SU	12.999	47,555
Intelligent Systems Technology	S57301	SU	12.910	908
Interdisciplinary Consult Corporation	INTERDISCIPLINARY CO	SU	12.999	2,965
Jacobs Engineering Group	35-CV58-00-T05-0003	SU	12.800	1,152
Johns Hopkins University	2000061356/ 8611-16262-X/ 8611-16548-X/ 8611-16559-X/ 8612-19902-X/ SUB AWARD #8611-17168	SU	12.300	86,287
JXT Applications, Inc.	JXT-06-S-1001/ JXT-06-S-1002	SU	12.999	69,226
Kent Optronics, Inc.	HQ0006-05-C-7265	SU	12.999	96,401
Kent State University	444286P061715	SU	12.800	4,342
Kinetic Ceramics, Inc.	01050401	SU	12.910	391
Laser Fare Advanced Tech Group	0023829	SU	12.300	11,730
LGS Innovations LLC	GS1208061	SU	12.999	57,568
Light Processing & Technologies	N00014-03-C-0474	SU	12.910	12,937
Lockheed Martin	880711063	SU	12.999	5,592
Malcolm Pirnie, Inc.	4.659e+013	SU	12.999	20,969
Marstel Day	FA6648-06-C-0003	SU	12.800	2,469
Micro Analysis & Design, Inc.	DAAD190120009	SU	12.431	3,985
Micro Analysis & Design, Inc.	8005.070.48/ 800505410/TO#54/ 800506510/0065/ 800506610/0066/ 800506710 / SUB11793	SU	12.999	314,702
Microanalysis and Design, Inc.	DAAD19-01-2-0009	SU	12.630	617,651
Mississippi State University	060808-01090729-14/ N62306-01D71100064	SU	12.630	124,264
Mississippi State University	N/A	SU	12.910	5,687
MTC Technologies, Inc.	S12007FS38	SU	12.999	10,111
Mtech Laboratories LLC	041201NHMFL	SU	12.800	24,967
MWH Americas, Inc.	F416-A0000-80369OF	SU	12.300	1,436
MWH Americas, Inc.	DOS-84692-OF/ FA8901-04-C-0006/ FA8901-05-C-0012/ M-A0000-85350-OF	SU	12.800	202,741
NACE International	N000140210024	SU	12.910	2,580
National Composite Center	NCC 2956	SU	12.999	59,176
North Carolina A&T University	441194D	SU	12.999	20
North Carolina Agricultural	441194P	SU	12.300	6,300

FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY / PASS-THROUGH GRANTOR NAME	PASS-THROUGH GRANTOR NUMBER	ADMINISTERING AGENCY	CFDA NUMBER	2006-2007 EXPENDITURES
North Carolina State University	2001-0687-05	SU	12.300	16,318
Northrop Grumman Corporation	PO 8200079751	SU	12.630	46,654
Northrop Grumman Corporation	PO 8200083877	SU	12.910	19,631
Northrop Grumman Corporation	PO 175958	SU	12.999	19,592
NVIS, Inc.	N00014-03-C-0474	SU	12.910	17,487
Ohio State University	RF01065213	SU	12.800	57,968
Pennsylvania State University	0275-SC-0145/ 1623UCFONR2003/ G011SA0146	SU	12.300	128,670
Pennsylvania State University	S03-32	SU	12.910	65,249
Percep Tek	W34P1Q05CR026	SU	12.910	119,996
Powerhouse Materials	001FFPUSF	SU	12.902	19,351
Prentice Thomas & Associates, Inc.	220317	SU	12.800	3,382
Princeton University	00001185	SU	12.800	13,760
Quickmed, Inc.	Quickmed Inc	SU	12.999	8,501
RMR Technologies LLC	N/A	SU	12.420	3,122
Rockwell Scientific	B3U506471	SU	12.300	68,656
Rockwell Scientific	B5U512694	SU	12.910	1,067
SAIC	4400121798/ 4400137548	SU	12.999	33,718
Science Applications International Corporation	4400040151	SU	12.910	24
Science Applications SAIC	4400137253	SU	12.300	13,508
Science Applied International Corporation	4400132016	SU	12.614	16,572
Scientific Systems Company, Inc.	1423-1	SU	12.800	14,478
Sciperio, Inc.	01049-UCF	SU	12.800	27,017
Sonalysts, Inc.	05JMM0597	SU	12.999	76,914
Sporian Microsystem	200615	SU	12.300	5,503
Stealth Industries US LLC	N/A	SU	12.431	43,507
STS International, Inc.	HLSS-05	SU	12.431	40,597
SVT Associates	69818101	SU	12.910	61
SVT Associates	70319884	SU	12.999	44,224
System Dynamics International	N/A	SU	12.999	12,684
TASC, Inc.	UKC22574MO04	SU	12.910	46,060
TASC, Inc.	05181G000	SU	12.999	16,463
Tetra Tech	FA8901-06-C-0004	SU	12.800	58,713
Texas A&M Research Foundation	FA9550-05-1-0360	SU	12.800	44,921
Trax International	N/A	SU	12.300	10,261
Triton Systems, Inc.	TSI-2224-04-71670	SU	12.114	25
Tuskegee University	30-21530-054-62112	SU	12.300	18,636
Universal Technology Corporation	06-S530-0037-02-C6	SU	12.999	40,657
University of Arizona	Y702355	SU	12.630	26,518
University of Colorado	SPO31608	SU	12.300	19,016
University of Illinois	03-422	SU	12.910	3,340
University of Miami	66057G/ N00014-06-1-0931/ P768732/ P793774	SU	12.300	422,335
University of Miami	M109449	SU	12.420	40,541
University of Miami	661525	SU	12.630	24,851
University of Minnesota	Q5156057226	SU	12.431	211,592
University of Nevada - Las Vegas	05-655K-0000	SU	12.431	18,867
University of New Hampshire	4897	SU	12.300	115,193
University of North Carolina	3-12110-10/ 3-12110-12/ TO 3-12110- 13-A	SU	12.300	548,070
University of North Carolina - Charlotte	UNIV OF NC 2975-01-0	SU	12.999	39
University of Pittsburgh	4039081	SU	12.999	50,604
University of Southern Mississippi	USM-GRO1435-A10	SU	12.300	58
University of Wisconsin	273G044	SU	12.999	2,177
Vanderbilt University	18131-S2	SU	12.800	159,725
Vesitech, Inc.	NA	SU	12.999	52,182
Virginia Tech	CR-19030-430132	SU	12.300	38,304
Vrsonic	N00014-05-C-0339	SU	12.999	81,031
Wayne State University	WSU04031	SU	12.420	16,418
Woolpert, Inc.	C-05-030 DO #0003	SU	12.999	9,099
Yobotics, Inc.	DAAE07-03-C-L070	SU	12.630	15,272
Total - U. S. Department of Defense				\$7,951,868
U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development				

FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY / PASS-THROUGH GRANTOR NAME	PASS-THROUGH GRANTOR NUMBER	ADMINISTERING AGENCY	CFDA NUMBER	2006-2007 EXPENDITURES
City of Tallahassee	1230	SCC	14.218	3,426
City of Tampa	N/A	SU	14.218	5,952
Hillsborough County Board	DPAH07952006	SU	14.218	4,454
Manufacture Housing Research	023305/PTM4/ 023305/PTM5	SU	14.171	88,863
Miami-Dade County	60122	FDOH	14.218	44,533
Total - U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development				\$147,228
U. S. Department of the Interior				
AL Dept Of Conservation & Natural Resources	NA	SU	15.231	10,981
American Association for Advancement of Science	AM ASSN FOR ADVANCE	SU	15.999	652
Auburn University	05-EI-421114-FSU	SU	15.633	37,585
Idaho State University	Subcontract # 07-205	SU	15.805	9,910
Nature Conservancy	ALCO-10/01/05-01	SU	15.634	4,623
Natureserve	FL-002-FY06/ FL-006-FY06/ FL-009-FY07/ FL-010-FY07	SU	15.999	35,868
Poarch Band of Creek Indians	NA	SU	15.039	14,847
Tampa Bay Estuary Program	PO 6334	SU	15.810	11,988
Texas A&M Research Foundation	S050099	SU	15.423	19,045
University of Miami	P790177	SU	15.923	41,259
University of Miami	H5000 00 B4-J5297 05 0174/ N/A/ P790177	SU	15.999	38,804
Watereuse Foundation	WRF-04-012	SU	15.504	39,996
Total - U. S. Department of the Interior				\$265,558
U. S. Department of Justice				
ABT Associates, Inc.	14480	SU	16.560	48,617
Bay Area Legal Services	N/A	SU	16.560	7,049
Broward Sheriffs office	Q5018	SU	16.548	3,522
Hillsborough County	P.O. DPCL07052033	SU	16.726	1,469
Hillsborough County Board	P.O.-DPCL07052026	SU	16.745	11,327
Justice Research Center, Inc.	N/A	SU	16.523	12,112
Miami-Dade Juvenile Assessment Center	2002-RG-CX-0011	SU	16.542	19,592
Orange County Sheriff Department	OCSO COPS	SU	16.712	10,601
Palm Beach County	2006-DJ-BX-0816	JAC	16.592	125,000
Palm Beach County	2007-JAGC-PALM-7-P3-141	JAC	16.738	22,299
Police Executive Research forum	N/A	SU	16.560	35,557
Thurston Group	N/A	SU	16.200	30,802
Urban Institute	07599-000-00-FSU-01/ 07895-000-00-FSU-01	SU	16.560	26,098
Weed and Seed of St. Lucie County	2004-W0389-FL-WS	SCC	16.595	23,031
Total - U. S. Department of Justice				\$377,076
U. S. Department of Labor				
Alachua/Bradford Regional Workforce Board	SFCC Construct 06-07	SCC	17.259	43,526
First Coast Workforce Development Board	FCWD2007-06	SCC	17.259	126,368
Florida Space Research Institute	PRIME AF-14536-05-60	SU	17.261	5,530
Gulf Coast Workforce Development Board, Inc.	07-WT-GC	SCC	17.207	103,607
Gulf Coast Workforce Development Board, Inc.	07-WT-GC	SCC	17.225	11,244
Gulf Coast Workforce Development Board, Inc.	07-WT-GC	SCC	17.245	1,273
Gulf Coast Workforce Development Board, Inc.	07-A-GC	SCC	17.258	496,640
Gulf Coast Workforce Development Board, Inc.	07-Y-GC	SCC	17.259	100,339
Gulf Coast Workforce Development Board, Inc.	07-DW-GC	SCC	17.260	113,658
Health Corporation of America	AN-13980-04-60	SCC	17.260	433,785
Heartland Workforce Investment Board, Inc.	HWIB	SCC	17.259	211,811
Ohio Board of Regents	N/A	SCC	17.261	79,406
Okaloosa County School Board	PO 70004702	SU	17.261	4,355
South West Florida Workforce Dev.	#06 WIA09	SU	17.260	21,633
Work force One	2003-04-CR-WIA-WTP-2610-IBT/OJT	SCC	17.260	405,652
Work force One	AH-12469-02-60	SCC	17.261	247
Workforce Alliance, Inc.	WDB04-1280/ WDB04-1281	SCC	17.260	40,840
Workforce Alliance, Inc.	WDB04-1280/ WDB04-1281	SU	17.260	598,381
Workforce Escarosa, Inc	WIA-2006-2008-01	SU	17.802	97,758
Workforce Escarosa, Inc.	WIA-2006-2007-01	SCC	17.207	36,632
Workforce Escarosa, Inc.	WIA-2006-2007-01	SCC	17.225	50,137

FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY / PASS-THROUGH GRANTOR NAME	PASS-THROUGH GRANTOR NUMBER	ADMINISTERING AGENCY	CFDA NUMBER	2006-2007 EXPENDITURES
Workforce Escarosa, Inc.	WIA-2006-2007-01/ WIA-2006-2007-02	SCC	17.258	381,676
Workforce Escarosa, Inc.	WIA-2006-2007-01/ WIA-2006-2007-02	SCC	17.259	16,055
Workforce Escarosa, Inc.	WIA-2006-2007-01/ WIA-2006-2007-02	SCC	17.260	29,266
Workforce Escarosa, Inc.	WIA-2006-2007-01	SCC	17.267	12,440
Workforce Escarosa, Inc.	WIA-2006-2007-01	SCC	17.801	13,404
Workforce Escarosa, Inc.	WIA-2006-2007-01	SCC	17.804	11,357
Workforce Escarosa, Inc.	WIA-2006-2007-01	SCC	17.807	551
Workforce FL	N/A	SCC	17.258	61,317
Worknet Pinellas	One Stop Operator	SCC	17.207	123,448
Worknet Pinellas	One Stop Operator	SCC	17.225	117,522
Worknet Pinellas	One Stop Operator	SCC	17.258	207,392
Worknet Pinellas	One Stop Operator	SCC	17.259	53,329
Worknet Pinellas	One Stop Operator	SCC	17.260	577,735
Total - U. S. Department of Labor				\$4,588,314
U. S. Department of State				
Educational Development Center	14-5132	SU	19.999	19,831
Fulbright Commission	N/A	SU	19.400	6,235
Georgetown University	AEG-A-00-05-00007-00	SCC	19.418	14,087
Institute of International Education, Inc.	87403136	SU	19.408	8,768
Institute of International Education, Inc.	N/A	SCC	19.418	143,131
Institute of International Education, Inc.	27337	SU	19.999	31,735
Total - U. S. Department of State				\$223,787
U. S. Department of Transportation				
AECOM Consult, Inc.	Letter Agreement	SU	20.514	33,838
Broward County Metropolitan Planning Org	71105-00	SU	20.505	2,280
California Department of Transportation	65A019-021505-1	SU	20.514	151
Center for Transportation and The Environment	N/A	SU	20.205	441
City of Huntsville	05-903	SU	20.507	3,006
Dye Management Group, Inc.	230603-14.40	SU	20.218	90
Greenhorne & Omara, Inc.	Task Order 27/ Task Order 28/ Work Order#18	SU	20.600	44,911
Hennepin Co. MN Community Works	A020089	SU	20.999	567
Hillsborough Area Region Transit Authority	PO 91824	SU	20.205	1,668
Hillsborough County Metropolitan Plannin	MPO contract	SU	20.205	18
LDA Consulting	Agt dated 10/10/06	SU	20.514	9,224
Louis Berger Group, Inc.	PO 44000105817	SU	20.205	900
LYNX Central Florida Regional Transport	PO #0701215	SU	20.514	19,226
Miami Metro Planning Organization	MPO 28-05/ N/A	SU	20.205	18,346
Miami-Dade Transit Authority	WO 2003-5/ WO 2005-3/ WO 2006-2	SU	20.205	41,423
Miami-Dade Transit Authority	WO 2006-1	SU	20.505	1,862
Miami-Dade Transit Authority	2005-2/ 2005-5/ WO 2004-1/ WO 2005-1/ Work Order # 2004-4/ Work Order 2004-3	SU	20.507	45,957
Oklahoma State University	DTFAAC06A-00037	SU	20.231	6,990
Pinellas County Metropolitan Planning Org.	Res. Agt. of 10/9/06	SU	20.205	17,475
The National Academies	HR 20-65(002)	SU	20.215	12
Transportation Research Board	TCRP-J6(47)	SU	20.505	167
Tulane University	Subaward #50037	SU	20.701	2,737
University of Oklahoma	2007-16	SU	20.999	53,335
University of Tennessee	06-USF-R-S2/ DTRS-99-G-0004/ DTRS99-G-0004	SU	20.514	41,461
URS Corporation	650193/ 664883	SU	20.205	9,857
Vanus, Inc.	C-8M31/ C-8M31 W/O # 3	SU	20.514	14,170
Total - U. S. Department of Transportation				\$370,112
Library of Congress				
Emory University	5-29915-C2	SU	42.999	2,189
Total - Library of Congress				\$2,189
National Aeronautics and Space Administration				
Analex	07002	SU	43.999	1,660
Applied Sensor Research and De	NNK06OM24C	SU	43.002	20,216

FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY / PASS-THROUGH GRANTOR NAME	PASS-THROUGH GRANTOR NUMBER	ADMINISTERING AGENCY	CFDA NUMBER	2006-2007 EXPENDITURES
ASRC Aerospace Corporation	UCFFY04/ UCF-FY04	SU	43.002	191,758
ASRC Aerospace Corporation	KS50394/ KS50395/ KS50396/ UCFFY04/ UCF-FY04/ UCF-FY-04/ UCFFY04/NAS1003006/#	SU	43.999	261,296
Association of Universities for Research	HSTGO0945108A/ HSTGO0949907A	SU	43.002	18,465
Boeing Company/NASA	3K02107	SU	43.001	176,947
CA Inst of Tech Jet Propulsion Lab	1.27402e+006/ CIT 1264530	SU	43.999	140,327
California Institute of Technology	1205632/ CIT 1264148	SU	43.999	17,036
Cornell University	46834-8111	SU	43.002	31,719
Dynacs Engineering Co, Inc.	DYNACS ENG ED50063	SU	43.999	106
EIC Laboratories, Inc.	EIC LABORATORIES 48	SU	43.999	26
Epsilon Lambda Electronics	14940	SU	43.999	8,343
Florida Space Research Institute	21303441949	SU	43.002	16,787
Georgia Institute of Technology	E-16-V14-G-2	SU	43.001	225,166
Georgia Institute of Technology	G-35-C56-G2	SU	43.999	70,648
Jet Propulsion Laboratory	1263703/ 1267977	SU	43.002	4,821
Jet Propulsion Laboratory	1271837/ 1277716/ 1277733/ 1277736/ 1277781/ 1279012/ 1284244/ 1284245/ HSTGO0983108A	SU	43.999	275,316
Lockheed Martin	QPR0891/ SL30K6650K	SU	43.001	11,941
Micro Snesor, Inc.	NNK050B31C	SU	43.002	114,847
Oregon State University	NS033AA	SU	43.001	43,690
Portland State University	NA	SU	43.001	59,966
Roffers Ocean Fishing forecasting Services	CHECK #: 8750	SU	43.002	42,974
Space Telescope Science Institute	HSTGO0915712A	SU	43.001	43,691
Space Telescope Science Institute	HST-GO-09479.01-A/ HST-GO- 09871.02-A/ HST-GO-09873.01A/ HST- GO-10118.07-A/ HST-GO-10182.76-A/ HST-GO-10190.03-A/ HST-GO- 10200.05-A/ HST-GO-10496.25-A/ HST-GO-10604.01-A/ HST-GO- 10775.01-A/ HST-GO-10861.10-A/ HST-GO-10863.01/ STSI HST-AR- 09948 01/ STSI HS	SU	43.999	267,105
United Space Alliance	6000116315	SU	43.002	221
University of Alabama	NNM05AA22A	SU	43.999	71,323
University of Colorado	UCB #154-0920/ UCB REF 154-1570	SU	43.002	63,209
University of Maryland	Z621601	SU	43.999	5,742
University of Miami	UNIV OF MIAMI	SU	43.999	7
Total - National Aeronautics and Space Administration				\$2,185,353
National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities				
Arts Midwest	eGrant file #10946	SCC	45.024	51,687
Corporation for Public Broadcasting	FY 2006 Radio CSG (1354)/ FY 2007 Radio (1354)	SU	45.164	132,327
Dance/USA	NA	SU	45.999	10,000
Florida Humanities Council	1104-3103-1793/ SO-21762-02	SU	45.129	9,532
Florida Humanities Council	1104-3103-1793/ SO-21762-02	SCC	45.129	3,621
Morikami, Inc.	CONTRACT# 05-240	SU	45.312	29,864
Southern Arts Federation	2953	SU	45.024	900
Southwest Florida Library Network	N/A	SU	45.310	55,876
Tallahassee Museum of History and Natural	NA	SU	45.999	12,240
University of Illinois	0411	SU	45.999	4,995
Total - National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities				\$311,042
National Science Foundation				
Agiltron, Inc.	AF05-T023 / FA9550-06-C-0136	SU	47.049	128,457
American Association of Community Colleges	AACC-NSF-0506	SCC	47.076	4,465
American Educational Research	NA	SU	47.999	16,348
American Mathematical Association of Two-Year Coll	N/A	SCC	47.076	11,130
Arkansas State University	ESI-0624440	SU	47.076	10,147
Association for Institutional Research	AIR Grant No. 523	SU	47.075	10,509
Association for Institutional Research	NA	SU	47.999	34,171
Boston University	SCI-0520146	SU	47.070	31,681
Boston University	GC198383NGA	SU	47.076	842
Clemson University	801-7557-206-2004160	SU	47.076	15,138

FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY / PASS-THROUGH GRANTOR NAME	PASS-THROUGH GRANTOR NUMBER	ADMINISTERING AGENCY	CFDA NUMBER	2006-2007 EXPENDITURES
Colorado State University	G-3359-1	SU	47.050	39,805
Columbia University	554556	SU	47.050	18,608
Const of Univ for The Adv of Hydro Sci	Consort Univ Advance	SU	47.050	26,239
Cornell University	44276-7388	SU	47.041	33,451
Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University	LETTER AGREEMENT	SU	47.050	23,833
Faraday Technology, Inc.	PO 3060	SU	47.049	54,851
Florida Institute of Technology	P0032770	SU	47.041	10,886
Fort Valley State University (FVSU)	N/A	SU	47.050	175
Georgia Southern University	P04028	SU	47.076	5,117
Harvard University	133119-01	SU	47.050	77,710
Illinois State University	04D354.01	SU	47.074	1,114
Iowa State University	420-60-83	SU	47.041	76,452
Iowa State University	DMR0603644/ DMR-0603644	SU	47.049	155,390
ITN Energy Systems	06I0179	SU	47.041	36,302
Johns Hopkins University	8611-06868	SU	47.049	438,302
Joint Oceanographic Institution	JSAU 6-05/ OCE-0431095/ T.O. NUMBER: T306A19/ T303B28/ T306A33	SU	47.050	34,454
Joint Oceanographic Institution	TC306C28	SU	47.999	7,351
Kansas State University	BS 06033	SU	47.070	708
Kirkwood Community College	DUE-0434405/ N/A	SCC	47.076	1,437
LS Optimal, Inc.	450552	SU	47.075	20,777
Marquette University	23	SU	47.070	53,744
Michigan State University	61-2076	SU	47.076	101,188
Mississippi State University	038400 362411-01	SU	47.079	31,154
Montana Tech of the University of Montana	CMS-055812	SU	47.041	5,958
Nanopharma Technologies, Inc.	1 R41 AI061901-01	SU	47.041	45,140
Nautius Biosciences	NB 01/ NB-02	SU	47.041	47,298
New York University	F6109-01	SU	47.049	81,401
New York University	F 5865-01	SU	47.070	13,562
North Dakota State University	10915	SU	47.041	103,422
Northern Arizona University	BIO325M-01	SU	47.074	56,758
Ohio State University Research	744110	SU	47.076	19,562
Ohio State University Research	RF01020619-60002999	SU	47.999	38,743
Ohio University	UT-11332	SU	47.049	4,502
OMEGA-P	N/A	SU	47.041	6,568
Pennsylvania State University	2535-UF-NSF-3279	SU	47.049	66,288
Purdue University	NSF PDUNIV 501082261	SU	47.074	377,905
Rensselaer Polytechnic	DMR-0231291	SU	47.049	12,650
Salisbury University	528071NCF	SU	47.076	4,931
San Diego State University	E0003188	SU	47.078	37,609
Scripps Research Institute	5-75456/ P.O. 5-75466	SU	47.070	12,059
Sol Gel Solutions	OII-0611174	SU	47.041	30,507
Tennessee State University	PO 179237	SU	47.076	76,745
Texas A&M Research Foundation	S060027	SU	47.041	32,376
Texas A&M Research Foundation	177-F000700/ 206-F001790/ 207- F001771/ F001410/ F001856-Leg 207/ F001857 - Leg 210/ USSSP-280- T0001573	SU	47.050	22,217
Texas A&M Research Foundation	S040003	SU	47.074	2,704
Tuskegee University	3421530 056 62112/ 34-21530-038- 62112/ SUBAG#3921530-049-66122	SU	47.076	89,557
Twin Cities Public Television, Inc.	20213-1-01958	SU	47.999	6,591
U. S. Civilian Research & Development	RUE2-2684-ST-05	SU	47.075	539
U. S. Civilian Research & Development	AZG2-3107-BA-03/ RUC2-2656-MO-05	SU	47.999	15,592
Universidad Metropolitana (UMET)	N/A	SU	47.041	1,458
Universidad Metropolitana (UMET)	CK#: 20187867	SU	47.050	8,070
University of Akron	5.71273e+008	SU	47.074	2,020
University of Arkansas	SA0408119	SU	47.041	58,943
University of California - Berkeley	EEC-9701568	SU	47.041	3,173
University of California - San Diego	10225990/ 10268616	SU	47.050	15,142
University of California Davis	II-RR 014195-UFL	SU	47.074	129,189
University of Connecticut	5497	SU	47.074	10,915
University of Hawaii	CHE-0627854	SU	47.049	20,580
University of Iowa	DMS-0502354	SU	47.049	34,927

FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY / PASS-THROUGH GRANTOR NAME	PASS-THROUGH GRANTOR NUMBER	ADMINISTERING AGENCY	CFDA NUMBER	2006-2007 EXPENDITURES
University of Kentucky	4-67262-04-183	SU	47.074	105,174
University of Maine	UM-S513	SU	47.078	5,334
University of Maryland	N/A	SU	47.076	5,577
University of Miami	P771049	SU	47.050	56,092
University of Michigan	F012351	SU	47.041	2,753
University of Michigan	F015108	SU	47.075	3,158
University of Minnesota	X4039076101	SU	47.076	5,362
University of Nebraska	25-0511-0057-002	SU	47.070	10,802
University of Nebraska	25-0550-0001-003	SU	47.078	76,033
University of Nevada	15BB146376	SU	47.041	15,149
University of New York	EEC-9701471	SU	47.050	105
University of North Carolina	#2975-05-0520-USFL	SU	47.070	20,743
University of North Carolina - Chapel Hill	5-59052	SU	47.999	3,995
University of North Carolina - Charlotte	2975-05-0520-FSU/ CNS-0540523	SU	47.070	82,038
University of Notre Dame	NA	SU	47.999	1,647
University of Southern California	068834	SU	47.076	714,590
University of Tennessee - Knoxville	OR6177-001.01	SU	47.049	35,289
University of Texas	UTA#05-598	SU	47.050	2,118
University of Texas	26-1006-9254	SU	47.070	35,432
University of Vermont	20394-Univ Florida	SU	47.074	3,399
University of Virginia	GA10543-124790	SU	47.050	67,748
University of Virginia	GA10163-112933	SU	47.070	11,434
University of Washington	138109	SU	47.070	76,892
University of Wisconsin - Madison	CK#C 9171082	SU	47.050	13,910
University of Wisconsin - Madison	316H691	SU	47.074	5,021
University of Wisconsin - Milwaukee	K057631	SU	47.076	17,718
URS Corporation	NCA-03-313	SU	47.999	31
Virtual Reality Medical Center	0637178	SU	47.041	28,239
Washington University - St. Louis	29821P	SU	47.076	78,604
Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution	A100455/OCE-0327448	SU	47.050	37,841
Zyberwear, Inc.	Contract	SU	47.041	42,709
Total - National Science Foundation				\$4,572,474
U. S. Environmental Protection Agency				
City of Miami	BF-96412504	SU	66.202	30,718
Emory University	5-26570-G-1	SU	66.433	23
Fresh Ministries, Inc.	PS-83161901	SU	66.306	4,804
Gulf Coast Hazar Subs Research Center	118UCF3627	SU	66.511	12
Gulf of Mexico Foundation	5004	FDEP	66.475	15,720
Natureserve	71015-01	SU	66.999	448
Osceola County	US EPA X7-96433105-1	SU	66.436	123,348
Science Applications SAIC	4400105430	SU	66.999	59,187
Seminole County	N/A	SU	66.999	5
Soil and Water Conservation Commission	SGA 104	SU	66.480	2,670
St. Johns River Water Management District	SJ46212/ SK429AA	FFWCC	66.456	87,008
St. Johns River Water Management District	SJ46212/ SK429AA	SU	66.456	11,145
Tampa Bay Estuary Program	P.O. Number 6381/ PO 6324	SU	66.456	22,040
University of New Orleans	99-0335-S3-A1	SU	66.999	6,365
University of Southern Mississippi	GR01079-C10	SU	66.475	76,301
University of Southern Mississippi	USM-GR01079-E10	SU	66.999	23,303
University of Washington	928200	SU	66.509	7,629
Total - U. S. Environmental Protection Agency				\$470,726
U. S. Department of Energy				
Argonne National Laboratory	5F-00497/ 6F-00037	SU	81.999	134,313
Battelle Energy Alliance LLC	00047554/ 00062267/ 16870	SU	81.999	69,688
Battelle Memorial Institute	22651/ 38184	SU	81.999	87,688
Black Laboratories LLC	NA	SU	81.999	62,746
BP Solar International LLC	ZDO-2-30628-03-USF	SU	81.087	14,869
Carnegie Institution	DE-FC03-03NA00144	SU	81.123	53,402
CDH Energy Corporation	DE-FC-36-02G012012	SU	81.087	8,539
Clemson University	DE-FC26-02NT41431	SU	81.089	97,003
Consortium for Plant Biotech Research	GO12026-191/ GO12026-198	SU	81.087	4,868

FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY / PASS-THROUGH GRANTOR NAME	PASS-THROUGH GRANTOR NUMBER	ADMINISTERING AGENCY	CFDA NUMBER	2006-2007 EXPENDITURES
Duke University	06-SC-NICCR-1062	SU	81.049	49,489
Duke University Medical Center	06-SC-NICCR-1067	SU	81.049	55,286
Fermi National Accelerator Lab	511695/ 554581	SU	81.049	98,742
Fermi National Accelerator Lab	570362	SU	81.999	45,720
General Motors Company	TCS75068	SU	81.087	146,782
Georgia Institute of Technology	E-256PO-SI	SU	81.087	31,806
Howard University	633254-192517	SU	81.049	50,803
Howard University	633254-H010005/ 633254-H192518/ H010056	SU	81.123	6,747
Jefferson Science Associates LLC	06-P0701/ 06-P2481	SU	81.999	32,746
Los Alamos National Laboratory	50030-001-07	SU	81.999	9,708
Medical University of South Carolina	N/A	SU	81.999	3,768
National Association of State	DE-FC36-03G013026	SU	81.041	90,731
National Renewable Energy Lab	XXL54420508/ ZDJ33360002	SU	81.087	284,658
Northern Arizona University	MPC35TB-A3	SU	81.049	47,814
Pennsylvania State University	2678-UF-DOE-1874	SU	81.089	241
Prairie View A&M University	SC10042	SU	81.123	490,160
Sandia National Laboratories	523695/ 545016/ 577809/ 705747/A0346/ N/A/ SANDIA NAT LAB 55566	SU	81.999	132,219
Siemens Westinghouse Power Cor	DE-FC26-05NT42644	SU	81.999	47,447
Sporian Microsystem	2004UCF200501	SU	81.087	8,836
Sporian Microsystem	200610	SU	81.089	8,183
Texas State Energy Conservation	CM640	SU	81.999	7,145
University of Alabama	04-055	SU	81.049	29,910
University of Alabama	04-050	SU	81.104	4,389
University of Alabama - Huntsville	SUB2004-191	SU	81.999	90,228
University of California Livermore Lab	B541342	SU	81.999	192
University of Illinois	2003-07192-1-00	SU	81.087	10,522
University of Illinois - Chicago	20030532804	SU	81.087	65,981
University of Mississippi	05-10-033/ 07-11-036	SU	81.089	100,600
University of Nevada	NA	SU	81.999	112,771
University of Nevada - Las Vegas	06-65AG-00	SU	81.104	10,175
University of Notre Dame	NA	SU	81.999	10,763
University of Utah	2204060	SU	81.089	9,133
University of Washington	580049	SU	81.049	175
UT - Battelle LLC	4000006057/ 4000040123/ 4000058790	SU	81.999	34,138
Total - U. S. Department of Energy				\$2,661,124
U. S. Department of Education				
American Institutes for Research	01595-USF121-B	SU	84.332	2,511
American Psychological Association	NA	SU	84.999	14,419
Association for Institutional Research	NA	SU	84.999	27,133
Bay County Public Schools	NA	SU	84.999	311,020
Broward County District School Board	N/A	SCC	84.243	84,778
Center for Civic Education	CC 04-05/ Q929A040001	SU	84.999	13,766
Chicago Arts Partnerships	SUBCONTRACT #07-307	SU	84.351	20,937
Childrens Forum, Inc.	S349A050126/ S349AO50126	SU	84.349	105,106
Clemson University	733-7558-210-2093861	SU	84.116	15,136
Concurrent Technology Corporation	P116Z030111-Sub-Agreement 031000211	SCC	84.116	31,510
Daemen College	P116J040052	SU	84.116	16,697
Duval County Public Schools	P334A020187/ P334A990090-04	SU	84.334	4,864
Duval Public School Board	160-2986A-6C001/ 160-2986A-7C201	SCC	84.282	31,808
Educational Testing Service	N/A	SU	84.283	243,298
Escambia County School Board	PO 292918	SU	84.366	635,427
Florida Campus Compact	R000644	SU	84.116	6,748
Georgia State University	GLC62-01	SU	84.324	14,084
Hillsborough County School Board	290-2357A-7CM01	SU	84.366	9,657
Hillsborough County School District	290-1917A-7CG01	SCC	84.002	156,233
Jefferson County School Board	U351D030179	SU	84.999	57,416
Lake County School Board	N/A	SCC	84.243	10,000
League for Innovation in the Community College	V051B0200001	SCC	84.051	81,528
Lee County District School Board	N/A/ PO D50844	SU	84.027	160,388

FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY / PASS-THROUGH GRANTOR NAME	PASS-THROUGH GRANTOR NUMBER	ADMINISTERING AGENCY	CFDA NUMBER	2006-2007 EXPENDITURES
Lee County District School Board	N/A	SU	84.165	27,500
Lee County District School Board	PO C19676	SU	84.184	33,418
Leon County	NA	SU	84.002	18,436
Leon County School Board	526580	SU	84.010	38,482
Leon County School Board	NA	SU	84.215	8,536
MCSD/LRCC/Clark Advanced Learning Center Charter	430-2983B-6CC01	SCC	84.282	76,896
MDRC	1890-0004	SU	84.215	8,903
National Board for Prof. Teach	NA	SU	84.999	30,733
National Center for Education Information	102405/ 102605	SU	84.215	10,235
National Writing Project Corporation	05FL09	SU	84.257	1,981
National Writing Project Corporation	01-FL06/ 04-FL08/ 99-FL05	SU	84.999	118,405
New England Conservatory	CONTRACT #06-188	SU	84.116	20,715
Nova Southeastern University	068-2993B-7R001/ 332018	SU	84.361	59,594
Orange County School District	480-2246A-6CT01	SCC	84.367	5,154
Panhandle Area Educational Consortium	N/A	SU	84.027	4,988
Panhandle Area Educational Consortium	7619148	SU	84.184	266,052
Pennsylvania College of Optometry	57201	SU	84.325	46,160
Pinellas County School Board	Agreement	SU	84.215	32,572
Pinellas County School Board	520-2983B-6CC03	SCC	84.282	103,195
Pinellas County School Board	NA	SU	84.318	23,989
Putnam County School Board	750-06-013-7506	SU	84.027	2,841
Putnam County School Board	756-07-084	SU	84.359	10,474
Putnam County School Board	733-06-011	SU	84.367	411,705
Putnam County School Board	727-07-001	SU	84.999	49,943
Reading First Teacher Ed	N/A	SU	84.349	11,701
RMC Research Corporation	NA	SU	84.283	541,711
RMC Research Corporation	NA	SU	84.999	1,633,310
School District of Palm Beach County	None	SU	84.010	112,613
Serve, Inc	SINCSRO2016	SU	84.999	27
St. Thomas University	N/A	SCC	84.031	242,896
Stevens Institute of Technology	527226-04	SCC	84.999	78,045
Syracuse University	21301-S3732-01108	SU	84.305	6,324
Texas A&M Research Foundation	S060053	SU	84.324	15,215
Tufts Healthcare Institute	TUFTS HEALTH CARE IN	SU	84.999	1,248
University of Arizona	PO Y413737	SU	84.116	2,319
University of California - San Diego	PO 10242925	SU	84.305	72,685
University of California Davis	K012843-01	SU	84.116	1,074
University of Central Arkansas	420301	SU	84.116	11,739
University of Hawaii	S-LMAQM-03-H-0121/PO	SU	84.116	41,440
University of Illinois - Chicago	2006-00687-09-00	SU	84.133	10,072
University of Missouri	C000005833	SU	84.327	1,973
University of North Carolina - Wilmington	ADMIN FUNDING/ SUB AWARD# 550341-02-FAU	SU	84.116	16,285
University of Oregon	222841A	SU	84.326	101,883
University of Pittsburgh	0002755	SU	84.133	19,194
University of Southern California	P337A020009	SU	84.337	9,431
University of Virginia	5-34347	SU	84.999	851
University of Washington	374080/ T202337	SU	84.325	89,753
Vanderbilt University	19231	SU	84.324	12,316
Wolfsonian, Inc.	S351D020006	SU	84.350	6,962
Total - U. S. Department of Education				\$6,506,438
U. S. Department of Health and Human Services				
ABT Associates, Inc.	12659	SU	93.575	3,150
ACS Government Healthcare Solutions	NA	SU	93.778	11,727
Advocates for Human Potential	N/A	SU	93.959	16,696
Agency for Community Treatment Services	N/A	SU	93.243	5,752
All Childrens Hospital	ACH PCRC PDA 3/ ACH PCRC PDA 3000/ ACH PCRC PGP 4005/ ACH PCRC PGP 4015/ ACH PCRC PGP 4017	SU	93.110	45,259

FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY / PASS-THROUGH GRANTOR NAME	PASS-THROUGH GRANTOR NUMBER	ADMINISTERING AGENCY	CFDA NUMBER	2006-2007 EXPENDITURES
All Childrens Research Institute	6 R60 MC 0003 01 R1/ ACH PCRC PDA 2000/ ACH PCRC PGP 4001/ ACH PCRC PGP 4002/ ACH PCRC PGP 4006/ ACH PCRC PGP 4007/ ACH PCRC PGP 4009/ ACH PCRC PGP 4010/ ACH PCRC PGP 4013/ ACH PCRC PGP 4015/ ACH PCRC PGP 4018/ ACH PCRC PGP 4019/ ACH PCRC PGP 4020	SU	93.110	138,688
All Childrens Research Institute	ACRI MOA	SU	93.283	26,079
Ambulatory Pediatric Associates	Ambulatory Ped Assn	SU	93.999	5,017
American College Of Radiology	U10 CA21661	SU	93.395	94,646
American College Of Radiology	ACR RTOG 99-13	SU	93.999	7
American Institutes for Research	108195/ Project No 02054.001	SU	93.104	35,923
American Institutes for Research	00101-02054-001/ 00143-02054-001	SU	93.243	79,083
American Institutes for Research	00015-1888.001	SU	93.279	64,798
American Institutes for Research	SG-03-1830.001-02	SU	93.865	4,288
American International Health Alliance	UCAREG04P-UME-INIT04	SU	93.189	17,305
Area Agency On Aging	IA 006-19/ IA 007-19	SU	93.052	35,538
Area Agency On Aging for SW Florida, Inc.	OAA 333.07-HM107	SU	93.043	24,771
Area Agency On Aging for SW Florida, Inc.	OAA 333.06/HM004	SU	93.052	25,176
Arizona State University	03-069/P.O.-SC19103M/ 06-697/ 07- 727/ 07-830	SU	93.242	87,723
Association for Prevention Teaching and Research	N/A/ TS-0723/ TS-0823	SU	93.283	20,311
Association of American Medical Colleges	MM-0592-03/03/ MM-0942-06/06/ U36/CCU319276	SU	93.283	44,808
Association of Schools of Public Health	A1014-21/22/ S1676-21/23/ S3026- 23/24/ S3583-24/25/ U90/CCU424263	SU	93.283	438,188
Association of Schools of Public Health	S1546-20/20/ S3591-24/24	SU	93.999	80,040
Beth Israel Medical Center	1 R01 CA100029-04	SU	93.399	30,964
Big Bend Community Based Care	NA	SU	93.556	15,002
Big Bend Community Based Care	0105-0	SU	93.590	11,646
Boston Medical Center	0216502/ 0216503	SU	93.856	175,542
Boston University	R25CA91958	SU	93.398	3,778
Broward City Board of City Commissioners	04-HIP-8506-1	SU	93.999	58,284
Broward County Board of County Commissioners	06SAHC8346RW	FDOH	93.914	6,552,828
Brown County Human Services Department	N/A	SU	93.658	1,595
Butler Hospital	9071-8343	SU	93.242	38,933
Case Western Reserve University	N01-DK-6-2203	SU	93.859	12,871
Center for Research to Practice	N/A	SU	93.242	46,569
Central FL Area Health Education	AHEC 2005 HIMP/ AHEC 2005 PT	SU	93.824	2,565
Chapel Hill Training Outreach Project	N/A	SU	93.590	31,604
Charlotte Alliance for a Safe & Drug Free	NA	SU	93.999	1,821
Child Abuse Council, Inc.	N/A	SU	93.778	102,686
Childrens Board of Hillsborough County	N/A	SU	93.104	3,797
Childrens Home Society	NA	SU	93.652	61,915
Childrens Hospital and Regional Medical	413390244601	SU	93.389	408,297
Childrens Hospital New Orleans Research for Childr	CHILDRENS HOSPITAL	SU	93.172	491
Childrens Hospital of Los Angeles	RGP000323-04	SU	93.273	11,488
Childrens Hospital of Pittsburgh	5 U01 HD42444-04	SU	93.865	367
Childrens Services Council - Broward Cty	05-4105	SU	93.104	37,916
Childrens Services Council - Broward Cty	07-4103	SU	93.243	190,058
Chiles (Lawton) Foundation	N/A	SU	93.999	31,231
City of Cleveland	66811	SU	93.926	1,812
City of Jacksonville	AGR-2005-081/ AGR-2006-082	FDOH	93.914	1,260,649
Columbia University	540353	SU	93.172	429,971
Community Based Care of Volusia	D12-05-USF-TRN	SU	93.658	358,994
Dartmouth College	500499.5000.L00203/ 5-30676-577	SU	93.242	13,185
DB Consulting Group	Contract # 280-02-01	SU	93.243	47,165
Duke University Medical Center	05-SC-NIH-1054	SU	93.273	67,907
Duke University Medical Center	06-SC-NIH-1015	SU	93.866	49,012
Duke University Medical Center	141069 / 134730	SU	93.867	30,596
Eastern Coop Oncology Group	E2902/ EASTERN COOP ONCOLOG	SU	93.999	60,235
EIC Laboratories, Inc.	R41 AI068181	SU	93.999	133,093
Eleventh Judicial Circuit	N/A	SU	93.563	55,128
Emory University	5-21865-G1	SU	93.859	67,167

FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY / PASS-THROUGH GRANTOR NAME	PASS-THROUGH GRANTOR NUMBER	ADMINISTERING AGENCY	CFDA NUMBER	2006-2007 EXPENDITURES
Escambia County	COSQ4	FDOH	93.778	445,620
Family Support Services of North Florida	USF061	SU	93.558	299,053
First Step of Sarasota, Inc.	contract	SU	93.243	44,733
Florida Alzheimers Center	2004A10302	SU	93.999	31,353
Florida Coalition Against Dome	NA	SU	93.999	45,373
Florida Developmental Disabilities Council, Inc.	640IP06	SCC	93.630	9,574
George Washington University	06-N17	SU	93.847	30,534
Goodwill-Suncoast Industries	N/A	SU	93.243	28,957
Grove House Supportive Services, Inc.	616HC05	SU	93.630	1,800
Gulf Coast Workforce Development Board, Inc.	06-WT-GC	SCC	93.558	36,899
H Lee Moffitt Cancer Center	10-13852-99-01-G3/ 2005-009	SU	93.393	18,948
H Lee Moffitt Cancer Center	10-13355-03-01-G1/ 2006-001	SU	93.397	31,330
Health Planning Council of Southwest Florida	COAUy	FDOH	93.917	114,245
Healthy Start Coalition	HSRNO607	FDOH	93.994	39,684
Healthy Start Coalition of Sarasota	COSR1 (06-07), COSQ9 (06-07), COHSZ-R1 (06-07)	FDOH	93.778	798,403
Hemophilia of Georgia	5 H30 MC00011-17/ 5H76 HA00088-14- 00/ 5H76HA00088-12	SU	93.999	98,013
Hillsborough Board of County Commissioners	207-04-029	FDOH	93.914	746,192
Hillsborough County	04-0297	SU	93.153	255,136
Hillsborough County	05-1250	SU	93.914	44,805
Hillsborough County Anti-Drug Alliance	N/A	SU	93.243	19,262
Hillsborough County Board	06-1386	SU	93.243	47,785
Hillsborough Kids, Inc.	HKID37	SU	93.658	4,550
Hillsborough Board of County Commissioners	N/A	FDOH	93.100	106,033
Human Resources Research Organization	07-09	SU	93.104	19,311
Indiana University	39402-0165	SU	93.838	3,584
Informed Families	NA	SU	93.999	64,244
Ixon Biotechnology, Inc.	IXION BIOTECHNOLOGY	SU	93.847	10
Jackson Memorial Hospital	5.79925e+008	SU	93.243	57,623
Jacksonville Childrens Commission	SR167	FDOH	93.575	236,177
Jacksonville Childrens Commission	90LO0182	SU	93.577	244,254
Jewish Family & Community Services	N/A/ N/A	FDOH	93.917	2,912
Jewish Family and Childrens Services	contract	SU	93.086	35,674
Johns Hopkins University	8511-46276-X	SU	93.110	18,302
Johns Hopkins University	290-01-0012	SU	93.226	40,892
Johns Hopkins University	8503-92703-3	SU	93.846	13,709
Johns Hopkins University	8402-13099-4	SU	93.866	32,759
Johns Hopkins University	7823;96248;14268/ U01EY014660-02	SU	93.867	132,184
Justice Resource Institute	Ck No 138795	SU	93.243	10,970
Kaiser Permanente Center for Health Research	03CGree-01-02	SU	93.242	14,450
Keck Graduate Institute of Applied Life	N/A	SU	93.837	2,389
Lake County Board of County Commissioners	N/A	FDOH	93.252	386,612
Lakeview Center - Baptist Health Care	06-1601	SU	93.658	2,624
Life Sciences, Inc.	5-R43-AI-060321-02	SU	93.999	50,218
Lovelace Biomed & Environmental Research Institute	JK0700172	SU	93.853	138,230
MACRO International	35126-3S-545/ 35168-6S-757	SU	93.104	208,968
MACRO International	35126-4S-626	SU	93.243	42,912
MACRO International	35049-0S-275	SU	93.999	2
Magna Systems, Inc.	N/A	SU	93.230	8,817
Manila Consulting Group, Inc.	277-04-6099/ DHHS 277-04-6099	SU	93.243	32,270
Manila Consulting Group, Inc.	277-04-6099	SU	93.999	23,502
Mayer, Brown, Reowe & Maw LLP	N/A	SU	93.103	7,938
Mayo Clinic	R01N542733	SU	93.999	50,461
Mayo Clinic Jacksonville	R21-DA018106-02	SU	93.279	24,605
Medical College of Georgia	Medical Coll. of GA	SU	93.847	44,181
Medical College of Georgia	05-10659A/ 05-10659A1	SU	93.865	67,018
Medical University of South Carolina	MM-0266-0303	SU	93.283	15,152
Medical University of South Carolina	APHPA002071	SU	93.995	1,012
Mental Health Care, Inc.	H79 T116630-01	SU	93.243	37,893
Miami-Dade Community Action Agency	N/A	SCC	93.600	116,006
Miami-Dade County	N/A	SCC	93.999	178,482
Mid-Florida Center	N/A	SU	93.940	23,658
Minority Health Professions Foundation	2401/ 2403	SU	93.283	111,766

FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY / PASS-THROUGH GRANTOR NAME	PASS-THROUGH GRANTOR NUMBER	ADMINISTERING AGENCY	CFDA NUMBER	2006-2007 EXPENDITURES
Nanopharma Technologies, Inc.	N/A	SU	93.856	97
National Childhood Cancer Foundation	15706/ U10 CA98543-04	SU	93.395	62,402
National Childhood Cancer Foundation	NCCF 11165	SU	93.999	96,449
National Development & Research Institute	U01 DA016201	SU	93.279	40,187
National Initiative for Children	Contract Agreement	SU	93.226	21,965
Natl Assoc of St Mental Health Prog Dir	5830-1152	SU	93.243	8,219
Nautius Biosciences	NB03	SU	93.395	20,287
New York University	P010459/P022295/F378	SU	93.866	1
North Broward Hospital District	N/A	FDOH	93.918	213,915
North Carolina A&T State University	448731D	SU	93.137	6,373
Northeast Florida Healthy Start Coalition, Inc.	2 H49 MC 00051 05 00/ N/A	FDOH	93.926	2,082,615
Northeast Florida Healthy Start Coalition, Inc.	2 H49 MC 00051 05 00/ N/A	SU	93.926	108,767
Nova Southeastern University	2D39 HP00029-13	SU	93.189	49,122
Nova Southeastern University	TOIHP06411	SCC	93.996	296,791
Orange County Board of Commissioners	Y6-158F	FDOH	93.914	143,065
Orange County Government	Y7-1547 / Y6-158I	FDOH	93.914	745,410
Oregon Center for Applied Science	NA	SU	93.361	17,809
Oregon Health Sciences University	12341A	SU	93.859	54,259
Orlando Regional Healthcare System, Inc.	N/A	FDOH	93.918	28,793
Palm Beach County	PURCHASE ORDER 0001010574	SU	93.600	12,372
Palm Beach County Board of County Commissioners	R-2006-0625	FDOH	93.914	1,757,271
Palm Healthcare Foundation, Inc.	20060231	SCC	93.178	38,297
Pennsylvania State University	R01-EB00454	SU	93.286	142,439
Pennsylvania State University	3057-USF-DHHS-1542	SU	93.865	88,169
Planning Systems, Inc.	12494	SU	93.286	39
Pregnancy Center for Pinellas County	N/A	FDOH	93.010	18,125
Prevention Partnership for Children, Inc.	05-P134	FDOH	93.926	75,835
Research Foundation of The State	02-19	SU	93.865	119,627
Retirement Research Foundation	RRF GRANT #2003-233	SU	93.191	37,219
Riddle Institute	RIDDLE	SU	93.242	19,511
Saneron CCEL Therapeutics	1 R41 HL077012-01	SU	93.839	49,405
Sarasota County Board of County Commissioners	County Core Contract 2007-068	FDOH	93.104	390,110
Sarasota County Government	Contract No 2007-277	SU	93.104	202,861
Sarasota Family YMCA, Inc.	N/A	SU	93.658	543,664
School District of Palm Beach County	PO# B-606077	SU	93.600	4,860
Sepulveda Research Corporation	DOB-FSU52-2	SU	93.999	13,288
Society for The Arts In Healthcare	Soc for the Arts in	SU	93.999	9,528
South Florida Workforce Investment Board	WS-TS-PY06-03-00, WDS-TS-PY05-02-00	SCC	93.558	166,755
Sun Nuclear Corporation	Sun Nuclear Corporat	SU	93.999	1
Supercon, Inc.	100030/ S-21204	SU	93.395	191,426
Temple University	36-1835-121/CC014698	SU	93.279	54,304
TKC Integration Services LLC	300614101-01	SU	93.991	103,654
Treatment Research Institute - TRI	USF20346-01/ USF20542-02	SU	93.279	62,023
Tri-County Human Services	5UDI TI 11389-05	SU	93.230	3
U. S. Civilian Research & Development	RUB 2-2704-MO-05	SU	93.856	5,061
Unigene Laboratories, Inc.	N/A	SU	93.847	74
University of Alabama	U01DE016747	SU	93.121	156,432
University of Alabama - Birmingham	270863/T0705220030	SU	93.153	323,417
University of Alabama - Birmingham	048/ 2 U01 HD0400533-07	SU	93.242	69,102
University of Alabama - Birmingham	T0506010028	SU	93.853	21,826
University of Alabama - Birmingham	T0609060039	SU	93.865	17,817
University of California - Berkeley	SA4337-29613PG	SU	93.242	27,751
University of California - Berkeley	SA5565-11466	SU	93.859	59,837
University of California - San Diego	10244455	SU	93.859	7,306
University of California - San Diego	10213659-004	SU	93.866	34,220
University of California Los Angeles	0980 G GH894	SU	93.853	226,199
University of Chicago	5 R01 HD034157-05	SU	93.865	9,658
University of Cincinnati	17164/ P808/ University OF CINCINNATI	SU	93.853	85,117
University of Hawaii	1079SN5706/ N/A	SU	93.104	53,626
University of Illinois - Chicago	2006-06706-01-00	SU	93.242	8,672
University of Illinois - Chicago	2005368901JH	SU	93.859	96,787
University of Illinois - Chicago	240-02-003	SU	93.999	8,709

FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY / PASS-THROUGH GRANTOR NAME	PASS-THROUGH GRANTOR NUMBER	ADMINISTERING AGENCY	CFDA NUMBER	2006-2007 EXPENDITURES
University of Illinois At Urbana-Champaign	2003-03051-5	SU	93.600	40,277
University of Kansas Medical Center	Univ of Kansas	SU	93.256	13,769
University of Kentucky	PJZH1	FDCFS	93.670	73,569
University of Kentucky Research Foundation	3046947800-06-368	SU	93.670	3,146
University of Louisville	04-0099	SU	93.121	77,591
University of Maryland	Z 182802	SU	93.242	78,922
University of Maryland	S00896	SU	93.991	741
University of Miami	M774869	SU	93.121	9,827
University of Miami	5 R01 MH063042-05	SU	93.242	3,958
University of Miami	2 U10 DA013720-06/ DA013720	SU	93.279	39,581
University of Miami	660198	SU	93.286	88,633
University of Miami	M782929-C0.02	SU	93.397	70,787
University of Miami	5 U01 DK061041-06/ U01-DK-061041-04	SU	93.847	21,083
University of Miami	5U01NS046295-02/ 5U01NS46295-04	SU	93.853	80,122
University of Miami	2 R25 GM050083-04	SCC	93.859	32,944
University of Miami	660131/ 66178X/ 66260C	SU	93.866	135,527
University of Miami	66158L	SU	93.959	63,780
University of Miami	5 P30 ES005705-15/ 5.71268e+008/ P50 ES012736/ P50ES12736/ P50ES12736-02	SU	93.999	120,564
University of Minnesota	M6426130102	SU	93.173	26,284
University of Minnesota	B6376363110	SU	93.286	24,737
University of Missouri	CG004726-3	SU	93.399	58,980
University of New Jersey Medical School	GAFA064333	SU	93.395	19,988
University of North Carolina - Wilmington	508280-06-01	SU	93.846	19,959
University of North Dakota	761	SU	93.233	70,811
University of North Texas Health Science Center	71079-2006-001/ 71084-2005-001	SU	93.866	236,672
University of Pennsylvania	4-U10-EY12279-06	SU	93.867	16,286
University of Pittsburgh	1105615	SU	93.856	8,959
University of Rochester	UR# 5-28971	SU	93.242	45,315
University of Rochester	1 P20 MH071897-01/ 1 UD1 SM57405	SU	93.342	36,350
University of Rochester	413153-G	SU	93.856	25,008
University of Texas	UTA03-097	SU	93.865	8,501
University of Texas - Houston	0005125A	SU	93.865	502,394
University of Texas Health Science Center - Housto	SUBAWARD #0005532A	SU	93.242	1,247
University of Texas Health Science Center - Housto	SUB AWARD #0004387	SU	93.279	27,500
University of Texas Health Science Center - Housto	SUBAWARD# 0004977 (B)	SU	93.853	21,353
University of Utah	R21 AT001938	SU	93.213	55,571
University of Vermont	20343-FSU	SU	93.242	5,518
University of Vermont	UNIV OF VERMONT	SU	93.839	1,089
University of Virginia	GC10988.119468	SU	93.859	110,545
University of Virginia	GC11451.126464	SU	93.999	184,055
Utica College	D37HP00892	SU	93.191	36,740
Vanderbilt University	5R25 GM60190	SU	93.157	1,620
Vanderbilt University	Sub 19247	SU	93.600	39,882
Vanderbilt University	16997-S1	SU	93.865	9,541
Vanderbilt University Medical Center	VUMC CA #8740	SU	93.393	5,781
Virginia Mason Research Center	N/A	SU	93.855	7
West Central FL Area Agency On Aging	OAA 333.03	SU	93.052	61,801
Westat	7887-S001/ 7887-S002	SU	93.279	326,966
Westat	8062-S024	SU	93.283	56,514
Westat	G61948	SU	93.865	297,806
Western Interstate Commission for Higher	44.00.63R	SU	93.155	24,448
Western Kentucky University Research Foundation	WKURF 544159-07-01	SU	93.575	275,697
Wistar Institute	23681-03-307 PROJ 3/ 23682-03-307 PROJ 3	SU	93.839	392,274
Workforce Escarosa, Inc.	WIA-2005-2006-01/ WIA-2006-2007-01/ WIA-2006-2007-02/ WT-2006-2007-02/ WT-2006-2007-04	SCC	93.558	818,050
Worknet Pinellas	One Stop Operator	SCC	93.558	572,797
Wright State University	2 R01 HL056683-09	SU	93.838	12,537
Total - U. S. Department of Health and Human Services				\$32,215,515
U. S. Corporation for National and Community Service				

FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY / PASS-THROUGH GRANTOR NAME	PASS-THROUGH GRANTOR NUMBER	ADMINISTERING AGENCY	CFDA NUMBER	2006-2007 EXPENDITURES
American Association Of Community Colleges	05DSHDC002	SCC	94.007	12,741
Bethune-Cookman College	04AC045084	SU	94.006	65,588
Comm.College National Center for Comm Engang	03LHHAZ001	SCC	94.005	15,000
Community College National Center for Community En	03LHHAZ001	SCC	94.005	3,368
HIPPY USA	N/A	SU	94.006	68,866
Jumpstart	CFDA-94.006-JS/ CFDA-94.006-JS- SITE	SU	94.006	88,954
University of Maryland	05NDHMD0010004	FDOEA	94.006	92,070
Total - U. S. Corporation for National and Community Service				\$346,587
U. S. Department of Homeland Security				
City of Tampa	POFD07100268	SU	97.008	1,857
City of Tampa	N/A	SU	97.067	103,898
Menon Associates	06-1013	SU	97.999	1,767
Orange County Sheriff Department	N/A	FDLE	97.004	200
Unisys Corporation	302879	SU	97.020	66,992
Total - U. S. Department of Homeland Security				\$174,714
U. S. Agency for International Development				
Chemonics International	511-C-00-05-00057-00	SU	98.011	6,174
Georgetown University	FCCJRX205084602C/ FCCJRX205085204B/ HCC-RX-2050- 874-06-D	SCC	98.999	708,739
Kapiolani Community College	690-A-00-04-00237-00	SCC	98.012	520
Nature Conservancy	CMP-BAHMAR-1	SU	98.012	125
Winrock International	1310917	SU	98.009	215,546
Total - U. S. Agency for International Development				\$931,104
Other Federal Grants				
Academy for Educational Development	3649-01-15	SCC	99.999	7,125
Total - Other Federal Grants				\$7,125
Total Pass-Through Awards				\$66,335,940

Note 5. Other Federal Awards

In accordance with OMB Circular A-133, Section .310(b)(3), the following further identifies in detail the expenditures relating to direct and indirect Federal awards that do not have a CFDA number. These amounts are included in the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards under the amounts reported as CFDA XX.999 entitled Other Federal Awards.

FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY / AGENCY IDENTIFIER	ADMINISTERING AGENCY	CFDA NUMBER	2006-2007 EXPENDITURES
Office of National Drug Control Policy			
CFH06 I6PCFP502Z	Florida Department of Law Enforcement	07.999	32,515
CFH07 I7PCFP502Z	Florida Department of Law Enforcement	07.999	9,373
ICETF MOUNCIC CODE FU0701000	Florida Department of Law Enforcement	07.999	6,055
NFHSJ MOU I3PNFP501	Florida Department of Law Enforcement	07.999	107,931
PAEXP FC/FLM/0433	Florida Department of Law Enforcement	07.999	6,772
RDBLC	Florida Department of Law Enforcement	07.999	649
SFH05 I5PMIP5104	Florida Department of Law Enforcement	07.999	68,680
SFH06 I6PMIP5104	Florida Department of Law Enforcement	07.999	116,806
Total - Office of National Drug Control Policy			<u>\$348,781</u>
U. S. Department of Agriculture			
00008827	University of Florida	10.999	4,243
091004540008585	Florida State University	10.999	1,765
148000520017248	Florida State University	10.999	2,570
148000520019574	Florida State University	10.999	4,102
148000520019676	Florida State University	10.999	12,600
148000520019678	Florida State University	10.999	27,988
2.02601e+008	Florida International University	10.999	80,304
34495	University of Florida	10.999	17,363
34848	University of Florida	10.999	42,591
35150	University of Florida	10.999	79,491
36116	University of Florida	10.999	20,026
36153	University of Florida	10.999	24,835
36449	University of Florida	10.999	381
37145	University of Florida	10.999	47,014
37148	University of Florida	10.999	3,522
37870	University of Florida	10.999	1,028
38274	University of Florida	10.999	400
38324	University of Florida	10.999	17,031
39905	University of Florida	10.999	15,652
41113	University of Florida	10.999	5,886
41124	University of Florida	10.999	10,796
41405	University of Florida	10.999	38,902
49043	University of Florida	10.999	3,695
54277	University of Florida	10.999	9,705
54976	University of Florida	10.999	1,273
57897	University of Florida	10.999	5,614
58086	University of Florida	10.999	13,100
58206	University of Florida	10.999	74,220
58207	University of Florida	10.999	24,250
58208	University of Florida	10.999	1,717
58209	University of Florida	10.999	7,128
58256	University of Florida	10.999	526,286
58311	University of Florida	10.999	28,457
58507	University of Florida	10.999	17,302
58508	University of Florida	10.999	888
58509	University of Florida	10.999	30,143
58557	University of Florida	10.999	2,017
58558	University of Florida	10.999	846

FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY / AGENCY IDENTIFIER	ADMINISTERING AGENCY	CFDA NUMBER	2006-2007 EXPENDITURES
59188	University of Florida	10.999	14,538
59453	University of Florida	10.999	5,395
59454	University of Florida	10.999	8,203
59455	University of Florida	10.999	1,861
61156	University of Florida	10.999	1,015
61157	University of Florida	10.999	1,077
61158	University of Florida	10.999	3,035
61159	University of Florida	10.999	9,220
61160	University of Florida	10.999	8,695
61161	University of Florida	10.999	5,363
61188	University of Florida	10.999	68,070
61232	University of Florida	10.999	1,048
62466	University of Florida	10.999	2,000
62467	University of Florida	10.999	8,652
63265	University of Florida	10.999	1,319
63805	University of Florida	10.999	3,382
63806	University of Florida	10.999	31
64114	University of Florida	10.999	4,356
64282	University of Florida	10.999	16,184
64283	University of Florida	10.999	21,426
64284	University of Florida	10.999	3,559
64318	University of Florida	10.999	49
64430	University of Florida	10.999	8,151
64457	University of Florida	10.999	1,539,846
65379	University of Florida	10.999	906
65383	University of Florida	10.999	2,000
66617	University of Florida	10.999	16,355
66890	University of Florida	10.999	2,839
66891	University of Florida	10.999	7,344
66893	University of Florida	10.999	4,875
66981	University of Florida	10.999	2,638
KA0002,KA0003	Miami-Dade Community College	10.999	49,623
Total - U. S. Department of Agriculture			\$3,028,186
U. S. Department of Commerce			
059000520019363	Florida State University	11.999	10,680
087005520014230	Florida State University	11.999	8,872
091004540017329	Florida State University	11.999	23,421
16226034	University of Central Florida	11.999	4,670
16226039	University of Central Florida	11.999	14,687
20287	University of Florida	11.999	40,868
24038098	University of Central Florida	11.999	25,831
57907	University of Florida	11.999	50,976
62977	University of Florida	11.999	31,151
Total - U. S. Department of Commerce			\$211,156
U. S. Department of Defense			
00002725	University of Florida	12.999	141,574
00-85	Florida Department of Corrections	12.999	5,290
080003520013910	Florida State University	12.999	16,306
080003520017105	Florida State University	12.999	108,500
080003540008417	Florida State University	12.999	2,177
081003520014957	Florida State University	12.999	46,807
084009540009966	Florida State University	12.999	20,487
087005520008325	Florida State University	12.999	105,371
088004524021133	Florida State University	12.999	2,550
088004540018543	Florida State University	12.999	2,582
088004540018546	Florida State University	12.999	2,216
1001100100	University of South Florida	12.999	2,697,723
10930	Florida Department of Education	12.999	15,437
148000520016895	Florida State University	12.999	13,808
16208050	University of Central Florida	12.999	9,099

FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY / AGENCY IDENTIFIER	ADMINISTERING AGENCY	CFDA NUMBER	2006-2007 EXPENDITURES
16246041	University of Central Florida	12.999	24,837
16248033	University of Central Florida	12.999	81,031
16248037	University of Central Florida	12.999	50,604
16266036	University of Central Florida	12.999	91,208
16266039	University of Central Florida	12.999	83,040
16268080	University of Central Florida	12.999	40,657
16406016	University of Central Florida	12.999	6,110
16406046	University of Central Florida	12.999	31,610
16406047	University of Central Florida	12.999	57,959
16406048	University of Central Florida	12.999	34,055
16406049	University of Central Florida	12.999	64,319
16408044	University of Central Florida	12.999	16,463
16408063	University of Central Florida	12.999	25,924
186000524019818	Florida State University	12.999	20,561
186000524019878	Florida State University	12.999	48,665
186000540010209	Florida State University	12.999	1,790
210124	University of North Florida	12.999	534,034
214000524019268	Florida State University	12.999	15,129
214000540014790	Florida State University	12.999	52,182
216000524019699	Florida State University	12.999	20,442
216000524019985	Florida State University	12.999	10,111
216000540015762	Florida State University	12.999	716
217000520010767	Florida State University	12.999	1,608,430
217000524021272	Florida State University	12.999	59,176
217000540016779	Florida State University	12.999	5,592
217000540018813	Florida State University	12.999	69,360
218000524020172	Florida State University	12.999	24,364
218000524020818	Florida State University	12.999	68,235
218000540015375	Florida State University	12.999	81,456
225000520015604	Florida State University	12.999	68,297
225000540009762	Florida State University	12.999	3,496
225000540018469	Florida State University	12.999	19,592
227000540017278	Florida State University	12.999	68,982
227011524021284	Florida State University	12.999	17,245
227011524021286	Florida State University	12.999	7,350
24036023	University of Central Florida	12.999	3,934
24036037	University of Central Florida	12.999	8,823
24096013	University of Central Florida	12.999	1,707
24096014	University of Central Florida	12.999	19,215
24096015	University of Central Florida	12.999	143,887
24096016	University of Central Florida	12.999	65,790
24096017	University of Central Florida	12.999	12,441
24098023	University of Central Florida	12.999	23,308
24098024	University of Central Florida	12.999	6,929
24098025	University of Central Florida	12.999	31,975
2500004100	University of South Florida	12.999	2,245,045
25642	University of Florida	12.999	2,965
25936	University of Florida	12.999	39
26611	University of Florida	12.999	684
26849	University of Florida	12.999	10,701
29571	University of Florida	12.999	20
302000520016750	Florida State University	12.999	29,144
30274	University of Florida	12.999	20
48452	University of Florida	12.999	25
51276	University of Florida	12.999	92
51277	University of Florida	12.999	20,877
58126	University of Florida	12.999	10,663
59860	University of Florida	12.999	52,198
60223	University of Florida	12.999	12,684
61036009	University of Central Florida	12.999	186,418
61036010	University of Central Florida	12.999	23,109
61036036	University of Central Florida	12.999	3,189

FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY / AGENCY IDENTIFIER	ADMINISTERING AGENCY	CFDA NUMBER	2006-2007 EXPENDITURES
61410	University of Florida	12.999	8,501
62495	University of Florida	12.999	27,448
63066	University of Florida	12.999	6,792
63067	University of Florida	12.999	24,715
64016097	University of Central Florida	12.999	123,768
64016102	University of Central Florida	12.999	246,301
64016103	University of Central Florida	12.999	1,472,502
64016106	University of Central Florida	12.999	613,908
64016117	University of Central Florida	12.999	130,250
64016119	University of Central Florida	12.999	887,357
64016120	University of Central Florida	12.999	144,333
64016124	University of Central Florida	12.999	230,853
64016125	University of Central Florida	12.999	113,269
64016127	University of Central Florida	12.999	281,923
64016128	University of Central Florida	12.999	260,268
64016131	University of Central Florida	12.999	1,317,150
64016132	University of Central Florida	12.999	28,792
64016133	University of Central Florida	12.999	79,537
64016134	University of Central Florida	12.999	33,287
64016135	University of Central Florida	12.999	27,648
64016136	University of Central Florida	12.999	15,261
64016137	University of Central Florida	12.999	28,659
64016138	University of Central Florida	12.999	30,279
64016139	University of Central Florida	12.999	69,531
64016140	University of Central Florida	12.999	29,557
64016142	University of Central Florida	12.999	117,610
64016143	University of Central Florida	12.999	120,902
64016144	University of Central Florida	12.999	55,122
64016145	University of Central Florida	12.999	125,144
64016146	University of Central Florida	12.999	123,993
64016150	University of Central Florida	12.999	74,375
64016151	University of Central Florida	12.999	24,411
64018061	University of Central Florida	12.999	7,938
64018066	University of Central Florida	12.999	76,914
64018067	University of Central Florida	12.999	7,794
64018068	University of Central Florida	12.999	341
64018069	University of Central Florida	12.999	510
64018071	University of Central Florida	12.999	77,108
64018072	University of Central Florida	12.999	47,555
64018073	University of Central Florida	12.999	25,082
64018075	University of Central Florida	12.999	31,985
64018076	University of Central Florida	12.999	102,809
64018077	University of Central Florida	12.999	70,635
64018080	University of Central Florida	12.999	17,202
64018082	University of Central Florida	12.999	51,918
64018090	University of Central Florida	12.999	33,159
64018091	University of Central Florida	12.999	609
65016099	University of Central Florida	12.999	215,891
65016116	University of Central Florida	12.999	505,523
65016137	University of Central Florida	12.999	37,518
65016143	University of Central Florida	12.999	129,558
65016144	University of Central Florida	12.999	121,808
65016156	University of Central Florida	12.999	53,231
65018203	University of Central Florida	12.999	4,847
65018204	University of Central Florida	12.999	44,224
65018210	University of Central Florida	12.999	96,401
65018251	University of Central Florida	12.999	57,568
65239	University of Florida	12.999	9,747
66545	University of Florida	12.999	5,640
68016021	University of Central Florida	12.999	440
FEDPROP	Florida Department of Management Services	12.999	935,096
Total - U. S. Department of Defense			\$19,161,328

FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY / AGENCY IDENTIFIER	ADMINISTERING AGENCY	CFDA NUMBER	2006-2007 EXPENDITURES
U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			
2.60001e+008	Florida International University	14.999	402,368
52941	University of Florida	14.999	520
Total - U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			<u>\$402,888</u>
U. S. Department of the Interior			
00010600	University of Florida	15.999	4,844
059000520020734	Florida State University	15.999	14,906
072004520019823	Florida State University	15.999	15,478
091004520010656	Florida State University	15.999	7,762
091004520018869	Florida State University	15.999	32,004
1.20703e+008	Florida International University	15.999	16,698
148000520018765	Florida State University	15.999	1,885
148000520019356	Florida State University	15.999	6,224
148000520019359	Florida State University	15.999	5,437
148000520019361	Florida State University	15.999	2,756
148000520019923	Florida State University	15.999	4,570
148000520020563	Florida State University	15.999	9,594
148000524019427	Florida State University	15.999	9,998
148000524019428	Florida State University	15.999	21,219
148000540017064	Florida State University	15.999	5
148000540018100	Florida State University	15.999	4,646
158000520017532	Florida State University	15.999	2,238
2.02201e+008	Florida International University	15.999	165,590
2.02201e+008	Florida International University	15.999	9,198
2.02602e+008	Florida International University	15.999	23,117
2.03201e+008	Florida International University	15.999	7,192
2.05001e+008	Florida International University	15.999	49,761
2.05001e+008	Florida International University	15.999	1,013
2.05001e+008	Florida International University	15.999	295
2.05001e+008	Florida International University	15.999	2,348
2.05001e+008	Florida International University	15.999	10,521
2.05001e+008	Florida International University	15.999	22,781
2.05001e+008	Florida International University	15.999	138,235
2.05001e+008	Florida International University	15.999	36,553
2.05001e+008	Florida International University	15.999	116,304
2.05001e+008	Florida International University	15.999	7,070
2.05001e+008	Florida International University	15.999	30,111
2.05001e+008	Florida International University	15.999	11,713
2.05002e+008	Florida International University	15.999	23,941
2.05002e+008	Florida International University	15.999	49,243
2.05002e+008	Florida International University	15.999	39,475
2.05002e+008	Florida International University	15.999	35,868
2.05002e+008	Florida International University	15.999	33,806
2.05002e+008	Florida International University	15.999	32,794
2.05002e+008	Florida International University	15.999	31,333
2.05002e+008	Florida International University	15.999	28,622
2.05002e+008	Florida International University	15.999	54,708
2.05002e+008	Florida International University	15.999	25,076
2.05002e+008	Florida International University	15.999	20,711
2.05002e+008	Florida International University	15.999	22,714
2.05002e+008	Florida International University	15.999	18,288
2.05002e+008	Florida International University	15.999	14,914
2.05002e+008	Florida International University	15.999	12,404
2.05002e+008	Florida International University	15.999	10,043
2.05002e+008	Florida International University	15.999	5,208
2.05002e+008	Florida International University	15.999	3,166
2.05002e+008	Florida International University	15.999	28,120
2.05002e+008	Florida International University	15.999	60,660
2.05002e+008	Florida International University	15.999	61,736
2.05002e+008	Florida International University	15.999	62,002

FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY / AGENCY IDENTIFIER	ADMINISTERING AGENCY	CFDA NUMBER	2006-2007 EXPENDITURES
2.05002e+008	Florida International University	15.999	84,395
2.05002e+008	Florida International University	15.999	97,347
2.05002e+008	Florida International University	15.999	149,477
2.05002e+008	Florida International University	15.999	156,423
2.05002e+008	Florida International University	15.999	218,543
2.05002e+008	Florida International University	15.999	335,532
2.05002e+008	Florida International University	15.999	60,272
2.05002e+008	Florida International University	15.999	16,692
210143	University of North Florida	15.999	3,969
29505	University of Florida	15.999	1
31620	University of Florida	15.999	652
37387	University of Florida	15.999	22,262
49119	University of Florida	15.999	7,145
52701	University of Florida	15.999	4,858
57282	University of Florida	15.999	6,903
58227	University of Florida	15.999	18,426
58323	University of Florida	15.999	2,874
Total - U. S. Department of the Interior			<u>\$2,652,669</u>
U. S. Department of Justice			
080003520017568	Florida State University	16.999	56,146
080003520017572	Florida State University	16.999	187,975
080003520019674	Florida State University	16.999	39,111
141000520017347	Florida State University	16.999	265,625
141000530017347	Florida State University	16.999	32
2.02701e+008	Florida International University	16.999	110,961
24066026	University of Central Florida	16.999	29,605
24066027	University of Central Florida	16.999	147,635
4SCOR FC/FLM/0711	Florida Department of Law Enforcement	16.999	2,400
58962	University of Florida	16.999	51,888
65142	University of Florida	16.999	43,982
BBOYS FC/FLN/0242	Florida Department of Law Enforcement	16.999	5,625
BHOOK FCFLS1338	Florida Department of Law Enforcement	16.999	759
CAFEC FC/FLS/1450	Florida Department of Law Enforcement	16.999	4,376
CARGO MOU	Florida Department of Law Enforcement	16.999	10,086
CTRIA FC/FLM-0773	Florida Department of Law Enforcement	16.999	3,367
DEAFM TASK FORCE AGREEMENT	Florida Department of Law Enforcement	16.999	1,136
DEAJX MOU	Florida Department of Law Enforcement	16.999	2,195
DMD06 MOU	Florida Department of Law Enforcement	16.999	72,284
DMD07 2007-59 MOU	Florida Department of Law Enforcement	16.999	114,261
DMD98	Florida Department of Law Enforcement	16.999	1,426
FALCO MOU	Florida Department of Law Enforcement	16.999	1,170
JTTF MOU	Florida Department of Law Enforcement	16.999	1,708
KNOTT FC/FLN/0229	Florida Department of Law Enforcement	16.999	7,137
MECTF MOU	Florida Department of Law Enforcement	16.999	4,000
MVFTF MOU	Florida Department of Law Enforcement	16.999	9,982
SAMER MOU	Florida Department of Law Enforcement	16.999	2,367
SCALL FC/FLM/0783	Florida Department of Law Enforcement	16.999	1,570
TBFTF MOU	Florida Department of Law Enforcement	16.999	2,871
UNLKY FC/FLS/1431	Florida Department of Law Enforcement	16.999	10,989
Total - U. S. Department of Justice			<u>\$1,192,669</u>
U. S. Department of Labor			
220007	Brevard Community College	17.999	71,634
Total - U. S. Department of Labor			<u>\$71,634</u>
U. S. Department of State			
107000520008811	Florida State University	19.999	50,378
1728100700	University of South Florida	19.999	46,227
186000524020105	Florida State University	19.999	19,831
61481	University of Florida	19.999	31,735
Total - U. S. Department of State			<u>\$148,171</u>

FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY / AGENCY IDENTIFIER	ADMINISTERING AGENCY	CFDA NUMBER	2006-2007 EXPENDITURES
U. S. Department of Transportation			
00001327	University of Florida	20.999	9,729
2117052200	University of South Florida	20.999	567
2117108400	University of South Florida	20.999	552
216000524019967	Florida State University	20.999	53,335
217000520015831	Florida State University	20.999	5,138
Total - U. S. Department of Transportation			<u>\$69,321</u>
U. S. Department of the Treasury			
HITTF MOU with Secret Service	Florida Department of Law Enforcement	21.999	4,868
Total - U. S. Department of the Treasury			<u>\$4,868</u>
U. S. General Services Administration			
E101 ELECTION REFORM PAYMENTS	Florida Department of State	39.999	427,582
Total - U. S. General Services Administration			<u>\$427,582</u>
Library of Congress			
066000540015889	Florida State University	42.999	2,189
Total - Library of Congress			<u>\$2,189</u>
National Aeronautics and Space Administration			
00001716	University of Florida	43.999	19,771
00002313	University of Florida	43.999	38,393
00002723	University of Florida	43.999	176,131
00002870	University of Florida	43.999	3,443
00004428	University of Florida	43.999	33,432
00004483	University of Florida	43.999	2,742
00004498	University of Florida	43.999	26,231
00005368	University of Florida	43.999	192
00005490	University of Florida	43.999	269
00005491	University of Florida	43.999	6
00005564	University of Florida	43.999	80,297
00005578	University of Florida	43.999	9,797
00005581	University of Florida	43.999	2,444
00005583	University of Florida	43.999	2
00005586	University of Florida	43.999	1,399
00005711	University of Florida	43.999	118,843
00005808	University of Florida	43.999	129,011
00005905	University of Florida	43.999	11,005
00005906	University of Florida	43.999	47,592
00006191	University of Florida	43.999	106
059000520019581	Florida State University	43.999	21,938
059000524020010	Florida State University	43.999	82,822
071003520017038	Florida State University	43.999	24,112
071003520018491	Florida State University	43.999	33,018
071003520019726	Florida State University	43.999	94,395
071003540017486	Florida State University	43.999	70,648
075000520017210	Florida State University	43.999	12,721
081003520010448	Florida State University	43.999	66,289
084009524021189	Florida State University	43.999	15,863
084009524021190	Florida State University	43.999	4,958
085002520009635	Florida State University	43.999	52,753
087005520008777	Florida State University	43.999	12,506
087005520009338	Florida State University	43.999	55,839
087005520010176	Florida State University	43.999	2,793
087005520010330	Florida State University	43.999	43,020
087005520010450	Florida State University	43.999	70,611
087005520014731	Florida State University	43.999	48,271
087005520015810	Florida State University	43.999	67,218
087005520016010	Florida State University	43.999	97,305
087005520017149	Florida State University	43.999	15,234

FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY / AGENCY IDENTIFIER	ADMINISTERING AGENCY	CFDA NUMBER	2006-2007 EXPENDITURES
087005520017324	Florida State University	43.999	71,706
087005520017336	Florida State University	43.999	1,376
087005520017337	Florida State University	43.999	51,650
087005520018590	Florida State University	43.999	35,483
087005520019511	Florida State University	43.999	21,809
087005520020515	Florida State University	43.999	20,030
087005520021069	Florida State University	43.999	1,703
087005520021134	Florida State University	43.999	6,179
087005520021442	Florida State University	43.999	1,406
087005540018409	Florida State University	43.999	5,742
091004520010071	Florida State University	43.999	12,447
091004520014001	Florida State University	43.999	13,324
1213103400	University of South Florida	43.999	3,111
1245042500	University of South Florida	43.999	14,279
137000520021096	Florida State University	43.999	36,400
137000520021097	Florida State University	43.999	31,604
14246001	University of Central Florida	43.999	57,065
16226035	University of Central Florida	43.999	28,072
16228099	University of Central Florida	43.999	112,018
16266038	University of Central Florida	43.999	120,407
16268094	University of Central Florida	43.999	1,660
16296046	University of Central Florida	43.999	233,055
16408056	University of Central Florida	43.999	71,323
2108101000	University of South Florida	43.999	71,536
227000520015292	Florida State University	43.999	115,648
227000520015641	Florida State University	43.999	141,472
227000520017041	Florida State University	43.999	312,971
227000520018473	Florida State University	43.999	54,302
227000520021109	Florida State University	43.999	1,352
227000540015681	Florida State University	43.999	26,072
24086038	University of Central Florida	43.999	31,234
24088037	University of Central Florida	43.999	18,164
24088038	University of Central Florida	43.999	3,197
24088039	University of Central Florida	43.999	5,284
24088040	University of Central Florida	43.999	8,957
24088042	University of Central Florida	43.999	11,200
24088045	University of Central Florida	43.999	7,602
2500007600	University of South Florida	43.999	1,120
2500104200	University of South Florida	43.999	401,316
25524	University of Florida	43.999	42
25543	University of Florida	43.999	1
25605	University of Florida	43.999	78,782
25618	University of Florida	43.999	2,001
25625	University of Florida	43.999	3,391
25631	University of Florida	43.999	1,359
25632	University of Florida	43.999	75
25898	University of Florida	43.999	832
25952	University of Florida	43.999	14,359
28207	University of Florida	43.999	66
29589	University of Florida	43.999	775
29596	University of Florida	43.999	241
30975	University of Florida	43.999	192
34882	University of Florida	43.999	25,041
36661	University of Florida	43.999	125,477
41389	University of Florida	43.999	352
43092	University of Florida	43.999	51
43094	University of Florida	43.999	12
43097	University of Florida	43.999	192
43161	University of Florida	43.999	53,648
43220	University of Florida	43.999	10,903
48075	University of Florida	43.999	630
48300	University of Florida	43.999	117,795

FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY / AGENCY IDENTIFIER	ADMINISTERING AGENCY	CFDA NUMBER	2006-2007 EXPENDITURES
48796	University of Florida	43.999	26
49361	University of Florida	43.999	45,288
49565	University of Florida	43.999	7
49833	University of Florida	43.999	61,854
50658	University of Florida	43.999	2,757
50820	University of Florida	43.999	90,391
52547	University of Florida	43.999	25,662
52623	University of Florida	43.999	12,089
52643	University of Florida	43.999	3,340
53103	University of Florida	43.999	50,214
53151	University of Florida	43.999	6,503
53166	University of Florida	43.999	42,370
53167	University of Florida	43.999	30,897
53170	University of Florida	43.999	4,361
53171	University of Florida	43.999	20,409
53172	University of Florida	43.999	35,012
53176	University of Florida	43.999	1,724
53179	University of Florida	43.999	39,594
53181	University of Florida	43.999	12,178
53183	University of Florida	43.999	16,971
53185	University of Florida	43.999	22,985
53191	University of Florida	43.999	31,238
53193	University of Florida	43.999	16,899
53233	University of Florida	43.999	3,760
53234	University of Florida	43.999	20,880
53235	University of Florida	43.999	13,612
53244	University of Florida	43.999	18,704
53275	University of Florida	43.999	651
54052	University of Florida	43.999	72,719
54168	University of Florida	43.999	49,936
54173	University of Florida	43.999	80,910
54282	University of Florida	43.999	23,544
54283	University of Florida	43.999	78,447
54284	University of Florida	43.999	96,329
54285	University of Florida	43.999	10,374
54286	University of Florida	43.999	54,552
54428	University of Florida	43.999	19,356
54429	University of Florida	43.999	22,132
54519	University of Florida	43.999	30,520
54523	University of Florida	43.999	67,646
55432	University of Florida	43.999	4,891
55576	University of Florida	43.999	149,353
55767	University of Florida	43.999	315,332
55974	University of Florida	43.999	39,855
56659	University of Florida	43.999	3,646
56801	University of Florida	43.999	91,302
56802	University of Florida	43.999	44,271
57906	University of Florida	43.999	9,376
58474	University of Florida	43.999	21,011
58475	University of Florida	43.999	26,261
58477	University of Florida	43.999	65,019
58479	University of Florida	43.999	33,137
58480	University of Florida	43.999	27,626
58481	University of Florida	43.999	23,317
58483	University of Florida	43.999	4,706
58485	University of Florida	43.999	14,540
59329	University of Florida	43.999	128,071
59821	University of Florida	43.999	22,126
59988	University of Florida	43.999	24,990
60121	University of Florida	43.999	16,269
60442	University of Florida	43.999	2,014
60996	University of Florida	43.999	46,031

FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY / AGENCY IDENTIFIER	ADMINISTERING AGENCY	CFDA NUMBER	2006-2007 EXPENDITURES
61038012	University of Central Florida	43.999	84,085
61038023	University of Central Florida	43.999	55,359
61038032	University of Central Florida	43.999	33,595
61038033	University of Central Florida	43.999	16,798
61038036	University of Central Florida	43.999	22,418
61038037	University of Central Florida	43.999	31,960
61038038	University of Central Florida	43.999	11,965
62753	University of Florida	43.999	2,021
63142	University of Florida	43.999	918
63362	University of Florida	43.999	20,756
63416	University of Florida	43.999	19,437
64281	University of Florida	43.999	17,573
64584	University of Florida	43.999	493
65018232	University of Central Florida	43.999	8,343
65909	University of Florida	43.999	4,855
66138	University of Florida	43.999	21,339
66374	University of Florida	43.999	30,645
66885	University of Florida	43.999	3,510
68018071	University of Central Florida	43.999	261
Total - National Aeronautics and Space Administration			\$6,929,229
National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities			
138000540017094	Florida State University	45.999	12,240
189000540017563	Florida State University	45.999	4,995
194000524019436	Florida State University	45.999	10,000
31922	University of Florida	45.999	10,958
41854	University of Florida	45.999	4,715
Total - National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities			\$42,908
National Science Foundation			
00007965	University of Florida	47.999	3
055000540018896	Florida State University	47.999	6,591
075000540015983	Florida State University	47.999	8,630
078006540009991	Florida State University	47.999	31
084009524020154	Florida State University	47.999	1,647
091004540010743	Florida State University	47.999	6,962
126000540014291	Florida State University	47.999	4,555
129000540015132	Florida State University	47.999	9,293
129000540018537	Florida State University	47.999	19,366
129000540018780	Florida State University	47.999	14,805
163000540010096	Florida State University	47.999	3,995
164000540015509	Florida State University	47.999	2,500
2.03101e+008	Florida International University	47.999	9,885
212005540015841	Florida State University	47.999	38,743
42935	University of Florida	47.999	743
57209	University of Florida	47.999	7,351
67409	University of Florida	47.999	6,607
Total - National Science Foundation			\$141,707
U. S. Small Business Administration			
21237A	University of West Florida	59.999	273,329
26122067	Central Florida Community College	59.999	33,645
Total - U. S. Small Business Administration			\$306,974
U. S. Department of Veterans Affairs			
258600	Florida Community College at Jacksonville	64.999	35
258700	Florida Community College at Jacksonville	64.999	9,634
Total - U. S. Department of Veterans Affairs			\$9,669
U. S. Environmental Protection Agency			
00005192	University of Florida	66.999	2,462
087005540015133	Florida State University	66.999	59,187

FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY / AGENCY IDENTIFIER	ADMINISTERING AGENCY	CFDA NUMBER	2006-2007 EXPENDITURES
110145	Florida Atlantic University	66.999	5,238
1224102600	University of South Florida	66.999	614,688
1225015200	University of South Florida	66.999	34,065
148000540010545	Florida State University	66.999	448
166000540010223	Florida State University	66.999	23,303
2.02601e+008	Florida International University	66.999	85
2.02601e+008	Florida International University	66.999	1,999
2.02601e+008	Florida International University	66.999	3,948
2.12201e+008	Florida International University	66.999	558
2176-10276	New College of Florida	66.999	39,919
227000520009393	Florida State University	66.999	103,451
3310011900	University of South Florida	66.999	5
4710018300	University of South Florida	66.999	901
49425	University of Florida	66.999	1,068
49491	University of Florida	66.999	5,297
51451	University of Florida	66.999	2,503
52374	University of Florida	66.999	5,519
SRFHG	Florida Department of Environmental Protection	66.999	1,204,754
X832705010	Florida Department of Citrus	66.999	1,373,706
Total - U. S. Environmental Protection Agency			\$3,483,104
U. S. Department of Energy			
00000566	University of Florida	81.999	49,946
00003490	University of Florida	81.999	135,387
00003498	University of Florida	81.999	2,145
00005883	University of Florida	81.999	122,496
00006059	University of Florida	81.999	191,362
00006269	University of Florida	81.999	192
00006697	University of Florida	81.999	19,998
00006698	University of Florida	81.999	65,592
00006709	University of Florida	81.999	46,528
071003524020623	Florida State University	81.999	9,708
075000524019242	Florida State University	81.999	8,956
075000524021239	Florida State University	81.999	10,728
078006540015543	Florida State University	81.999	112,771
084009524019212	Florida State University	81.999	6,485
084009524021113	Florida State University	81.999	17,051
084009540018527	Florida State University	81.999	9,210
084009540018925	Florida State University	81.999	10,763
087005540015127	Florida State University	81.999	90,228
087005540018076	Florida State University	81.999	63,731
1.20703e+008	Florida International University	81.999	13,544
137000524021266	Florida State University	81.999	19,706
137000540018315	Florida State University	81.999	39,236
16268081	University of Central Florida	81.999	47,447
16406052	University of Central Florida	81.999	912
20128124	University of Central Florida	81.999	7,145
2105102200	University of South Florida	81.999	29,226
218000540015572	Florida State University	81.999	7,875
218000540017212	Florida State University	81.999	62,746
219000540008081	Florida State University	81.999	6,557
225000540016858	Florida State University	81.999	55,268
227000520009585	Florida State University	81.999	213,488
227000540016455	Florida State University	81.999	70,582
227000540017920	Florida State University	81.999	76,960
227011524019191	Florida State University	81.999	45,720
24068045	University of Central Florida	81.999	1,103
27677	University of Florida	81.999	14,645
42918	University of Florida	81.999	8,364
51755	University of Florida	81.999	111,404
52030	University of Florida	81.999	34,471
57391	University of Florida	81.999	370,069

FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY / AGENCY IDENTIFIER	ADMINISTERING AGENCY	CFDA NUMBER	2006-2007 EXPENDITURES
60327	University of Florida	81.999	32,505
66072	University of Florida	81.999	3,768
68016032	University of Central Florida	81.999	2,406
68018093	University of Central Florida	81.999	13,317
Total - U. S. Department of Energy			\$2,261,741
Federal Emergency Management Agency			
25800000 800003 90	Palm Beach Community College	83.999	15,751
FD014	Florida Department of Environmental Protection	83.999	72,312
Total - Federal Emergency Management Agency			\$88,063
U. S. Department of Education			
	Florida State University	84.999	2,200,000
00007318	University of Florida	84.999	2
00007366	University of Florida	84.999	72
00007367	University of Florida	84.999	14,301
00007786	University of Florida	84.999	4,155
10920	Florida Department of Education	84.999	4,631
1097A	Florida Department of Education	84.999	102,608
123005540010312	Florida State University	84.999	57,416
124000540009415	Florida State University	84.999	2
125000540010651	Florida State University	84.999	33,220
129000540010697	Florida State University	84.999	27,133
14268006	University of Central Florida	84.999	27
14800	Florida Department of Education	84.999	19,600
164000540009415	Florida State University	84.999	30,731
1724011800	University of South Florida	84.999	32,710
1724012600	University of South Florida	84.999	851
1724014200	University of South Florida	84.999	139,275
186000524019749	Florida State University	84.999	998,714
186000540013748	Florida State University	84.999	14,419
186000540014162	Florida State University	84.999	707
186000540016856	Florida State University	84.999	633,889
2.53001e+008	Florida International University	84.999	93,044
20027	Florida Gulf Coast University	84.999	600
20121	Florida Gulf Coast University	84.999	1,555
20152	Florida Gulf Coast University	84.999	912
20192	Florida Gulf Coast University	84.999	112
20211	Florida Gulf Coast University	84.999	8,725
20212	Florida Gulf Coast University	84.999	5,008
20233	Florida Gulf Coast University	84.999	36,163
228010524020100	Florida State University	84.999	49,943
23206001	University of Central Florida	84.999	490
25800000 800053 10	Palm Beach Community College	84.999	325,389
302000540018148	Florida State University	84.999	21,419
302000540018816	Florida State University	84.999	289,601
42869	University of Florida	84.999	1,248
54733	University of Florida	84.999	242
59760	University of Florida	84.999	13,524
7603F18108	University of Florida	84.999	78,300
DQS101 (CFDA 83.342)	Miami-Dade Community College	84.999	78,045
HousingLns	University of Florida	84.999	225,000
IQ0005 (CFDA 84.195)	Miami-Dade Community College	84.999	129,776
Total - U. S. Department of Education			\$5,673,559
U. S. Department of Health and Human Services			
00002399	University of Florida	93.999	149,299
00010599	University of Florida	93.999	554
073002524019820	Florida State University	93.999	184,055
089009520015358	Florida State University	93.999	7,086
1.20101e+008	Florida International University	93.999	36,686
1.22001e+008	Florida International University	93.999	61,527

FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY / AGENCY IDENTIFIER	ADMINISTERING AGENCY	CFDA NUMBER	2006-2007 EXPENDITURES
1.22001e+008	Florida International University	93.999	74,322
1.22001e+008	Florida International University	93.999	130,381
1.22001e+008	Florida International University	93.999	170,577
1213014200	University of South Florida	93.999	1,426
1213100700	University of South Florida	93.999	24,043
142001540018340	Florida State University	93.999	1,821
142001540018820	Florida State University	93.999	45,373
156000524021307	Florida State University	93.999	1,089
156000540017029	Florida State University	93.999	63,155
17086	University of Florida	93.999	7
2.02601e+008	Florida International University	93.999	9,228
2.02701e+008	Florida International University	93.999	32,460
2.02701e+008	Florida International University	93.999	32,562
2.02701e+008	Florida International University	93.999	2,718
2.02701e+008	Florida International University	93.999	1,315
2.02701e+008	Florida International University	93.999	357
2.02701e+008	Florida International University	93.999	53,870
208000540010735	Florida State University	93.999	31,353
210000540016126	Florida State University	93.999	13,288
210107	University of North Florida	93.999	6,411
210160	University of North Florida	93.999	17,091
21201	University of Florida	93.999	50,461
24036009	University of Central Florida	93.999	38,813
34092	University of Florida	93.999	48,563
34115	University of Florida	93.999	234
40024	University of Florida	93.999	3,995
48442	University of Florida	93.999	38,758
48799	University of Florida	93.999	359,052
48810	University of Florida	93.999	120
49346	University of Florida	93.999	1,678
49411	University of Florida	93.999	4,404
51134	University of Florida	93.999	8,153
51145	University of Florida	93.999	87,547
51146	University of Florida	93.999	64,006
51151	University of Florida	93.999	4,400
51432	University of Florida	93.999	2,967
54148	University of Florida	93.999	6,079
55225	University of Florida	93.999	10,070
55544	University of Florida	93.999	962
55607	University of Florida	93.999	1,307
56162	University of Florida	93.999	5,017
58198	University of Florida	93.999	1
5820019100	University of South Florida	93.999	5,000
5830034701	University of South Florida	93.999	2
5830105100	University of South Florida	93.999	58,284
58419	University of Florida	93.999	50,218
58699	University of Florida	93.999	3,543
6112015000	University of South Florida	93.999	197
6119109600	University of South Florida	93.999	8,709
6123041200	University of South Florida	93.999	1,491
6123103200	University of South Florida	93.999	40,766
6123104200	University of South Florida	93.999	55,756
61648	University of Florida	93.999	133,093
62350	University of Florida	93.999	9,528
62621	University of Florida	93.999	57,691
63885	University of Florida	93.999	2,460
6402017400	University of South Florida	93.999	1,053
6402100900	University of South Florida	93.999	5,545
6402100901	University of South Florida	93.999	872
6402100902	University of South Florida	93.999	2,549
6402100903	University of South Florida	93.999	8,367
6414007600	University of South Florida	93.999	31,231

FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY / AGENCY IDENTIFIER	ADMINISTERING AGENCY	CFDA NUMBER	2006-2007 EXPENDITURES
6414102300	University of South Florida	93.999	78,987
HHSP233200500991P	Florida Department of Health	93.999	1,204
I30232	Miami-Dade Community College	93.999	178,482
N01-AG-3-1012	University of South Florida	93.999	376,443
Total - U. S. Department of Health and Human Services			\$3,000,112
U. S. Corporation for National and Community Service			
COAXP	Florida Department of Corrections	94.999	330,995
Total - U. S. Corporation for National and Community Service			\$330,995
U. S. Department of Homeland Security			
	Florida Department of Corrections	97.999	832,506
63018002	University of Central Florida	97.999	1,767
FMFST MOU	Florida Department of Law Enforcement	97.999	995
Total - U. S. Department of Homeland Security			\$835,268
U. S. Agency for International Development			
212510	Florida Community College at Jacksonville	98.999	20,456
212610	Florida Community College at Jacksonville	98.999	324,105
Various	Hillsborough Community College	98.999	364,178
Total - U. S. Agency for International Development			\$708,739
Other Federal Grants			
138000520020971	Florida State University	99.999	4,015
147000520020972	Florida State University	99.999	1,353
250056	Brevard Community College	99.999	5,092
250057	Brevard Community College	99.999	4,978
250060	Brevard Community College	99.999	5,879
DA4002	Miami-Dade Community College	99.999	7,125
Total - Other Federal Grants			\$28,442
Total Other Federal Awards			\$51,561,952

APPENDIX OTHER REPORTS

The Auditor General reports listed below include findings and information that may enhance the reader's understanding of the State of Florida's administration of Federal awards:

<u>Report Type/Number</u>	<u>Report Title</u>	<u>Date of Report</u>
Operational Audit No. 2008-091	<i>Agency for Health Care Administration Contract Management</i>	February 2008
Operational Audit No. 2008-072	<i>Department of Children and Family Services Community-Based Care Pilot Program Fiscal and Administrative Monitoring</i>	January 2008
Information Technology Audit No. 2008-037	<i>Agency for Workforce Innovation Unemployment Insurance Program</i>	November 2007
Operational Audit No. 2008-035	<i>Agency for Health Care Administration Medicaid Third Party Liability Administration</i>	November 2007
Operational Audit No. 2008-033	<i>Agency for Health Care Administration Medicaid Non-Emergency Transportation Services</i>	November 2007
Operational Audit No. 2008-027	<i>Agency for Health Care Administration Administrative Activities</i>	October 2007
Operational Audit No. 2008-025	<i>Agency for Persons with Disabilities Consumer-Directed Care Plus Waiver Program</i>	October 2007
Operational Audit No. 2008-022	<i>Department of Military Affairs Administration of Selected Programs and Functions and Follow-up on Selected Prior Audit Findings</i>	October 2007
Information Technology Audit No. 2008-020	<i>Department of Revenue Child Support Enforcement Automated Management System (CAMS Phase 1)</i>	October 2007
Information Technology Audit No. 2008-015	<i>Department of Health State Health Online Tracking System</i>	September 2007
Operational Audit No. 2008-013	<i>Department of Elder Affairs Assessed Prioritized Consumer List and Administrative Functions</i>	September 2007
Operational Audit No. 2008-012	<i>Department of Legal Affairs Medicaid Fraud Control Unit Prior Audit Follow-up</i>	August 2007
Information Technology Audit No. 2007-200	<i>Department of Children and Family Services Grants and Other Revenue Allocation and Tracking System</i>	June 2007
Information Technology Audit No. 2007-183	<i>Department of Transportation Financial Management System</i>	May 2007

Audit reports prepared by the Auditor General can be obtained on our Web site (<http://www.myflorida.com/audgen>).

Additionally, the State's Office of Program Policy Analysis and Government Accountability's issued a program review of the Florida Retirement System Pension Plan, dated April 2007 (report No. 07-24). A copy of this report can be obtained online at (<http://www.oppage.state.fl.us>).

INDEX OF FINDINGS BY FEDERAL AGENCY

<u>Federal Agency</u>	<u>CFDA Number</u>	<u>Program Title</u>	<u>Finding Number</u>	<u>Federal Agency</u>	<u>CFDA Number</u>	<u>Program Title</u>	<u>Finding Number</u>
Federal Agency Election Assistance Commission	90.401	Help America Vote Act Requirements Payments	FA 07-042	United States Department of Energy		Research and Development Cluster	
National Aeronautics and Space Administration		Research and Development Cluster			81.087		FA 07-098
	43.999		FA 07-097 FA 07-101	United States Department of Health and Human Services		Research and Development Cluster	
National Science Foundation		Research and Development Cluster			Various		FA 07-094 FA 07-095 FA 07-096 FA 07-100 FA 07-103 FA 07-102 FA 07-098
	47.074		FA 07-097 FA 07-101		93.389 93.389, 93.847		FA 07-098
United States Department of Agriculture	10.025	Plant and Animal Disease, Pest Control, and Animal Care	FA 07-001 FA 07-002		93.268	Immunization Grants	FA 07-063
	10.558	Child and Adult Care Food Program	FA 07-003		93.283	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Investigations and Technical Assistance	FA 07-044 FA 07-045 FA 07-063
United States Department of Defense		Research and Development Cluster			93.558	Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	FA 07-043 FA 07-046 FA 07-047
	12.300, 12.999 12.999		FA 07-098 FA 07-093 FA 07-102		93.563	Child Support Enforcement	FA 07-048
United States Department of Education	84.002	Adult Education - State Grant Program	FA 07-019		93.566	Refugee and Entrant Assistance - State Administered Programs	FA 07-043 FA 07-049 FA 07-050
		Student Financial Aid Cluster			93.568	Low-Income Home Energy Assistance	FA 07-051 FA 07-052 FA 07-053 FA 07-066
	84.007, 84.032, 84.033, 84.038, 84.063, 84.268, 84.375, 84.376		FA 07-081			Child Care Cluster	
	84.007, 84.032, 84.033, 84.063, 84.375		FA 07-082 FA 07-083 FA 07-086		93.575, 93.596		FA 07-054 FA 07-055
	84.007, 84.032, 84.063		FA 07-084 FA 07-085 FA 07-090		93.603	Adoption Incentive Payments	FA 07-043
	84.032		FA 07-092 FA 07-087 FA 07-088		93.658	Foster Care - Title IV-E	FA 07-043
	84.032, 84.063		FA 07-091 FA 07-089		93.659	Adoption Assistance	FA 07-043 FA 07-056
	84.063		FA 07-020 FA 07-021		93.667	Social Services Block Grant	FA 07-043
	84.010	Title I Grants to Local Educational Agencies			93.767	State Children's Insurance Program	FA 07-057 FA 07-058 FA 07-059
	84.027	Special Education Cluster	FA 07-022 FA 07-024 FA 07-023		93.778	Medical Assistance Program	FA 07-043 FA 07-060 FA 07-061 FA 07-062
	84.027, 84.173				93.917	HIV Care Formula Grants	FA 07-063 FA 07-064 FA 07-065
	84.048	Vocational Education - Basic Grants to States	FA 07-019 FA 07-025 FA 07-026 FA 07-027		93.940	HIV Prevention Activities - Health Department Based	FA 07-063
	84.126	Rehabilitation Services - Vocational Rehabilitation Grants to States	FA 07-028 FA 07-029 FA 07-030 FA 07-031 FA 07-032 FA 07-033 FA 07-034	United States Department of Homeland Security		Homeland Security	
	84.181	Special Education - Grants for Infants and Families with Disabilities	FA 07-024 FA 07-035 FA 07-036		97.004, 97.067		FA 07-066 FA 07-067 FA 07-068 FA 07-079 FA 07-080
	84.287	Twenty-First Century Community Learning Centers	FA 07-037		97.036	Disaster Grants - Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disasters)	FA 07-066 FA 07-067 FA 07-069 FA 07-070 FA 07-071 FA 07-072 FA 07-073 FA 07-074
	84.357	Reading First State Grants	FA 07-038 FA 07-039				
	84.365	English Language Acquisition Grants	FA 07-040 FA 07-041				
	84.367	Improving Teacher Quality State Grants	FA 07-020				

