UST AS William James offered pragmatism as a middle way between the "tough-minded" empiricist and the "tendermindrationalist, Richard Shusterman's aim is to show that an updated version of John Dewey's pragmatist aesthetic is a tenable middle way between the exacting sterilities of "analytic" aesthetics and the lively but pretentious work of recent Continental theorists. Dewey's Art as Experience, however, was swiftly forgotten under the hegemony of analytic philosophy. Are we now ready for the return of the repressed? "

Shusterman's sketch of analytic philosophy and analytic aesthetics emphasises an alleged. distinctions and ahistorical essences. Pragmatist aesthetics. by contrast, he characterises in terms of its holism, historicism and organicism, and its naturalis-

The return of the repressed

BY JOHN PRESTON

PRAGMATIST AESTHETICS: LIVING BEAUTY, RETHINKING ART BY RICHARD M. SHUSTERMAN Basil Blackwell 324pp, £45.00 and £14.99 ISBN 0 631 16445 6 and 18236 5 published January 1993

tic emphasis on the human body." It emphasises that thought, language, and their objects are changeably, contextually, and socially-historically constituted. commitment to foundationalist 1 content to map out the concepts. we use in describing and evaluating art, the pragmatist is not concerned, for example, with whose definition of art is the most accurate. For her the analyst's purpos-

es of "accurate reflection and compartmental differentiation" place of the misplaced analytic ideal of faithfully representing our concepts, the pragmatist received ommends taking an active role in the definition and conception of cepts to serve us better, and in particular to enhance our standaesthetic experience.

The analytic aesthetician, however, might well argue that one supplies a damning critique of While the analytic aesthetician is thing which philosophers must This surely involves an under standing of our current aesthetic concepts and our actual concepts which we haven't (yet) got. Shusterman takes the radical

course of denying that one task of chapter he skilfully takes apart aesthetic theory is to capture the are futile and wrongheaded. So in "truth of our current understanding of art, or to yield knowledge. But his case might be better served by admitting these aims of truth and knowledge while reconceiving them in distinctively pragart, hoping to reshape our con- matist terms, and forging anew the link with understanding.

What the author has to say ing in the final court of appeal, about interpretation should be of interest to many philosophers in areas butside aesthetics. Here he existing pragmatist theories, aim at is an understanding of art. before going on to argue that not all human activities involve interpretation. In his opposition to this "hermeneutic universalism" he follows Wittgenstein and departs from Rorty. In the book's best

the arguments for hermeneutic universalism, culminating in the realisation that our criteria for understanding and for having an interpretation differ. But this solidly Wittgensteinian critique makes one wonder whether he should ever have assimilated the later Wittgenstein to the analytical paradigm in the first place.

In the book's second part, Shusterman seeks to apply his reconditioned pragmatist aesthetic to the yexed question of the open, democratic and populist status and value of popular art forms. He takes rap music as one representative of these forms, showing that at its best it can satisfy the central aesthetic criteria of complexity, philosophical content, artistic self-consciousness,

creativity and form. The question here is: couldn't the analytic aesthetician make much the same case? Is there anything distinctively pragmatist about these criteria or this legitimation? Is there some deep reason why analytic aesthetics can't address today's live aesthetic issues and new artistic forms?

Dewey's pragmatism was characterised by its opposition to all forms of dualism. Dewey and his followers challenge the oppositions between the aesthetic and the practical, between art and life, and between art and popular culture. The resulting pragmatist aesthetic has an appealingly cast that is surely preferable to the doomy rhetoric of Adorno or the posturings of the deconstructionists.

John Preston is a lecturer in philosophy, University of Reading.

TITIES MIGHER

EDUCATION SUPPLETIENT)

ON DON TIMES