

# DEPARTMENT OF LANGUAGES, LINGUISTICS, AND COMPARATIVE LITERATURE

# MA IN LLCL: LINGUISTICS READING LIST

## For students beginning Fall 2019 or later

Note to the student: You should begin to learn the terms/concepts on this reading list as soon as you begin your graduate career. It is recommended that MA students take a variety of courses that cover different areas. Nevertheless, it is your sole responsibility to prepare the terms/concepts on this list and understand their importance. The comprehensive exams are based on this list.

## **Non-Thesis Option:**

All MA students begin in the Non-Thesis option. For MA students who continue in the Non-Thesis option, the written comprehensive exams are divided into 2 sections taken over 2 days (normally the Mondays of the 6<sup>th</sup> and 7<sup>th</sup> weeks of the last semester).

**Section I** (4 hours) covers terms/concepts from theoretical linguistics.

**Section II** (4 hours) covers terms/concepts from historical and applied linguistics.

For both Sections, the student is presented with a list of 20 terms/concepts and the following instructions:

Select 12 of the following 20 terms/concepts. A complete answer will be in essay format and should include:

- (i) a clear definition of the term/concept,
- (ii) relevant detailed example(s),
- (iii) an explanation of how the example(s) illustrates the concept (if examples are from a language other than English, please provide glosses), and
- (iv) relevant and related explication (e.g., mention pertinent research, important theorists, and seminal publications) in order to articulate your knowledge of current issues and approaches, key insights in linguistics and related fields, and the relevance of the concept to your own interests in linguistics or a particular research agenda that you may be familiar with.

See the Appendix for a sample response.

The student must respond to all of the questions in English and must not select more than <u>12 terms/concepts</u>. The set of <u>20 terms/concepts</u> is selected by the faculty in a pseudo-random manner from the following pools:

## Section I (Theoretical linguistics):

- 1. Agreement
- 2. Ambiguity
- 3. Analogy
- 4. Arbitrariness
- 5. Argument vs. adjunct
- 6. Assimilation and dissimilation
- 7. Behaviorism
- 8. Cooperative Principle and Grice's Maxims
- 9. Conceptual metaphor
- 10. Connotation and denotation
- 11. Consonantal place and manner of articulation
- 12. Conversational implicature
- 13. Critical Period Hypothesis
- 14. Derivational and inflectional morphology
- 15. Distinctive features (phonology)
- 16. Distribution of sounds (complementary, contrastive, and free variation)
- 17. Endocentric and exocentric compounding
- 18. Epenthesis and elision
- 19. Etymology
- 20. Felicity conditions
- 21. Formant structure
- 22. Fortition and lenition
- 23. Frame (Fillmore)
- 24. Grammaticalization
- 25. Hierarchical syntactic structure
- 26. Homonymy and polysemy
- 27. Hyponym and hypernym
- 28. Innateness and UG
- 29. Language (E-language and I-language)
- 30. Lemma and lexeme (Bilingualism)
- 31. Lexicon
- 32. Markedness
- 33. Metathesis and coalescence
- 34. Morpheme and allomorph
- 35. Morphological language types (agglutinative, etc.)
- 36. Optimality Theory
- 37. Phoneme and allophone
- 38. Phonetics and phonology
- 39. Phonotactic constraints and sonority
- 40. Phrases and constituency (syntax)
- 41. Prescriptive and descriptive approaches
- 42. Presupposition and entailment
- 43. Prototype theory
- 44. Sapir-Whorf hypothesis
- 45. Semantic roles

- 46. Semantics and pragmatics
- 47. Signified and signifier
- 48. Speech acts
- 49. Stress, syllable weight, and feet
- 50. Structuralism
- 51. Synonymy and antonymy
- 52. Syntactic movement
- 53. Tense and aspect
- 54. Tone, pitch accent, and intonation
- 55. Transitivity and intransitivity (syntax)
- 56. Typology and linguistic universals
- 57. Value and opposition (de Saussure)
- 58. Voicing and VOT
- 59. Vowel features (height, blackness, and rounding)
- 60. Vocal tract (major parts)