INDEX OF FINDINGS BY FEDERAL AGENCY

<u>Federal Agency</u>	<u>CFDA Number</u>	<u>Program Title</u>	<u>Finding Number</u>
United States Department of Homeland Security (continued)	97.039	Hazard Mitigation Grant	FA 07-066
			FA 07-067
			FA 07-075
			FA 07-076
			FA 07-077
			FA 07-078
	97.042	Emergency Management Performance Grants	FA 07-071
United States Department of Housing and Urban Development	14.228	Community Development Block Grants/State's Program	FA 07-004
			FA 07-005
			FA 07-006
			FA 07-007
			FA 07-008
			FA 07-066
United States Department of Labor	17.225	Unemployment Insurance	FA 07-009
			FA 07-010
			FA 07-011
			FA 07-012
			FA 07-013
	17.258, 17.259, 17.260	WIA Cluster	FA 07-014
			FA 07-015
United States Department of the Interior		Research and Development Cluster	
	15.634		FA 07-099
United States Department of the Treasury	84.126	Rehabilitation Services - Vocational Rehabilitation Grants to States	FA 07-018
United States Department of Transportation	20.205	Highway Planning and Construction	FA 07-016
			FA 07-017
		Research and Development Cluster	
	20.514		FA 07-099
	20.999		FA 07-101
United States Environmental Protection Agency		Research and Development Cluster	
	66.468		FA 07-099

INDEX OF FINDINGS BY STATE AGENCY

State Agency Finding Number	Program Title
Florida Agency for Health Care Administration	
FA 07-059	State Children's Insurance Program
FA 07-060	Medical Assistance Program
FA 07-062	
FS 07-010	Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards
Florida Agency for Workforce Innovation	
FA 07-009	Unemployment Insurance
FA 07-010	
FA 07-012	
FA 07-013	
FA 07-014	WIA Cluster
FA 07-015	
FA 07-054	Child Care Cluster
Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services	
FA 07-001	Plant and Animal Disease, Pest Control, and Animal Care
FA 07-002	
Florida Department of Children and Family Services	
FA 07-043	Adoption Assistance
	Adoption Incentive Payments
	Foster Care - Title IV-E
	Medical Assistance Program
	Refugee and Entrant Assistance - State Administered Programs
	Social Services Block Grant
	Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
FA 07-046	Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
FA 07-047	
FA 07-049	Refugee and Entrant Assistance - State Administered Programs
FA 07-050	
FA 07-055	Child Care Cluster
FA 07-056	Adoption Assistance
FA 07-061	Medical Assistance Program
FS 07-010	Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards
Florida Department of Community Affairs	
FA 07-004	Community Development Block Grants/State's Program
FA 07-005	
FA 07-006	
FA 07-007	
FA 07-008	
FA 07-051	Low-Income Home Energy Assistance
FA 07-052	
FA 07-053	
FA 07-066	Community Development Block Grants/State's Program
	Disaster Grants - Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disasters)
	Hazard Mitigation Grant
	Homeland Security
	Low-Income Home Energy Assistance
FA 07-067	Disaster Grants - Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disasters)
	Hazard Mitigation Grant
	Homeland Security
FA 07-068	Homeland Security
FA 07-069	Disaster Grants - Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disasters)
FA 07-071	Emergency Management Performance Grants
FA 07-072	Disaster Grants - Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disasters)
FA 07-073	
FA 07-076	Hazard Mitigation Grant
FS 07-010	Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards
Florida Department of Education	
FA 07-018	Rehabilitation Services - Vocational Rehabilitation Grants to States

State Agency Finding Number	Program Title
Florida Department of Education (continued)	
FA 07-019	Adult Education - State Grant Program
FA 07-019	Vocational Education - Basic Grants to States
FA 07-020	Improving Teacher Quality State Grants
	Title I Grants to Local Educational Agencies
FA 07-021	Title I Grants to Local Educational Agencies
FA 07-022	Special Education Cluster
FA 07-023	
FA 07-025	Vocational Education - Basic Grants to States
FA 07-026	
FA 07-027	
FA 07-028	Rehabilitation Services - Vocational Rehabilitation Grants
FA 07-029	to States
FA 07-030	
FA 07-031	
FA 07-032	
FA 07-033	
FA 07-034	
FA 07-037	Twenty-First Century Community Learning Centers
FA 07-038	Reading First State Grants
FA 07-039	
FA 07-040	English Language Acquisition Grants
FA 07-041	
FS 07-009	Revenues and Expenses
FS 07-010	Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards
FS 07-011	
Florida Department of Environmental Protection	
FS 07-003	Due to Component Units
FS 07-010	Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards
Florida Department of Financial Services	
FS 07-001	Pooled Investments with State Treasury
FS 07-002	Claims Liabilities
FS 07-007	Degferred Compensation Annuities
FS 07-010	Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards
Florida Department of Health	
FA 07-003	Child and Adult Care Food Program
FA 07-036	Special Education - Grants for Infants and Families with Disabilities
FA 07-044	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Investigations
FA 07-045	and Technical Assistance
FA 07-057	State Children's Insurance Program
FA 07-058	
FA 07-063	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Investigations and Technical Assistance
	HIV Care Formula Grants
	HIV Prevention Activities - Health Department Based
	Immunization Grants
FA 07-064	HIV Care Formula Grants
FA 07-065	
Florida Department of Management Services	
FS 07-006	Investments
FS 07-008	Net Assets
FS 07-012	Required Supplementary Information
Florida Department of Revenue	
FA 07-011	Unemployment Insurance
FA 07-013	
FA 07-048	Child Support Enforcement

INDEX OF FINDINGS BY STATE AGENCY

State Agency Finding Number	Program Title
Florida Department of State	
FA 07-042	Help America Vote Act Requirements Payments
Florida Department of Transportation	
FA 07-016	Highway Planning and Construction
FA 07-017	
FA 07-073	Disaster Grants - Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disasters)
FS 07-004	Net Assets
Florida Division of Emergency Management	
FA 07-069	Disaster Grants - Public Assistance (Presidentially
FA 07-070	Declared Disasters)
FA 07-073	
FA 07-074	
FA 07-075	Hazard Mitigation Grant
FA 07-076	
FA 07-077	
FA 07-078	
FA 07-079	Homeland Security
FA 07-080	
State Board of Administration	
FS 07-005	Notes to the Financial Statements - Subsequent Events

INDEX OF FINDINGS BY STATE UNIVERSITY AND COMMUNITY COLLEGE

State University and Community College Finding Number	Program Title
Florida A & M University	
FA 07-081	Student Financial Aid Cluster
FA 07-094	Research and Development Cluster
Florida Atlantic University	
FA 07-099	Research and Development Cluster
Florida Gulf Coast University	
FA 07-090	Student Financial Aid Cluster
Florida International University	
FA 07-082	Student Financial Aid Cluster
FA 07-090	
FA 07-096	Research and Development Cluster
Florida State University	
FA 07-082	Student Financial Aid Cluster
New College of Florida	
FA 07-090	Student Financial Aid Cluster
University of Central Florida	
FA 07-090	Student Financial Aid Cluster
University of Florida	
FA 07-035	Special Education - Grants for Infants and Families with Disabilities
FA 07-082	Student Financial Aid Cluster
FA 07-095	Research and Development Cluster
FA 07-097	
FA 07-100	
FA 07-101	
FA 07-103	
University of South Florida	
FA 07-024	Special Education - Grants for Infants and Families with Disabilities
	Special Education - Grants to States
FA 07-093	Research and Development Cluster
FA 07-098	
FA 07-102	

State University and Community College Finding Number	Program Title
Edison College	
FA 07-090	Student Financial Aid Cluster
Florida Community College at Jacksonville	
FA 07-082	Student Financial Aid Cluster
Miami-Dade College	
FA 07-082	Student Financial Aid Cluster
FA 07-091	Student Financial Aid Cluster
Palm Beach Community College	
FA 07-082	Student Financial Aid Cluster
FA 07-092	
Polk Community College	
FA 07-088	Student Financial Aid Cluster
FA 07-089	
FA 07-090	
FA 07-092	
Seminole Community College	
FA 07-087	Student Financial Aid Cluster
FA 07-090	
FA 07-092	
St. Johns River Community College	
FA 07-083	Student Financial Aid Cluster
St. Petersburg College	
FA 07-084	Student Financial Aid Cluster
FA 07-085	
FA 07-086	
FA 07-087	
FA 07-088	
FA 07-090	
Valencia Community College	
FA 07-090	Student Financial Aid Cluster

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

INDEX OF FEDERAL FINDINGS BY COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENT

CFDA Number	Activities Allowed or Unallowed	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles	Cash Management	Eligibility	Matching Level of Effort, Earmarking	Period of Availability of Federal Funds	Procurement and Suspension and Debarment	Reporting	Subrecipient Monitoring	Special Tests and Provisions
United States Department of Agriculture										
10.025		FA 07-001								FA 07-002
10.558		FA 07-003								
United States Department of Defense										
12.300 12.999		FA 07-098*								
12.999	FA 07-093*						FA 07-102*			
United States Department of Housing and Urban Development										
14.228	FA 07-004 FA 07-005	FA 07-005 FA 07-006 FA 07-066	FA 07-004			FA 07-005		FA 07-007	FA 07-008	FA 07-008
United States Department of the Interior										
15.634		FA 07-099*								
United States Department of Labor										
17.225				FA 07-009		FA 07-010	FA 07-011	FA 07-012		FA 07-013
17.258 17.259 17.260		FA 07-014			FA 07-015			FA 07-014		
United States Department of Transportation										
20.205									FA 07-016	FA 07-017
20.514		FA 07-099*								
20.999					FA 07-101*					
United States Department of the Treasury										
84.126			FA 07-018							
National Aeronautics and Space Administration										
43.999		FA 07-097*			FA 07-101*					
National Science Foundation										
47.074		FA 07-097*			FA 07-101*					
United States Environmental Protection Agency										
66.468		FA 07-099*								
United States Department of Energy										
81.087		FA 07-098*								
United States Department of Education										
84.002									FA 07-019	
84.007 84.032 84.033 84.038 84.063 84.268 84.375 84.376			FA 07-081 FA 07-082	FA 07-081						FA 07-081
84.007 84.032 84.033 84.063 84.375				FA 07-083 FA 07-086						
84.007 84.032 84.063				FA 07-084 FA 07-085						
84.032										FA 07-090 FA 07-092
84.032 84.063										FA 07-087 FA 07-088 FA 07-091
84.063										FA 07-089
84.010									FA 07-020	FA 07-021
84.027					FA 07-022				FA 07-024	

* CFDA number is included within the Research and Development Cluster

INDEX OF FEDERAL FINDINGS BY COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENT

CFDA Number	Activities Allowed or Unallowed	Allowable Costs/Cost Principles	Cash Management	Eligibility	Matching Level of Effort, Earmarking	Period of Availability of Federal Funds	Procurement and Suspension and Debarment	Reporting	Subrecipient Monitoring	Special Tests and Provisions
United States Department of Education (continued)										
84.027 84.173									FA 07-023	
84.048	FA 07-025				FA 07-026 FA 07-027				FA 07-019	
84.126	FA 07-028 FA 07-029	FA 07-029 FA 07-030		FA 07-031 FA 07-032	FA 07-033		FA 07-028	FA 07-033 FA 07-034		
84.181		FA 07-035 FA 07-036				FA 07-036			FA 07-024	
84.287									FA 07-037	
84.357		FA 07-038 FA 07-039								
84.365					FA 07-040				FA 07-041	
84.367									FA 07-020	
Federal Agency Election Assistance Commission										
90.401					FA 07-042					
United States Department of Health and Human Services										
Various		FA 07-094* FA 07-095* FA 07-096* FA 07-100*	FA 07-094*					FA 07-094*	FA 07-103*	FA 07-094*
93.389							FA 07-102*			
93.389 93.847		FA 07-098*								
93.268		FA 07-063								
93.283		FA 07-044 FA 07-045 FA 07-063								
93.558				FA 07-046 FA 07-047					FA 07-043	
93.563								FA 07-048		
93.566				FA 07-049				FA 07-050	FA 07-043	
93.568		FA 07-066	FA 07-051			FA 07-052		FA 07-052	FA 07-053	
93.575 93.596		FA 07-054		FA 07-054 FA 07-055					FA 07-054	
93.603									FA 07-043	
93.658									FA 07-043	
93.659	FA 07-056			FA 07-056					FA 07-043	
93.667									FA 07-043	
93.767		FA 07-057 FA 07-058			FA 07-059		FA 07-058			
93.778		FA 07-060		FA 07-061					FA 07-043	FA 07-062
93.917		FA 07-063		FA 07-064 FA 07-065						
93.940		FA 07-063								
United States Department of Homeland Security										
97.004 97.067		FA 07-066 FA 07-068					FA 07-067		FA 07-079 FA 07-080	
97.036	FA 07-069 FA 07-070	FA 07-066 FA 07-070 FA 07-071 FA 07-072 FA 07-073	FA 07-069			FA 07-070	FA 07-067		FA 07-070	FA 07-074
97.039	FA 07-075 FA 07-076	FA 07-066 FA 07-075 FA 07-076				FA 07-076	FA 07-067	FA 07-077	FA 07-078	
97.042		FA 07-071								

* CFDA number is included within the Research and Development Cluster