## **Section II (Historical and Applied Linguistics):**

- 1. AAVE
- 2. Access and transfer in SLA
- 3. Anatolian Hypothesis (Renfrew)
- 4. Audio-lingual method
- 5. Bilingual education
- 6. Bilingualism and multilingualism
- 7. Bottom-up and top-down processing
- 8. Brain and language
- 9. Code-switching
- 10. Cognate
- 11. Communicative language teaching
- 12. Comparative method
- 13. Competence and performance
- 14. Corpus linguistics
- 15. Correlation and regression
- 16. Diachronic and synchronic approaches
- 17. Dialectal variation (standard, non-standard, and idiolect)
- 18. Diglossia
- 19. ERP and major ERP components (N100, N400, etc.)
- 20. ESL vs. EFL
- 21. Factorial design
- 22. Fluency vs. accuracy
- 23. Frequency and neighborhood density
- 24. Garden path sentence
- 25. Grammar translation approach
- 26. Great Vowel Shift
- 27. Grimm's law
- 28. Holophrastic stage of L1 acquisition
- 29. Hypo- and hypercorrection

- 30. Independent and dependent variables
- 31. Influential factors in language acquisition
- 32. Input and intake
- 33. IRB and informed consent
- 34. L1 and L2 acquisition and interference
- 35. Labov's variationist approach
- 36. Language attitudes and prestige
- 37. Language attrition
- 38. Language change
- 39. Language contact
- 40. Language course delivery method (online, hybrid, etc.)
- 41. Language disorders (aphasia, etc.)
- 42. Language endangerment and revitalization
- 43. Language family tree model and language isolate
- 44. Levelling and reanalysis
- 45. Lexical borrowing and calque/loan translation
- 46. Linguistic politeness
- 47. Longitudinal and cross-sectional research
- 48. Online and offline research methods
- 49. Orthography and orthographic systems
- 50. P-value, T-test, and ANOVA
- 51. Pidgin and creole
- 52. Plasticity vs. specificity
- 53. Proto-language and reconstruction
- 54. Psycholinguistics and neurolinguistics
- 55. Qualitative and quantitative approaches to language
- 56. Speech communities
- 57. Style and register
- 58. Swadesh list
- 59. Types of feedback (recall, recast, etc.)
- 60. Wave theory

### Scoring procedure

Answers for each of the 12 terms/concepts are graded individually by the members of the comprehensive examination committee using the following rubric:

## 1) Level 1 (no attempt; 0 points)

No answer or incorrect answer provided. Writing is disorganized, incoherent, vague, or inappropriate.

#### 2) Level 2 (limited attempt; 1 point)

Demonstrates limited and simplified knowledge and vocabulary, or vocabulary is used inappropriately. Writing is generally disorganized, incoherent, vague, or inappropriate.

3) Level 3 (developing; 2 points)

Demonstrates working knowledge and vocabulary of the discipline but they are not complex or nuanced. Writing is generally focused, organized, and clear.

4) Level 4 (proficient; 3 points)

Demonstrates complex and nuanced knowledge and vocabulary. Writing is focused, organized, and clear.

An average score of **2.50** (aggregated across the 12 terms/concepts) is required to pass the comprehensive exam. If a student does not obtain this average, s/he retakes the exam section(s). If a student fails the written examination, it may be repeated once. The future retake date will be set upon the advice of the faculty, but within a maximum of one year from the date of the first written examination attempt. If a student fails to pass the retake of the written examination, s/he is dismissed from the Master's program, with no appeal.

**Note:** All students must take the written comprehensive exams on a designated computer (with disabled internet access). With previous approval, students may hand write questions if they need unusual characters, trees, diagrams, phonetic transcriptions, etc. If a student needs special accommodations, s/he must register with the Student Access Services prior to the semester in which s/he plans to take the exam.

## **Thesis Option:**

If an MA student is interested in writing a thesis, s/he must submit an "Application for the Thesis Option" prior to the end of the second semester of full-time study. If the application is approved, the student is admitted into the Thesis Option. For MA students writing a thesis, the comprehensive oral exam is normally taken in the seventh week of the third semester. The student will respond to all of the questions in English. The oral exam examines the student's knowledge of the foundational terms and concepts. Typically, the student is examined by the thesis committee. The oral exam will last approximately one hour and a half.

If the student does not pass the oral exam, s/he will have one opportunity to retake it within one year of the date of the original exam. The thesis director will set the date for the retake in consultation with the student and the committee members. The student will not be permitted to schedule the Thesis Prospectus Defense until s/he passes the oral examination. If a student does not pass the retake of the oral examination, s/he will not be permitted to continue in the thesis option, and will take the written comprehensive examination during the fourth semester.

Typically, the thesis prospectus defense is scheduled for two weeks after the oral exam is passed. If they wish, students may use an overhead projector, blackboard, etc. and should request the desired audiovisual equipment when the date for the prospectus defense is scheduled.

### **Thesis Prospectus Defense:**

The thesis prospectus defense typically is held in the ninth week of the third semester of full-time study, and lasts approximately one hour. Two weeks prior to the thesis prospectus defense, but no later than the date of the oral comprehensive examination, the student will distribute a copy of the thesis prospectus to all committee members. The student should consult a sample prospectus to ensure that s/he uses the appropriate format. The thesis prospectus defense is comprised of two main sections:

- 1) a presentation of about 30-40 minutes by the student about the genesis of the topic, how s/he delimited the focus, what thesis s/he plans to affirm, the critical framework s/he will use, etc.;
- 2) the committee asks questions about the prospectus, what the candidate said, and may offer suggestions on ways to improve the focus, etc. The committee goes through the Bibliography, and may recommend additional books/articles that may be of use to the candidate.

The thesis prospectus defense ensures that all of the committee members have a chance to ask questions about the proposed thesis, to confirm the focus and parameters of the thesis, and to affirm that the entire committee is in agreement. If the committee does not approve the thesis prospectus, the student will reformulate the prospectus according to the stipulations of the committee, and will submit the revised prospectus. The thesis director, in consultation with the committee and the student, will schedule a new thesis prospectus defense date. If the committee approves the thesis prospectus, the student may then proceed to write the thesis on the topic, within the focus and parameters approved during the thesis prospectus defense.

#### Thesis Defense:

The thesis defense is usually scheduled no later than the eleventh week of the last semester of a student's program, and typically lasts 1.5 hours. The student should verify the specific department, college, and university deadlines for the semester when s/he plans to graduate. The thesis director, in consultation with the student and the committee members, will set the date for the thesis defense. At least two weeks prior to the defense, the student will give copies of the completed thesis to all of the committee members. The thesis defense is comprised of three main sections:

- 1) a presentation of about 10 minutes on the genesis of the topic, the focus of the thesis, and the critical framework used;
- 2) a presentation of about 30 minutes on the thesis that the student affirmed, the chapter-by-chapter overview of how the thesis was supported by the research; and the conclusions that were reached;
- 3) the committee members ask detailed questions about the thesis, any sections that may need clarification, any issues that may need to be addressed, etc., to which the student responds.

On the date of the defense, the student will bring copies of the signature pages on the required stock paper and the correct pen with the correct color ink as stipulated in the Graduate College's guidelines. If the thesis is not approved, the candidate will make the major revisions stipulated during the defense, and resubmit the revised thesis to the committee. The thesis director, in consultation with the committee members and the student, will set a new date for the thesis defense. If the thesis is approved, the committee members will sign the initial pages right then. Each member of the committee will give the candidate his/her copy of the thesis with all of the errata and corrections clearly marked, so that the candidate can make the necessary changes. Typically, after all of the corrections are made, the candidate reviews the final manuscript with the thesis director prior to submitting it.

"In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act Amendments Act (ADAAA), students who require reasonable accommodations due to a disability to properly execute coursework must register with Student Accessibility Services (SAS)—in Boca Raton, SU 133 (561-297-3880) — and follow all SAS procedures."

## **Recommended sources:**

The following sources are recommended for preparation for the written comprehensive examinations (please make sure to use the most recent edition, if applicable):

- 1. Campbell, Lyle. 2013. *Historical Linguistics: An Introduction.* Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- 2. Carnie, Andrew. 2012. Syntax: A generative introduction. 3<sup>rd</sup> edition. Wiley-Blackwell.
- 3. Cruse, Alan. 2011. *Meaning in Language*. 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- 4. Crystal, David. 2008. *A Dictionary of Linguistics and Phonetics. 6th Edition.* Malden, MA: Wiley-Blackwell.
- 5. Gass, Susan, Jennifer Behney, and Luke Plonsky. 2013. Second Language Acquisition: An Introductory Course. 4th Edition. New York: Routledge.
- 6. Hopper, Paul J. and Elizabeth C. Traugott. 2003. *Grammaticalization*. 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- 7 Joseph, John, Nigel Love, and Talbot Taylor, eds. 2001. Landmarks in Linguistic Thought Volume II: The Western Tradition in the Twentieth Century. New York: Routledge.
- 8. Matthews, Peter. 2014. *The Concise Oxford Dictionary of Linguistics. 3rd Edition.*Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- 9. O'Grady, Gerard. 2013. *Key concepts in Phonetics and Phonology.* London: Palgrave.
- 10. O'Grady, William, John Archibald, Mark Aronoff, and Janie Rees-Miller. 2010. *Contemporary Linguistics*. 6th Edition. New York: Bedford.
- 11. Podesva, Robert J. and Devyani Sharma, eds. 2013. *Research Methods in Linguistics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

## **Appendix: Sample answer**

Term: Morphology

Morphology is one of the six branches of theoretical linguistics (in addition to phonetics, phonology, syntax, semantics and pragmatics) which focuses on the study of how words are constructed out of morphemes. For example, the English word workers is a complex noun. It is composed of 3 morphemes: (i) the verb root work, (ii) the derivational suffix -er which affixes to a verb and changes it into a noun (with the meaning 'one who does...'), and (iii) the inflectional plural suffix -s. There are several competing theories of morphology. One theory, i.e., Item & Arrangement theory (IA), proposes that roots, derivational affixes, and inflectional affixes are all stored separately in the mental lexicon as morphemes, and complex words (such as workers), are constructed in the syntactic component. An extreme version is called Distributed Morphology (DM: Halle & Marantz, 1993) and does not consider there to be a single morphological component in the grammar, but rather distributes its functions in several other areas of the grammar, i.e., (i) lexical entries in the lexicon, (ii) concatenative functions (e.g., combining morphemes) in the syntactic component, (iii) vocabulary insertion and morphological transformations in the phonological component, and (iv) non-concatenative functions (e.g., idioms) in the semantic component. An alternate theory, i.e., Item & Process (IP), proposes that words are stored and composed in a single location: the Lexicon.

#### Breakdown:

See the table below for a detailed annotation of the sample answer above (on your exam please answer in the paragraph format above and not in the table format below):

Definition:	Morphology is one of the six branches of theoretical linguistics (in addition to
(clear & concise)	phonetics, phonology, syntax, semantics and pragmatics) which focuses on the study
	of how words are constructed out of morphemes.
Example: (use of	For example, the English word workers is a complex noun.
italics for	
language data)	
Link between	It is composed of 3 morphemes: (i) the verb root work, (ii) the derivational suffix -er
example &	which affixes to a verb and changes it into a noun (with the meaning 'one who
definition:	does'), and (iii) the inflectional plural suffix -s.
(clearly stated)	
Explication:	There are several competing theories of morphology. One theory, i.e., Item &
(relevance of	Arrangement theory (IA), proposes that roots, derivational affixes, and inflectional
concept in	affixes are all stored separately in the mental lexicon as morphemes, and complex
relation to	words (such as workers), are constructed in the syntactic component. An extreme
linguistic theory;	version is called Distributed Morphology (DM: Halle & Marantz, 1993) and does not
here a discussion	consider there to be a single morphological component in the grammar, but rather
of differing	distributes its functions in several other areas of the grammar, i.e., (i) lexical entries in
theories of	the lexicon, (ii) concatenative functions (e.g., combining morphemes) in the syntactic
morphology)	component, (iii) vocabulary insertion and morphological transformations in the
	phonological component, and (iv) non-concatenative functions (e.g., idioms) in the
	semantic component. An alternate theory, i.e., Item & Process (IP), proposes that
	words are stored and composed in a single location: the Lexicon.